

# NATURAL EQUIVALENCES FROM LATTICE QUASIORDERS TO INVOLUTION LATTICES OF CONGRUENCES

GÁBOR CZÉDLI

ABSTRACT. The involution lattice  $\text{Quord}(A)$  of quasiorders of a lattice  $A$  is known to be isomorphic to the involution lattices  $\text{Con}^2(A)$  consisting of pairs of congruences of  $A$ . Moreover, the isomorphism described in [9] is supplied by a natural equivalence between the functors  $\text{Quord}$  and  $\text{Con}^2$ . The aim of the present paper is to describe and count the possible  $\text{Quord} \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$  natural equivalences. The answer depends on the domain category  $\mathcal{L}$ , always a prevariety of lattices with the surjective homomorphisms, of the functors  $\text{Quord}$  and  $\text{Con}^2$ ; and the problem is solved only for very small prevarieties  $\mathcal{L}$ . An overview on the most recent developments in the theory of involution lattices and quasiorders is also presented.

*To the memory of Milan Kolibiar*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The primary purpose of the present paper is to describe all possible natural equivalences from the functor  $\text{Quord}$  to the functor  $\text{Con}^2$ . Some new results on this problem will be proved in the following section. This introductory section surveys some related recent developments in the topic of involution lattices.

A quadruplet  $L = \langle L; \vee, \wedge, * \rangle$  is called an *involution lattice* if  $L = \langle L; \vee, \wedge \rangle$  is a lattice and  $* : L \rightarrow L$  is a lattice automorphism such that  $(x^*)^* = x$  holds for all  $x \in L$ . To present a natural example, let us consider an algebra  $A$ . A binary relation  $\rho \subseteq A^2$  is called a *quasiorder* of  $A$  if  $\rho$  is reflexive, transitive and compatible. (Sometimes we consider a set  $A$  rather than an algebra, then all relations are compatible.) Defining  $\rho^* = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : \langle y, x \rangle \in \rho \}$ , the set  $\text{Quord}(A)$  of quasiorders of  $A$  becomes an involution lattice  $\text{Quord}(A) = \langle \text{Quord}(A); \vee, \wedge, * \rangle$ , where  $\wedge$  is the intersection and  $\vee$  is the transitive closure of the union. These involution lattices were studied in [3, 6, 9] and Chajda and Pinus [4]. For an involution lattice  $I$ , the subalgebra  $\{x \in I : x^* = x\}$  is a lattice if we forget about the (trivial) involution operation. In particular,  $\{\rho \in \text{Quord}(A) : \rho^* = \rho\}$  is just the congruence lattice of  $A$ . For a lattice  $L$ , the direct square  $L^2$  of  $L$  becomes an involution lattice if we define  $\langle x, y \rangle^* = \langle y, x \rangle$  for  $\langle x, y \rangle \in L^2$ . The involution lattice arising from the congruence lattice  $\text{Con}(A)$  of  $A$  this way will be denoted by  $\text{Con}^2(A)$ . There are

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 06B15, Secondary 08A30.

*Key words and phrases*. Quasiorder, compatible order, lattice, involution lattice, natural equivalence.

Research supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), under grant no. T 7442

many more examples for involution lattices as related structures, e.g., the ideal lattice of a ring with involution, the lattice of all semigroup varieties, the lattice of clones over a two-element set (the so-called Post lattice), etc., but only  $\text{Con}^2(A)$  and  $\text{Quord}(A)$  of them will be studied in the present note.

Motivated by the classical Grätzer—Schmidt Theorem [10], Chajda and Pinus [4] asked which involution lattices  $I$  are isomorphic to  $\text{Quord}(A)$ . Some partial answer to this question is given in the following four theorems. Note that an obvious necessary condition on  $I$  is that it has to be algebraic as a lattice. The simplest case, when the involution is trivial (i.e.  $x^* = x$  for all  $x$ ), is settled in

**Theorem A.** ([3] and Pinus [14], independently.) *Let  $I$  be an algebraic involution lattice such that  $x^* = x$  for all  $x$ . Then there exists an algebra  $A$  such that  $I \cong \text{Quord}(A)$ .*

When the involution is not assumed to be trivial, much less is known. The quasiorders of an algebra  $A$  are called 3-permutable if  $\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \alpha \circ \beta$  holds for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Quord}(A)$ .

**Theorem B.** ([3]) *For any finite distributive involution lattice  $I$  there exists a finite algebra  $A$  such that  $I \cong \text{Quord}(A)$  and, in addition, the quasiorders of  $A$  are 3-permutable.*

We remark that if the quasiorders of all algebras in a given variety  $V$  are 3-permutable then  $\text{Con}(A) = \text{Quord}(A)$  for all  $A \in V$ , cf. Chajda and Rachůnek [2]. Sharpening Whitman's result in [18], Jónsson [11] has shown that each modular lattice  $L$  has a type 2 representation. We say that an involution lattice  $I$  has a type 2 representation if for some set  $A$  the involution lattice  $\text{Quord}(A)$  has a subalgebra  $S$  isomorphic to  $I$  such that  $\alpha \circ \beta \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \alpha \circ \beta$  holds for any  $\alpha, \beta \in S$ .

