Monochromatic structures in two-edge-colored ordered complete graphs

János Barát

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary University of Pannonia, Veszprém, Hungary

07.02.2025

joint work with András Gyárfás and Géza Tóth

Co-authors





Preliminary results

J.Barát (Renyi and Pannon)

Preliminary results

Every 2-colored complete graph has a monochromatic spanning tree.

Every 2-colored complete graph has a monochromatic spanning tree.

Every 2-colored complete graph K_{3n-1} contains a monochromatic matching M_n and this is not true for K_{3n-2} .

Every 2-colored complete graph has a monochromatic spanning tree.

Every 2-colored complete graph K_{3n-1} contains a monochromatic matching M_n and this is not true for K_{3n-2} .

Preliminary geometric results

Preliminary geometric results

Károlyi, Pach and Tóth generalized both results to geometric graphs, that are graphs drawn in the plane with straight-line segments as edges.

Preliminary geometric results

Károlyi, Pach and Tóth generalized both results to geometric graphs, that are graphs drawn in the plane with straight-line segments as edges.

Theorem (KPT)

Every 2-colored complete geometric graph has a monochromatic plane spanning tree.

Theorem (KPT)

Every 2-colored complete geometric graph K_{3n-1} contains a monochromatic plane matching M_n .

Here a plane subgraph is one, whose edges in the embedding do not have common internal points.

Conjecture: Every 2-colored complete simple drawing has a monochromatic plane spanning tree.

Conjecture: Every 2-colored complete simple drawing has a monochromatic plane spanning tree.

OPEN

GOAL: Show it for the twisted drawing (Harborth, Mengersen '92)

Conjecture: Every 2-colored complete simple drawing has a monochromatic plane spanning tree.

OPEN

GOAL: Show it for the twisted drawing (Harborth, Mengersen '92)



Ordered graphs

Ordered graphs

An ordered graph G is a simple graph with $V(G) = [m] = \{1, 2, ..., m\}$. We also use $[i, j] = \{i, i + 1, ..., j\}$ The vertex set is considered with the natural ordering and the edges are denoted by (i, j), where we always assume i < j. The length of (i, j) is j-i.

Independent edges

Independent edges

Independent edges in ordered graphs can be classified as follows

Independent edges

Independent edges in ordered graphs can be classified as follows

- Edges (a, b) and (c, d) are crossing if either a < c < b < d or c < a < d < b.
- Edges (a, b) and (c, d) are nested if either a < c < d < b or c < a < b < d.
- Edges (a, b) and (c, d) are separated if either a < b < c < d or c < d < a < b.



6 types of questions

6 types of questions

	length of the	longest cycle	
	lower bound	upper bound	
nested	3	3	
crossing	?	n/2	
separated	3	3	
non-nested	?	2 <i>n</i> /3	ightarrow twisted drawing
non-crossing	$\sqrt{n/2}$	\sqrt{n}	ightarrow convex drawing
non-separated	<i>n</i> /4	2 <i>n</i> /3	

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph, there exists

- $\left(i\right)$ a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.
- (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.
- (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph, there exists

- $\left(i\right)$ a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.
- (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.
- (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.
- (i) Bialostocki and Dierker.

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph, there exists

- (i) a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.
- (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.
- (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.
- (i) Bialostocki and Dierker.
- (ii) connection to twisted drawings

Non-crossing spanning tree

Non-crossing spanning tree

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (i) a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.

Non-crossing spanning tree

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (i) a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.

If all edges (i, i + 1), i = 1, ..., n - 1 have the same color, then we are done, they form the desired spanning tree.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (i) a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.

If all edges (i, i + 1), i = 1, ..., n - 1 have the same color, then we are done, they form the desired spanning tree. Otherwise there is an i, 1 < i < n such that (i - 1, i) and (i, i + 1) have different colors. In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (i) a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.

If all edges (i, i + 1), i = 1, ..., n - 1 have the same color, then we are done, they form the desired spanning tree. Otherwise there is an i, 1 < i < n such that (i - 1, i) and (i, i + 1) have different colors.

