

Fast determinant evaluation. Matrix inverse, linear systems.

Linear algebra

Lecture 3

Gábor V. Nagy
Bolyai Institute
Szeged, 2020.

Evaluating a determinant by expansion can be very slow.

Evaluating a determinant by expansion can be very slow.

For example, in order to evaluate a 5×5 determinant, **five** 4×4 determinants have to be evaluated. And for each 4×4 determinant, **four** 3×3 determinants have to be evaluated. So we have to compute altogether $5 \cdot 4 = 20$ determinants of size 3×3 .

Evaluating a determinant by expansion can be very slow.

For example, in order to evaluate a 5×5 determinant, **five** 4×4 determinants have to be evaluated. And for each 4×4 determinant, **four** 3×3 determinants have to be evaluated. So we have to compute altogether $5 \cdot 4 = 20$ determinants of size 3×3 .

An analogous calculation shows, that in order to evaluate a 8×8 determinant by expansion, we should evaluate 6720 determinants of size 3×3 .

By this approach, evaluation of a 100×100 determinant would be hopeless (in general), even if we are allowed to use computer.

Evaluating a determinant by expansion can be very slow.

For example, in order to evaluate a 5×5 determinant, **five** 4×4 determinants have to be evaluated. And for each 4×4 determinant, **four** 3×3 determinants have to be evaluated. So we have to compute altogether $5 \cdot 4 = 20$ determinants of size 3×3 .

An analogous calculation shows, that in order to evaluate a 8×8 determinant by expansion, we should evaluate 6720 determinants of size 3×3 .

By this approach, evaluation of a 100×100 determinant would be hopeless (in general), even if we are allowed to use computer.

Fortunately, evaluation of an $n \times n$ determinant can be efficiently reduced to the evaluation of **one** $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ determinant, which makes determinant calculation possible for large matrices in practice (using computers).

Recall from Lecture 2:

Theorem. Let A be a square matrix.

- (1) If we multiply a row (or column) of A by a number, then its determinant is multiplied by the same number.
- (2) If two rows (or columns) of a determinant are interchanged, then the value of the determinant is multiplied by -1 .
- (3) If two rows (or columns) of A are identical, then $|A| = 0$.
- (4) The value of $|A|$ is unchanged if a multiple of a row is added to another row, or if a multiple of a column is added to another column.

$$\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 3 & 7 & 9 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 & 0 \\ 5 & 8 & -3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \xrightarrow{+2 \cdot \text{row 3 to row 1}} \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 3 & 7 & 9 \\ 2 & 4 & 7 & 0 \\ 5 & 8 & -3 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Theorem. Let A be a square matrix.

- (1) If we multiply a row (or column) of A by a number, then its determinant is multiplied by the same number.
- (2) If two rows (or columns) of a determinant are interchanged, then the value of the determinant is multiplied by -1 .
- (3) If two rows (or columns) of A are identical, then $|A| = 0$.
- (4) The value of $|A|$ is unchanged if a multiple of a row is added to another row, or if a multiple of a column is added to another column.

Using rule (4), the evaluation of an $n \times n$ determinant can be reduced to the evaluation of one $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinant. And then the evaluation of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ determinant can be reduced to the evaluation of one $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ determinant in the same way, and so on.

$$\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = ?$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} -5 & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 1^* & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & -3 & 2 \end{array} \right| \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow -2 \cdot \\ \\ \leftarrow +4 \cdot \end{array} = \left| \begin{array}{cccc} -3 & 0 & 1 & -4 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 0 & 5 & 14 \end{array} \right|$$

1. Pick a **non-zero** element in the matrix (which will be referred as **pivot** element), and use this element to zero all other elements in its column, by applying rule (4) on the previous slide.

- The pivot element is always indicated by a star in our figures.

$$\begin{vmatrix} -5 & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 1^* & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow -2 \cdot \\ \\ \leftarrow +4 \cdot \end{matrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 & 1 & -4 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 0 & 5 & 14 \end{vmatrix}$$

1. Pick a **non-zero** element in the matrix (which will be referred as **pivot** element), and use this element to zero all other elements in its column, by applying rule (4) on the previous slide.