**Theorem C.** *Each distributive involution lattice  $L$  has a type 2 representation.*

For a partial algebra  $A = \langle A, F \rangle$ , a reflexive and symmetric relation  $\rho \subseteq A^2$  is called a quasiorder of  $A$  provided for any  $f \in F$ , say  $n$ -ary, and  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle a_n, b_n \rangle \in \rho$  if both  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and  $f(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  are defined then  $\langle f(a_1, \dots, a_n), f(b_1, \dots, b_n) \rangle \in \rho$ . The quasiorders of a partial algebra  $A$  still constitute an algebraic involution lattice  $\text{Quord}(A)$  under the set-theoretic inclusion and  $\rho^* = \{\langle x, y \rangle : \langle y, x \rangle \in \rho\}$ , but the join is not the transitive closure of the union in general.

**Theorem D.** ([3]) *For any algebraic involution lattice  $I$  there is a partial algebra  $A$  such that  $I$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Quord}(A)$ .*

The proofs of the above four theorems are not very difficult, for we can borrow a lot of ideas from their classical counterparts for congruences or equivalences. E.g., the yeast graph construction to prove Theorem A in [3] is taken from Pudlák and Tůma [15].

The previous four theorems naturally lead to the question whether every algebraic involution lattice is isomorphic to  $\text{Quord}(A)$  for some algebra  $A$ . The affirmative answer would imply that any involution lattice  $I$  could be embedded in  $\text{Quord}(A)$  for some set  $A$ , for  $I$  is embedded in the (algebraic) involution lattice of its lattice ideals. Unfortunately, as the next few lines witness, this is not the case.

On the set  $\{x, y, z, t, u, v, w\}$  of variables let us define the following involution

lattice terms

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= (z \vee u) \wedge (u^* \vee x \vee z^* \vee t^*), \\ s_2 &= (y \vee w) \wedge (y^* \vee x \vee v^* \vee w^*), \\ s_3 &= (y \vee s_1) \wedge (u^* \vee x \vee z^* \vee t^*), \\ s_4 &= (u \vee s_2) \wedge (y^* \vee x \vee v^* \vee w^*). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem E.** ([6]) *The Horn sentence*

$$x \leq y \vee u \ \& \ y \leq z \vee t \ \& \ u \leq v \vee w \implies x \leq s_3 \vee s_4 \vee z^* \vee w^*$$

*holds in  $\text{Quord}(A)$  for any set  $A$  but does not hold in all involution lattices.*

The proof of Theorem E needs a computer implementation of an algorithm to solve the word problem for involution lattices (and also for lattices) This computer program is based on [5] and is available from the author upon request.

The description of quasiorders of a lattice  $L$  is due to Szabó [16]. Later, in [9], this description was deduced from the following theorem, which made the proof substantially easier. Let  $I$  denote an involution lattice and let  $L = \{x \in I : x^* = x\}$  be regarded as a lattice. As previously,  $L^2$  is an involution lattice.

**Theorem F.** ([9]) *Assume that  $I$  is a distributive involution lattice and  $\rho \in I$  such that  $\rho \wedge \rho^* = 0$  and  $\rho \vee \rho^* = 1$ . Then*

$$u : I \rightarrow L^2, \quad \gamma \mapsto \langle (\gamma \wedge \rho) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho^*), (\gamma \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho) \rangle$$

*is an isomorphism. The inverse of  $u$  is the isomorphism*

$$v : L^2 \rightarrow I, \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mapsto (\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*).$$

Now let  $A$  be a lattice or, more generally, assume that  $A$  has a lattice reduct such that the basic operations of  $A$  are monotone with respect to the lattice order. Denoting the lattice order by  $\rho$ , we have  $\rho \wedge \rho^* = 0$  and  $\rho \vee \rho^* = 1$  in  $\text{Quord}(A)$ . Put  $I = \text{Quord}(A)$ , then  $L = \text{Con}^2(A)$ . Since  $\text{Quord}(A)$  is distributive by [8], Theorem F applies and gives a satisfactory description of (members of)  $\text{Quord}(A)$ :

**Corollary G.** ([9], Szabó [16]) *The quasiorders of a lattice  $A$  are exactly the relations of the form  $(\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*)$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Con}(A)$ .*

From this result it is quite straightforward to derive

**Corollary H.** ([9], Szabó [16], for finite lattices [7]) *Every compatible (partial) order  $\gamma$  of a lattice  $A$  is induced by a subdirect representation of  $A$  as a subdirect product of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \gamma$  iff  $x_1 \leq y_1$  in  $A_1$  and  $x_2 \geq y_2$  in  $A_2$ . Conversely, any relation derived from a subdirect decomposition this way is a compatible order of  $A$ .*

