

## On the lattice of congruence varieties of locally equational classes

G. CZÉDLI

### 1. Introduction

For a class  $\mathcal{K}$  of algebras, let  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{K})$  denote the lattice variety generated by the class of congruence lattices of all members of  $\mathcal{K}$ . A lattice variety  $\mathcal{U}$  will be called an *l-congruence variety* if  $\mathcal{U} = \mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{K})$  for some locally equational class  $\mathcal{K}$  of algebras. In particular, every congruence variety is an *l-congruence variety*. Our aim is to show that *l-congruence varieties* form a complete lattice, which is a join-subsemilattice of the lattice of all lattice varieties (while meet is not preserved). We also show that the minimal modular congruence varieties described by FREESE [1] and the minimal modular *l-congruence varieties* are the same.

The notion of locally equational class has been introduced by HU [2]. For the definition, let  $F$  be a subset of an algebra  $A$  of type  $\tau$  and let  $t_1, t_2$  be  $n$ -ary  $\tau$ -terms. The identity  $t_1 = t_2$  is said to be valid in  $F$  if for all  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in F^n$  we have  $t_1(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = t_2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{K}$  is a class of algebras of type  $\tau$  and denote by  $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{K})$  the class of all algebras  $A$  of type  $\tau$  having the following property:

for each finite subset  $G$  of  $A$  there is a finite family  $\{B_i: i \in I\}$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  and there is for each  $i \in I$  a finite subset  $F_i \subseteq B_i$  such that every identity valid in  $F_i$  for all  $i \in I$  is also valid in  $G$ .

Now,  $\mathbf{L}$  is a closure operator on classes of similar algebras.  $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{K})$  is called the *locally equational class* (or, briefly, *local variety*) generated by  $\mathcal{K}$ , and  $\mathcal{K}$  is said to be a local variety if  $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$ . We often write  $\mathbf{L}(A)$  instead of  $\mathbf{L}(\{A\})$ .

Denote by  $\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{P}_f, \mathbf{D}$  the operators of forming homomorphic images, subalgebras, direct products of finite families and directed unions, respectively, and let us recall

- Theorem 1.1.** (HU [2]) (a) *Every variety is a local variety. The converse does not hold, e.g. all torsion groups form a local variety.*  
 (b) *For a class  $\mathcal{K}$  of similar algebras  $\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{K}) = \mathbf{DHSP}_f(\mathcal{K})$ ; consequently,*  
 (c)  *$\mathcal{K}$  is locally equational if and only if it is closed under  $\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{P}_f$ .*

Our main tool is the following

**Theorem 1.2.** (PIXLEY [11]) *There is an algorithm which, for each lattice identity  $\lambda$  and pair of integers  $n, k \geq 2$ , determines a strong Mal'cev condition (i.e., a finite set of equations of polynomial symbols of unspecified type)  $U_{n,k} = U_{n,k}(\lambda)$  such that for an arbitrary algebra  $A$  of type  $\tau$  the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$\lambda$  is satisfied throughout  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A))$ ;*
- (ii) *for each finite subset  $F$  of  $A$  and integer  $n \geq 2$  there is an integer  $k = k(n, F, \lambda)$  and a  $\tau$ -realization  $U_{n,k}^\tau$  of  $U_{n,k}$  such that  $U_{n,k}^\tau$  is valid in  $F$ ;*
- (iii) *for each finite subset  $F$  of  $A$  and integer  $n \geq 2$  there is a  $k_0 = k_0(n, F, \lambda)$  such that for each  $k \geq k_0$  there is a  $\tau$ -realization  $U_{n,k}^\tau$  of  $U_{n,k}$  which is valid in  $F$ .*

We have supplemented Pixley's theorem with condition (iii) which is implicit in the proof in [11] of the theorem. We shall make essential use of

**Proposition 1.3.** *In the above theorem each polynomial of  $U_{n,k}^\tau$  is idempotent in  $F$ .*

This follows easily from the construction of  $U_{n,k}$  described in [11].

