

# Stochastic processes exercises

Péter Kevei

March 19, 2026

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Generated <math>\sigma</math>-algebra, measurability</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Conditional expectation</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Martingales</b>	<b>13</b>

# 1 Generated $\sigma$ -algebra, measurability

1.1. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{B}([0, 1]))$ . Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{A : A \text{ or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and give a generating system of it.

1.2. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \lambda)$ , with  $\lambda$  being the Lebesgue measure. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, [0, 1/2), [1/2, 1], [0, 1]\}$ . Determine the  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable random variables.

**Solution.** Assume that  $X$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable. Then for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , the inverse image  $X^{-1}(\{a\}) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus if  $X(\omega) = a$  for some  $\omega \in [0, 1/2)$ , then  $X^{-1}(\{a\}) = [0, 1/2)$  or  $[0, 1]$ . Indeed, only these two sets in  $\mathcal{F}$  contain a point from  $[0, 1/2)$ . Therefore,  $X \equiv a$  on  $[0, 1/2)$ . Similarly,  $X$  is constant on  $[1/2, 1]$ . Summarizing, a random variable is measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{F}$  iff  $X(\omega) = aI_{[0,1/2)}(\omega) + bI_{[1/2,1]}(\omega)$ , for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

1.3. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ , where  $B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{A}$  are disjoint, and  $\cup_{i=1}^n B_i = \Omega$ . Show that

$$\mathcal{G} = \{\cup_{j \in J} B_j : J \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}.$$

In particular  $|\mathcal{G}| = 2^n$ .

1.4. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. What is the structure of a finitely generated  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ , with  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ ? Characterize the random variables that are measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{G}$ .

1.5. What is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by a constant random variable? More generally, what is  $\sigma(X)$  if  $X$  is discrete random variable?

**Solution.** Assume that  $Y(\omega) \equiv a$ . Then for any Borel set  $B$ ,  $Y^{-1}(B) = \emptyset$  or  $\Omega$ , depending on whether  $a \in B$  or  $a \notin B$ . Thus  $\sigma(Y) = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ , the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Let  $Y$  be a discrete random variable with values  $y_1, y_2, \dots$  (finitely many, or countably infinite). Put  $A_i = Y^{-1}(\{y_i\})$ . Then  $\cup_i A_i = \Omega$ , and  $A_i$ 's are disjoint. Thus  $A_i \in \sigma(Y)$ , therefore

$$\sigma(A_1, A_2, \dots) \subset \sigma(Y).$$

Let now  $B$  any Borel set, and  $j_1, j_2, \dots$  those indices for which  $y_{j_i} \in B$ . Then

$$Y^{-1}(B) = Y^{-1}(\{y_{j_1}, y_{j_2}, \dots\}) = \cup_i Y^{-1}(\{y_{j_i}\}) = \cup_i A_{j_i},$$

thus  $\sigma(Y) \subset \sigma(A_1, A_2, \dots)$ . Summarizing, we obtained that

$$\sigma(Y) = \sigma(A_1, A_2, \dots).$$

**1.6.** Toss a fair coin 3 times. Let  $X$  denote the number of heads, and let  $I_i = 1$  if the  $i$ th toss is head, 0 otherwise,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Determine the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\sigma(X)$ ,  $\sigma(I_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\sigma(I_1, I_2)$ ,  $\sigma(I_1, I_2, I_3)$ .

## 2 Conditional expectation

**2.1.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \lambda)$ , with  $\lambda$  being the Lebesgue measure. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\emptyset, [0, 1/2), [1/2, 1], [0, 1]\}$ . Calculate  $\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}]$ .

**Solution.** Since  $\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}]$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable, therefore  $= aI_{[0,1/2)}(\omega) + bI_{[1/2,1]}(\omega)$ . We have to determine  $a, b$ . By definition

$$\int_F X d\mathbf{P} = \int_F \mathbf{E}(X|\mathcal{F}) d\mathbf{P},$$

for all  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . Clearly, it is enough to check for the sets  $[0, 1/2)$  and  $[1/2, 1]$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1/2)} X d\mathbf{P} &= \int_{[0,1/2)} (aI_{[0,1/2)}(\omega) + bI_{[1/2,1]}(\omega)) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \frac{a}{2}, \\ \int_{[1/2,1]} X d\mathbf{P} &= \int_{[1/2,1]} (aI_{[0,1/2)}(\omega) + bI_{[1/2,1]}(\omega)) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \frac{b}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$a = 2 \int_{[0,1/2)} X d\mathbf{P}, \quad b = 2 \int_{[1/2,1]} X d\mathbf{P}.$$

**2.2.** Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  be a countable partition of  $\Omega$ , i.e. they are disjoint, and the union is  $\Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(B_1, B_2, \dots)$ . Determine  $\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ .

