

# The congruence variety of metaabelian groups is not self-dual

Gábor Czédli\*

For a ring  $R$  with unit element let  $\mathcal{L}(R)$  denote the class of lattices embeddable in submodule lattices of  $R$ -modules. Then  $\mathbf{H}\mathcal{L}(R)$ , the variety generated by  $\mathcal{L}(R)$ , is a self-dual congruence variety by Hutchinson [6, Thm. 7, and 5]. On the other hand, non-modular congruence varieties need not be self-dual by Day and Freese [2]. The  $\mathbf{H}\mathcal{L}(R)$  have been the only known congruence varieties for a long time, leading to the impression that the congruence variety of Abelian groups, alias  $\mathbf{H}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z})$ , could be the largest modular congruence variety. This picture was refuted in two steps. First, an unpublished work of Kiss and Pálffy [7] showed that the congruence lattice of a certain metaabelian group cannot be embedded in the congruence lattice of any Abelian group. Developing these ideas further, Pálffy and Szabó [8, 9] have recently shown that the congruence variety of certain group varieties are not subvarieties of  $\mathbf{H}\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Z})$ . This leads to the problem whether every modular congruence variety is self-dual, cf. Pálffy and Szabó [9, Problem 4.2] for a slightly different formulation. The aim of the present paper is to give a negative solution.

For a variety  $V$  let  $\mathbf{Con}(V)$  denote the congruence variety of  $V$ , i.e., the lattice variety generated by the congruence lattices of all algebras in  $V$ . Let  $\mathbf{M}$  be the variety of metaabelian groups.  $\mathbf{M}$  is defined by the identity  $[x, y]z = z[x, y]$  where  $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . By the elementary properties of the commutator (cf., e.g., Gorenstein [3, Ch. 2.2]) it is easy to see that  $\mathbf{M}$  satisfies the identities

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} [a, b]^{-1} &= [b, a] = [a^{-1}, b] = [a, b^{-1}] \\ ba &= ab[a, b]^{-1} \\ [ab, c] &= [a, c][b, c], \quad [a, bc] = [a, b][a, c] \\ b^l a^k &= a^k b^l [a, b]^{-kl} \quad (k, l \in \mathbf{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be the variety of Abelian groups and let  $\mathbf{M}_4$  be the variety generated by the quaternion group. Then  $\mathbf{M}_4$  is a subvariety of  $\mathbf{M}$ , and it is defined by the identities  $[x, y]z = z[x, y]$ ,  $x^4 = 1$  and  $[x, y]^2 = 1$ . Pálffy and Szabó [8, 9] gave identities satisfied in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{A})$  but not in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$ . However, the duals of their identities do the same, so we have to consider another identity. In the variables  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{13}$  let us consider the following lattice terms:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= (\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13})(\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7)(\alpha_2 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9)(\alpha_3 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11})) \\ q_1 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, \quad q_2 = \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}, \quad q_3 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_{10} \\ q_4 &= \alpha_3 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9, \quad q_5 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{12}, \quad q_6 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{11} + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13} \\ q_7 &= \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9, \quad q_8 = \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_5, \quad q_9 = \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11} \\ q_{10} &= \alpha_3 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_9 + \alpha_{12} + \alpha_{13}, \quad q_{11} = \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_{10} + \alpha_{11} \\ q_{12} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_{13}, \quad q_{13} = \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 + \alpha_8 + \alpha_9, \quad \text{and} \\ q &= q_1 + (q_2 q_3 + q_4 q_5)(q_6 q_7 + q_8 q_9)(q_{10} q_{11} + q_{12} q_{13}). \end{aligned}$$

---

\* This research was partially supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research grant no. 1903

Let  $\mu_{13}$  denote the identity

$$p \leq q,$$

and let  $\mu_{13}^d$  denote the dual of  $\mu_{13}$ . Note that  $\mu_{13}$  was found by modifying, in fact weakening, the dual of the identity in Pálffy and Szabó [8].

**Theorem.**

- (A)  $\mu_{13}$  holds in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M})$ .
- (B)  $\mu_{13}^d$  fails in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M})$ .

We will actually show that  $\mu_{13}^d$  fails even in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$ . Therefore the modular congruence varieties  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M})$  and  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$  are not self-dual.

