Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations
2010, No. **58**, 1-17; **http://www.math.u-szeged.hu/ejqtde/**

ON THE EXISTENCE OF MILD SOLUTIONS TO SOME SEMILINEAR FRACTIONAL INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

T. DIAGANA, G. M. MOPHOU, AND G. M. N'GUÉRÉKATA

Abstract. This paper deals with the existence of a mild solution for some fractional semilinear differential equations with non local conditions. Using a more appropriate definition of a mild solution than the one given in [12], we prove the existence and uniqueness of such solutions, assuming that the linear part is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup that is compact for $t > 0$ and the nonlinear part is a Lipschitz continuous function with respect to the norm of a certain interpolation space. An example is provided.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a Banach space and let $T > 0$. This paper is aimed at discussing about the existence and the uniqueness of a mild solution for the fractional semilinear integro-differential equation with nonlocal conditions in the form:

$$(1)\begin{cases} D^{\beta}\, x(t) = -Ax(t) + f(t, x(t)) + \displaystyle\int_0^t a(t-s)h(s, x(s))\, ds, \qquad t \in [0, T], \\ \\ x(0) + g(x) = x_0, \end{cases}$$

where the fractional derivative D^{β} $(0 < \beta < 1)$ is understood in the Caputo sense, the linear operator $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $(R(t))_{t\geq 0}$ that is uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{X}$ and compact for $t > 0$, the function $a(\cdot)$ is real-valued such that

$$a_T = \int_0^T a(s)\, ds < \infty, \tag{2}$$

the functions f, g and h are continuous, and the non local condition

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{p} c_k x(t_k),$$

with $c_k,\ k = 1, 2, ...p$, are given constants and $0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_p \leq T$.

Let us recall that those nonlocal conditions were first utilized by K. Deng [4]. In his paper, K. Deng indicated that using the nonlocal condition $x(0) + g(x) = x_0$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34K05; 34A12; 34A40.
Key words and phrases. fractional abstract differential equation, sectorial operator.

to describe for instance, the diffusion phenomenon of a small amount of gas in a transparent tube can give better result than using the usual local Cauchy Problem $x(0) = x_0$. Let us observe also that since Deng's paper, such problem has attracted several authors including A. Aizicovici, L. Byszewski, K. Ezzinbi, Z. Fan, J. Liu, J. Liang, Y. Lin, T.-J. Xiao, H. Lee, etc. (see for instance [1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 8, 7, 14, 11, 13] and the references therein).

This problem has been studied in Mophou and N'Guérékata [12]. In this paper, we revisit that work and use a more appropriate definition for mild solutions. Namely, we investigate the existence and the uniqueness of a mild solution for the fractional semilinear differential equation (1), assuming that f is defined on $[0,T] \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ where $\mathbb{X}_\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$ $(0 < \alpha < 1)$, the domain of the fractional powers of A.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some known preliminary results on the fractional powers of the generator of an analytic compact semigroup. In Section 3, we study the existence and the uniqueness of a mild solution for the fractional semilinear differential equation (1). We give an example to illustrate our abstract results.

2. Preliminaries

Let $I = [0,T]$ for $T > 0$ and let $\mathbb{X}$ be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let $\big(\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X}), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})}\big)$ be the Banach space of all linear bounded operators on $\mathbb{X}$ and $A : D(A) \to \mathbb{X}$ be a linear operator such that $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup of uniformly bounded linear operators $(R(t))_{t\geq 0}$, which is compact for $t > 0$. In particular, this means that there exists $M > 1$ such that

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \|R(t)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})} \leq M. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, we assume without loss of generality that $0 \in \rho(A)$. This allows us to define the fractional power A^α for $0 < \alpha < 1$, as a closed linear operator on its domain $D(A^\alpha)$ with inverse $A^{-\alpha}$(see [8]). We have the following basic properties for fractional powers A^α of A:

Theorem 2.1. *([15], pp. 69 -75). Under previous assumptions, then:*

(i) $\mathbb{X}_\alpha = D(A^\alpha)$ *is a Banach space with the norm* $\|x\|_\alpha := \|A^\alpha x\|$ *for* $x \in D(A^\alpha)$*;*
(ii) $R(t) : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ *for each* $t > 0$*;*
(iii) $A^\alpha R(t)x = R(t)A^\alpha x$ *for each* $x \in D(A^\alpha)$ *and* $t \geq 0$*;*

(iv) For every $t > 0$, $A^\alpha R(t)$ is bounded on $\mathbb{X}$ and there exist $M_\alpha > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\|A^\alpha R(t)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})} \leq \frac{M_\alpha}{t^\alpha} e^{-\delta t}; \tag{4}$$

(v) $A^{-\alpha}$ is a bounded linear operator in $\mathbb{X}$ with $D(A^\alpha) = Im(A^{-\alpha})$; and

(vi) If $0 < \alpha \leq \nu$, then $D(A^\nu) \hookrightarrow D(A^\alpha)$.