**2.3.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P}) = ([-1, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[-1,1]}, \lambda/2)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure. Consider the random variable  $X(x) = x^2$ . What  $\sigma$ -algebra does  $X$  generate? Determine the conditional probability  $\mathbf{P}(A|X)$  and conditional expectation  $\mathbf{E}[Y|X]$ , where  $A$  is an event and  $Y$  is an integrable random variable. Solve the problem also for the variables  $X_2(x) = |x|$  and  $X_3(x) = x^6$ ! (Is there any difference?)

**Solution.** The function  $x^2$  is even, meaning it does not distinguish between  $x$  and  $-x$ . From this, we can intuitively expect the result: the  $\sigma(X)$  consists of sets  $A$  that can be written in the form  $B \cup (-B)$ , where  $B$  is any Borel set in  $[0, 1]$ , and  $-B = \{-x : x \in B\}$ . Formally,

$$\sigma(X) = \{B \cup (-B) : B \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])\}.$$

Indeed, on one hand, if  $C$  is an arbitrary Borel set in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$X^{-1}(C) = X^{-1}(C \cap [0, 1]) = \sqrt{(C \cap [0, 1])} \cup \left(-\sqrt{(C \cap [0, 1])}\right),$$

and  $\sqrt{(C \cap [0, 1])} \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ , since the function  $X(x) = x^2$  is measurable,  $\sqrt{A} = \{\sqrt{a} : a \in A\}$ . On the other hand, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ ,

$$X^{-1}(B^2) = B \cup (-B),$$

proving the equality.

Now, we determine the conditional expectations with respect to this  $\sigma$ -algebra. By definition,

$$\mathbf{P}(A|X) = \mathbf{E}[I_A|X] = \mathbf{E}[I_A|\sigma(X)].$$

The information from  $\sigma(X)$  tells us that for each  $x \in [-1, 1]$ , we can determine whether  $\{x, -x\}$  has occurred or not. Based on this: (i) If  $x$  is such that  $x \notin A$  and  $-x \notin A$ , then we are sure that  $A$  has not occurred, so for such  $x$ , we have  $\mathbf{P}(A|X)(x) = 0$ . (ii) If  $x \in A$  and  $-x \in A$ , then we are certain that  $A$  has occurred, thus  $\mathbf{P}(A|X)(x) = 1$ . (iii) Finally, if  $x \in A$  but  $-x \notin A$ , or vice versa, then we only know that one of  $\{-x, x\}$  has occurred. In one case,  $A$  has occurred; in the other, it has not. Summarizing, the natural guess

$$\mathbf{P}(A|X)(x) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Formally,

$$\mathbf{P}(A|X)(x) = \frac{I_A(x) + I_{-A}(x)}{2}.$$

It is easy to see that the variable on the right-hand side is measurable with respect to  $\sigma(X)$ , since it takes values in  $\{0, 1/2, 1\}$ , and the corresponding inverse sets are symmetric about the origin.

Let  $B \in \sigma(X)$ . We need to show that

$$\int_B I_A(x) d\mathbf{P}(x) = \int_B \frac{I_A(x) + I_{-A}(x)}{2} d\mathbf{P}(x).$$

The left-hand side equals  $\mathbf{P}(A \cap B)$ , while the right-hand side equals

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}(A \cap B) + \mathbf{P}(-A \cap B)}{2},$$

which, due to the symmetry of  $B$ , equals  $\mathbf{P}(A \cap B)$ .

Similarly,

$$\mathbf{E}[Y|X] = \frac{Y + \tilde{Y}}{2},$$

where

$$\tilde{Y}(\omega) = Y(-\omega).$$

The measurability and integral properties can be justified in the same way as in the case of  $Y = I_A$ .

For the random variables  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ , there is no difference, since

$$\sigma(X) = \sigma(X_2) = \sigma(X_3).$$

**2.4.** Let  $(X, Y)$  be a jointly continuous random vector with density  $h$ . Put  $f_X, f_Y$  for the marginal densities, and  $f_{X|Y}(x|y)$  for the conditional density. Show that

$$\mathbf{E}[X|Y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x f_{X|Y}(x|Y) dx.$$

**2.5.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$  be a probability space, and  $X, Y$  random variables. Show that  $X \leq Y$  a.s. if and only if for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\int_A X d\mathbf{P} \leq \int_A Y d\mathbf{P}.$$

**2.6.** Let  $X, Y$  be independent, identically distributed. Determine  $\mathbf{E}[X|X + Y]$ . (First guess, then prove!)

