REMARKS ON SUPERLINEAR OPERATORS

Ву

A. BOGMÉR, I. JOÓ and L. L. STACHÓ

Bolyai Institute of the József Attila University, Szeged and II. Department for Analysis of the L. Eötvös University, Budapest

(Received December 30, 1982)

In [1] E. M. Nikišin introduced the notions of superlinear and positive superlinear operators concerning his investigation on Fourier series with respect to general orthonormal systems. According to [1], a mapping $T: E \rightarrow S(0, 1)$ where E is any Banach space is by definition superlinear if for every $e \in E$ there exists a linear mapping $L_e: E \rightarrow S(0, 1)$ such that $L_e e = Te$ and $|L_e f| \le |Tf|$ for each $f \in E$. Furthermore, if $E = \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mu)$ for some $p \ge 1$ and for every $e \in E$, L_e can be chosen to be a positive linear mapping then T is called a positive superlinear operator $(\mathcal{L}^p \rightarrow S)$.

The aim of this paper is to examine these concepts in a vector lattice

theoretical setting.

DEFINITION 1. Let E, F be a vector space and a vector lattice, respectively. A mapping $T: E \to F$ is superlinear if for every $e \in E$ there exists $L_e \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that $L_e e = Te$ and $|L_e| \le |T|$. (Throughout this work, we deal with real vector spaces. The symbol |T| means the operator $f \to |Tf|$.)

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose the space F is oder complete (for def. see [3]). Then $T: E \to F$ is superlinear if and only if |T| is a vector norm on E i.e. if, $|T(e_1 + e_2)| \le |Te_1| + |Te_2|$ and $|T\lambda e_1| = |\lambda| |Te_1| \forall e_1, e_2 \in E, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

PROOF. Let $T: E \to F$ be superlinear. Then we can write $|T| = \sup_{e \in E} |L_e|$.

But L is clearly a vector norm whenever $L: E \rightarrow F$ is linear.

Conversely, assume T is a vector norm on E and F is order complete. Given $e \in E$, define L_e^0 on the subspace \mathbf{R}_e by $L_e^0 \lambda e \equiv \lambda T e$ ($\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$). We have $L_e^0 \leq |T|$ on \mathbf{R}_e . Thus by the generalized Hahn-Banach theorem [2], L_e^0 admits a linear extension L_e such that $L_e \leq |T|$. To complete the proof, we show $-L_e \leq |T|$. Indeed, $-L_e f = L_e (-f) \leq |T(-f)| = |Tf| \ \forall f \in E$.

DEFINITION 2. Let E, F be vector lattices. A mapping $T: E \to F$ is positive superlinear if for every $e \in E$ there exists $L_e \in \mathcal{L}_+(E, F)$ (i. e. $L_e p \ge 0$ whenever $p \in E_+$ (i. e. $p \ge 0$ in E) such that $L_e e = Te$ and $|L_e| \le |T|$.

Theorem 1. Let E, F be vector lattices, $T: E \rightarrow F$ a superlinear operator. Assume that the ordering of F is complete. Then equivalent are

(a) T is positive superlinear.

(b) $e_1 \le e_2$ implies $Te_1 \le |Te_2|$ and $-Te_2 \le |Te_1|$ for all $e_1, e_2 \in E$.

(c) By setting $P \equiv |T|$ and $Qe \equiv \inf_{p \in E_+} P(e+p)$, $(e \in E)$, we have $Qe \geq (Te) \vee ((-T-e))$ for all $e \in E$.