- The pivot element is always indicated by a star in our figures.
- If the pivot element is a , and we want to zero an element c in its column, then we add $-c/a$ times of the row of a to the row of c , because $c + (-c/a)a = 0$.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \dots & a^* & \dots \\ \dots & c & \dots \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow -\frac{c}{a} \cdot \end{matrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \dots & a^* & \dots \\ \dots & 0 & \dots \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} -5 & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 1^* & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow -2 \cdot \\ \\ \leftarrow +4 \cdot \end{matrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 & 1 & -4 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 0 & 5 & 14 \end{vmatrix}$$

1. Pick a **non-zero** element in the matrix (which will be referred as **pivot** element), and use this element to zero all other elements in its column, by applying rule (4) on the previous slide.

- The pivot element is always indicated by a star in our figures.
- If the pivot element is a , and we want to zero an element c in its column, then we add $-c/a$ times of the row of a to the row of c , because $c + (-c/a)a = 0$.
- So in a determinant with integer entries, the best choice for pivot is a 1 or -1 entry, because then fractions are avoided.

$$\begin{vmatrix} -5 & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 1^* & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow -2 \cdot \\ \\ +4 \cdot \end{matrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 & 1 & -4 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 0 & 5 & 14 \end{vmatrix} \\
 = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 1 & -4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 5 & 14 \end{vmatrix}$$

2. Expand the obtained determinant along the column of pivot.
 (Only the term corresponding to the pivot will contribute.)

$$\begin{vmatrix} -5 & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 1^* & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow -2 \cdot \\ \\ \leftarrow +4 \cdot \end{matrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 & 1 & -4 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 0 & 5 & 14 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 1^* & -4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 5 & 14 \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow -4 \cdot \\ \\ \leftarrow -5 \cdot \end{matrix} = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 1 & -4 \\ 15 & 0 & 21 \\ 13 & 0 & 34 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 1 \cdot (-1) \cdot 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 15 & 21 \\ 13 & 34 \end{vmatrix} = (-1) \cdot (15 \cdot 34 - 21 \cdot 13) = \boxed{-237}.$$

2. Expand the obtained determinant along the column of pivot.
(Only the term corresponding to the pivot will contribute.)

3. Repeat the procedure for the determinant of smaller size.

$$\begin{vmatrix} -5 & 2 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 1^* & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -4 & -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow -2 \cdot \\ \\ \leftarrow +4 \cdot \end{matrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 0 & 1 & -4 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 0 & 5 & 14 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 1^* & -4 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ -2 & 5 & 14 \end{vmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow -4 \cdot \\ \\ \leftarrow -5 \cdot \end{matrix} = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 1 & -4 \\ 15 & 0 & 21 \\ 13 & 0 & 34 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= 1 \cdot (-1) \cdot 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 15 & 21 \\ 13 & 34 \end{vmatrix} = (-1) \cdot (15 \cdot 34 - 21 \cdot 13) = \boxed{-237}.$$

Remark. Instead of zeroing the elements in the **column** of pivot, one can also zero the elements in the **row** of pivot, and then expand the obtained the determinant along this row.

Recall that usually $AB \neq BA$ for matrices A, B . But we have:

Claim. If $AB = I_n$ holds for $n \times n$ matrices A, B (where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$), then $BA = I_n$ also holds.

Recall that usually $AB \neq BA$ for matrices A, B . But we have:

Claim. If $AB = I_n$ holds for $n \times n$ matrices A, B (where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$), then $BA = I_n$ also holds.

Definition. Given an $n \times n$ matrix A . The $n \times n$ matrix B is called the **inverse** of A , if $AB = BA = I_n$.

Recall that usually $AB \neq BA$ for matrices A, B . But we have:

Claim. If $AB = I_n$ holds for $n \times n$ matrices A, B (where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$), then $BA = I_n$ also holds.

Definition. Given an $n \times n$ matrix A . The $n \times n$ matrix B is called the **inverse** of A , if $AB = BA = I_n$.