Note that describing the compatible orders is an interesting task also for semilattices; this was done by Kolibiar [13]. A very deep result of Tischendorf and Tůma [17] combined with Theorem F and the distributivity of  $\text{Quord}(A)$  easily yield

**Corollary I.** ([16]) *An involution lattice  $I$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Quord}(A)$  for some lattice  $A$  iff  $I$  is algebraic, distributive and  $x \wedge x^* = 0$ ,  $x \vee x^* = 1$  hold for some  $x \in I$ .*

For lattices  $A$  the fact  $\text{Quord}(A) \cong \text{Con}^2(A)$  can be stated in a stronger form. Let us fix a prevariety  $\mathcal{L}$  of lattices. I.e.,  $\mathcal{L}$  is a class closed under forming sublattices, homomorphic images and finite direct products.  $\mathcal{L}$  will be considered a category in which the morphisms are the *surjective* lattice homomorphisms. The category of all involution lattices with all homomorphisms will be denoted by  $\mathcal{V}$ . For  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$  and a morphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , let

$$\text{Quord}(f) : \text{Quord}(B) \rightarrow \text{Quord}(A), \quad \gamma \mapsto \{\langle x, y \rangle \in A^2 : \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in \gamma\}$$

and

$$\text{Con}^2(f) : \text{Con}^2(B) \rightarrow \text{Con}^2(A) \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mapsto \langle \hat{f}(\alpha), \hat{f}(\beta) \rangle,$$

where  $\hat{f}(\delta) = \{\langle x, y \rangle \in A^2 : \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in \delta\}$ . Then  $\text{Quord}$  and  $\text{Con}^2$  are contravariant  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  functors. For  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  let

$$\tau_A : \text{Quord}(A) \rightarrow \text{Con}^2(A), \quad \gamma \mapsto \langle (\gamma \wedge \rho) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho^*), (\gamma \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho) \rangle$$

and

$$\nu_A : \text{Con}^2(A) \rightarrow \text{Quord}(A), \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mapsto (\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*),$$

where  $\rho$  is the lattice order of  $A$ .

**Theorem J.**  *$\tau$  is a natural equivalence from the functor  $\text{Quord}$  to the functor  $\text{Con}^2$ . The inverse of  $\tau$  is  $\nu : \text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Quord}$ .*

## 2. RESULTS AND PROOFS

As mentioned before, we intend to describe the natural equivalences  $\text{Quord} \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$ . One natural equivalence,  $\tau$ , is given in Theorem J. Evidently, the map  $\psi \rightarrow \psi \circ \tau$  from the class of  $\text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$  natural equivalences to the class of  $\text{Quord} \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$  natural equivalences is a bijection. Therefore it suffices to describe the class  $T(\mathcal{L})$  of natural equivalences from the contravariant functor  $\text{Con}^2 : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  to the same functor. We are able to describe  $T(\mathcal{L})$  for some very small prevarieties  $\mathcal{L}$  only. The fact that  $|T(\mathcal{L})|$  heavily depends on  $\mathcal{L}$  for these small  $\mathcal{L}$  indicates that we are far from describing  $T(\mathcal{L})$  for all  $\mathcal{L}$ .

From now on let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a prevariety *consisting of finite lattices only*. Let  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$  be the class of subdirectly irreducible lattices belonging to  $\mathcal{L}$ . Note that the one-element lattice is not considered subdirectly irreducible. A pair  $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$  of subclasses of  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be an *H-partition* of  $\mathcal{S}$  if  $\mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2 = \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_2 = \emptyset$ , and for any  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{D}_i$  and  $B \in \mathcal{S}$  if  $B$  is a homomorphic image of  $A$  then  $B \in \mathcal{D}_i$ . An *H-partition*  $\mathcal{D}$  is called *trivial* if  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \emptyset$  or  $\mathcal{D}_2 = \emptyset$ . Since the  $\mathcal{D}_i$  are closed under isomorphism and we consider finite lattices only, the *H-partitions* of  $\mathcal{S}$  form a set.

We always have at least two natural equivalences from  $\text{Con}^2$  to  $\text{Con}^2$ . The identical  $\text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$  natural equivalence will be denoted by  $\text{id}$ ;  $\text{id}_A$  is the identical  $\text{Con}^2(A) \rightarrow \text{Con}^2(A)$  map for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ . Defining  $\text{inv}_A : \text{Con}^2(A) \rightarrow \text{Con}^2(A)$ ,  $x \rightarrow x^*$ , it is easy to see that  $\text{inv} : \text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$  is also a natural equivalence.