Remark 2.2. Observe as in [9] that by Theorem 2.1 (ii) and (iii), the restriction $R_\alpha(t)$ of $R(t)$ to $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$ is exactly the part of $R(t)$ in $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$.
Let $x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$. Since

$$\|R(t)x\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha R(t)x\| = \|R(t)A^\alpha x\| \leq \|R(t)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})}\|A^\alpha x\| = \|R(t)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})}\|x\|_\alpha,$$

and as t decreases to 0

$$\|R(t)x - x\|_\alpha = \|A^\alpha R(t)x - A^\alpha x\| = \|R(t)A^\alpha x - A^\alpha x\| \to 0,$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$, it follows that $(R(t))_{t\geq 0}$ is a family of strongly continuous semigroup on $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$ and $\|R_\alpha(t)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})} \leq \|R(t)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})}$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Lemma 2.3. [9] *The restriction $R_\alpha(t)$ of $R(t)$ to $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$ is an immediately compact semigroup in $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$, and hence it is immediately norm-continuous.*

Now, let Φ_β be the Mainardi function:

$$\Phi_\beta(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-z)^n}{n!\Gamma(-\beta n + 1 - \beta)}.$$

Then

$$\Phi_\beta(t) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t > 0; \tag{5a}$$

$$\int_0^\infty \Phi_\beta(t)dt = 1; \tag{5b}$$

$$\int_0^\infty t^\eta \Phi_\beta(t)dt = \frac{\Gamma(1+\eta)}{\Gamma(1+\beta\eta)}, \quad \forall \eta \in [0,1]. \tag{5c}$$

For more details we refer to [10].
We set

$$\mathbb{S}_\beta(t) = \int_0^\infty \Phi_\beta(\theta) R(\theta t^\beta)\, d\theta, \tag{6}$$

$$\mathbb{P}_\beta(t) = \int_0^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta)R(t^\beta\theta)d\theta \tag{7}$$

Then we have the following results

Lemma 2.4. [16] *Let $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ and $\mathbb{P}_\beta$ be the operators defined respectively by (6) and (7). Then*

(i) $\|\mathbb{S}_\beta(t)x\| \leq M\|x\|$; $\quad \|\mathbb{P}_\beta(t)x\| \leq M\dfrac{\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)}\|x\|$ *for all* $x \in \mathbb{X}$ *and* $t \geq 0$.

(ii) The operators $(\mathbb{S}_\beta(t))_{t\geq 0}$ *and* $(\mathbb{P}_\beta(t))_{t\geq 0}$ *are strongly continuous.*

(iii) The operators $(\mathbb{S}_\beta(t))_{t>0}$ *and* $(\mathbb{P}_\beta(t))_{t>0}$ *are compact.*

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ and $\mathbb{P}_\beta$ be the operators defined respectively by (6) and (7). Then*

$$\|\mathbb{S}_\beta(t)x\|_\alpha \leq M\,\|x\|_\alpha\,,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha,\ t \geq 0,$$

$$\|\mathbb{P}_\beta(t)x\|_\alpha \leq \begin{cases} \dfrac{\beta M_\alpha t^{-\beta\alpha}\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\|x\| & \textit{if} \quad x \in \mathbb{X},\ t > 0, \\ \dfrac{\beta}{\Gamma(1+\beta)}\|x\|_\alpha & \textit{if} \quad x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha,\ t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using (3) and (5b) we have for any $x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{S}_\beta(t)x\|_\alpha &= \left\|\int_0^\infty \Phi_\beta(\theta)R(\theta t^\beta)x\,d\theta x\right\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \Phi_\beta(\theta)\left\|A^\alpha R(\theta t^\beta)x\right\|\,d\theta x \\ &\leq M\int_0^\infty \Phi_\beta(\theta)\left\|A^\alpha x\right\|\,d\theta \\ &= M\,\|x\|_\alpha\,,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (4) and (5c), we can write for any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{P}_\beta(t)x\|_\alpha &= \left\|\int_0^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta)R(\theta t^\beta)x\,d\theta\right\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta)\left\|A^\alpha R(\theta t^\beta)x\right\|\,d\theta \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta)\|A^\alpha R(\theta t^\beta)\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})}\|x\|\,d\theta \\ &\leq \beta M_\alpha t^{-\alpha\beta}\|x\|\int_0^\infty \theta^{1-\alpha}\Phi_\beta(\theta)\,d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{\beta M_\alpha t^{-\beta\alpha}\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\,\|x\|\,,\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{P}_\beta(t)x\|_\alpha &= \left\|\int_0^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta)R(\theta t^\beta)x\,d\theta\right\|_\alpha \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta)\left\|A^\alpha R(\theta t^\beta)x\right\|\,d\theta \\ &\leq M\|x\|_\alpha \textstyle\int_0^\infty \beta\theta\Phi(\theta)d\theta \\ &= M\|x\|_\alpha\frac{\beta}{\Gamma(1+\beta)},\ \forall x \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Definition 2.6. ([5, 6]) Let $\mathbb{S}_\beta$ and $\mathbb{P}_\beta$ be operators defined respectively by (6) and (7). Then a continuous function $x : I \to \mathbb{X}$ satisfying for any $t \in [0, T]$ the equation

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \mathbb{S}_\beta(t)(x_0 - g(x)) \\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\mathbb{P}_\beta(t-s)\left[(f(s,x(s)) - \int_0^t a(t-s)h(s,x(s))\right] ds, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

is called a mild solution of the equation (1).