**Solution.** The natural guess is

$$\mathbf{E}[X|X + Y] = \frac{X + Y}{2}.$$

This is  $\sigma(X + Y)$ -measurable. We have to show that for each  $F \in \sigma(X + Y)$

$$\int_F X d\mathbf{P} = \int_F \frac{X + Y}{2} d\mathbf{P}.$$

It is enough to check for sets of the form  $F = \{X + Y \leq z\}$ , since this is a generating  $\pi$ -system. We have to prove

$$\int_{\{X+Y \leq z\}} X d\mathbf{P} = \int_{\{X+Y \leq z\}} Y d\mathbf{P}. \quad (\text{F})$$

Using the transformation theorem, and that  $X$  and  $Y$  are iid

$$\int_{\{X+Y \leq z\}} X d\mathbf{P} = \iint_{S_z} x dF(x) dF(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x F(z - x) dF(x),$$

where  $S_z = \{(x, y) : x + y \leq z\}$ . The latter equals the RHS of (F).

**2.7.** Let  $X, Y$  be iid random variables, with a continuous distribution function  $F$ . Put  $M = \max\{X, Y\}$ . Determine  $\mathbf{P}(X \leq x|M)$ . (Guess and prove.)

**Solution.** We know the maximum  $M = m$ . If  $x \geq m$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(X \leq x|M = m) = 1$ , since  $X \leq M = m$ . If  $x < m$ , then  $M$  is either  $X$  or  $Y$  with equal probability. If  $X = M$ , then  $\{X \leq x\}$  does not occur. While, if  $Y = M$ , then we already know that  $X \leq m$ , so  $\mathbf{P}(X \leq x|X \leq m) = F(x)/F(m)$ . Summarizing,

$$\mathbf{P}(X \leq x|M)(\omega) = I_{\{x \geq M(\omega)\}}(\omega) + I_{\{x < M\}}(\omega) \frac{1}{2} \frac{F(x)}{F(M(\omega))}.$$

We show that this indeed holds.

Clearly, the RHS, a function of  $M$ , is  $\sigma(M)$ -measurable. We need to prove that for all  $A \in \sigma(M)$

$$\mathbf{P}(A \cap \{X \leq x\}) = \int_A \left( I_{\{x \geq M(\omega)\}}(\omega) + I_{\{x < M\}}(\omega) \frac{1}{2} \frac{F(x)}{F(M(\omega))} \right) d\mathbf{P}(\omega).$$

Furthermore, it is enough to check on a generating  $\pi$ -system, so we may assume that  $A = \{M \leq m\}$ . On the one hand

$$\int_{\{M \leq m\}} I_{\{x \geq M(\omega)\}}(\omega) d\mathbf{P}(\omega) = \mathbf{P}(M \leq m \wedge x).$$

For  $m < x$  the second term is  $= 0$ , otherwise

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{M \leq m\}} \frac{I_{\{x < M\}}(\omega)}{F(M(\omega))} d\mathbf{P}(\omega) &= \iint \frac{I_{\{u \vee v \leq m\}}(u, v) I_{\{x < u \vee v\}}(u, v)}{F(u \vee v)} dF(u) dF(v) \\ &= 2 \int_x^m \left[ \int_{-\infty}^v \frac{1}{F(v)} dF(u) \right] dF(v) \\ &= 2(F(m) - F(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the RHS

$$= \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(M \leq m) = F(m)^2, & \text{if } m < x, \\ \mathbf{P}(M \leq x) + F(x)(F(m) - F(x)) = F(m)F(x), & \text{if } m \geq x. \end{cases}$$

The LHS

$$\mathbf{P}(\{M \leq m\} \cap \{X \leq x\}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{P}(M \leq m) = F(m)^2, & \text{if } m < x, \\ \mathbf{P}(Y \leq m, X \leq x) = F(m)F(x), & \text{if } m \geq x, \end{cases}$$

proving the statement.

**2.8.** Let  $N$  be a Poisson random variable with parameter  $\lambda$ . Throw a dice  $N$  times, and let  $X$  denote the number of 6-es. Determine the distribution of  $X$ . Determine the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $N = n$ .

**2.9.** Let  $X, Y$  iid nonnegative random variables, with continuous distribution function  $F$ , and let  $Z := \max\{X, Y\}$ . Determine  $\mathbf{P}(X + Y \leq x | Z)$ .

**2.10.** Let  $X$  be  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable,  $Y$  independent of  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $h : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  Borel-measurable. Show that

$$\mathbf{E}[h(X, Y) | \mathcal{G}] = \int h(X, y) dG(y),$$

where  $G(y) = \mathbf{P}(Y \leq y)$ . In particular, if  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent, then

$$\mathbf{E}[h(X, Y) | X = x] = \mathbf{E}h(x, Y).$$

(Prove first for function of the form  $h(x, y) = I_A(x) \cdot I_B(y)$ .)

**2.11.** Let  $X, Y$  be random variables on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$ , and let  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $X$  is independent of  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable. Show that for any Borel set  $A$  a.s.

$$\mathbf{P}(X + Y \in A | \mathcal{G}) = \mathbf{P}(X + Y \in A | Y),$$

and

$$\mathbf{P}(X + Y \in A | Y = y) = \mathbf{P}(X + y \in A), \quad \mathbf{P}Y^{-1} - \text{a.s.}$$

**2.12.** Let  $(U, X)$  and  $Y$  be independent, where  $U, X, Y$  are integrable random variables. Show that  $\mathbf{E}[U|X, Y] = \mathbf{E}[U|X]$ .