With an  $H$ -partition  $\mathcal{D} = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$  we associate a transformation (in fact a natural equivalence)  $\psi = \psi(\mathcal{D}) : \text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$  as follows. Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  and choose  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Con}(A)$  such that  $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 = 0$ ,  $A/\alpha_1$  is isomorphic to a (finite) subdirect product of some lattices from  $\mathcal{D}_1$  and  $A/\alpha_2$  is isomorphic to a (finite) subdirect product of some lattices from  $\mathcal{D}_2$ . (The case  $\alpha_i = 1$  is allowed since the empty subdirect product is defined to be the one-element lattice. We will show soon that  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  exist and they are uniquely determined.) Let

$$\psi_A : \text{Con}^2(A) \rightarrow \text{Con}^2(A) \quad \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \mapsto \langle (\gamma \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2), (\delta \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2) \rangle.$$

Conversely, given a natural equivalence  $\psi : \text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$ , we define  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\psi) = \langle \mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \rangle$  by  $\mathcal{D}_1 = \{A \in \mathcal{S} : \psi_A = \text{id}_A\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2 = \{A \in \mathcal{S} : \psi_A = \text{inv}_A\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Given a prevariety  $\mathcal{L}$  of finite lattices, the map  $\mathcal{D} \mapsto \psi(\mathcal{D})$  from the set of  $H$ -partitions of  $\mathcal{S}$  to the set of  $\text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$  natural equivalences is a bijection. The map  $\psi \mapsto \mathcal{D}(\psi)$  is the inverse of this bijection.*

*Proof.* First we make some observations for an arbitrary natural equivalence  $\psi : \text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$ . For  $A, B \in \mathcal{L}$  and a surjective homomorphism  $f : A \rightarrow B$  with kernel  $\mu \in \text{Con}(A)$  let  $\hat{f}$  denote the canonical lattice embedding  $\text{Con}(B) \rightarrow \text{Con}(A)$ ,  $\alpha \mapsto \{\langle x, y \rangle : \langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in \alpha\}$ . Then  $\text{Con}^2(f) : \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mapsto \langle \hat{f}(\alpha), \hat{f}(\beta) \rangle$ . Let us consider the following diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Con}^2(B) & \xrightarrow{\psi_B} & \text{Con}^2(B) \\ \text{Con}^2(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Con}^2(f) \\ \text{Con}^2(A) & \xrightarrow{\psi_A} & \text{Con}^2(A) \end{array}$$

This diagram is commutative by the definition of a natural equivalence. Therefore, for any  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in \text{Con}^2(B)$  we have

$$(2) \quad \text{Con}^2(f)(\psi_B(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle)) = \psi_A(\langle \hat{f}(\gamma), \hat{f}(\delta) \rangle).$$

Since  $\psi_B(\langle 0, 0 \rangle) = \langle 0, 0 \rangle$ , we obtain from (2) that  $\psi_A(\langle \mu, \mu \rangle) = \langle \mu, \mu \rangle$ . But any member of  $\text{Con}(A)$  is the kernel of an appropriate surjective homomorphism, so we obtain that

$$(3) \quad \psi_A(\langle \beta, \beta \rangle) = \langle \beta, \beta \rangle$$

holds for every  $\beta \in \text{Con}(A)$ . Now let  $\psi_A^{(1)}(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle)$  resp.  $\psi_A^{(2)}(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle)$  denote the first resp. second component of  $\psi_A(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle)$ . Since  $\psi_A$  is monotone,  $\psi_A(\langle \hat{f}(\gamma), \hat{f}(\delta) \rangle) \geq \psi(\langle \mu, \mu \rangle) = \langle \mu, \mu \rangle$ . Therefore, factoring both sides of (2) by  $\mu$  componentwise, we obtain

$$(4) \quad \psi_B(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle) = \langle \psi_A^{(1)}(\langle \hat{f}(\gamma), \hat{f}(\delta) \rangle) / \mu, \psi_A^{(2)}(\langle \hat{f}(\gamma), \hat{f}(\delta) \rangle) / \mu \rangle.$$

I.e.,  $\psi_A$  determines  $\psi_B$  for any homomorphic image  $B$  of  $A$ . For  $\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle \in \text{Con}^2(A)$  such that  $\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle \geq \langle \mu, \mu \rangle$ , we can rewrite (4) with the help of (2) into the following form:

$$(5) \quad \psi_A(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle) = \langle \hat{f}(\psi_B^{(1)}(\langle \gamma / \mu, \delta / \mu \rangle)), \hat{f}(\psi_B^{(2)}(\langle \gamma / \mu, \delta / \mu \rangle)) \rangle.$$

Now we assert that

$$(6) \quad (\forall A \in \mathcal{S})(\psi_A = \text{id}_A \text{ or } \psi_A = \text{inv}_A).$$