Theorem + Notation. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

- (a) If $|A| \neq 0$, then A has exactly one inverse, and it is denoted by A^{-1} .
- (b) If $|A| = 0$, then A has no inverse.

Recall that usually $AB \neq BA$ for matrices A, B . But we have:

Claim. If $AB = I_n$ holds for $n \times n$ matrices A, B (where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$), then $BA = I_n$ also holds.

Definition. Given an $n \times n$ matrix A . The $n \times n$ matrix B is called the **inverse** of A , if $AB = BA = I_n$.

Theorem + Notation. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

- (a) If $|A| \neq 0$, then A has exactly one inverse, and it is denoted by A^{-1} .
- (b) If $|A| = 0$, then A has no inverse.

Proof of (b). Assume that $|A| = 0$. Then $AB = I_n$ cannot occur for any $n \times n$ matrix B , because

$$|AB| = |A| \cdot |B| = 0 \cdot |B| = 0,$$

but $|I_n| = 1$.



Recall that usually $AB \neq BA$ for matrices A, B . But we have:

Claim. If $AB = I_n$ holds for $n \times n$ matrices A, B (where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$), then $BA = I_n$ also holds.

Definition. Given an $n \times n$ matrix A . The $n \times n$ matrix B is called the **inverse** of A , if $AB = BA = I_n$.

Theorem + Notation. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

- (a) If $|A| \neq 0$, then A has exactly one inverse, and it is denoted by A^{-1} .
- (b) If $|A| = 0$, then A has no inverse.

Definition. A square matrix A is called **invertible** (or **nonsingular**), if it has an inverse, i.e. if $|A| \neq 0$.

A square matrix A is called **singular**, if it has no inverse, i.e. if $|A| = 0$.

Recall that usually $AB \neq BA$ for matrices A, B . But we have:

Claim. If $AB = I_n$ holds for $n \times n$ matrices A, B (where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$), then $BA = I_n$ also holds.

Definition. Given an $n \times n$ matrix A . The $n \times n$ matrix B is called the **inverse** of A , if $AB = BA = I_n$.

Theorem + Notation. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

- (a) If $|A| \neq 0$, then A has exactly one inverse, and it is denoted by A^{-1} .
- (b) If $|A| = 0$, then A has no inverse.

Exercise. Show that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -4 & 5 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 \\ 3 & -5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 29 & -11 \\ -5 & 18 & -7 \\ 1 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Definition. A **linear system** (with m equations and n variables) is a system of equations of the following form:

$$\begin{cases} a_{1,1}x_1 + a_{1,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1,n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + a_{2,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2,n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + a_{m,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{m,n}x_n = b_m, \end{cases}$$

where the $a_{i,j}$'s are given real numbers (called **coefficients**), the b_i 's are given real numbers (called **constants**), and x_1, \dots, x_n are the **variables** of the linear system.

Definition. A **linear system** (with m equations and n variables) is a system of equations of the following form:

$$\begin{cases} a_{1,1}x_1 + a_{1,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1,n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + a_{2,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2,n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + a_{m,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{m,n}x_n = b_m, \end{cases}$$

where the $a_{i,j}$'s are given real numbers (called **coefficients**), the b_i 's are given real numbers (called **constants**), and x_1, \dots, x_n are the **variables** of the linear system.

Definition. An n -tuple (s_1, \dots, s_n) of real numbers is a **solution** of the above linear system, if we substitute s_1 for x_1 , s_2 for x_2 , \dots , and s_n for x_n , then for **every** equation of the system, the left side will equal the right side.

Example. The system of equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 = 2 \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 - 5x_3 = -1 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 11 \end{cases}$$

is a linear system (with 3 equations and 3 variables).

Example. The system of equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 = 2 \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 - 5x_3 = -1 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 11 \end{cases}$$

is a linear system (with 3 equations and 3 variables).

And $(-1, 3, 1)$ is a solution of it, because

$$(-1) + 2 \cdot 3 - 3 \cdot 1 = 2$$

$$2 \cdot (-1) + 2 \cdot 3 - 5 \cdot 1 = -1.$$

$$-(-1) + 2 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 1 = 11$$

We also say that $x_1 = -1$, $x_2 = 3$, $x_3 = 1$ is a solution of the linear system.