Delete vertex *i*. Use induction.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, 2) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done,

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, 2) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done, Otherwise, let s be the smallest number such that (1, s) is red. We now change the coloring c to \tilde{c} as follows: we recolor each edge induced by [s-1] blue, and keep c otherwise. Consider the coloring \tilde{c} on [2, n] and apply the induction hypothesis.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, 2) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done,

Otherwise, let s be the smallest number such that (1, s) is red. We now change the coloring c to \tilde{c} as follows: we recolor each edge induced by [s-1] blue, and keep c otherwise. Consider the coloring \tilde{c} on [2, n] and apply the induction hypothesis.

Suppose first that we find a blue spanning tree *B* without nested edges. Delete the edges in *B* induced by [2, s - 1]. The resulting graph can also be found in the original coloring *c*. Now add the blue edges $(1, 2), (1, 3), \ldots, (1, s-1)$.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, 2) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done,

Otherwise, let s be the smallest number such that (1, s) is red. We now change the coloring c to \tilde{c} as follows: we recolor each edge induced by [s-1] blue, and keep c otherwise. Consider the coloring \tilde{c} on [2, n] and apply the induction hypothesis.

Suppose first that we find a blue spanning tree *B* without nested edges. Delete the edges in *B* induced by [2, s - 1]. The resulting graph can also be found in the original coloring *c*. Now add the blue edges $(1, 2), (1, 3), \ldots, (1, s-1)$.

Suppose now that we found a red spanning tree R on [2, n]. It cannot contain any edges induced by [2, s-1] since they are blue. So, R can also be found in the original coloring c. Simply add edge (1, s).

Non-separated spanning tree
In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, n) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done,

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, n) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done.

Otherwise, let s be the largest number such that (1, s) is red.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, n) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done,

Otherwise, let s be the largest number such that (1, s) is red. We now change the coloring c to \tilde{c} as follows: we recolor each edge induced by [s+1, n] blue, and keep c otherwise. Consider the coloring \tilde{c} on [2, n] and apply the induction hypothesis.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, n) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done,

Otherwise, let s be the largest number such that (1, s) is red. We now change the coloring c to \tilde{c} as follows: we recolor each edge induced by [s+1, n] blue, and keep c otherwise. Consider the coloring \tilde{c} on [2, n] and apply the induction hypothesis.

Suppose first that we find a blue spanning tree *B* without separated edges. Delete the edges of *B* induced by [s+1, n]. The resulting graph can also be found in the original coloring *c*. Now add the blue edges $(1, s+1), \ldots, (1, n)$.

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there exists (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

Let c be any 2-coloring of the edges. (1, n) is blue. If (1, i) is blue for every $i, 2 \le i \le n$, then we are done,

Otherwise, let s be the largest number such that (1, s) is red. We now change the coloring c to \tilde{c} as follows: we recolor each edge induced by [s+1, n] blue, and keep c otherwise. Consider the coloring \tilde{c} on [2, n] and apply the induction hypothesis.

Suppose first that we find a blue spanning tree *B* without separated edges. Delete the edges of *B* induced by [s+1, n]. The resulting graph can also be found in the original coloring *c*. Now add the blue edges $(1, s+1), \ldots, (1, n)$.

Suppose now that we found a red spanning tree R on [2, n]. It cannot contain any edges induced by [s + 1, n] since they are blue. So, R can also be found in the original coloring c. Simply add edge (1, s).

Monochromatic spanning subgraphs

Monochromatic spanning subgraphs

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph, there exists

 $\left(i\right)$ a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.

- (ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.
- (iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

Monochromatic spanning subgraphs

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

In every 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph, there exists

(i) a monochromatic non-crossing spanning tree.

(ii) a monochromatic non-nested spanning tree.

(iii) a monochromatic non-separated spanning tree.

