Euler's theorem.

- a) A multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail if and only if G is connected and all degrees in G are even.
- b) A multigraph G has a non-closed Eulerian trail if and only if G is connected and precisely two vertices of G have odd degree.

Putting these together:

c) A multigraph G has a Eulerian trail if and only if G is connected and G has 0 or 2 vertices of odd degree.

Complement. The proof of part b) will reveal that if a connected multigraph G has two vertices of odd degree, then one of them must be the first vertex and the other one must be the last vertex of any non-closed Eulerian trail.

Euler's theorem.

- a) A multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail if and only if G is connected and all degrees in G are even.
- b) A multigraph G has a non-closed Eulerian trail if and only if G is connected and precisely two vertices of G have odd degree.

Putting these together:

c) A multigraph G has a Eulerian trail if and only if G is connected and G has 0 or 2 vertices of odd degree.

Remark. A multigraph cannot have precisely 1 vertex of odd degree (since the sum of vertex degrees is always even), hence in statement c), the condition "G has 0 or 2 vertices of odd degree" can be rephrased as "G has at most 2 vertices of odd degree".

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} .



Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} .



u: start/end vertex

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. \checkmark



u: start/end vertex

Proof of a). \Longrightarrow direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \Longrightarrow G is connected. \checkmark **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \Longrightarrow Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark



u: start/end vertex

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \implies Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark In detail:

• If v is vertex different from start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :

v u

Walk through au, and the watch the neighborhood of v ...

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \implies Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark In detail:

• If v is vertex different from start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :



Walk through \mathcal{T} , and the watch the neighborhood of v ...

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \implies Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark In detail:

• If v is vertex different from start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :

(There is no edge repetition in T.)



Walk through \mathcal{T} , and the watch the neighborhood of v ...

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \implies Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark In detail:

• If v is vertex different from start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :

(There is no edge repetition in \mathcal{T} .)



Walk through au, and the watch the neighborhood of v ...

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \implies Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark In detail:

• If v is vertex different from start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :



Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \implies Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark In detail:

• If u is the start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :

 $\overset{u}{\circ}$

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \implies Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark In detail:

• If u is the start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :

d(u

– the first edge of ${\mathcal T}$

$$u) = 1 + 1$$

Proof of a). \Longrightarrow direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \Longrightarrow G is connected. \checkmark **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \Longrightarrow Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark

In detail:

• If u is the start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :

– the first edge of ${\mathcal T}$

- passing through u

 $d(u) = 1 + 2 \times (\mathsf{number of passes}) +$

Proof of a). \implies direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \implies G is connected. **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \implies Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark In detail:

In detail:

• If u is the start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :

– the first edge of ${\mathcal T}$

- passing through u

 $d(u) = 1 + 2 \times (\text{number of passes}) +$

Proof of a). \Longrightarrow direction, i.e. necessity of the conditions: Assume that the multigraph G has a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{T} . **1.** \mathcal{T} visits every vertex of G (by definition), so there exists a walk between any two vertices of G (consider the \mathcal{T} -segment between the two vertices, for example) \Longrightarrow G is connected. \checkmark **2.** When \mathcal{T} visits a vertex, then such a visitation contributes 2 to degree of the vertex (enters/leaves) \Longrightarrow Every vertex degree is even (this is also true for the start/end vertex u). \checkmark

In detail:

• If u is the start/end vertex of \mathcal{T} :

- the first edge of ${\mathcal T}$
- passing through u
- the last edge of ${\mathcal T}$



 $d(u) = 1 + 2 \times (\text{number of passes}) + 1 \quad \leftarrow \text{ even}$

Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even.



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.

Keep adding new edges without edge repetition until we stuck ...



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.

Keep adding new edges without edge repetition until we stuck ...



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.

Keep adding new edges without edge repetition until we stuck ...



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.

Keep adding new edges without edge repetition until we stuck ...



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.

Keep adding new edges without edge repetition until we stuck ...



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.

Keep adding new edges without edge repetition until we stuck ...



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.

Keep adding new edges without edge repetition until we stuck ...



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way. Since a trail in G can have at most |E(G)| edges, we will definitely stuck at some point (= all edges starting from the actual vertex have been already traversed).



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.

 \forall degree is even \implies We will stuck at the start vertex u.



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u_i in a greedy way.

 \forall degree is even \implies We will stuck at the start vertex u.

REASON: When we stand in a vertex s different from u, then we have passed through this vertex a few times already, and finally entered to that, and so the number of traversed (end segments of) edges around s is odd, so there must be a "free" edge around s which we can use to walk further.



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way. **2.** When we stuck, we obtain a <u>closed</u> trail \mathcal{V} in G.



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions:

Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even.

- **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way.
- **2.** When we stuck, we obtain a <u>closed</u> trail \mathcal{V} in G.
- 3. If this trail \mathcal{V} is Eulerian, then we are done. (Assume it is not.)



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: Assume that G is connected and every vertex degree is even. **1.** Build a trail from an arbitrary start vertex u, in a greedy way. **2.** When we stuck, we obtain a <u>closed</u> trail \mathcal{V} in G. **3.** If this trail \mathcal{V} is Eulerian, then we are done. (Assume it is not.) **4.** Let w be a vertex on \mathcal{V} , which is incident to at least one "black" edge (an edge not in \mathcal{V}), too. Since G is connected, such a vertex exists.



From an arbitrary vertex of \mathcal{V} walk to an arbitrary black edge (we can do this, as *G* is connected). Consider the first moment when the walk steps onto a black edge.











Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 5. Using the above greedy trail building process, build a trail starting from w in the multigraph formed by the BLACK (not yet traversed) edges.