Let  $\mu \in \text{Con}(A)$  be the monolith of  $A$ . To prove (6), first we observe that since  $\psi_A$  is monotone, bijective, and leaves  $\langle \mu, \mu \rangle$  fixed,  $\psi_A$  permutes the subset

$$Y = \{\langle u, v \rangle : \langle u, v \rangle \not\geq \langle \mu, \mu \rangle\}$$

of  $\text{Con}^2(A)$ . Since  $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  are the only maximal elements of  $Y$ ,  $\psi_A$  either interchanges these two elements or leaves both elements fixed. Suppose  $\psi_A(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle$ . (This assumption will soon imply  $\psi_A = \text{inv}_A$  while the case  $\psi_A(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ , not to be detailed, gives  $\psi_A = \text{id}_A$  analogously.) Let us compute, using (3) frequently:  $\psi_A(\langle \mu, 1 \rangle) = \psi_A(\langle 0, 1 \rangle \vee \langle \mu, \mu \rangle) = \psi_A(\langle 0, 1 \rangle) \vee \psi_A(\langle \mu, \mu \rangle) = \langle 1, 0 \rangle \vee \langle \mu, \mu \rangle = \langle 1, \mu \rangle$ ; applying the involution operation to both sides we conclude  $\psi_A(\langle 1, \mu \rangle) = \langle \mu, 1 \rangle$ ; for  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \geq \langle \mu, \mu \rangle$  we have  $\psi_A(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) = \psi_A((\langle \mu, 1 \rangle \vee \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle) \wedge (\langle 1, \mu \rangle \vee \langle \beta, \beta \rangle)) = (\psi_A(\langle \mu, 1 \rangle) \vee \psi_A(\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle)) \wedge (\psi_A(\langle 1, \mu \rangle) \vee \psi_A(\langle \beta, \beta \rangle)) = (\langle 1, \mu \rangle \vee \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle) \wedge (\langle \mu, 1 \rangle \vee \langle \beta, \beta \rangle) = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ ; for any  $\gamma \in \text{Con}(A)$  we obtain  $\psi_A(\langle \gamma, 0 \rangle) = \psi_A(\langle 1, 0 \rangle \wedge \langle \gamma, \mu \rangle) = \psi_A(\langle 1, 0 \rangle) \wedge \psi_A(\langle \gamma, \mu \rangle) = \langle 0, 1 \rangle \wedge \langle \mu, \gamma \rangle = \langle 0, \gamma \rangle$ ; and  $\psi_A(\langle 0, \gamma \rangle) = \langle \gamma, 0 \rangle$  follows similarly. Having taken all elements of  $\text{Con}^2(A)$  into consideration we have shown that  $\psi_A = \text{inv}_A$ . This proves (6).

Armed with (4) and (6) we conclude that  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(\psi)$  is an  $H$ -partition, provided  $\psi$  is a natural equivalence.

Now let us assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $H$ -partition, and let  $\psi = \psi(\mathcal{D})$ . We have to show that  $\psi$  is a natural equivalence. We claim that

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{If } C \in \mathcal{S} \text{ is a homomorphic image of } A \in \mathcal{L} \text{ such} \\ &\text{that } A \text{ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of} \\ &\text{finitely many } B_i \in \mathcal{D}_j \text{ then } C \in \mathcal{D}_j. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, by the assumptions there are  $\gamma, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \text{Con}(A)$  such that  $A/\beta_i \in \mathcal{D}_j$ ,  $A/\gamma \cong C$  and  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \beta_i = 0$ . By distributivity we have  $\gamma = \gamma \vee 0 = \gamma \vee \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \beta_i = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\gamma \vee \beta_i)$ . Since  $C$  is subdirectly irreducible,  $\gamma$  is meet-irreducible in  $\text{Con}(A)$  and we obtain  $\gamma = \gamma \vee \beta_i$ , i.e.  $\gamma \geq \beta_i$  for some  $i$ . Therefore  $C \cong A/\gamma$  is a homomorphic image of  $A/\beta_i \in \mathcal{D}_j$ . This yields  $C \in \mathcal{D}_j$ , proving (7).

Now let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Con}(A)$  be the congruences from Theorem 1. (I.e.,  $A/\alpha_j$  is a subdirect product of some members of  $\mathcal{D}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and  $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 = 0$ .) We assert that

$$(8) \quad \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 = 1.$$

Suppose this is not the case. Then  $A/(\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2)$  is not the one-element lattice, whence it has a homomorphic image  $C$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . (Indeed,  $A/(\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2)$  is a subdirect product of some lattices in  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $C$  can be any of the factors of this subdirect decomposition.) But then, by (7),  $C$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}_j$  for  $j = 1$  and  $j = 2$  since it is a homomorphic image of  $A/\alpha_j$ . This contradicts  $\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathcal{D}_2 = \emptyset$ , proving (8).