**Solution.** As  $\mathbf{E}[U|X]$  is  $\sigma(X, Y)$ -measurable, we have to show that for any  $F \in \sigma(X, Y)$

$$\int_F \mathbf{E}[U|X] d\mathbf{P} = \int_F U d\mathbf{P}.$$

We may assume that  $F = I(X \in A, Y \in B)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F \mathbf{E}[U|X] d\mathbf{P} &= \int_{\Omega} I(X \in A) \mathbf{E}[U|X] I(Y \in B) d\mathbf{P} \\ &= \int_{\Omega} I(X \in A) \mathbf{E}[U|X] d\mathbf{P} \int_{\Omega} I(Y \in B) d\mathbf{P} \quad (\text{independence}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} I(X \in A) U d\mathbf{P} \int_{\Omega} I(Y \in B) d\mathbf{P} \quad (\text{conditional expectation}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} I(X \in A) U I(Y \in B) d\mathbf{P} \quad (\text{independence}) \\ &= \int_F U d\mathbf{P}. \end{aligned}$$

**2.13.** Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be finite measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A})$  such that  $\mu(\Omega) = \nu(\Omega)$ . Show that

$$\mathcal{L} = \{A : \mu(A) = \nu(A)\}$$

is  $\lambda$ -system.

**2.14.** Let  $Z$  be a standard normal random variable, and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Calculate  $\mathbf{E}[Z | \min\{Z, t\}]$ .

**2.15.** Define the conditional variance of  $X$  as

$$\mathbf{Var}(X | \mathcal{G}) = \mathbf{E}[X^2 | \mathcal{G}] - (\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{G}])^2.$$

Prove that

$$\mathbf{Var}(X) = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{Var}(X | \mathcal{G}) + \mathbf{Var}(\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{G}]).$$

**2.16.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P}) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}, \lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure, and let  $\mathcal{G}$  the collection of those sets which are countable or co-countable, i.e.  $\mathcal{G} = \{A \subset [0, 1] : A \text{ or } A^c \text{ is countable}\}$ . Show that  $\mathbf{P}(A|\mathcal{G}) = \mathbf{P}(A)$ ! (This goes against the intuition, as  $\{x\} \in \mathcal{G}$  for each  $x$ , that is we can decide on each single  $x$ , whether it happened or not.)

**2.17.** Let  $g$  be the density of  $Y$ ,  $f$  the density of  $X$ . Prove that

$$g(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y|x)f(x) dx.$$

**2.18.** Let  $(X, Y)$  be a uniform random variable in the unit disc. Determine the conditional distribution of  $Y$  given  $X = x$ ! Calculate  $\mathbf{E}[Y^2|X = x]$ !

**2.19.** Let  $X, Y, Z$  be independent exponentials with parameters  $\lambda, \mu$ , and  $\nu$ . Calculate the probabilities  $\mathbf{P}(X > Y)$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(X > Y > Z)$ .

**2.20.** Let  $X, Y$  be independent random variables following an exponential distribution with parameter 1. Let  $S = X + Y$  denote their sum. Determine the conditional distribution of  $X$  given  $S$ .

Provide the conditional density function and identify the distribution! Conversely, determine the conditional distribution of  $S$  given  $X$ , provide its density function, and identify the distribution.

Compute the conditional expectations

$$\mathbf{E}[X^k|S = s], \quad \mathbf{E}[S^k|X = x], \quad k = 1, 2.$$

**2.21.** Let  $X, X_1, \dots, X_{n+1}$  iid  $\text{Exp}(1)$  random variables. Let  $S_k = X_1 + \dots + X_k$ . Determine the distribution of  $(S_1, \dots, S_n)$  conditioned on  $S_{n+1}$ .

As a consequence, show that

$$\left( \frac{S_1}{S_{n+1}}, \dots, \frac{S_n}{S_{n+1}} \right) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{=} (U_{1,n}, \dots, U_{n,n}),$$

where  $0 \leq U_{1,n} \leq \dots \leq U_{n,n}$  is the order statistic of  $n$  iid  $\text{Uniform}(0, 1)$  random variables.