In the sequel, we set

$$Kx(t) := \int_0^t a(t-s)h(s,x(s))\,ds. \tag{9}$$

We set $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and we will denote by $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$, the Banach space $C([0,T], \mathbb{X}_\alpha)$ endowed with the supnorm given by

$$\|x\|_\infty := \sup_{t\in I} \|x\|_\alpha, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{C}.$$

3. Main Results

In addition to the previous assumptions, we assume that the following hold.

(H$_1$) The function $f : I \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \to \mathbb{X}$ is continuous and satisfies the following condition: there exists a function $\mu_1(t) \in L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|f(t,x,) - f(t,y)\| \le \mu_1(t)\|x - y\|_\alpha$$

for all $t \in I,\ x,\, y \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$.

(H$_2$) The function $h : \quad I \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \to \mathbb{X}$ is continuous and satisfies the following condition: there exists a function $\mu_2(t) \in L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|h(t,x,) - h(t,y)\| \le \mu_2(t)\|x - y\|_\alpha$$

for all $t \in I,\ x,\, y \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$.

(H$_3$) The function $g : \mathcal{C}_\alpha \to \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ is continuous and there exists a constant b such that

$$\|g(x) - g(y)\|_\alpha \le b\|x - y\|_\infty$$

for all $x,\, y \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose assumptions* (H$_1$)-(H$_3$) *hold and that* $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta,T} < 1$ *where*

$$\Omega_{\alpha,\beta,T} = \left[Mb + \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)T^{\beta(1-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))(\beta(1-\alpha))}\Big(\|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)} + a_T\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}\Big)\right].$$

If $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$, then (1) has a unique mild solution $x \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$.

Proof. Define the nonlinear integral operator $F : \mathcal{C}_\alpha \to \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(Fx)(t) &= \mathbb{S}_\beta(t)\,(x_0 - g(x))\,,\\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\,\mathbb{P}_\beta(t-s)\,[f(s,x(s)) + Kx(s)]\;ds.\end{aligned}$$

where K is given by (9).

In view of Lemma 2.4- (ii), the integral operator F is well defined.

Now take $t \in I$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(Fx)(t) - (Fy)(t)\|_\alpha &\le \|\mathbb{S}_\beta(t)\,(g(x) - g(y))\,\|_\alpha\\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\;\|\mathbb{P}_\beta(t-s)\,(f(s,x(s)) - f(s,y(s)))\|_\alpha\;ds\\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\;\|\mathbb{P}_\beta(t-s)\,(K\,x(s) - K\,y(s))\|_\alpha\;ds\end{aligned}$$

which according to Lemma 2.5 and (H_3) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|(Fx)(t) - (Fy)(t)\|_\alpha \le{}& Mb\|x-y\|_\infty\\ &+ \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}\;\|(f(s,x(s)) - f(s,y(s)))\|\;ds\\ &+ \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}\;\|(K\,x(s) - K\,y(s))\|\;ds\end{aligned}$$

Since (H_2) and (2) hold, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\|Kx(s) - Ky(s)\| &= \int_0^s a(s-\tau)\;\|h(\tau,x(\tau)) - h(\tau,y(\tau))\|\;d\tau\\ &\le \int_0^s a(s-\tau)\mu_2(\tau)\,\|x(\tau) - y(\tau)\|\;d\tau\\ &\le a_T\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}\|x-y\|_\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (H_1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|(Fx)(t) - (Fy)(t)\|_\alpha \le{}& Mb\|x-y\|_\infty\\ &+\frac{\beta M_\alpha\Gamma(2-\alpha)\|x-y\|_\infty}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}\mu_1(s)\,ds\\ &+\frac{\beta M_\alpha\Gamma(2-\alpha)T^{\beta(1-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))(\beta(1-\alpha)}a_T\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}\|x-y\|_\infty\\ \le{}& Mb\|x-y\|_\infty\\ &+\frac{\beta M_\alpha\Gamma(2-\alpha)T^{\beta(1-\alpha)}}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))(\beta(1-\alpha))}\|x-y\|_\infty\|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}\\ &+\frac{\beta M_\alpha\Gamma(2-\alpha)T^{\beta(1-\alpha)}a_T}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))(\beta(1-\alpha))}\|x-y\|_\infty\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}\\ \le{}& \Omega_{\alpha,\beta,T}\|x-y\|_\infty\end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\|(Fx)(t) - (Fy)(t)\|_\infty \quad \leq \quad \Omega_{\alpha,\beta,T}(t)\|x-y\|_\infty.$$

Since $\Omega_{\alpha,\beta,T} < 1$, the contraction mapping principle enables us to say that, F has a unique fixed point in $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$,

$$x(t) = \mathbb{S}_\beta(t)\,(x_0 - g(x)) + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\,\mathbb{P}_\beta(t-s)\,[f(s,x(s)) + K\,x(s)]\;ds$$

which is the mild solution of (1). $\square$

Now we assume that

(H$_4$) The function $f : I \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \to \mathbb{X}$ is continuous and satisfies the following condition: there exists a positive function $\mu_1 \in L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|f(t,x)\| \leq \mu_1(t),$$