Proposition (JB, AGy, GT)

(i) There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-crossing monochromatic subgraph with n edges. (ii) There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-nested monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-crossing monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-crossing monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color all edges (i, i + 1) blue, for $1 \le i \le n - 1$, all other edges red.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-crossing monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color all edges (i, i + 1) blue, for $1 \le i \le n - 1$, all other edges red. Let *H* be a non-crossing subgraph with red edges.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-crossing monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color all edges (i, i + 1) blue, for $1 \le i \le n - 1$, all other edges red. Let H be a non-crossing subgraph with red edges. Consider the convex drawing of K_n , where the blue edges are on the outer cycle. Now H becomes a planar subgraph of the convex drawing.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-crossing monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color all edges (i, i + 1) blue, for $1 \le i \le n - 1$, all other edges red. Let H be a non-crossing subgraph with red edges. Consider the convex drawing of K_n , where the blue edges are on the outer cycle. Now H becomes a planar subgraph of the convex drawing. Let us add all edges of the outer cycle (n - 1 blue and possibly one red) to H to get H'.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-crossing monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color all edges (i, i + 1) blue, for $1 \le i \le n - 1$, all other edges red. Let H be a non-crossing subgraph with red edges. Consider the convex drawing of K_n , where the blue edges are on the outer cycle. Now H becomes a planar subgraph of the convex drawing. Let us add all edges of the outer cycle (n - 1 blue and possibly one red) to H to get H'. It is still an outerplanar graph. Therefore, H' has at most 2n - 3 edges,

n-1 of them are blue.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-crossing monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color all edges (i, i + 1) blue, for $1 \le i \le n - 1$, all other edges red. Let H be a non-crossing subgraph with red edges. Consider the convex drawing of K_n , where the blue edges are on the outer cycle. Now H becomes a planar subgraph of the convex drawing. Let us add all edges of the outer cycle (n - 1 blue and possibly one red) to H to get H'.

It is still an outerplanar graph. Therefore, H' has at most 2n - 3 edges, n - 1 of them are blue.

Thus *H* can have at most n - 2 edges.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-nested monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-nested monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color edge (i, j) blue if i + j is even and red, if i + j is odd.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-nested monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color edge (i, j) blue if i + j is even and red, if i + j is odd. For the red edges, the value of i + j can have at most n - 1 different values.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-nested monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color edge (i, j) blue if i + j is even and red, if i + j is odd. For the red edges, the value of i + j can have at most n - 1 different values.

So, among *n* red edges, there are two with i + j = i' + j', therefore, these edges are nested.

There is a 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], which does not contain a non-nested monochromatic subgraph with n edges.

Color edge (i, j) blue if i + j is even and red, if i + j is odd. For the red edges, the value of i + j can have at most n - 1 different values.

So, among *n* red edges, there are two with i + j = i' + j', therefore, these edges are nested.

The argument is the same for the blue edges.

Proposition (JB, AGy, GT)

In any 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there is a non-separated monochromatic subgraph of $\lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$ edges.

Proposition (JB, AGy, GT)

In any 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there is a non-separated monochromatic subgraph of $\lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$ edges.

Consider all edges (i,j) with $i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor < j$.

Proposition (JB, AGy, GT)

In any 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there is a non-separated monochromatic subgraph of $\lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$ edges.

Consider all edges (i,j) with $i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor < j$. # edges = $\frac{n^2}{4}$

Proposition (JB, AGy, GT)

In any 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there is a non-separated monochromatic subgraph of $\lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$ edges.

```
Consider all edges (i,j) with i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor < j.
# edges = \frac{n^2}{4}
no separated pairs
```

Proposition (JB, AGy, GT)

In any 2-coloring of the ordered complete graph on [n], there is a non-separated monochromatic subgraph of $\lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$ edges.

```
Consider all edges (i,j) with i \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor < j.
# edges = \frac{n^2}{4}
no separated pairs
majority color
```

Every 2-colored complete graph K_{3n-1} contains a monochromatic matching M_n

Every 2-colored complete graph K_{3n-1} contains a monochromatic matching M_n

Conjecture

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n - 1] contains a monochromatic non-nested matching M_n

Every 2-colored complete graph K_{3n-1} contains a monochromatic matching M_n

Conjecture

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n - 1] contains a monochromatic non-nested matching M_n

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

If an ordered complete graph on [3n-1] contains either (i) a red K_{2n-1} or (ii) a blue $K_{n-1,2n}$ as a subgraph, then there is a monochromatic non-nested M_n .

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n-1] contains a monochromatic non-crossing M_n .

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n-1] contains a monochromatic non-crossing M_n .

This follows from a more general theorem by Károlyi, Pach and Tóth.