Example. The system of equations

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 = 2 \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 - 5x_3 = -1 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 11 \end{cases}$$

is a linear system (with 3 equations and 3 variables).

And $(-1, 3, 1)$ is a solution of it, because

$$(-1) + 2 \cdot 3 - 3 \cdot 1 = 2$$

$$2 \cdot (-1) + 2 \cdot 3 - 5 \cdot 1 = -1.$$

$$-(-1) + 2 \cdot 3 + 4 \cdot 1 = 11$$

We also say that $x_1 = -1$, $x_2 = 3$, $x_3 = 1$ is a solution of the linear system.

Remark. Solving a linear system means finding **all** solutions of it.

Definition. The **matrix** of the linear system

$$\begin{cases} a_{1,1}x_1 + a_{1,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1,n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + a_{2,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2,n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + a_{m,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{m,n}x_n = b_m \end{cases}$$

is the $m \times n$ matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m,1} & a_{m,2} & \cdots & a_{m,n} \end{bmatrix},$$

i.e. the (i, j) -entry of A is the coefficient of x_j in the i 'th equation.

Example. The matrix of the linear system

$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 = -1 \\ 2x_2 - x_3 = 1 \\ 6x_1 - 5x_2 + 4x_3 = 2 \end{cases}$$

is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 6 & -5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example. The matrix of the linear system

$$\begin{cases} 2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 = -1 \\ 2x_2 - x_3 = 1 \\ 6x_1 - 5x_2 + 4x_3 = 2 \end{cases}$$

is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 6 & -5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Definition. We say that a linear system with m equations and n variables is **regular**, if $m = n$ (in other words, the matrix of the linear system is a square matrix) and the matrix of the linear system has non-zero determinant.

Ex. The above linear system is regular, as $\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 6 & -5 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = -36$.

Cramer's rule. If the linear system

$$\begin{cases} a_{1,1}x_1 + a_{1,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{1,n}x_n = b_1 \\ a_{2,1}x_1 + a_{2,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{2,n}x_n = b_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{m,1}x_1 + a_{m,2}x_2 + \cdots + a_{m,n}x_n = b_m \end{cases}$$

is **regular**, then it has exactly one solution:

$$x_j = \frac{|A^{(j)}|}{|A|} \quad (\text{for } j = 1, \dots, n),$$

where $A = [a_{i,j}]_{m \times n}$ is the matrix of the linear system, and $A^{(j)}$ is the matrix obtained from A by replacing its j 'th column to

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example. The linear system

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 = 2 \\ 2x_1 + 2x_2 - 5x_3 = -1 \\ -x_1 + 2x_2 + 4x_3 = 11 \end{cases}$$

is regular, because $m = n = 3$ and

$$|A| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 \\ 2 & 2 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = -6. \quad (\text{non-zero})$$

Thus Cramer's rule can be applied to find the unique solution:

$$|A^{(1)}| = \begin{vmatrix} \textcolor{blue}{2} & 2 & -3 \\ \textcolor{blue}{-1} & 2 & -5 \\ \textcolor{blue}{11} & 2 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 6 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad x_1 = \frac{|A^{(1)}|}{|A|} = -1$$

$$|A^{(2)}| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \textcolor{blue}{2} & -3 \\ 2 & \textcolor{blue}{-1} & -5 \\ -1 & \textcolor{blue}{11} & 4 \end{vmatrix} = -18 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad x_2 = \frac{|A^{(2)}|}{|A|} = 3$$

$$|A^{(3)}| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & \textcolor{blue}{2} \\ 2 & 2 & \textcolor{blue}{-1} \\ -1 & 2 & \textcolor{blue}{11} \end{vmatrix} = -6 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad x_3 = \frac{|A^{(3)}|}{|A|} = 1.$$

The solution is $(-1, 3, 1)$.

The solution of non-regular linear systems will be discussed in the next lecture.