(H$_5$) The function $h : I \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha \to \mathbb{X}$ is continuous and satisfies the following condition: there exists a positive function $\mu_2 \in L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that

$$\|h(t,x)\| \leq \mu_2(t),$$

(H$_6$) The function $g \in C(\mathcal{C}_\alpha, \mathbb{X}_\alpha)$ is completely continuous and there exist $\lambda, \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\|g(x)\|_\alpha \leq \lambda\|x\|_\infty + \gamma.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that assumptions* (H$_4$)-(H$_6$) *hold. If* $x_0 \in \mathbb{X}_\alpha$ *and*

$$M\lambda < \frac{1}{2} \tag{10}$$

then (1.1) has a mild solution on $[0,T]$.

Proof. Define the integral operator $F : \mathcal{C}_\alpha \to \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(Fx)(t) &= \mathbb{S}_\beta(t)\,(x_0 - g(x))\,, \\ &+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\mathbb{P}_\beta(t-s)\,[f(s,x(s)) + Kx(s)]\;ds,\end{aligned}$$

and choose r such that

$$\begin{aligned} r \quad &\geq \quad 2\frac{T^{\beta(1-\alpha)}\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))(\beta(1-\alpha))}\Big(\|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)} + a_T\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}\Big) \\ &+ \quad 2M(\|x_0\|_\alpha + \gamma).\end{aligned}$$

Let $B_r = \{x \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha : \|x\|_\infty \leq r\}$. We proceed in three main steps.

Step 1. We show that $F(B_r) \subset B_r$. For that, let $x \in B_r$. Then for $t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fx)(t)\|_\alpha &\le \|\mathbb{S}_\alpha(t)\,(x_0 - g(x))\|_\alpha \\
&+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\,\|\mathbb{P}_\alpha(t-s)f(s,x(s))\|_\alpha\,ds \\
&+ \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\,\|\mathbb{P}_\alpha(t-s)K\,x(s)\|_\alpha\,ds
\end{aligned}$$

which according to (H_4)-(H_6) and Lemma 2.5 gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fx)(t)\|_\alpha &\le M\left(\|x_0\|_\alpha + \lambda\|x\|_\infty + \gamma\right) \\
&+ \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}\,\|f(s,x(s))\|\,ds \\
&+ \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}\,\|Kx(s)\|\,ds \\
&\le M\left(\|x_0\|_\alpha + \lambda\|x\|_\infty + \gamma\right) \\
&+ \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}\mu_1(s)\,ds \\
&+ \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}\int_0^s a(s-\tau)\mu_2(\tau)d\tau\,ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using the inequality $M\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$, which yields $M\lambda\|x\|_\infty < \frac{r}{2}$ and the choice of r above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fx)(t)\|_\alpha &\le M\left(\|x_0\|_\alpha + \lambda\|x\|_\infty + \gamma\right) \\
&+ \frac{\|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}T^{\beta(1-\alpha)}}{(\beta(1-\alpha)}\,\frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))} \\
&+ \frac{\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}T^{\beta(1-\alpha)}}{(\beta(1-\alpha)}\,\frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)a_T}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (10) and the choice of r, we obtain

$$\|(Fx)\|_\infty \le r.$$

Step 2. We prove that F is continuous. For that, let (x_n) be a sequence of B_r such that $x_n \to x$ in B_r. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
f(s,x_n(s)) &\to f(s,x(s)), \qquad n \to \infty, \\
h(t,x_n(s)) &\to h(t,x(s)), \qquad n \to \infty
\end{aligned}$$

as both f and h are jointly continuous on $I \times \mathbb{X}_\alpha$.

Now, for all $t \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Fx_n - Fx\|_\alpha &\le \|\mathbb{S}_\beta(t)(g(x_n) - g(x))\|_\alpha \\
&+ \left\|\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}\mathbb{P}_\beta(t-s)\left(Kx_n(s) - Kx(s)\right)ds\right\|_\alpha \\
&+ \left\|\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1}S(t-s)\left(f(s,x_n(s)) - f(s,x(s))\right)ds\right\|_\alpha,
\end{aligned}$$

which in view of Lemma 2.5 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|Fx_n - Fx\|_\alpha \leq\ & M\|g(x_n) - g(x)\|_\alpha \\
+\ & \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \|f(s, x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s))\|\, ds \\
+\ & \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \|Kx_n(s) - Kx(s)\|\, ds
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in I$. Therefore, on the one hand using (2), (H_4) and (H_5), we get for each $t \in I$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f(s, x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s))\| &\leq 2\mu_1(s) \text{ for } s \in I, \\
\|Kx_n(s) - Kx(s)\| &\leq \int_0^s a(s-\tau)\|h(\tau, x_n(\tau)) - h(\tau, x(\tau))\|d\tau, \\
&\leq 2\int_0^s a(s-\tau)\mu_2(\tau)d\tau \\
&\leq 2a_T\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)} \text{ for } s \in I;
\end{aligned}
$$

and on the other hand using the fact that the functions $s \mapsto 2\mu_1(s)(t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}$ and $s \mapsto (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}$ are integrable on I, by means of the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \|f(s, x_n(s)) - f(s, x(s))\|\, ds \to 0, \\
&\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \|Kx_n(s) - Kx(s)\|\, ds \to 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, since $g(x_n) \to g(x)$ as $n \to \infty$ because g is completely continuous on $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$, it can easily be shown that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(Fx_n) - (Fx)\|_\infty = 0,
$$

as $n \to \infty$.