## 2. Lattice of $l$ -congruence varieties

A lattice variety  $\mathcal{U}$  is called a *congruence variety* (JÓNSSON [8]) if  $\mathcal{U} = \mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{K})$  for some variety  $\mathcal{K}$ , and  $\mathcal{U}$  will be called an  *$l$ -congruence variety* if  $\mathcal{U} = \mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V})$  for some local variety  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}^*$  denote the "sets" consisting of all  $l$ -congruence varieties and all  $l$ -congruence varieties of the form  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A))$ , respectively. Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{C}^*$  be partially ordered by inclusion. Our main result is

**Theorem 2.1.**  *$\mathfrak{C}$  is a complete lattice. The (infinitary) join of arbitrary  $l$ -congruence varieties in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and their join taken in the lattice of all lattice varieties coincide.*

Although there exists a local variety which cannot be generated by a single algebra (HU [2]), we have

**Theorem 2.2.** *For any local variety  $\mathcal{V}$  there is an algebra  $A$  (not necessarily of the same type as  $\mathcal{V}$ ) such that  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}) = \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A))$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{C}^*$ .*

Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. First we show the following statement:

- (1) For any algebra  $A$  of type  $\tau$  there exists an algebra  $B$  such that  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A)) = \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(B))$  and  $B$  has a one-element subalgebra.

Let  $b_0 \in A$ ,  $\Phi = \{\lambda: \lambda \text{ is a lattice identity satisfied throughout } \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A))\}$  and  $H = \{F: F \text{ is a finite subset of } A \text{ containing } b_0\}$ . By Thm. 1.2 choose a  $k = k(n, F, \lambda)$  and a  $\tau$ -realization  $U_{n,k}^\tau(F, \lambda)$  of  $U_{n,k}(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Phi$ ,  $F \in H$  and  $n \geq 2$  such that  $U_{n,k}^\tau(F, \lambda)$  is valid in  $F$ . Denote by  $P(n, F, \lambda)$  the set of  $\tau$ -polynomials occurring in  $U_{n,k}^\tau(F, \lambda)$  and define an algebra  $B$  as follows:  $B$  has the same carrier as  $A$  and the set of its operations is  $\cup \{P(n, F, \lambda): n \geq 2, F \in H, \lambda \in \Phi\}$  (i.e.  $B$  is a reduct of  $A$ ). Since  $U_{n,k}^\tau$  is also valid in  $F \setminus \{b_0\}$ ,  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A)) = \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(B))$  follows from Thm. 1.2. By Prop. 1.3,  $\{b_0\}$  is a subalgebra of  $B$ , which completes the proof of (1).

Now we prove that

- (2) For an arbitrary set  $\Gamma$  of indices and for any algebras  $A_\gamma (\gamma \in \Gamma)$  there is an algebra  $A'$  such that  $\bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A_\gamma)) = \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A'))$  in the lattice of all lattice varieties.

We can assume  $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$  (otherwise the statement is trivial) and

- $\{a_\gamma\}$  is a one-element subalgebra of  $A_\gamma$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,
- all the algebras  $A_\gamma (\gamma \in \Gamma)$  are of the same similarity type  $\tau$  (otherwise the set of operations of  $A_\gamma$  can be supplemented with projections since for polynomially equivalent algebras  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  over the same carrier,  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(B_1)) = \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(B_2))$  by Thm. 1.2), and
- for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , every  $\tau$ -polynomial is equal to some  $\tau$ -operation over  $A_\gamma$ .

Denote by  $\tau_i$  the set of  $i$ -ary operation symbols in  $\tau$  and regard  $\tau'_i = \tau_i^\Gamma$  as a set of  $i$ -ary operation symbols ( $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ). Now,  $\tau = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau_i$  and set  $\tau' = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau'_i$ .

For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $A_\gamma$  can be regarded as an algebra  $A'_\gamma$  of type  $\tau'$  if we define, for  $q \in \tau'$ , the operation  $q$  by  $q = q(\gamma)$  ( $q(\gamma) \in \tau$ ,  $A_\gamma$  and  $A'_\gamma$  have the same carrier). Evidently,  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A'_\gamma)) = \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A_\gamma))$  by Thm. 1.2. Let  $A'$  be a weak direct product of the algebras  $A'_\gamma$  defined by

$$A' = \{f \in \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A'_\gamma: \text{for all but finitely many } \gamma \in \Gamma, f(\gamma) = a_\gamma\}.$$

By Thm. 1.1  $\mathbf{L}(A'_\gamma) \subseteq \mathbf{L}(A')$ , therefore

$$\bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A_\gamma)) = \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A'_\gamma)) \subseteq \bigvee_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A')) = \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A')).$$