Now we claim that

$$(9) \quad \alpha_1 \text{ and } \alpha_2 \text{ exist and they are uniquely determined.}$$

If  $0 \in \text{Con}(A)$  is meet-irreducible, i.e.  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ , then let  $\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle$  be  $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  or  $\langle 1, 0 \rangle$  depending on  $A \in \mathcal{D}_1$  or  $A \in \mathcal{D}_2$ , respectively. Otherwise 0 is the meet  $\beta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \beta_k$  of some meet-irreducible congruences  $\beta_i$ , and we may put

$$\alpha_j = \bigwedge_{\substack{i=1 \\ A/\beta_i \in \mathcal{D}_j}}^k \beta_i, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Now, having seen the existence, suppose that besides  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  the pair  $\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2$  also satisfies the corresponding definition. Hence there are congruences  $\gamma_i, \gamma_j, \delta_k, \delta_\ell \in \text{Con}(A)$  such that

$$\bigwedge_{i \in J} \gamma_i = \alpha_1, \quad \bigwedge_{j \in J'} \gamma_j = \alpha'_1, \quad \bigwedge_{k \in K} \delta_k = \alpha_2, \quad \bigwedge_{\ell \in K'} \delta_\ell = \alpha'_2.$$

and  $A/\gamma_i, A/\gamma_j \in \mathcal{D}_1, A/\delta_k, A/\delta_\ell \in \mathcal{D}_2$ . Put  $\alpha''_1 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha'_1$  and  $\alpha''_2 = \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha'_2$ . From  $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 = 0$  we have  $\alpha''_1 \wedge \alpha''_2 = 0$ . Since

$$\bigwedge_{i \in J \cup J'} \gamma_i = \alpha''_1, \quad \bigwedge_{k \in K \cup K'} \delta_k = \alpha''_2,$$

the pair  $\alpha''_1, \alpha''_2$  also meets the requirements of the definition. We obtain from (8) that  $\alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 = 1$  and  $\alpha''_1 \vee \alpha''_2 = 1$ . By distributivity,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1 \wedge 1 = \alpha_1 \wedge (\alpha''_1 \vee \alpha''_2) = (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha''_1) \vee (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha''_2)$ . But  $\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha''_2 \leq \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 = 0$ , whence  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha''_1$ . Hence  $\alpha_1 = \alpha''_1$ , and  $\alpha_2 = \alpha''_2$  follows similarly. Therefore  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha'_1$  and  $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha'_2$ , and the reverse inequalities follow similarly. This yields (9).

Now we are ready to prove that  $\psi = \psi(\mathcal{D})$  is a natural equivalence. Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a surjective lattice homomorphism with kernel  $\mu \in \text{Con}(A)$ ; we have to show that the diagram (1) commutes. Consider the congruences  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \text{Con}(A)$  resp.  $\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2 \in \text{Con}(B)$  occurring in the definition of  $\psi_A$  resp.  $\psi_B$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $(A/\mu)/((\alpha_i \vee \mu)/\mu) \cong A/(\alpha_i \vee \mu)$  can be decomposed into a subdirect product of finitely many members of  $\mathcal{S}$ . These subdirectly irreducible factors are homomorphic images of  $A/(\alpha_i \vee \mu)$ , so they are homomorphic images of  $A/\alpha_i$  as well. By (7), they all belong to  $\mathcal{D}_i$ . Further,  $(\alpha_1 \vee \mu) \wedge (\alpha_2 \vee \mu) = (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) \vee \mu = 0 \vee \mu = \mu$  yields  $(\alpha_1 \vee \mu)/\mu \wedge (\alpha_2 \vee \mu)/\mu = 0$ . Therefore we infer from (9) that  $\alpha'_1 = (\alpha_1 \vee \mu)/\mu$  and  $\alpha'_2 = (\alpha_2 \vee \mu)/\mu$ .

Now let  $\langle \gamma', \delta' \rangle \in \text{Con}^2(B)$ , and denote  $\hat{f}(\gamma')$  and  $\hat{f}(\delta')$  by  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$ , respectively. Then  $\text{Con}^2(f)(\langle \gamma', \delta' \rangle) = \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle$ . To check the commutativity of (1) we have to show that  $\text{Con}^2(f)$  sends  $\psi_B(\langle \gamma', \delta' \rangle) = \langle (\gamma' \vee \alpha'_1) \wedge (\delta' \vee \alpha'_2), (\delta' \vee \alpha'_1) \wedge (\gamma' \vee \alpha'_2) \rangle$  to  $\psi_A(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle) = \langle (\gamma \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2), (\delta \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2) \rangle$ . Since  $\hat{f} : \text{Con}(B) \rightarrow \text{Con}(A)$  is a lattice homomorphism and sends  $\alpha'_i, \gamma', \delta'$  to  $\alpha_i \vee \mu, \gamma, \delta$  respectively,  $\text{Con}^2(f)(\psi_B(\langle \gamma', \delta' \rangle)) = \langle (\gamma \vee \alpha_1 \vee \mu) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2 \vee \mu), (\delta \vee \alpha_1 \vee \mu) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2 \vee \mu) \rangle$ . But this equals  $\psi_A(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle)$  by  $\gamma \geq \mu$  and  $\delta \geq \mu$ , indeed. We have seen that  $\psi$  is a natural transformation.