In other words, F is continuous.

Step 3. We show that F is compact. To this end, we use the Ascoli-Arzela's theorem. For that, we first prove that $\{(Fx)(t) : x \in B_r\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$, for all $t \in I$. Obviously,$\{(Fx)(0) : x \in B_r\}$ is compact.

Let $t \in (0,T]$. For each $h \in (0,t)$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $x \in B_r$, we define the operator $F_{h,\epsilon}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
(F_{h,\epsilon}x)(t) &= \mathbb{S}_\beta(t)\,(x_0 - g(x)) \\
&+ \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \int_\epsilon^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta) R((t-s)^\beta\theta) f(s,x(s)) d\theta\, ds \\
&+ \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \int_\epsilon^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta) R((t-s)^\beta\theta) Kx(s) d\theta\, ds \\
&= \mathbb{S}_\beta(t)\,(x_0 - g(x)) \\
&+ R(h^\beta\epsilon) \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \int_\epsilon^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta) R((t-s)^\beta\theta - h^\beta\epsilon) f(s,x(s)) d\theta\, ds \\
&+ R(h^\beta\epsilon) \int_0^{t-h} (t-s)^{\beta-1} \int_\epsilon^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta) R((t-s)^\beta\theta - h^\beta\epsilon) Kx(s) d\theta\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the sets $\{(F_{h,\epsilon}x)(t) : x \in B_r\}$ are relatively compact in $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$ since by Lemma 2.3, the operators $R_\alpha(t),\ t > 0$ are compact on $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$. Moreover, using (H_1) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(Fx)(t) - (F_{h,\epsilon}x)(t)\|_\alpha \le \\
&\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \int_0^\epsilon \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta) \left\| R((t-s)^\beta\theta) f(s,x(s)) \right\|_\alpha d\theta\, ds + \\
&\int_{t-h}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \int_\epsilon^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta) \left\| R((t-s)^\beta\theta) f(s,x(s)) \right\|_\alpha d\theta\, ds + \\
&\int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \int_0^\epsilon \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta) \left\| R((t-s)^\beta\theta) Kx(s) \right\|_\alpha d\theta\, ds + \\
&\int_{t-h}^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \int_\epsilon^\infty \beta\theta\Phi_\beta(\theta) \left\| R((t-s)^\beta\theta) Kx(s) \right\|_\alpha d\theta\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then using (4) and (H_4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fx)(t) - (F_{h,\epsilon}x)(t)\|_\alpha &\le \beta M_\alpha \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \mu_1(s) \int_0^\epsilon \theta^{1-\alpha}\Phi_\beta(\theta) d\theta\, ds \\
&+ \beta M_\alpha \int_{t-h}^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \mu_1(s) \int_\epsilon^\infty \beta\theta^{1-\alpha}\Phi_\beta(\theta) d\theta\, ds \\
&+ \beta M_\alpha \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \int_0^\epsilon \beta\theta^{1-\alpha}\Phi_\beta(\theta) \|Kx(s)\|\, d\theta\, ds \\
&+ \beta M_\alpha \int_{t-h}^t (t-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \int_\epsilon^\infty \beta\theta^{1-\alpha}\Phi_\beta(\theta) \|Kx(s)\|\, d\theta\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since by (H_5) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|Kx(s)\| &\le \int_0^s a(s-\tau)\|h(\tau, x(\tau))\| d\tau \\
&\le \int_0^s a(s-\tau)\mu_2(\tau) d\tau \\
&\le a_T \|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)},
\end{aligned}$$

using (5c), we deduce for all $\epsilon > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fx)(t) - (F_{h,\epsilon}x)(t)\|_\alpha &\leq \frac{t^{\beta(1-\alpha)}\beta M_\alpha \|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}}{\beta(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\epsilon \theta^{1-\alpha}\Phi_\beta(\theta)d\theta \\
&+ \frac{h^{\beta(1-\alpha)}\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)\|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}}{\beta(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))} \\
&+ \frac{t^{\beta(1-\alpha)}\beta M_\alpha \|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)} a_T}{\beta(1-\alpha)} \int_0^\epsilon \theta^{1-\alpha}\Phi_\beta(\theta)d\theta \\
&+ \frac{h^{\beta(1-\alpha)}\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha) a_T \|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}}{\beta(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}.
\end{aligned}$$