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n-1] contains a monochromatic non-crossing M_n .

This follows from a more general theorem by Károlyi, Pach and Tóth. here is a simple proof
Non-crossing matchings

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n-1] contains a monochromatic non-crossing M_n .

This follows from a more general theorem by Károlyi, Pach and Tóth. here is a simple proof Consider the Hamiltonian path.

Non-crossing matchings

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n-1] contains a monochromatic non-crossing M_n .

This follows from a more general theorem by Károlyi, Pach and Tóth. here is a simple proof Consider the Hamiltonian path. Either it is monochromatic or Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n-1] contains a monochromatic non-crossing M_n .

This follows from a more general theorem by Károlyi, Pach and Tóth. here is a simple proof

Consider the Hamiltonian path.

Either it is monochromatic or

there are two consecutive edges of different colors.

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n-1] contains a monochromatic non-crossing M_n .

This follows from a more general theorem by Károlyi, Pach and Tóth. here is a simple proof Consider the Hamiltonian path. Either it is monochromatic or there are two consecutive edges of different colors. use induction.

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n - 1] contains a monochromatic non-separated M_n .

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n - 1] contains a monochromatic non-separated M_n .

Consider the complete bipartite graph on A = [1, n] and B = [2n, 3n-1].

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n - 1] contains a monochromatic non-separated M_n .

Consider the complete bipartite graph on A = [1, n] and B = [2n, 3n-1]. either this is monochromatic

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n - 1] contains a monochromatic non-separated M_n .

Consider the complete bipartite graph on A = [1, n] and B = [2n, 3n-1]. either this is monochromatic or we find a 2-colored V and use induction

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

Every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [3n - 1] contains a monochromatic non-separated M_n .

Consider the complete bipartite graph on A = [1, n] and B = [2n, 3n-1]. either this is monochromatic or we find a 2-colored V and use induction check the new edge and the non-separated property

Singular matchings

Singular matchings

Let $R_{nest}(t, n)$, $R_{cr}(t, n)$, $R_{sep}(t, n)$ be the smallest m such that every t-coloring of the edges of the ordered complete graph on [m] there is a monochromatic nested, crossing, separated matching, respectively, of size n.

Singular matchings

Let $R_{nest}(t, n)$, $R_{cr}(t, n)$, $R_{sep}(t, n)$ be the smallest m such that every t-coloring of the edges of the ordered complete graph on [m] there is a monochromatic nested, crossing, separated matching, respectively, of size n.

Theorem (JB, AGy, GT)

For $t, n \ge 2$ we have $R_{nest}(t, n) = 2(t(n-1)+1)$. For $t, n \ge 2$ we have $R_{cr}(t, n) = 2t(n-1)+1$. For $t, n \ge 2$ we have $R_{sep}(t, n) = 2(t(n-1)+1)$.

Theorem (Erdős-Gallai)

Every *n*-vertex graph with (k-1)(n-1)/2 + 1 edges contains a cycle of length at least *k*.

Theorem (Erdős-Gallai)

Every *n*-vertex graph with (k-1)(n-1)/2 + 1 edges contains a cycle of length at least *k*.

Theorem (KPT)

Every 2-coloring of the complete geometric graph with *n* vertices contains a cycle of length at least $\sqrt{k/2}$.

Theorem (Erdős-Gallai)

Every *n*-vertex graph with (k-1)(n-1)/2 + 1 edges contains a cycle of length at least *k*.

Theorem (KPT)

Every 2-coloring of the complete geometric graph with *n* vertices contains a cycle of length at least $\sqrt{k/2}$.

Cycles and colorings

	length of the	longest cycle
	lower bound	upper bound
nested	3	3
crossing	?	n/2
separated	3	3
non-nested	?	2 <i>n</i> /3
non-crossing	$\sqrt{n/2}$	\sqrt{n}
non-separated	n/4	2 <i>n</i> /3

Open questions

Open questions

Problem 1

What is the minimum number m such that every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [m] contains a monochromatic non-nested M_n ?

Open questions

Problem 1

What is the minimum number m such that every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [m] contains a monochromatic non-nested M_n ?

Problem 2

Show that every 2-colored ordered complete graph on [11] contains a monochromatic non-nested M_4 .