This trail will again terminate at its start vertex w!



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 5. Using the above greedy trail building process, build a trail starting from w in the multigraph formed by the BLACK (not yet traversed) edges.

This trail will again terminate at its start vertex w!The point is that the black multigraph has only even degrees, too.



We have already seen that the greedy trail building process always leads to closed trail in a graph with even vertex degrees. (We did not use the connectivity of the graph. This is good news, as the black graph can be disconnected.)

Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 5. Using the above greedy trail building process, build a trail starting from w in the multigraph formed by the BLACK (not yet traversed) edges.

This trail will again terminate at its start vertex w!The point is that the black multigraph has only even degrees, too.



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 5. Using the above greedy trail building process, build a trail starting from w in the multigraph formed by the BLACK (not yet traversed) edges.

This trail will again terminate at its start vertex w!

6. The obtained closed trail \mathcal{W} can be inserted to \mathcal{V} at w (see the figure), resulting a longer closed trail \mathcal{V}' in G. (By the choice of w, the trail \mathcal{W} has at least one edge, so \mathcal{V}' is indeed larger.)



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 7. Now we reached to the same situation as before: there is a red closed trail in G (now we call it \mathcal{V}' , not \mathcal{V}). If the closed trail \mathcal{V}' is not yet Eulerian, then we can extend it in the way seen before; the reasoning is the same. And so on, we keep repeating these extension steps until we reach to a closed Eulerian trail (when every edge is traversed by the trail^{*}).



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 7. Now we reached to the same situation as before: there is a red closed trail in G (now we call it \mathcal{V}' , not \mathcal{V}). If the closed trail \mathcal{V}' is not yet Eulerian, then we can extend it in the way seen before; the reasoning is the same. And so on, we keep repeating these extension steps until we reach to a closed Eulerian trail (when every edge is traversed by the trail^{*}).



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 7. Now we reached to the same situation as before: there is a red closed trail in G (now we call it \mathcal{V}' , not \mathcal{V}). If the closed trail \mathcal{V}' is not yet Eulerian, then we can extend it in the way seen before; the reasoning is the same. And so on, we keep repeating these extension steps until we reach to a closed Eulerian trail (when every edge is traversed by the trail^{*}).



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 7. Now we reached to the same situation as before: there is a red closed trail in G (now we call it \mathcal{V}' , not \mathcal{V}). If the closed trail \mathcal{V}' is not yet Eulerian, then we can extend it in the way seen before; the reasoning is the same. And so on, we keep repeating these extension steps until we reach to a closed Eulerian trail (when every edge is traversed by the trail^{*}).



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 7. Now we reached to the same situation as before: there is a red closed trail in G (now we call it \mathcal{V}' , not \mathcal{V}). If the closed trail \mathcal{V}' is not yet Eulerian, then we can extend it in the way seen before; the reasoning is the same. And so on, we keep repeating these extension steps until we reach to a closed Eulerian trail (when every edge is traversed by the trail^{*}).



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 7. Now we reached to the same situation as before: there is a red closed trail in G (now we call it \mathcal{V}' , not \mathcal{V}). If the closed trail \mathcal{V}' is not yet Eulerian, then we can extend it in the way seen before; the reasoning is the same. And so on, we keep repeating these extension steps until we reach to a closed Eulerian trail (when every edge is traversed by the trail^{*}).



Proof of a). \Leftarrow direction, i.e. sufficiency of the conditions: 7. Now we reached to the same situation as before: there is a red closed trail in G (now we call it \mathcal{V}' , not \mathcal{V}). If the closed trail \mathcal{V}' is not yet Eulerian, then we can extend it in the way seen before; the reasoning is the same. And so on, we keep repeating these extension steps until we reach to a closed Eulerian trail (when every edge is traversed by the trail^{*}).



Remarks. 1. We underline that our proof provides an algorithm to find a closed Eulerian trail (if our multigraph has the required properties).

Remarks. 1. We underline that our proof provides an algorithm to find a closed Eulerian trail (if our multigraph has the required properties).

2. The start/end vertex of a <u>closed</u> Eulerian trail is in fact arbitrary: we can "translate" it to an other vertex without modifying the (circular) order of edges.



Remarks. 1. We underline that our proof provides an algorithm to find a closed Eulerian trail (if our multigraph has the required properties).

2. The start/end vertex of a <u>closed</u> Eulerian trail is in fact arbitrary: we can "translate" it to an other vertex without modifying the (circular) order of edges.



3. A multigraph can have many closed Eulerian trails (in fact, this is the common situation, when the graph satisfies the required properties).

b) G has a non-closed Eulerian trail \iff G is connected and it has precisely two vertices of odd degree.

Proof. The direction $,,\Longrightarrow$ is easy, it can be proved analogously to the case a): Here the investigation of degrees gives that the two different end vertices of the Eulerian trail must have odd degree in G, and all other vertices must have even degree.

b) G has a non-closed Eulerian trail \iff G is connected and it has precisely two vertices of odd degree.

Proof. The direction \longrightarrow is easy, it can be proved analogously to the case a): Here the investigation of degrees gives that the two different end vertices of the Eulerian trail must have odd degree in G, and all other vertices must have even degree. The direction " \Leftarrow " can be reduced to the statement a): Let u and v be the two vertices of odd degree in G. Add a new edge e between u and v. (If there is already an edge between uand v in G, then the new edge e will be a parallel edge, which is permitted in multigraphs.) The obtained multigraph G' will be obviously connected, and all of its vertices have even degree, so it contains a closed Eulerian trail \mathcal{V} , by statement a). After removing the new edge e from \mathcal{V} , the obtained trail will be a non-closed Eulerian trail of the original graph G.