Clearly,  $\psi_A$  is monotone and preserves the operation  $*$ . So, in order to show that it is a lattice isomorphism, it suffices to show that  $\psi_A \circ \psi_A = \text{id}_A$ . Let us compute

for  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in \text{Con}^2(A)$ , using first modularity, then (8) and distributivity:

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_A \circ \psi_A(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle) &= \psi_A(\langle (\gamma \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2), (\delta \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2) \rangle) = \\
&\langle (((\gamma \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2)) \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (((\delta \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2)) \vee \alpha_2), \\
&\quad (((\delta \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2)) \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (((\gamma \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2)) \vee \alpha_2) \rangle = \\
&\langle ((\gamma \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2 \vee \alpha_1)) \wedge ((\delta \vee \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2)), \\
&\quad ((\delta \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2 \vee \alpha_1)) \wedge ((\gamma \vee \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2)) \rangle = \\
&\langle ((\gamma \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta \vee 1)) \wedge ((\delta \vee 1) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2)), \\
&\quad ((\delta \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\gamma \vee 1)) \wedge ((\gamma \vee 1) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2)) \rangle = \\
&\langle (\gamma \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\gamma \vee \alpha_2), (\delta \vee \alpha_1) \wedge (\delta \vee \alpha_2) \rangle = \\
&\langle \gamma \vee (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2), \delta \vee (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2) \rangle = \langle \gamma \vee 0, \delta \vee 0 \rangle = \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

indeed. Thus, for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\psi_A$  is an isomorphism, whence  $\psi = \psi(\mathcal{D})$  is a natural equivalence.

It is straightforward from the definitions that for any  $H$ -partition  $\mathcal{D}$  we have  $\mathcal{D}(\psi(\mathcal{D})) = \mathcal{D}$ .

Now let us assume that  $\psi$  is a natural equivalence and let  $\psi' = \psi(\mathcal{D}(\psi))$ . We have to show that, for any  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\psi_A = \psi'_A$ . This is clear if  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ ; assume this is not the case. Suppose  $A$  is a finite subdirect product of members of  $\mathcal{D}_j$  for some  $j = 1, 2$ . We claim that

$$(10) \quad \psi_A = \text{id}_A \text{ for } j = 1 \text{ and } \psi_A = \text{inv}_A \text{ for } j = 2.$$

To show (10), observe that  $0 = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \beta_i$  holds in  $\text{Con}(A)$  for some  $\beta_i$  such that  $A/\beta_i \in \mathcal{D}_j$  for all  $i$ . We will detail the case  $j = 2$  only, for the case  $j = 1$  is quite similar. For any  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in \text{Con}^2(A)$  we obtain  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \vee 0 = \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \vee \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \langle \beta_i, \beta_i \rangle = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \vee \langle \beta_i, \beta_i \rangle) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \langle \gamma \vee \beta_i, \delta \vee \beta_i \rangle$ , i.e.,

$$(11) \quad \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \langle \gamma \vee \beta_i, \delta \vee \beta_i \rangle.$$

Since  $\psi_{A/\beta_i} = \psi'_{A/\beta_i} = \text{inv}_{A/\beta_i}$ , (5) yields  $\psi_A(\langle \gamma \vee \beta_i, \delta \vee \beta_i \rangle) = \langle \delta \vee \beta_i, \gamma \vee \beta_i \rangle$ , whence (10) follows easily from (11).

Now let  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  be arbitrary and let  $\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle \in \text{Con}^2(A)$ . Similarly to (11) we have

$$(12) \quad \langle \gamma, \delta \rangle = \langle \gamma \vee \alpha_1, \delta \vee \alpha_1 \rangle \wedge \langle \gamma \vee \alpha_2, \delta \vee \alpha_2 \rangle.$$

From (5) and (10) we obtain  $\psi_A(\langle \gamma \vee \alpha_1, \delta \vee \alpha_1 \rangle) = \langle \gamma \vee \alpha_1, \delta \vee \alpha_1 \rangle$  and  $\psi_A(\langle \gamma \vee \alpha_2, \delta \vee \alpha_2 \rangle) = \langle \delta \vee \alpha_2, \gamma \vee \alpha_2 \rangle$ . Therefore  $\psi_A(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle) = \psi'_A(\langle \gamma, \delta \rangle)$  follows from 12, completing the proof.  $\square$

Since any finite lattice has a simple homomorphic image, we immediately obtain

**Corollary 2.** *Given a prevariety  $\mathcal{L}$  of finite lattices, if two  $\text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Con}^2$  natural equivalences coincide on every simple lattice of  $\mathcal{L}$  then they coincide on the whole  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