*PROOF.* (B) The rather long calculations required by this part of the proof were done by a personal computer; here we outline the algorithm only. (A Pascal program, Borland's Turbo Pascal 6.0, is available from the author upon request.) The Wille — Pixley algorithm [10, 11] offers a standard way to check if a lattice identity holds in the congruence variety of a variety with permuting equivalences. Like in [6], we can construct a strong Mal'cev condition (MC) such that (MC) holds in  $\mathbf{M}_4$  iff  $\mu_{13}^d$  holds in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$ . This Mal'cev condition is a finite collection of  $n$ -ary term symbols  $f_k$  and equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & f_l(x_{1C}, x_{2C}, \dots, x_{nC}) = f_r(x_{1C}, x_{2C}, \dots, x_{nC}) \quad \text{or} \\ (2') \quad & f_l(x_{1C}, x_{2C}, \dots, x_{nC}) = x_j \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a partition on the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $iC$  denotes the smallest element of the  $C$ -block containing  $i$ . Suppose  $\mu_{13}^d$  holds in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{M}_4)$ , then there exist group terms  $f_k$  such that all the equations (2) and (2') of (MC) are valid identities in  $\mathbf{M}_4$ . By Pálffy and Szabó [9] or the identities (1) each  $n$ -ary group term  $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  in  $\mathbf{M}_4$  can be uniquely represented in the form

$$(3) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i} \prod_{i < j} [x_i, x_j]^{b_{ij}}$$

where  $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}_4 = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ . Here  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{a_i}$  and  $\prod_{i < j} [x_i, x_j]^{b_{ij}}$  are called the Abelian part and the commutator part of  $g$ , respectively.

The variety of Abelian groups of exponent four is a subvariety of  $\mathbf{M}_4$ , whence (MC) holds in it. Since  $\mathbf{M}_4$  is term equivalent to the variety of modules over  $\mathbf{Z}_4$ , we can use the algorithm described in [6] to determine the  $a_i^{(k)}$ , the exponents occurring in the Abelian part of  $f_k$  according to (3). Luckily enough, these  $a_i^{(k)}$  are uniquely determined by (MC).

Now let  $C_1, \dots, C_w$  be the blocks of a partition  $C$  such that the minimal representatives  $c_i \in C_i$  satisfy  $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_w$ . For a term  $g$  of the form (3) the term  $g(x_{1C}, \dots, x_{nC})$  can be written in the (unique) form

$$\prod_{i=1}^w x_{c_i}^{d_i} \prod_{i < j} [x_{c_i}, x_{c_j}]^{t_{ij}}$$

Here  $d_i = \sum_{j \in C_i} a_j$ . To determine the  $t_{ij}$  for  $i < j$  let us consider an  $u \in C_i$  and a  $v \in C_j$ . If  $u < v$  then  $[x_u, x_v]^{b_{uv}}$  turns into  $[x_{c_i}, x_{c_j}]^{b_{uv}}$ . If  $u > v$  then  $[x_v, x_u]^{b_{vu}}$  turns into  $[x_{c_j}, x_{c_i}]^{b_{vu}} = [x_{c_i}, x_{c_j}]^{-b_{vu}}$  and exchanging the places of  $x_{c_j}^{a_v}$  and  $x_{c_i}^{a_u}$  in the Abelian part enters  $[x_{c_i}, x_{c_j}]^{-a_u a_v}$  as well. Combining all these effects we obtain that

$$(5) \quad t_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{u < v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} b_{uv} - \sum_{\substack{u > v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} (b_{vu} + a_u a_v).$$

Therefore, if the  $a_i$  and  $b_{ij}$  for  $f_k$  are denoted by  $a_i^{(k)}$  and  $b_{ij}^{(k)}$ , (2) implies

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{u < v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} b_{uv}^{(l)} - \sum_{\substack{u > v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} (b_{vu}^{(l)} + a_u^{(l)} a_v^{(l)}) = \\ \sum_{\substack{u < v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} b_{uv}^{(r)} - \sum_{\substack{u > v \\ u \in C_i, v \in C_j}} (b_{vu}^{(r)} + a_u^{(r)} a_v^{(r)}) \end{aligned}$$

for all meaningful  $i < j$ . The equations (6) and the analogous equations derived from (2') constitute a system of linear equations over the two-element field with the  $b_{uv}^{(k)}$  being the unknowns. Using some reductions, including the one offered by [1, Prop. 2] or its special case for groups [9, Lemma 1.1], the system eventually considered consists of 130 equations for 108 unknowns. Since this system proved to be unsolvable,  $\mu_{13}^d$  fails in **Con(M)**.

(A) Assume that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{13}$  are congruences of a metaabelian group  $G \in \mathbf{M}$  and  $y_1$  is an element of  $[1]p$ , the  $p(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{13})$ -block of the group unit 1. From the permutability of group congruences and  $(1, y_1) \in p$  we infer that there exists an element  $y_2 \in G$  such that  $(1, y_2) \in \alpha_{12}$  and  $(y_2, y_1) \in \alpha_{13}$ . Parsing the lattice term  $p$  further we obtain elements  $y_3, y_4, \dots, y_{13} \in G$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (1, y_4) \in \alpha_4, \quad (y_4, y_3) \in \alpha_5, \quad (y_3, y_5) \in \alpha_1, \quad (y_5, y_7) \in \alpha_6, \\ (y_7, y_1) \in \alpha_7, \quad (y_3, y_6) \in \alpha_2, \quad (y_6, y_9) \in \alpha_8, \quad (y_9, y_1) \in \alpha_9, \\ (y_3, y_8) \in \alpha_3, \quad (y_8, y_{10}) \in \alpha_{10}, \quad (y_{10}, y_1) \in \alpha_{11}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the group elements