In other words

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(Fx)(t) - (F_{h,\epsilon}x)(t)\|_\alpha &\leq \frac{h^{\beta(1-\alpha)}\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)\|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}}{\beta(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))} \\
&+ \frac{h^{\beta(1-\alpha)}\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha) a_T \|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}}{\beta(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the set $\{(Fx)(t) : x \in B_r\}$ is relatively compact in $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$ for all $t \in (0,T]$ and since it is compact at $t = 0$ we have the relatively compactness in $\mathbb{X}_\alpha$ for all $t \in I$. Now, let us prove that $F(B_r)$ is equicontinuous. By the compactness of the set $g(B_r)$, we can prove that the functions Fx, $x \in B_r$ are equicontinuous a $t = 0$. For $0 < t_2 < t_1 \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(Fx)(t_1) - (Fx)(t_2)\|_\alpha \leq \|(\mathbb{S}_\beta(t_1) - \mathbb{S}_\beta(t_2))(x_0 - g(x))\|_\alpha \\
&+ \left\| \int_0^{t_2} (t_1 - s)^{\beta-1} (\mathbb{P}_\beta(t_1 - s) - \mathbb{P}_\beta(t_2 - s))(f(s,x(s)) + Kx(s))\, ds \right\|_\alpha \\
&+ \left\| \int_0^{t_2} \left((t_1 - s)^{\beta-1} - (t_2 - s)^{\beta-1}\right) \mathbb{P}_\beta(t_2 - s)(f(s,x(s)) + Kx(s))\, ds \right\|_\alpha \\
&+ \left\| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \mathbb{P}_\beta(t_1 - s)(f(s,x(s)) + Kx(s))\, ds \right\|_\alpha \\
&\leq I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \|(\mathbb{S}_\beta(t_1) - \mathbb{S}_\beta(t_2))(x_0 - g(x))\|_\alpha \\
I_2 &= \left\| \int_0^{t_2} (t_1 - s)^{\beta-1} (\mathbb{P}_\beta(t_1 - s) - \mathbb{P}_\beta(t_2 - s))(f(s,x(s)) + Kx(s))\, ds \right\|_\alpha \\
I_3 &= \left\| \int_0^{t_2} \left((t_1 - s)^{\beta-1} - (t_2 - s)^{\beta-1}\right) \mathbb{P}_\beta(t_2 - s)(f(s,x(s)) + Kx(s))\, ds \right\|_\alpha \\
I_4 &= \left\| \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \mathbb{P}_\beta(t_1 - s)(f(s,x(s)) + Kx(s))\, ds \right\|_\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

Actually, I_1, I_2, I_3 and I_4 tend to 0 independently of $x \in B_r$ when $t_2 \to t_1$. Indeed, let $x \in B_r$ and $G = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha} \|g(x)\|_\alpha$. In view of Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \|(\mathbb{S}_\beta(t_1) - \mathbb{S}_\beta(t_2))(x_0 - g(x))\|_\alpha \\ &\le \int_0^\infty \Phi_\beta(\theta) \left\| R(\theta t_1^\beta) - R(\theta t_2^\beta) \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})} \|x_0 - g(x)\|_\alpha \, d\theta \\ &\le \int_0^\infty \Phi_\beta(\theta) \left\| R(\theta t_1^\beta) - R(\theta t_2^\beta) \right\|_{\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{X})} (\|x_0\|_\alpha + G) d\theta \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that $\lim_{t_2 \to t_1} I_1 = 0$ since by Lemma 2.3 the function $t \mapsto \|R_\alpha(t)\|_\alpha$ is continuous for $t \ge 0$

$$I_2 \le \int_0^{t_2} \left\| (t_1 - s)^{\beta - 1} (\mathbb{P}_\beta(t_1 - s) - \mathbb{P}_\beta(t_2 - s)) (f(s, x(s)) + Kx(s)) \right\|_\alpha ds.$$

Therefore using the continuity of $\mathbb{P}_\beta(t)$ (Lemma 2.4) and the fact that both f and K are bounded we conclude that $\lim_{t_2 \to t_1} I_2 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\le \int_0^{t_2} \left((t_2 - s)^{\beta - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{\beta - 1} \right) \|\mathbb{P}_\beta(t_2 - s)(f(s, x(s)) + Kx(s))\|_\alpha \, ds \\ &\le \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta(1-\alpha))} \int_0^{t_2} \left((t_2 - s)^{\beta - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{\beta - 1} \right) (t_2 - s)^{-\alpha\beta} \|f(s, x(s))\| \, ds \\ &+ \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1 + \beta(1-\alpha))} \int_0^{t_2} \left((t_2 - s)^{\beta - 1} - (t_1 - s)^{\beta - 1} \right) (t_2 - s)^{-\alpha\beta} \|Kx(s)\| \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-(t_2 - s)^{-\alpha\beta}(t_1 - s)^{\beta-1} \le -(t_1 - s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}$ because $(t_1 - s)^{-\alpha\beta} \le (t_2 - s)^{-\alpha\beta}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\le \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha) \|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}_+)}}{\Gamma(1 + \beta(1-\alpha))} \int_0^{t_2} \left((t_2 - s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \right) ds \\ &+ \frac{a_T \beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha) \|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}_+)}}{\Gamma(1 + \beta(1-\alpha))} \int_0^{t_2} \left((t_2 - s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} - (t_1 - s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \right) ds \\ &\le \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha) \|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}_+)}}{\beta(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1 + \beta(1-\alpha))} (t_1 - t_2)^{\beta(1-\alpha)} \\ &+ \frac{a_T \beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha) \|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I, \mathbb{R}_+)}}{\beta(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1 + \beta(1-\alpha))} (t_1 - t_2)^{\beta(1-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lim_{t_2 \to t_1} I_3 = 0$ since $\beta(1-\alpha) > 0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_4 &\leq \int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\beta-1} \left\|\mathbb{P}_\beta(t_1-s)(f(s,x(s)+Kx(s))\right\|_\alpha ds \\
&\leq \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1} \left\|f(s,x(s))+Bx(s))\right\| ds \\
&\leq \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}\int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}(\mu_1(s)+\int_0^s a(s-\tau)\|h(\tau,x(\tau))\|d\tau)ds \\
&\leq \frac{\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}(\|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}+a_T\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)})\int_{t_2}^{t_1} (t_1-s)^{\beta(1-\alpha)-1}ds \\
&\leq \frac{(t_1-t_2)^{\beta(1-\alpha)}\beta M_\alpha \Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\beta(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1+\beta(1-\alpha))}(\|\mu_1\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)}+a_T\|\mu_2\|_{L^\infty(I,\mathbb{R}_+)})
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta(1-\alpha)>0$, we deduce that $\lim\limits_{t_2\to t_1} I_4 = 0$.