Now let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a prevariety generated by a finite set  $K$  of finite lattices<sup>1</sup>. By a celebrated result of Jónsson [12], each subdirectly irreducible lattice in  $\mathcal{L}$  is a

---

<sup>1</sup> $\mathcal{L}$  is just the class of finite lattices of the variety generated by  $K$ ; this follows from Jónsson [12].



homomorphic image of a sublattice of some lattice in  $K$ . Therefore, apart from isomorphic copies,  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{L})$  is finite. This short argument proves

**Corollary 3.** *There is an algorithm which produces for any finite set  $K$  of finite lattices, as input, a description of the (necessarily finitely many) natural equivalences from the functor  $\text{Quord} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  (or, equivalently, from the functor  $\text{Con}^2 : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ ) to the functor  $\text{Con}^2 : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the prevariety generated by  $K$ .*

In virtue of Corollary 3 it is quite easy to present some examples. Let  $t(\mathcal{L}) = |T(\mathcal{L})|$ , the number of natural equivalences from the functor  $\text{Quord} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  to the functor  $\text{Con}^2 : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ . By  $M_n$  and  $N_5$  we denote the modular lattice of height two with exactly  $n$  atoms and the five-element nondistributive lattice, respectively. For  $1 \leq n < \infty$  let  $\mathcal{L}_n$  resp.  $\mathcal{L}'_n$  be the prevariety generated by  $M_{n+1}$  resp.  $\{M_{n+1}, N_5\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is the class of finite distributive lattices. Clearly,  $\mathcal{L}_{\aleph_0} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_n$  and  $\mathcal{L}'_{\aleph_0} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}'_n$  are prevarieties, too.

**Example 4.** *For  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \aleph_0$ ,  $t(\mathcal{L}_n) = t(\mathcal{L}'_n) = 2^n$ .*

The straightforward proof, based on Corollary 3 and the aforementioned result of Jónsson, is left to the reader.

To conclude the paper with an open problem we mention that  $t(\{\text{all finite lattices}\})$ ,  $t(\{\text{all lattices}\})$  and  $t(\{\text{all distributive lattices}\})$  are still unknown.

## REFERENCES

1. S. L. Bloom, *Varieties of ordered algebras*, J. Comput. System Sci. **13** (1976), 200–212.
2. I. Chajda and J. Rachůnek, *Relational characterizations of permutable and  $n$ -permutable varieties*, Czech. Math. J. **33** (1963), 505–508.
3. I. Chajda and G. Czédli, *Four notes on quasiorder lattices*, submitted, Mathematica Slovaca.
4. I. Chajda and A. G. Pinus, *On quasiorders of universal algebras*, Algebra i Logika **32** (1993), 308–325. (Russian)
5. G. Czédli, *On word problem of lattices with the help of graphs*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica **23** (1991), 49 – 58.
6. G. Czédli, *A Horn sentence for involution lattices of quasiorders*, Order (to appear).
7. G. Czédli, A. P. Huhn and L. Szabó, *On compatible ordering of lattices*, Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, 33. Contributions to Lattice Theory, Szeged (Hungary), 1980, pp. 87–99.
8. G. Czédli and A. Lenkehegyi, *On classes of ordered algebras and quasiorder distributivity*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **46** (1983), 41–54.
9. G. Czédli and L. Szabó, *Quasiorders of lattices versus pairs of congruences*, Submitted, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged).
10. G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt, *Characterizations of congruence lattices of abstract algebras*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **24** (1963), 34–59.
11. B. Jónsson, *On the representation of lattices*, Math. Scandinavica **1** (1953), 193–206.
12. B. Jónsson, *Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive*, Mathematica Scandinavica **21** (1967), 110–121.
13. M. Kolibiar, *On compatible ordering in semilattices*, Contributions to General Algebra 2, Proceedings of the Klagenfurt Conference, 1982, Verlag Hölder—Pichler—Tempsky, Wien, 1983, pp. 215–220.
14. A. G. Pinus, *On lattices of quasiorders of universal algebras*, Algebra i Logika (to appear). (Russian)
15. P. Pudlák and J. Tůma, *Yeast graphs and fermentation of algebraic lattices*, Lattice Theory, Proc. Lattice Theory Conf. (Szeged 1974), Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, vol. 14, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976, pp. 301–341.
16. L. Szabó, *Characterization of compatible quasiorderings of lattice ordered algebras*.
17. M. Tischendorf and J. Tůma, *The characterization of congruence lattices of lattices*.

18. Ph. M. Whitman, *Lattices, equivalence relations, and subgroups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **52** (1946), 507–522.

JATE BOLYAI INTÉZETE, SZEGED, ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1, H-6720 HUNGARY  
*E-mail address:* `czedli@math.u-szeged.hu`