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= y_1 y_5^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_2] [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_3, y_6]^{-1} [y_3, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1}, \\ f_2 &= y_3^{-1} y_5^{-1} y_6 y_8 [y_1, y_3] [y_1, y_5]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_2, y_8]^{-1} [y_3, y_5]^{-1} [y_3, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1}, \\ f_3 &= y_3^{-1} y_6 y_8 [y_2, y_3] [y_2, y_8]^{-1} [y_3, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1}, \\ f_4 &= y_1 y_5^{-1} y_8 [y_2, y_5] [y_2, y_8]^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} (1, f_2) \in q_1, \quad (f_2, f_1) \in q_{11}, \quad (f_2, f_1) \in q_{10}, \\ (f_1, y_1) \in q_{12}, \quad (f_1, y_1) \in q_{13}, \quad (f_2, f_3) \in q_3, \\ (f_2, f_3) \in q_2, \quad (f_3, y_1) \in q_4, \quad (f_3, y_1) \in q_5, \quad (f_2, f_4) \in q_6, \\ (f_2, f_4) \in q_7, \quad (f_4, y_1) \in q_8, \quad (f_4, y_1) \in q_9. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the relations of (7) follows easily from (1) and the definitions. E.g., to verify  $(f_2, f_1) \in q_{11}$  we can compute as follows. Since 1,  $y_4$  and  $y_3$  are pairwise congruent modulo  $q_{11}$  and so are  $y_1$  and  $y_8$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_2 \ q_{11} \ 1^{-1} y_5^{-1} y_6 y_1 [y_1, 1] [y_1, y_5]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_2, y_1]^{-1} [1, y_5]^{-1} [1, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} = \\
& \quad y_5^{-1} y_6 y_1 [y_1, y_5^{-1}] [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} = \\
& \quad y_5^{-1} y_1 y_6 [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_1, y_5^{-1}] [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} = \\
& \quad y_1 y_5^{-1} [y_1, y_5^{-1}]^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_1, y_5^{-1}] [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} = \\
& \quad y_1 y_5^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} \quad \text{and} \\
& f_1 \ q_{11} \ y_1 y_5^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_2] [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [1, y_6]^{-1} [1, y_9] [y_6, y_9]^{-1} = \\
& \quad y_1 y_5^{-1} y_6 [y_1, y_6]^{-1} [y_2, y_5] [y_1, y_2] [y_6, y_9]^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

showing  $(f_2, f_1) \in q_{11}$ . From (7) it follows that  $(1, y_1) \in q$ . Therefore the  $p$ -class of 1 is included in the  $q$ -class of 1. By the canonical bijection between group congruences and normal subgroups we conclude that  $\mu_{13}$  holds in **Con**(**M**).

*Problem.* Note that, in spite of some particular positive results of Haiman [4], it is still an open question if the variety generated by all linear lattices is self-dual. Thus it would be interesting to know if  $\mu_{13}$  holds in every linear lattice, but we do not know even if it holds in the normal subgroup lattice of any group.

**Acknowledgement.** I am indebted to Emil W. Kiss for drawing my attention to **Con**(**M**<sub>4</sub>) in 1987 and for the conversation leading to the algorithm described in (B).

### References

- [1] G. Czédli, Notes on congruence implication, *Archivum Mathematicum (Brno)* **27** (1991), 149-153.
- [2] A. Day and R. Freese, A characterization of identities implying congruence modularity. I, *Canad. J. Math.* **32** (1980), 1140-1167.
- [3] D. Gorenstein, *Finite groups*, Harper and Row, New York — Evanston — London 1968.
- [4] M. Haiman, Proof theory for linear lattices, *Advances in Math.* **58** (1985), 209-242.
- [5] G. Hutchinson, A duality principle for lattices and categories of modules, *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **10** (1977), 115-119.
- [6] G. Hutchinson and G. Czédli, A test for identities satisfied in lattices of submodules, *Algebra Universalis* **8** (1978) 269-309.
- [7] E. W. Kiss and P. P. Pálffy, Oral communication.
- [8] P. P. Pálffy and Cs. Szabó, An identity for subgroup lattices of Abelian groups, *Algebra Universalis*, to appear.
- [9] P. P. Pálffy and Cs. Szabó, Congruence varieties of groups and Abelian groups, preprint.
- [10] A. F. Pixley, Local Mal'cev conditions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **15** (1972), 559-568.
- [11] R. Wille, *Kongruenzklassengeometrien*, Springer-Verlag Lecture Notes in Mat. 113, Berlin — Heidelberg — New York, 1970.

JATE Bolyai Institute, Szeged, Aradi vértanúk tere 1, H-6720 Hungary

Electronic address: H1031CZE@HUELLA.BITNET