

# QUASIORDERS OF LATTICES VERSUS PAIRS OF CONGRUENCES

GÁBOR CZÉDLI AND LÁSZLÓ SZABÓ

ABSTRACT. Given a lattice  $L$ , the lattice, in fact the involution lattice,  $\text{Quord}(L)$  of quasiorders of  $L$  is shown to be isomorphic with  $\text{Con}^2(L)$ , the direct square of the congruence lattice of  $L$ . The isomorphism given is natural in category theoretic sense. As a corollary, a description of compatible partial orderings of a lattice is obtained.

*Dedicated to Professors László Leindler on his 60th and  
Károly Tandori on his 70th birthday*

## INTRODUCTION

A triplet  $L = \langle L; \vee, \wedge, * \rangle$  is called an *involution lattice* if  $L = \langle L; \vee, \wedge \rangle$  is a lattice and  $*$ :  $L \rightarrow L$  is a lattice automorphism such that  $(x^*)^* = x$  holds for all  $x \in L$ . To present a natural example, let us consider an algebra  $A$ . A binary relation  $\rho \subseteq A^2$  is called a *quasiorder* of  $A$  if  $\rho$  is reflexive, transitive and compatible. Defining  $\rho^* = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : \langle y, x \rangle \in \rho \}$ , the set  $\text{Quord}(A)$  of quasiorders of  $A$  becomes an involution lattice  $\text{Quord}(A) = \langle \text{Quord}(A); \vee, \wedge, * \rangle$ , where  $\wedge$  is the intersection and  $\vee$  is the transitive closure of the union. These involution lattices were studied in [1,3] and Chajda and Pinus [2]. The sublattice  $\{ \rho \in \text{Quord}(A) : \rho^* = \rho \} = \text{Con}(A)$  is just the congruence lattice of  $A$ . Let  $\text{Con}^2(A)$  denote the direct square of the lattice  $\text{Con}(A)$  equipped with the involution defined by  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle^* = \langle \beta, \alpha \rangle$ . Then  $\text{Con}^2(A)$  is an involution lattice.

For an arbitrary algebra  $A$ ,  $\text{Quord}(A)$  determines  $\text{Con}^2(A)$  up to isomorphism but not conversely. The aim of this short note is to show that if  $A$  is a lattice then the two involution lattices associated with  $A$  are isomorphic in a “natural” way. As corollaries, a description of compatible partial orderings of lattices and an abstract characterization of the involution lattices  $\text{Quord}(L)$  of lattices  $L$  will be obtained.

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  denote the category of all lattices in which the morphisms are the surjective lattice homomorphisms. For lattices  $A, B$  and a morphism  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ , let

$$\text{Quord}(\varphi): \text{Quord}(B) \rightarrow \text{Quord}(A), \quad \gamma \mapsto \{ \langle x, y \rangle \in A^2 : \langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle \in \gamma \}$$

and

$$\text{Con}^2(\varphi): \text{Con}^2(B) \rightarrow \text{Con}^2(A) \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mapsto \langle \varphi^{-1}(\alpha), \varphi^{-1}(\beta) \rangle,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 06B99, Secondary 08A30.

*Key words and phrases*. quasiorder, compatible order, lattice, involution lattice, natural equivalence.

This work of both authors was partially supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA) grant no. 1903

where  $\varphi^{-1}(\delta) = \{\langle x, y \rangle \in A^2: \langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle \in \delta\}$ . The category of all involution lattices with all homomorphisms will be denoted by  $\mathcal{V}$ . (Note that we could consider the injective homomorphisms only). It is straightforward to check that  $\text{Quord}$  and  $\text{Con}^2$  are functors from  $\mathcal{L}$  to  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## RESULTS AND PROOFS

For a lattice  $A$ , let  $\rho = \{\langle x, y \rangle: x = x \wedge y\}$  denote the usual lattice order  $\leq$ , and consider the maps

$$\tau_A: \text{Quord}(A) \rightarrow \text{Con}^2(A), \quad \gamma \mapsto \langle (\gamma \wedge \rho) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho^*), (\gamma \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho) \rangle$$

and

$$\nu_A: \text{Con}^2(A) \rightarrow \text{Quord}(A), \quad \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mapsto (\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*).$$

In the above formulas,  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  and  $*$  are taken in the domain of  $\tau_A$  resp.  $\nu_A$ .