**Solution.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$  be the matrix for which  $A(X_1, \dots, X_{n+1})^\top = (S_1, \dots, S_{n+1})^\top$ . Clearly,  $A$  is a lower-diagonal matrix with all nonzero ele-

ments equal to 1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}((S_1, \dots, S_{n+1})^\top \in F) &= \mathbf{P}((X_1, \dots, X_{n+1})^\top \in A^{-1}F) \\ &= \int_{A^{-1}F} e^{-(y_1 + \dots + y_{n+1})} d\mathbf{y} \\ &= \int_F e^{-u_{n+1}} I(0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_{n+1}) d\mathbf{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the joint density of  $(S_1, \dots, S_{n+1})$  is

$$h_{n+1}(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}) = e^{-u_{n+1}} I(0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_{n+1}).$$

Thus the marginal density of  $S_{n+1}$

$$f_{n+1}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h_{n+1}(u_1, \dots, u_n, u) d\mathbf{u} = \frac{u^n}{n!} e^{-u}.$$

(We already knew, that it is a Gamma( $n, 1$ ).) Thus the conditional density

$$\begin{aligned} &h_{(S_1, \dots, S_n) | S_{n+1}}(u_1, \dots, u_n | u_{n+1}) \\ &= \frac{h_{n+1}(u_1, \dots, u_{n+1})}{f_{n+1}(u_{n+1})} = I(u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_n) \frac{n!}{u_{n+1}^n}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the uniform distribution on the simplex  $(u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_n \leq u_{n+1})$ .

**2.22.** Let  $X, Y$  be independent exponential random variables with parameter 1. Let  $M = \max\{X, Y\}$  and  $S = X + Y$ . Determine the conditional density function of  $S$  given  $M$ , and of  $M$  given  $S$ .

**Solution.** Clearly,  $S \geq M \geq S/2 \geq 0$ . Let  $m, s$  such that  $0 < s/2 < m < s$ . Introduce the notation

$$T_{s,m} = \{(u, v) : 0 \leq u, v \leq m, u + v \leq s\}.$$

By symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(S \leq s, M \leq m) &= \iint_{T_{s,m}} e^{-u} e^{-v} du dv \\ &= 2 \left[ \int_0^{s/2} \int_0^u e^{-u-v} dv du + \int_{s/2}^m \int_0^{s-u} e^{-u-v} dv du \right] \\ &= 1 - 2e^{-m} + e^{-s} + (2m - s)e^{-s}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating, we obtain that the joint density

$$f_{S,M}(s, m) = \begin{cases} 2e^{-s}, & \text{if } 0 < s/2 < m < s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From here we obtain the marginals, as

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(s) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{S,M}(s, m) dm = \int_{s/2}^s 2e^{-s} dm = se^{-s}, \quad s > 0, \\ f_M(m) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{S,M}(s, m) ds = \int_m^{2m} 2e^{-s} ds = 2(e^{-m} - e^{-2m}). \end{aligned}$$

The conditional densities

$$\begin{aligned} g_{S|M}(s|m) &= \frac{f_{S,M}(s, m)}{f_M(m)} = \frac{e^{-s}}{e^{-m} - e^{-2m}}, \quad \text{if } m \leq s \leq 2m, \\ g_{M|S}(m|s) &= \frac{f_{S,M}(s, m)}{f_S(s)} = \frac{2}{s}, \quad \text{if } s/2 \leq m \leq s. \end{aligned}$$

That is, given the sum  $S$ , the maximum is uniform on  $(S/2, S)$ .

We can also determine the conditional expectations

$$\mathbf{E}[S|M = m] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} sg_{S|M}(s|m) ds = m + 1 - \frac{m}{e^m - 1}.$$

**2.23.** Let  $X, Y$  be iid standard exponentials (i.e. with parameter 1). Put  $U = X \wedge Y$ ,  $V = X \vee Y$ . Determine and recognise the conditional densities  $g_{U|V}(u|v)$ ,  $g_{V|U}(v|u)$ .

**2.24.** Let  $X, Y$  be iid random variables with density  $f$ . Put  $U = X \wedge Y$ ,  $V = X \vee Y$ . Determine the conditional densities  $g_{U|V}(u|v)$ ,  $g_{V|U}(v|u)$ .

**Solution.** As  $U \leq V$ ,  $f_{U,V}(u, v)$  is 0, for  $v < u$ . If  $v \geq u$ , then

$$\mathbf{P}(U \leq u, V \leq v) = F(u)(2F(v) - F(u)),$$

where  $F$  is the common distribution function. Thus

$$f_{U,V}(u, v) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial v} \mathbf{P}(U \leq u, V \leq v) = 2f(u)f(v), \quad u \leq v.$$

The marginals

$$\begin{aligned}f_U(u) &= 2[1 - F(u)]f(u), \\f_V(v) &= 2F(v)f(v).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the conditional densities

$$\begin{aligned}g_{V|U}(v|u) &= \frac{f_{U,V}(u,v)}{f_U(u)} = \frac{f(v)}{1 - F(u)}, \quad v \geq u, \\g_{U|V}(u|v) &= \frac{f_{U,V}(u,v)}{f_V(v)} = \frac{f(u)}{F(v)}, \quad u \leq v.\end{aligned}$$

**2.25** (Chebyshev's inequality). Prove that

$$\mathbf{P}(|X| \geq x|\mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{\mathbf{E}[X^2|\mathcal{G}]}{x^2}.$$

**2.26.** From the uniform distribution on  $(0, 1)$  choose a random value  $p$ . Consider a biased coin, where the probability of heads is  $p$ . Let us toss this coin until the first heads, and let  $X$  denote the number of tosses. Determine the distribution of  $X$  and  $\mathbf{E}(X)$ .