In order to prove the converse inclusion by means of Thm. 1.2, suppose a lattice identity  $\lambda$  is satisfied throughout each  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A'_\gamma))$ . Fix an arbitrary finite subset  $F$  of  $A'$  and  $n \geq 2$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  set  $F_\gamma = \{f(\gamma): f \in F\} \subseteq A'_\gamma$  and choose a non-empty finite  $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Delta$  implies  $F_\gamma = \{a_\gamma\}$ . Since  $\lambda$  holds in each  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A'_\gamma))$ , by Thm 1.2 for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there exist  $k_\gamma \geq 2$  and for all  $k \geq k_\gamma$  a  $\tau$ -realization  $U_{n,k}^\tau(\gamma)$  of  $U_{n,k}$  such that  $U_{n,k}^\tau(\gamma)$  is valid in  $F_\gamma$ . We can suppose  $k_\gamma = 2$

if  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus A$ , because  $F_\gamma$  is a subalgebra consisting of a single element. Set  $k = \max \{k_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . Then for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  there exists a realization  $U_{n,k}^\tau(\gamma)$  of  $U_{n,k}$  which is valid in  $F_\gamma$ . Let  $U_{n,k}^\tau(\gamma)$  consist of  $\tau$ -operations  $q_{1,\gamma}, q_{2,\gamma}, \dots, q_{s,\gamma}$ . For  $i=1, 2, \dots, s$  define  $q_i \in \tau'$  by  $q_i(\gamma) = q_{i,\gamma}$  over  $A_\gamma (\gamma \in \Gamma)$ . Then the operations  $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s$  yield a  $\tau'$ -realization of  $U_{n,k}$  which is valid in  $F$ . This completes the proof of (2).

Now, let  $\mathcal{V}$  be an arbitrary local variety and let  $\Phi$  consist of all lattice identities which are not satisfied throughout  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V})$ . For each  $\lambda \in \Phi$  we can choose  $A_\lambda \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\lambda$  is not satisfied in the congruence lattice of  $A_\lambda$ . Since  $\mathbf{L}(A_\lambda) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$  and  $\lambda$  is not satisfied throughout  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A_\lambda))$ , it can be easily seen that  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}) = \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Phi} \mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A_\lambda))$ . Hence Thm. 2.2 follows from (2). Since any complete join-semilattice having a 0-element is a complete lattice, Thm. 2.1 follows from (2) and Thm. 2.2. Q.E.D.

### 3. Minimal modular $l$ -congruence varieties

Let  $P$  be the set of all prime numbers and set  $P_0 = P \cup \{0\}$ . For  $p \in P_0$  denote by  $Q_p$  the prime field of characteristic  $p$  and by  $\mathcal{V}_p$  the variety of all vector spaces over  $Q_p$ . The following theorem was announced by FREESE [1]:

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any modular but not distributive congruence variety  $\mathcal{U}$  there is a  $p \in P_0$  such that  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_p) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Consequently, congruence varieties do not form a sublattice in the lattice of all lattice varieties.*

Christian Herrmann has also proved the above theorem. We shall slightly modify his (unpublished) proof to obtain the following

**Theorem 3.2.** *For any modular but not distributive  $l$ -congruence variety  $\mathcal{U}$  there is a  $p \in P_0$  such that  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_p) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Consequently,  $l$ -congruence varieties do not form a sublattice in the lattice of all lattice varieties.*

The proof is based on the following theorem (which is presented here in a weakened form):

**Theorem 3.3.** (HUHN [4]) *For an arbitrary modular lattice  $M$  and  $n \geq 3$  the following two conditions are equivalent:*

(i)  *$M$  is not  $n$ -distributive, i.e., the  $n$ -distributivity law*

$$x \wedge \bigvee_{i=0}^n y_i = \bigvee_{j=0}^n \left( x \wedge \bigvee_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^n y_i \right)$$

(cf. HUHN [3] and [5]) *is not satisfied in  $M$ .*

(ii) *The lattice variety generated by  $M$  contains  $L_{n+1}(Q_p)$  for some  $p \in P_0$  where  $L_{n+1}(Q_p)$  denotes the congruence lattice of the  $(n+1)$ -dimensional vector space over  $Q_p$ .*