**Theorem 1.**  *$\tau$  is a natural equivalence from the functor  $\text{Quord}$  to the functor  $\text{Con}^2$ . The inverse of  $\tau$  is  $\nu: \text{Con}^2 \rightarrow \text{Quord}$ .*

*Proof.* In  $\text{Quord}(A)$ ,  $\rho^*$  is a complement of  $\rho$ , and  $\text{Quord}(A)$  is a distributive lattice by [5, Cor. 5.2 and pages 53–54] (cf. also Chajda and Pinus [2, p. 315] for a simpler proof). Therefore the map  $\kappa: \text{Quord}(A) \rightarrow [0, \rho] \times [0, \rho^*]$ ,  $x \mapsto \langle x \wedge \rho, x \wedge \rho^* \rangle$  is a lattice isomorphism with inverse  $\kappa': [0, \rho] \times [0, \rho^*] \rightarrow \text{Quord}(A)$ ,  $\langle x, y \rangle \mapsto x \vee y$  by Grätzer [6, Thm. 14 on p. 169 plus the remark after it]. Since  $(x \vee x^*)^* = x \vee x^*$ ,  $\lambda: x \mapsto x \vee x^*$  is  $[0, \rho] \rightarrow \text{Con}(A)$  map. Consider the map  $\lambda': \text{Con}(A) \rightarrow [0, \rho]$ ,  $x \mapsto x \wedge \rho$ . By distributivity, for  $x \in [0, \rho]$  we have  $\lambda'(\lambda(x)) = (x \vee x^*) \wedge \rho = (x \wedge \rho) \vee (x^* \wedge \rho) = x \vee (x \wedge \rho^*)^* = x \vee (x \wedge \rho \wedge \rho^*)^* = x \vee 0 = x$ , and for  $y \in \text{Con}(A) \subseteq \text{Quord}(A)$  we obtain  $\lambda(\lambda'(y)) = (y \wedge \rho) \vee (y \wedge \rho)^* = (y \wedge \rho) \vee (y^* \wedge \rho^*) = (y \wedge \rho) \vee (y \wedge \rho^*) = y \wedge (\rho \vee \rho^*) = y \wedge 1 = y$ . Since both  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda'$  are monotone, they are reciprocal lattice isomorphisms. Similarly,  $\mu: [0, \rho^*] \rightarrow \text{Con}(A)$ ,  $x \mapsto x \vee x^*$  and  $\mu': \text{Con}(A) \rightarrow [0, \rho^*]$ ,  $x \mapsto x \wedge \rho^*$  are reciprocal lattice isomorphisms as well. Thus,  $\tau_A = (\lambda \times \mu) \circ \kappa$  is a lattice isomorphism with inverse  $\kappa' \circ (\lambda' \times \mu') = \nu_A$ . Clearly, both  $\tau_A$  and  $\nu_A$  preserve the involution operation  $*$ . This proves that  $\tau_A$  and  $\nu_A$  are isomorphisms and inverses of each other.

To prove that  $\tau$  is a natural transformation, let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  a surjective lattice homomorphism, and let  $\varphi_q$  and  $\varphi_c$  denote  $\text{Quord}(\varphi)$  and  $\text{Con}^2(\varphi)$ , respectively. We have to show that the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Quord}(B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_q} & \text{Quord}(A) \\ \tau_B \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_A \\ \text{Con}^2(B) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_c} & \text{Con}^2(A) \end{array}$$

commutes. Let  $\gamma \in \text{Quord}(B)$  and  $\delta := \varphi_q(\gamma)$ ; we have to show that  $\varphi_c$  sends  $\tau_B(\gamma) = \langle (\gamma \wedge \rho) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho^*), (\gamma \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho) \rangle$  to  $\tau_A(\delta) = \langle (\delta \wedge \rho) \vee (\delta^* \wedge \rho^*), (\delta \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\delta^* \wedge \rho) \rangle$ . This means that, for any  $x, y \in A$ ,  $\langle x, y \rangle \in (\delta \wedge \rho) \vee (\delta^* \wedge \rho^*)$  iff  $\langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle \in (\gamma \wedge \rho) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho^*)$ . The details for the second components are analogous and will be omitted. Suppose  $\langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle \in (\gamma \wedge \rho) \vee (\gamma^* \wedge \rho^*)$ . Then there is an  $n \geq 1$  and there are elements  $b_0 = \varphi(x), b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2n} = \varphi(y)$  in  $B$  such that  $\langle b_i, b_{i+1} \rangle \in \gamma \wedge \rho$  for  $i$  even and  $\langle b_i, b_{i+1} \rangle \in \gamma^* \wedge \rho^*$  for  $i$  odd,  $i < 2n$ .