**2.27.** Let  $X$  be uniform on  $(0, 1)$  and given  $X = x$  let  $Y$  be uniform on  $(0, x)$ . Determine the joint distribution  $(X, Y)$ , the marginals, expectation, and the covariance matrix!

**2.28.** Let  $\lambda$  be a uniform on  $(0, 1)$ , and given  $\lambda = \lambda_0$  let  $X$  be  $\text{Exp}(\lambda_0)$ . Calculate the distribution function of  $X$ .

**2.29.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid nonnegative random variables with finite mean. Fix  $A > 0$  such that  $\alpha = \mathbf{P}(X > A) > 0$ , and put  $N = \min\{k : X_k > A\}$ .

- (a) Determine the distribution of  $N$ .
- (b) Show that  $\mathbf{E}X_N = \alpha^{-1} \int_{(A, \infty)} x dF(x)$ .
- (c) Show that if  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$  then  $\mathbf{E}X_N = A + \lambda^{-1}$ .
- (d) Prove that  $N$  and  $X_N$  are independent.

**2.30.** Let  $N$  be  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  and  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Given  $N$  let  $X \sim \text{Binom}(N, p)$ . Show that  $X$  and  $N - X$  are independent, and  $X$  has Poisson distribution with parameter  $p\lambda$ .

**2.31.** Let  $N$  be a nonnegative integer-valued random variable and  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Given  $N$  let  $X \sim \text{Binom}(N, p)$ . Assume that  $X$  and  $N - X$  are independent. Show that  $N$  has Poisson distribution.

**Solution.** Put  $Y = N - X$ . Given  $N = n$

$$\mathbf{E}[s^X t^Y | N = n] = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} s^k t^{n-k} = (sp + t(1-p))^n.$$

Let  $f(s) = \mathbf{E}s^N$ . Then

$$\mathbf{E}(s^X t^Y) = \mathbf{E}((sp + t(1-p))^N) = f(sp + t(1-p)).$$

Since  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent

$$\mathbf{E}(s^X t^Y) = \mathbf{E}(s^X) \mathbf{E}(t^Y) = f(sp + 1 - p) f(p + t(1-p)).$$

Thus we obtain the functional equation

$$f(sp + t(1-p)) = f(sp + 1 - p) f(p + t(1-p)).$$

Putting  $g(s) = \log f(s)$ ,

$$g(sp + t(1-p)) = g(sp + 1 - p) + g(p + t(1-p)).$$

Differentiate with respect to  $s$

$$g'(sp + t(1-p)) = g'(sp + 1 - p).$$

Since RHS does not depend on  $t$  we see that  $g$  has to be linear, that is for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$g(s) = as + b.$$

Thus  $f(s) = e^{as+b}$ . Then  $f(1) = 1$  implies that  $a + b = 0$ , and the monotonicity of  $f$  implies that  $a = \lambda > 0$ . Therefore  $f(s) = e^{\lambda(s-1)}$ , which is the generating function of the Poisson distribution.

**2.32.** Let  $N$  be  $\text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  and  $A \in [0, 1]$ , such that  $N$  and  $A$  are independent. Given  $(N, A)$  let  $X \sim \text{Binom}(N, A)$ . Let  $Y = N - X$ . Show that  $\mathbf{Cov}(X, Y) = -\lambda^2 \mathbf{Var}(A)$ .

(Combined with exercise 2.30 this means that  $X$  and  $Y$  are independent iff  $A$  is deterministic.)

**Solution.** By the tower rule

$$\mathbf{E}X = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}[X|A]) = \mathbf{E}(\lambda A) = \lambda \mathbf{E}A.$$

Using Exercise 2.30  $\mathcal{L}(X|A) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda A)$ . By the conditional variance formula (Exercise 2.15)

$$\mathbf{Var}(X) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Var}(X|A)) + \mathbf{Var}(E[X|A]) = \lambda \mathbf{E}(A) + \lambda^2 \mathbf{Var}(A),$$

and similarly  $\mathcal{L}(Y|A) \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda(1 - A))$ , and

$$\mathbf{Var}(Y) = \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{Var}(Y|A)) + \mathbf{Var}(E[Y|A]) = \lambda(1 - \mathbf{E}(A)) + \lambda^2 \mathbf{Var}(A).$$

Finally,

$$\mathbf{Var}(N) = \mathbf{Cov}(X + Y, X + Y) = \mathbf{Var}(X) + \mathbf{Var}(Y) + 2\mathbf{Cov}(X, Y),$$

thus

$$\mathbf{Cov}(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{Var}(N) - \mathbf{Var}(X) - \mathbf{Var}(Y)) = -\lambda^2 \mathbf{Var}(A).$$

### 3 Martingales

**3.1.** Let  $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  independent, identically distributed random variables with  $\mathbf{E}\xi = 0$ . Show that  $X_n = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n$  is martingale with respect to the natural filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(\xi_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ .

**Solution.** Clearly  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$  measurable. By the assumption  $\mathbf{E}|\xi| < \infty$ , thus  $\mathbf{E}|X_n| \leq n\mathbf{E}|\xi| < \infty$ . Finally, as  $\xi_{n+1}$  is independent of  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbf{E}[X_n + \xi_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n + \mathbf{E}[\xi_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n.$$

**3.2.** Let  $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  iid random variables, such that  $\mathbf{P}(\xi = 2) = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\mathbf{P}(\xi = \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{2}{3}$ . Let  $\tau = \min\{k > 0 : X_k = 2\}$ . Show that  $X_n = \xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_n$  is a martingale and  $\tau$  is a stopping time.

**3.3.** Let  $Y, Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  iid nonnegative random variables with  $\mathbf{E}Y = 1$ . Show that  $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is a martingale, with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(Y_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ .

**3.4.** Let  $Y, Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  be iid random variables, such that  $\mathbf{P}(Y = 1/2) = \mathbf{P}(Y = 3/2) = 1/2$ . Then  $X_n = \prod_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is a martingale. Show that  $X_n \rightarrow 0$  a.s.

This is an example that  $\mathbf{E}X_\infty \neq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}X_n$ .

**3.5. Pólya urn.** An urn contains a red and a green ball. Each time we draw a ball randomly with replacement, and additionally add 1 ball of the same color. Let  $X_n$  denote the fraction of green balls after the  $n$ th draw. Show that  $X_n$  is a martingale, and determine the distributions of  $\lim X_n$ .

**3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be an arbitrary filtration in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $X$  an integrable random variable. Show that  $X_n = \mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n]$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

**Solution.** Measurability and integrability are clear. Using the tower rule

$$\mathbf{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_{n+1}]|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n.$$

**3.7.** Let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be *any* sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras and let  $X$  be an integrable random variable. Show that the sequence

$$X_n = \mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n],$$

is uniformly integrable.

**3.8.** Let  $(X_n)$  be a submartingale, such that  $\mathbf{E}X_n = \mathbf{E}X_0$ . Show that  $(X_n)$  is a martingale!

**3.9.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  iid random variables with  $\mathbf{E}X \geq 0$ . Show that  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is a submartingale, and determine its Doob decomposition.

**3.10.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid random variables with  $\mathbf{E}X = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{E}X^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Show that  $S_n^2 = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)^2$  is a submartingale, and determine its Doob decomposition.

**3.11.** Let  $(X_n)$  be a square integrable martingale and  $(H_n)$  a predictable sequence. Let  $(A_n)$  be the increasing process of  $X$ . Determine the increasing process of  $(H \cdot X)$  (in terms of  $H$  and  $A$ ).

**3.12 (Records.)** Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  be iid continuous random variables. A record occurs at time  $n$  if  $\xi_n > \max\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}\}$ . Let  $R_n$  denote the number of records until time  $n$ . Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{R_n}{\log n} = 1 \quad \text{a.s.}$$

(Use the strong second BC lemma.)

**3.13.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  iid random signs with  $\mathbf{P}\{X = \pm 1\} = 1/2$ . Then  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is the simple symmetric random walk. Show that  $\tau = \min_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\{S_n = 1\}$  is a stopping time. By Pólya's theorem  $\mathbf{P}(\tau < \infty) = 1$ . Show that  $\mathbf{E}\tau = \infty$ .

**Hint.** Use Wald's identity, and realize that you cannot use it!

**3.14. Gambler's ruin**  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid random variables such that  $\mathbf{P}(X = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ , and put  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and let

$$\tau = \tau_{a,b} = \inf\{n : S_n \geq b \text{ or } S_n \leq -a\}.$$

Show that  $\tau$  is a stopping time, and  $\tau < \infty$  a.s. Determine the probabilities  $\mathbf{P}(S_\tau = b), \mathbf{P}(S_\tau = -a)$ . Calculate  $\mathbf{E}\tau$ .

**3.15.** Let  $X, X_1, X_2, \dots$  be iid random variables such that  $\mathbf{P}(X = 1) = p = 1 - \mathbf{P}(X = -1), p \neq \frac{1}{2}$ , and put  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  and let

$$\tau = \tau_{a,b}(p) = \inf\{n : S_n \geq b \text{ or } S_n \leq -a\},$$

Show that

$$Z_n = s^{S_n} = \prod_{k=1}^n s^{X_k}$$

is a martingale, where  $s = (1 - p)/p = 1/r$ . Determine the probabilities  $\mathbf{P}(S_\tau = b), \mathbf{P}(S_\tau = -a)$ . Calculate  $\mathbf{E}\tau$ .