For a pair of non-negative integers  $m, k$  let us define the divisibility condition  $D(m, k)$  by the formula  $(\exists x)(m \cdot x = k \cdot 1)$  where  $m \cdot x$  and  $k \cdot 1$  mean  $x + x + \dots + x$  ( $m$  times) and  $1 + 1 + 1 + \dots + 1$  ( $k$  times), respectively. We need the following

**Proposition 3.4.** *For any lattice identity  $\lambda$  there exist non-negative integers  $n_0, m, k$  such that for each  $p \in P_0$  the following three conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$\lambda$  is satisfied throughout  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_p)$ ,*
- (ii) *there exists  $n \geq n_0$  such that  $\lambda$  is satisfied in  $L_n(Q_p)$ ,*
- (iii) *the divisibility condition  $D(m, k)$  holds in  $Q_p$ .*

**Proof.** The equivalence of (i) and (iii) is a special case of [6, Thm. 3]. As for (ii)  $\rightarrow$  (i), we can argue as follows: Let us construct the identity  $\hat{\lambda}$  from  $\lambda$  by replacing the operation symbols  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  by  $\cap$  and  $\circ$  (composition of relations), respectively. By congruence permutability, (i) holds iff  $\hat{\lambda}$  is satisfied by arbitrary congruences of any algebra in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . Now, WILLE's theorem [12] (see also PIXLEY [11, Thm. 2.2]) involves implicitly that if  $\hat{\lambda}$  is satisfied by certain congruences of the free  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -algebra of rank  $n_0$ , for some  $n_0$  depending on  $\hat{\lambda}$ , then  $\hat{\lambda}$  is satisfied by arbitrary congruences of any algebra in  $\mathcal{V}_p$ . Finally, the congruence lattice of the free  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -algebra of rank  $n_0$  is a sublattice of  $L_n(Q_p)$  whence  $\hat{\lambda}$  is satisfied by arbitrary congruences of the free  $\mathcal{V}_p$ -algebra of rank  $n_0$ . Q.E.D.

It follows from a more general result of NATION [10, Thm. 2] that any  $n$ -distributive congruence variety is distributive ( $n \geq 1$ ). Now we need the following generalization of this fact:

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  be an arbitrary  $l$ -congruence variety. If  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $n$ -distributive, then  $\mathcal{U}$  is distributive.*

**Proof.** Certain arguments using Mal'cev conditions for congruence varieties can easily be reformulated for  $l$ -congruence varieties. PIXLEY [11] has pointed out that JÓNSSON's criterion for congruence distributivity [7] remains valid for  $l$ -congruence varieties. Similarly, MEDERLY's criterion for  $n$ -distributivity [9, Theorem 2.1] also remains valid. Thus the have:

**Proposition 3.6.** *For an arbitrary algebra of type  $\tau$  and  $n \geq 1$  the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A))$  is  $n$ -distributive,*
- (ii) *For each finite  $F \subseteq A$  there exist  $k \geq 2$  and  $(n+2)$ -ary  $\tau$ -polynomials*

$t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k$  on  $A$  such that the identities

$$t_0(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_0, \quad t_k(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = x_{n+1},$$

$$t_i(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0) = x_0 \quad (i = 0, 1, \dots, k),$$

$$t_i(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{j+1}, y, y, \dots, y) = t_{i+1}(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{j+1}, y, y, \dots, y)$$

$(0 \leq i < k, 0 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } i \equiv j \pmod{n+1}))$  are valid in  $F$ .

Now, suppose  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A))$  is  $n$ -distributive for some  $n \geq 1$ . Fix a finite  $F \subseteq A$ . Then, by Prop. 3.6, there are  $k \geq 2$  and  $\tau$ -polynomials  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k$  satisfying the required identities in  $F$ . Define  $j(-1) = 0$  and for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ ,  $j(i) \equiv i \pmod{n+1}$ ,  $0 \leq j(i) \leq n$ . Define ternary  $\tau$ -polynomials  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{2k+2}$  as follows:  $q_0(x, y, z) = x$  and for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$

$$q_{2i+1}(x, y, z) = t_i(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{j(i-1)+1}, y, y, \dots, y, z)$$

and

$$q_{2i+2}(x, y, z) = t_i(\underbrace{x, x, \dots, x}_{j(0)+1}, y, y, \dots, y, z).$$

It is easy to check that the polynomials  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{2k+2}$  satisfy the equations of Prop. 3.6 (ii) in  $F$  for  $(1, 2k+2)$  instead of  $(n, k)$ . Hence, by Prop. 3.6, 1-distributivity — which is the usual distributivity — holds throughout  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathbf{L}(A))$ . Thus Thm. 2.2 completes the proof.