PROOF. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Suppose T is superlinear and $e_1 \le e_2$ in E. Then choosing L_{e_1} , L_{e_2} in accordance with Definition 2, we obtain

$$Te_1 = L_{e_1} e_1 \le L_{e_1} e_1 \le |L_{e_1} e_2| \le |Te_2|$$

nd

$$-Te_2 = -L_{e_2}e_2 = L_{e_2}(-e_2) \le L_{e_2}e_1 \le |L_{e_2}e_1| \le |Te_1|.$$

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Let p be any element of E_+ and $e \in E$. An application of (b) $e_1 \equiv e$ and $e_2 \equiv e + p$ yields $P(e+p) = |T(+e+p)| \ge Te$. Similarly, if $e_1 \equiv e - e - p$, $e_1 \equiv -e$ we have $P(e+p) = |T(e+p)| = |T(-e-p)| \ge -T(-e)$.

(c) \Rightarrow (a): By assumption, P is a vector norm on E. Hence for any α_1 , $e_1, e_2 \in E$ and $p_1, p_2 \in E_+$,

$$\textstyle \sum\limits_{1,2}\alpha_j P(e_j+p_j) = \sum\limits_{1,2} P(\alpha_j e_j + \alpha_j p_j) \! \geq \! P\!\left(\sum\limits_{1,2}\alpha_j e_j + \sum\limits_{1,2}\alpha_j p_j\right)\!.$$

Since $\sum_{1,2} \alpha_j p_j \in E_+$, too, it follows that Q is also a vector norm on E et now $e \in E \setminus \{0\}$ be arbitrarily given and define $L_e^0 \colon \mathbf{R}e \to F$ by $L_e^0(\lambda e) \equiv \lambda Te \in \mathbf{R}$. Observe that $Q(\lambda e) = \lambda Qe \ge \mathrm{by}$ $(c) \ge \lambda ((Te) \lor (-T(-e))) \ge \lambda Te = : L_e^0(\lambda e)$ if $\lambda \ge 0$ and $Q(\lambda e) = |\lambda|Q(-e) \ge \mathrm{by}(c) \ge |\lambda|$ $(T(-e) \lor (-Te)) = : (|\lambda|T(-e)) \lor (\lambda Te) \ge Te = L_e^0(\lambda e)$ if $\lambda \le 0$. Thus $L_e^0 \le Q$ on Re. By the generalized Hahn-Banach theorem [2], L_e^0 admits a linear extension L_e to such that $L_e \le Q$.

Clearly $L_e \le P$ since $Q \le P$. On the other hand, $L_e \in \mathcal{L}_+(E, F)$ since $(-p) \le Q(-p) \le P(p-p) = 0$ for all $p \in E_+$.

Next we turn our attention to the continuity properties of positive surflinear operators. It seems that those ranging in S(0, 1) (as in Nikisin's iginal definition) are of particular importance among them because, as we e, positive superlinear operators between locally convex topological vector ttices are very rarely continuous unless being linear.

LEMMA 1. If E, F are vector lattices and $T: E \rightarrow F$ is positive superlinear en T is convex, positive homogeneous and positive valued when restricted E_+ , furthermore $T(-p) = -T_{(p)}$ for all $p \in E_+$.

Proof. If $p \in E_+$ then L_p p, L_{-p} $p \ge 0$. But L_p $p = T_p$ and $L_{-p}(-p) = T_{(-p)}$ whence $T_p = |T_p|$ and $T(-p) = -|T(-p)| = -|T_p| = -T_p$. sus T coincides with |T| on E_+ . This implies its convexity and homogeity on E_+ since T is a vector norm.

LEMMA 2. Suppose $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous positive superlinear map ping. Then T is necessarily linear.