**3.16.** Let  $X, X_1, \dots$  be iid random variables such that  $\mathbf{P}(X = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ , and let  $S_n$  denote its partial sum. Let  $\tau = \min\{k : |S_k| \geq a\}, a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Find constants such that  $M_n = S_n^4 - 6nS_n^2 + bn^2 + cn$  is a martingale! Compute  $\mathbf{E}\tau^2$ .

**3.17.** Let  $X, X_1, \dots$  be iid random variables such that  $\mathbf{P}(X = 1) = p = 1 - \mathbf{P}(X = -1), p \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , and let  $S_n$  denote its partial sum. Show that  $M_n = e^{\theta S_n - n\psi(\theta)}$  is a martingale, where  $\psi(\theta) = \log \mathbf{E}e^{\theta X} = \log \varphi(\theta)$ . Let  $\tau_1 = \min\{n : S_n = 1\}$ . Show that  $\mathbf{E}\varphi(\theta)^{-\tau_1} = e^{-\theta}$ . As a consequence

$$\mathbf{E}(s^{\tau_1}) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4pqs^2}}{2qs}.$$

**3.18.** Let  $S_n$  be a simple symmetric random walk, and  $\tau_1 = \min\{n : S_n = 1\}$ . Show that  $\mathbf{E}\frac{1}{\tau_1} = \frac{\pi}{2} - 1$ .

(Equivalently, in a coin-tossing terminology, if  $Y$  denotes the ratio of heads at the first time when the number of heads exceeds the number of tails, then  $\mathbf{E}(Y) = \frac{\pi}{4}$ . Indeed,  $Y = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2\tau_1}$ .)

**Solution.** Note that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\mathbf{E}s^{\tau_1}}{s} ds = \mathbf{E} \left( \int_0^1 s^{\tau_1-1} ds \right) = \mathbf{E}\frac{1}{\tau_1}.$$

By the previous exercise, the generating function of  $\tau_1$  is

$$\mathbf{E}(s^{\tau_1}) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s},$$

thus, we just have to evaluate an integral. This can be done as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\frac{1}{\tau_1} &= \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - s^2}}{s^2} ds = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1 + \sqrt{1 - s^2}} ds \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\cos x}{1 + \cos x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{1 + \cos x} dx \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} - \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{2(\cos x/2)^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} - [\tan x]_0^{\pi/4} = \frac{\pi}{2} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

**3.19.** Let  $\xi, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots$  iid random variables, such that  $\mathbf{P}(\xi = 0) = \mathbf{P}(\xi = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Fix the pattern  $A = 01001$ , and let  $\tau$  denote the first time when the pattern appears. The aim is to determine  $\mathbf{E}\tau$ .

Assume that a new gambler arrives before each time  $n$ , and bets 1 ducat that  $\xi_n = 0$ . If he loses, he leaves the game. If he wins he gets 2 ducats, and continues to play. He bets the whole amount on that  $\xi_{n+1} = 1$ . In each time the gambler either loses all his money and leaves, or doubles his fortune and continues to bet on the next character of the pattern  $A$ . If the gambler is lucky and finishes the pattern  $A$  he leaves with  $2^5 = 32$  ducats. Let  $X_n$  denote the total amount of money collected by the casino from all gamblers up to, and including time  $n$ . Show that  $X_n$  is a martingale. Calculate  $X_\tau$ . Use the optimal stopping theorem to determine  $\mathbf{E}\tau$ .

**3.20.** Let  $\{X_n : 0 \leq n \leq N\}$  be an adapted process. Show that  $(X_n)$  is a martingale iff  $\mathbf{E}X_\tau = \mathbf{E}X_0$  for all stopping time  $\tau$ .

**3.21.** Let  $\tau$  be a stopping time for  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$ . Show that  $\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_\tau]$  on  $\{\tau = n\}$ .

**Solution.** We have to show that

$$\mathbf{E}[I(\tau = n)X|\mathcal{F}_\tau] = I(\tau = n)\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n].$$

First we show that the RHS is  $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ -measurable. Indeed, for any  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\{I(\tau = n)\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n] \in A\} = \begin{cases} \{\tau = n\} \cap \{\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n] \in A\}, & 0 \notin A, \\ (\{\tau = n\} \cap \{\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n] \in A\}) \cup \{\tau \neq n\}, & 0 \in A. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for any  $m = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\{I(\tau = n)\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n] \in A\} \cap \{\tau \leq m\} \in \mathcal{F}_m.$$

To check the integral condition, let  $B \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ . Since  $B \cap \{\tau = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$  we have

$$\int_B I(\tau = n)\mathbf{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_n]d\mathbf{P} = \int_{B \cap \{\tau = n\}} Xd\mathbf{P} = \int_B I(\tau = n)Xd\mathbf{P}.$$