In short, we have shown that $F(B_r)$ is relatively compact, for $t \in I$, $\{Fx : x \in B_r\}$ is a family of equicontinuous functions. Hence by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem, F is compact. By Schauder fixed point theorem F has a fixed point $x \in B_r$, which obviously is a mild solution to (1). $\square$

4. Example

Let $\mathbb{X} = L^2[0,\pi]$ equipped with its natural norm and inner product defined respectively for all $u, v \in L^2[0,\pi]$ by

$$
\|u\|_{L^2[0,\pi]} = \Big(\int_0^\pi |u(x)|^2 dx\Big)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle u, v\rangle = \int_0^\pi u(x)\overline{v(x)}dx.
$$

Consider the following integro-partial differential equation

$$
(E)\quad \begin{cases}
\dfrac{\partial^\beta u}{\partial t^\beta}(t,x) = \dfrac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(t,x) + \dfrac{\cos(tx)}{1+u^2(t,x)} + \displaystyle\int_0^t e^{-|t-s|}\cos(u(s,x))\,ds, \\[2ex]
u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0, \qquad t \in [0,1] \\[2ex]
u(0,x) + \delta_0 \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^N \int_0^\pi \cos(x-y)u(t_k,y)dy = u_0(x), \qquad x \in [0,\pi]
\end{cases}
$$

where $t \in [0,1]$, $x \in [0,\pi]$, $0 < t_1 < t_2 < ... < t_N \leq 1$, and $\delta_0 > 0$.

First of all, note that f, h, a are given by

$$
f(t,u(t,x)) = \frac{\cos(tx)}{1+u^2(t,x)}, \quad a(t) = e^{-|t|}, \quad \text{and} \quad h(t,u(t,x)) = \cos(u(s,x)),
$$

and hence in (H_4) and (H_5) we take $\mu_1(t) = \mu_2(t) = \pi$. Moreover, $a_1 = \displaystyle\int_0^1 e^{-|t|}dt = 1 - e^{-1}$.

Let A be the operator given by $Au = -u''$ with domain

$$D(A) := \{u \in L^2([0,\pi]) : u'' \in L^2([0,\pi]),\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0\}.$$

It is well known that A has a discrete spectrum with eigenvalues of the form $n^2, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and corresponding normalized eigenfunctions given by

$$z_n(\xi) := \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(n\xi).$$

In addition to the above, the following properties hold:

(a) $\{z_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2[0,\pi]$;

(b) The operator $-A$ is the infinitesimal generator of an analytic semigroup $R(t)$ which is compact for $t > 0$. The semigroup $R(t)$ is defined for $u \in L^2[0,\pi]$ by

$$R(t)u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t} \langle u, z_n \rangle z_n.$$

(c) The operator A can be rewritten as

$$Au = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \langle u, z_n \rangle z_n$$

for every $u \in D(A)$.

Moreover, it is possible to define fractional powers of A. In particular,

(d) For $u \in L^2[0,\pi]$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$,

$$A^{-\alpha} u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} \langle u, z_n \rangle z_n;$$

(e) The operator $A^\alpha : D(A^\alpha) \subseteq L^2[0,\pi] \mapsto L^2[0,\pi]$ given by

$$A^\alpha u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\alpha} \langle u, z_n \rangle z_n, \quad \forall u \in D(A^\alpha),$$

where $D(A^\alpha) = \left\{ u \in L^2[0,\pi] : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{2\alpha} \langle u, z_n \rangle z_n \in L^2[0,\pi] \right\}$.

Clearly for all $t \geq 0$ and $0 \neq u \in L^2[0,\pi]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|R(t)u| &= |\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 t}\langle u, z_n\rangle z_n| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-t}|\langle u, z_n\rangle z_n| \\
&= e^{-t}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}|\langle u, z_n\rangle z_n| \\
&\leq e^{-t}|u|
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|R(t)\|_{B(L^2[0,\pi])} \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Here we take $M = 1$.