**Proof of Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an  $l$ -congruence variety as in the theorem. By Prop. 3.5,  $\mathcal{U}$  is not distributive for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Hence, by Thm. 3.3, for each  $n > 2$  we can choose  $p_n \in P_0$  such that  $L_{n+1}(Q_{p_n}) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Set  $S = \{p_n : n > 2\}$ . If the set  $\{n : n > 2 \text{ and } p_n = p_t\}$  is infinite for some  $t$ , then  $\{L_{n+1}(Q_{p_n}) : p_n = p_t\}$  generates  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_{p_t})$  by Prop. 3.4 (i, ii). Hence  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_{p_t}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ . Suppose  $\{n : n > 2 \text{ and } p_n = p_t\}$  is finite for all  $t > 2$ . Then it suffices to show that  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_0)$  is a subvariety of the variety generated by  $\{L_{n+1}(Q_{p_n}) : n > 2\}$ . Suppose  $\lambda$  holds in  $L_{n+1}(Q_{p_n})$  for each  $n > 2$ . For a sufficiently large  $t$ ,  $\lambda$  holds throughout  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_{p_n})$  for any  $n \geq t$  by Prop. 3.4 (i, ii). Hence there exists an infinite  $S' \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\lambda$  holds in  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_p)$  for each  $p \in S'$ . Then, by Prop. 3.4, the divisibility condition  $D(m, k)$  associated with  $\lambda$  holds in  $Q_p$  for each  $p \in S'$ . Therefore,  $D(m, k)$  holds in  $Q_0$  (otherwise  $m = 0$  and  $k \neq 0$ , so each  $p \in S'$  divides  $k$ ). Hence, by Prop. 3.4,  $\lambda$  holds throughout  $\mathbf{Con}(\mathcal{V}_0)$ . Q.E.D.

**Remark.** If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a class of similar algebras closed under  $S$  and  $P_f$ , then  $\text{Con}(\mathcal{K})$  is an  $l$ -congruence variety, namely  $\text{Con}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{Con}(\mathbf{L}(\mathcal{K}))$ .

The author would like to express his thanks to A. P. Huhn for the idea of introducing  $l$ -congruence varieties.

### References

- [1] R. FREESE, Minimal modular congruence varieties, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, **23** (1976), No. 1, A—4.
- [2] T. K. HU, Locally equational classes of universal algebras, *Chinese J. Math.* **1** (1973), 143—165.
- [3] A. P. HUHN, Schwach distributive Verbände. I, *Acta Sci. Math.*, **33** (1972), 297—305.
- [4] A. P. HUHN, Two notes on  $n$ -distributive lattices, in *Lattice theory*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, vol. 14, North-Holland, (1976), 137—147.
- [5] A. P. HUHN,  $n$ -distributivity and some questions of the equational theory of lattices, in *Contributions to universal algebra*, Colloq. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, vol. 17, North-Holland, (1977), 167—178.
- [6] G. HUTCHINSON, G. CZÉDLI, A test for identities satisfied in lattices of submodules, *Algebra Universalis*, **8** (1978), 269—309.
- [7] B. JÓNSSON, Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive, *Math. Scand.*, **21** (1967), 110—121.
- [8] B. JÓNSSON, Varieties of algebras and their congruence varieties, in *Proc. of the International Congress of Math., Vancouver* (1974).
- [9] P. MEDERLY, Three Mal'cev type theorems and their application, *Mat. Časopis Sloven. Akad. Vied.*, **25** (1975), 83—95.
- [10] J. B. NATION, Varieties whose congruences satisfy certain lattice identities, *Algebra Universalis*, **4** (1974), 78—88.
- [11] A. F. PIXLEY, Local Mal'cev conditions, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **15** (1972), 559—568.
- [12] R. WILLE, *Kongruenzklassengeometrien*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 113, Springer-Verlag (1970).

BOLYAI INSTITUTE  
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1.  
6720 SZEGED, HUNGARY