PROOF. We may assume also $T\neq 0$. Then we have $T(\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2)\neq 0$ for all $\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2<0$. Indeed, $T(\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2)=0<\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2$ would imply $|L_e(\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2)|\leq |T(\lambda_2,\ \lambda_2)|=0$ i.e. $0=L_e(\lambda_1,\ \lambda_2)=\lambda_1L_e$ (1, 0)+ λ_2 L_e (0, 1) and hence $L_e=0$ (for $L_e\in\mathcal{L}_+(\mathbf{R}^2,\mathbf{R})$ by Definition 2) for all $e\in\mathbf{R}$. Thus, by Lemma 1, range T contains both positive and negative numbers. Since T is a vector norm, this means that the set $\mathcal{M}\equiv\{e:Te=0\}$ is a 1 dimensional subspace of \mathbf{R}^2 , disjoint from $(0,\infty)\times(0,\infty)$. Therefore we can find a linear functional $\varphi\in\mathcal{L}_+(\mathbf{R}^2,\mathbf{R})$ such that $\varphi(1,1)=T(1,1)>0$ and $\mathcal{M}=\{e:\varphi e=0\}$. Let $f\in\mathbf{R}^2$ be arbitrarily fixed and consider the linear functional L_f . Since $|L_f|\leq |T|$, we have $\{e:L_fe=0\}$ $\supset \mathcal{M}$. Hence for some $\lambda_f\in\mathbf{R}_+$, $L_f=\lambda_f\varphi$. To conclude, we prove $\lambda_f=\lambda_g$ for all $f\in\mathcal{M}$. We may assume $0<\lambda_f\leq\lambda_g$. Then $\lambda_g|\varphi f|=|L_g|f|\leq |Tf|=1$.

Theorem 2. Let E, F be topological vector lattices and let $F_0^* \equiv \{\varphi \in F_+^*\}$ $\varphi|f| = |\varphi f| \ \forall f \in F\}$. If F_0^* sparates the points of F then each continuous positive superlinear map $T: E \rightarrow F$ is linear.

PROOF. Let us fix any $\varphi \in F_0^*$. Observe that the functional $\varphi \circ T$ is also positive superlinear $(E \to \mathbf{R})$. In fact, given $e_1 \le e_2$, from Theorem 1. (b) we obtain $Te_1 \le |Te_2|$, $-Te_1 \le |Te_2|$ whence $\varphi Te_1 \le \varphi |Te_2| = |\varphi Te_2|$ and $-\varphi Te_2 \le \varphi |Te_1| = |\varphi Te_1|$. Now from Lemma 2. we see that for any p_1 , $p_2 \in E_+$, the functional $\mathbf{R}^2 \ni (\varrho_1, \varrho_2) \to \varphi T(\varrho_1 p_1 + \varrho_2 p_2)$ is linear. Thus for all e_1 , $e_2 \in \mathbf{R} p_1 + \mathbf{R} p_2$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,

$$\Phi\left(T\left(\frac{1}{2}e_1 + \frac{1}{2}e_2\right) - \frac{1}{2}Te_1 - \frac{1}{2}Te_2\right) = 0.$$

Since F_0^* separates F, it follows

$$T\left(\frac{1}{2}e_1 + \frac{1}{2}e_2\right) = \frac{1}{2}Te_1 + \frac{1}{2}Te_2$$

i.e. the mapping T is linear when restricted to any 2 dimensional subspace of E spanned by positive elements. Thus if e, f E then

$$\begin{split} T \bigg(\frac{1}{2} e + \frac{1}{2} f \bigg) &= T \left(\frac{1}{2} (e_+ + f_+) + \frac{1}{2} (-e_- - f_-) \right) = \frac{1}{2} T (e_+ + f_+) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} T (-e_- - f_-) = \frac{1}{2} T (e_+ + f_+) - \frac{1}{2} T (e_- + f_-) = \left(\frac{1}{2} T e_+ + \frac{1}{2} T f_+ \right) - \\ &- \left(\frac{1}{2} T e_- + \frac{1}{2} T f_- \right) = \frac{1}{2} (T e_+ - T e_-) + \frac{1}{2} (T f_+ - T f_-) = \frac{1}{2} T e_+ + \frac{1}{2} T f_- \end{split}$$

establishing the linearity of T.

COROLLARY 1. If E is a topological vector lattice and Ω is a compact toological space then each continuous positive superlinear map $E \to C(\Omega)$ is inear.