Set

$$g(u)(\xi) := \delta_0 \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_0^{\pi} \cos(\xi - y)u(t_k, y)dy.$$

Suppose $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and

$$\delta_0 < \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2\pi^2 N}. \tag{11}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|A^{\alpha} g(u)(\xi)\|^2_{L^2[0,\pi]} &= \sum_{n\geq 1} n^{4\alpha}\|z_n\|^2_{L^2[0,\pi]}\,|\langle g(u)(\xi), z_n\rangle|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{n\geq 1} n^2|\langle g(u)(\xi), z_n\rangle|^2 \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi}\sum_{n\geq 1}|\int_0^{\pi} g(u)(\xi)\, n\sin(n\xi)d\xi|^2 \\
&= \sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{1}{n^2}|\int_0^{\pi}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^2}g(u)(\xi)\; z_n(\xi)d\xi|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{\pi^2}{6}\|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\xi^2}g(u)(\xi)\|^2_{L^2[0,\pi]} \\
&\leq \frac{\pi^2}{6}\|g(u)(\xi)\|^2_{L^2[0,\pi]} \\
&\leq \delta_0^2\frac{\pi^2}{6}N^2\pi^2\|u\|^2_{\infty}
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|g(u)\|_\alpha \leq \lambda \|u\|_\infty + \mu$ where $\lambda = \dfrac{\delta_0 \pi^2 N}{\sqrt{6}}$ and $\mu = 0$. Therefore, the condition $M\lambda < \frac{1}{2}$ holds under assumption (11).

Using Theorem 3.2 and inequality Eq. (11) it follows that the system (E) at least one mild solution.

Acknowledgements: This work was completed when the second author was visiting Morgan State University in Baltimore, MD, USA in May 2010. She likes to thank Prof. N'Guérékata for the invitation.

References

1. S. Aizicovici and M. McKibben, *Existence results for a class of abstract nonlocal Cauchy problems*, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA **39** (2000), 649-668.
2. A. Anguraj, P. Karthikeyan and G. M. N'Guérékata, *Nonlocal Cauchy problem for some fractional abstract differential equations in Banach spaces*, Comm. Math. Analysis, **6**,1(2009), 31-35.
3. L. Byszewski, *Theorems about the existence and uniqueness of solutions of a semilinear evolution nonloncal Cauchy problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **162**, (1991),494-505.
4. K. Deng, *Exponential decay of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations with nonlocal initial conditions*, J. Math. Analysis Appl., **179** (1993), 630-637.
5. M. EL-Borai, *Some probability densities and fundamental solutions of fractional evolution equations.* Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 14 (2002) 433-440.
6. A. Debbouche and M. M. El-Borai, *Weak almost periodic and optimal mild solutions of fractional evolution equations*, J. Diff. Eqns., Vol. 2009(2009), No. 46, pp. 1-8.
7. K. Ezzinbi and J. Liu, *Nondensely defined evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, Math. Computer Modelling, **36** (2002), 1027-1038.
8. Z. Fan, *Existence of nondensely defined evolution equations with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis, (in press).
9. Hsiang Liu, Jung-Chan Chang *Existence for a class of partial differential equations with nonlocal conditions,* Nonlinear Analysis, TMA, (in press).
10. F. Mainardi, P. Paradis and R. Gorenflo, *Probability distributions generated by fractional diffusion equations*, FRACALMO PRE-PRINT www.fracalmo.org.
11. G. M. Mophou, O. Nakoulima and G. M. N'Guérékata, *Existence results for some fractional differential equations with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Studies, Vol.17, n0.1, pp.15-22 (2010).
12. G. M. Mophou and G. M. N'Guérékata, *Mild solutions for semilinear fractional differential equations*, Electronic J. Diff. Equ., Vol.2009, No.21, pp.1-9 (2009).
13. G. M. N'Guérékata, *Existence and uniqueness of an integral solution to some Cauchy problem with nonlocal conditions*, Differential and Difference Equations and Applications, 843-849, Hindawi Publ. Corp., New York, 2006.
14. G. M. N'Guérékata, *A Cauchy Problem for some fractional abstract differential equation with nonlocal conditions*, Nonlinear Analysis, T.M.A., **70** Issue 5, (2009), 1873-1876.
15. A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

16. Y. Zhou and F. Jiao, *Existence of mild solutions for fractional neutral evolution equations*, Computer and Mathematics with Applications, 59(2010), 1063-1077.

(Received May 19, 2010)

Toka Diagana, Department of Mathematics, Howard University, 2441 6th Street NW, Washington, DC, 20009, USA

E-mail address: tdiagana@howard.edu

Gisèle M. Mophou,Université des Antilles et de la Guadeloupe, Département de Mathématiques et Informatique, Université des Antilles et de La Guyane, Campus Fouillole 97159 Pointe-À-Pitre Guadeloupe (FWI)

E-mail address: gmophou@univ-ag.fr

Gaston M. N'Guérékata, Department of Mathematics, Morgan State University, 1700 E. Cold Spring Lane, Baltimore, M.D. 21251, USA

E-mail address: Gaston.N'Guerekata@morgan.edu