Let  $a'_0 = x$ ,  $a'_{2n} = y$ , and for  $i = 1, \dots, 2n-1$  let  $a'_i \in A$  such that  $\varphi(a'_i) = b_i$ . Put  $a_i = a'_i$  for  $i$  even and  $a_i = a'_i \vee a'_{i-1} \vee a'_{i+1}$  for  $i$  odd. For  $i$  odd we obtain  $\varphi(a_i) = \varphi(a'_i) \vee \varphi(a'_{i-1}) \vee \varphi(a'_{i+1}) = b_i \vee b_{i-1} \vee b_{i+1} = b_i$ , whence  $\varphi(a_i) = b_i$  holds for all  $i$ . Since  $\langle a_i, a_{i+1} \rangle \in \delta \wedge \rho$  for  $i$  even and  $\langle a_i, a_{i+1} \rangle \in \delta^* \wedge \rho^*$  for  $i$  odd, we conclude  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle a_0, a_{2n} \rangle \in (\delta \wedge \rho) \vee (\delta^* \wedge \rho^*)$ . Since the proof of the converse implication is straightforward we have shown that  $\tau$  is a natural transformation. Thus both  $\tau$  and its inverse  $\nu$  are natural equivalences.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** The fact that  $\text{Quord}(A)$  and  $\text{Con}^2(A)$  are isomorphic via  $\tau_A$  and  $\nu_A$  was proved in [8]. Although [8] and, up to the authors' best knowledge,  $\text{Quord}(A) \cong \text{Con}^2(A)$  have never appeared in print, [8] and the following corollary from it were cited in Rosenberg and Schweigert [7]. Our lattice theoretic proof of  $\text{Quord}(A) \cong \text{Con}^2(A)$  is much simpler than [8] and offers an easier approach to Corollary 1.

**Corollary 1.** ([8], [7], for finite lattices [4]) *Every compatible (partial) order  $\gamma$  of a lattice  $A$  is induced by a subdirect representation of  $A$  as a subdirect product of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \gamma$  iff  $x_1 \leq y_1$  in  $A_1$  and  $x_2 \geq y_2$  in  $A_2$ . Conversely, any relation derived from a subdirect decomposition this way is a compatible ordering of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \in \text{Con}^2(A)$ , suppose  $\nu_A(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)$  is an ordering. Then  $\nu_A(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) \wedge \nu_A(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)^* = 0$ . Computing by distributivity and using  $\alpha^* = \alpha$ ,  $\beta^* = \beta$  we obtain  $0 = \nu_A(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) \wedge \nu_A(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)^* = ((\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*)) \wedge ((\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*))^* = ((\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*)) \wedge ((\alpha \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho)) = (\alpha \wedge \rho \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho \wedge \rho^*) = (\alpha \wedge 0) \vee (\alpha \wedge \beta \wedge (\rho \vee \rho^*)) \vee (\beta \wedge 0) = \alpha \wedge \beta \wedge 1 = \alpha \wedge \beta$ . From  $\alpha \wedge \beta = 0$  we infer that  $A$  is a subdirect product of  $A_1 = A/\beta$  and  $A_2 = A/\alpha$ . Since  $\nu_A(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle) = 0 \vee 0 \vee (\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*) = (\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\rho \wedge \rho^*) \vee (\alpha \wedge \rho) \vee (\beta \wedge \rho^*) = (\alpha \vee \rho^*) \wedge (\beta \vee \rho)$ , it is not hard to see that  $\nu_A(\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle)$  is induced by this subdirect decomposition. The rest of the corollary is evident.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** We have proved a bit more than stated. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a variety with two distinguished binary terms  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  in its language such that the reduct  $\langle A; \vee, \wedge \rangle$  is a lattice for each  $A \in \mathcal{L}$  and all basic operations of  $A$  are monotone with respect to the natural ordering of this lattice. E.g.,  $\mathcal{L}$  can be the variety of lattice-ordered semigroups or that of involution lattices. Then Theorem 1 and, for  $A \in \mathcal{L}$ , Corollary 1 are still valid.

## REFERENCES

1. I. Chajda and G. Czédli, *Four notes on quasiorder lattices*, *Mathematica Slovaca*.
2. I. Chajda and A. G. Pinus, *On quasiorders of universal algebras*, *Algebra i Logika* **32** (1993), 308–325.
3. G. Czédli, *A Horn sentence for involution lattices of quasiorders*, *Order*.
4. G. Czédli, A. P. Huhn and L. Szabó, *On compatible ordering of lattices*, *Colloquia Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, 33. Contributions to Lattice Theory, Szeged (Hungary), 1980, pp. 87–99.
5. G. Czédli and A. Lenkehegyi, *On classes of ordered algebras and quasiorder distributivity*, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **46** (1983), 41–54.
6. G. Grätzer, *General Lattice Theory*, Akademie-Verlag (Berlin), Birkhäuser Verlag (Basel), Academic Press (New York, N. Y.), 1978.
7. I. G. Rosenberg and D. Schweigert, *Compatible orderings and tolerances of lattices*, *Annals of Discrete Math.* **23** (1984), 119–150.
8. L. Szabó, *Characterization of compatible quasiorderings of lattice ordered algebras*.

*E-mail address:* `czedli@math.u-szeged.hu`

JATE BOLYAI INTÉZETE, SZEGED, ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1.

*E-mail address:* `szabol@math.u-szeged.hu`