PROOF. The functionals $\delta_x \equiv [C(\Omega) \in f \to f(x)]$ ($x \in \Omega$) form a separating amily in $C(\Omega)$ and satisfy $\delta_x |f| = |f(x)| = |\delta_x f|$.

COROLLARY 2. If E is a topological vector lattice and μ is an arbitrary neasure then each continuous positive superlinear map $E \to L^{\infty}(\mu)$ is linear.

PROOF. By Kakutani's representation theorem on M-lattices [3], each \mathbb{R}^{∞} — space is isometrically order isomorphic to some $C(\Omega)$ space for suitable compact topological space.

COROLLARY 3. If E is a topological vector lattice and $1 \le p \le \infty$ then very continuous positive superlinear map $E \to l^p$ is linear.

PROOF. Every continuous superlinear operator $T: E \rightarrow l^p$ can be viewed

is a continuous positive superlinear $E \rightarrow l^{\infty}$. mapping.

The following question arises from the above corollaries: Is there any ion-linear continuous positive superlinear operator L^p (0, 1) $\rightarrow L^q$ (0, 1) if $l < \infty$? The answer is always affirmative in this case.

Example. Let $1 \le p \le \infty$ and $1 \le q < \infty$. The mapping $T: L^p(0, 1) \to L^q(0, 1)$ defined by

$$Tf = [(0,1)\ni t \to \begin{cases} t \int_{0}^{1/2} f & if \mid t \int_{0}^{1/2} f \mid \ge |(1-t) \int_{1/2}^{1} f \mid \\ (1-t) \int_{1/2}^{1} f & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

s positive superlinear and continuous but non-linear.

Proof. The non-linear character of T is obvious.

Continuity: Suppose $f_n \to f$ in $L^p(0, 1)$ $(n \to \infty)$.

Now

$$\int_{0}^{1/2} f_n \to \int_{0}^{1/2} f \text{ and } \int_{1/2}^{1} f_n \to \int_{1/2}^{1} f, (n \to \infty).$$

Hence $Tf_n(t) \rightarrow Tf(t)$ whenever

$$\left| t \int_{0}^{1/2} f \right| \neq \left| (1-t) \int_{1/2}^{1} f \right| \quad \text{or} \quad \left| t \int_{0}^{1/2} f \right| = \left| (1-t) \int_{1/2}^{1} f \right| = 0.$$

i. e. almost everywhere. Since the sequence $\{|Tf_n|\}_1^{\infty}$ consists of functions majorized by the constant $\sup_n \int_0^1 |f_n|$, it follows

$$||Tf_n - Tf||_{L^q} = \left(\int_0^1 |Tf_n(t) - Tf(t)|^q dt\right)^{1/p} \to 0, \ (n \to \infty).$$

Positive superlinearity: Given $e \in L^p(0, 1)$, it is immediate that the linear mapping $L_e: L^p(0, 1) \to L^q(0, 1)$ defined by

$$L_{e}f \equiv [(0,1) \ni t \to \begin{cases} t \int_{0}^{1/2} f & \text{if } \left| t \int_{0}^{1/2} e \right| \ge \left| (1-t) \int_{1/2}^{1} e \right| \\ (1-t) \int_{1/2}^{1} f & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

is positive and fulfills the requirements of Definition 2.

References

[1] Е. М. Никишин, Резонансные теоремы и надлинейные операторы, *Успехи Матем. Наук*, 25 (1970), 129-191.

[2] B. L. Pinto, Banach Extension Theorem for Ordred-Complete Linear Spaces, Bolletino U. M. I., 6 (1972), 181-184.

[3] H. H. Schaeffer, Banach Lattices and Positive Operators, Springer Verlag, Berlin --New York, 1976.

[4] A. SÖVEGJÁRTÓ, Remark to a paper of E. M. Nikišin, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Math., 22-23 (1979-1980), 135-138.