

# Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations

2025, No. 48, 1–10; https://doi.org/10.14232/ejqtde.2025.1.48

www.math.u-szeged.hu/ejqtde/

# Unilateral global bifurcation for an overdetermined problem in $\mathbb{S}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^N \times \mathbb{R}$

**D** Jia Xu<sup>⋈1, 2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, 730070, P.R. China <sup>2</sup>College of Physical Education, Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou, 730070, P.R. China

> Received 30 May 2025, appeared 8 September 2025 Communicated by Fečkan Michal

**Abstract.** We establish the Dancer-type unilateral global bifurcation theorem for nonlinear operator equation of  $u+f(\lambda,u)=F(\lambda,u)=0$ , where X is a real Banach space and  $f:\mathbb{R}\times X\to X$  is completely continuous with  $f(\lambda,0)=0$  and  $C^1$  with respect to u at u=0. We shall show that, if  $\dim \ker(D_uF(\mu,0))=1$  for some  $\mu\in\mathbb{R}$  and  $D_uF(\lambda,0)$  has an odd crossing number at  $\lambda=\mu$ , there exist two branches  $\mathscr{C}^{\nu}_{\mu}$  ( $\nu\in\{+,-\}$ ) emanating from  $(\mu,0)$ , such that either  $\mathscr{C}^+_{\mu}$  and  $\mathscr{C}^-_{\mu}$  are both unbounded or  $\mathscr{C}^+_{\mu}\cap\mathscr{C}^-_{\mu}\neq\{(\mu,0)\}$ . As one of applications, we obtain the unilateral global bifurcation result for an overdetermined problem in  $\mathbb{S}^N\times\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^N\times\mathbb{R}$ .

**Keywords:** unilateral global bifurcation, odd crossing number, completely continuous, overdetermined problem.

**2020** Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B32, 35N05, 47A75, 47H14.

#### 1 Introduction

Let *X* be real Banach space. We investigate the structure of the set of nontrivial solutions for the following nonlinear parameter-dependent problem

$$u + f(\lambda, u) = F(\lambda, u) = 0, \ (\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times X, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $f: \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$  is completely continuous with  $f(\lambda, 0) = 0$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $C^1$  with respect to u at u = 0.

For  $f(\lambda, u) = -\lambda Lu - H(\lambda, u)$  where  $L: X \to X$  is a linear compact operator and  $H: \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$  is completely continuous with  $H = o(\|u\|)$  near u = 0 uniformly on bounded  $\lambda$  sets, Krasnosel'skii [12] has shown that all characteristic values of L which are of odd multiplicity are bifurcation points. Rabinowitz [20] has extended this result by showing that bifurcation has global consequences.

Rabinowitz [20] also established two unilateral global bifurcation theorems from simple eigenvalues, i.e., Theorem 1.27 and Theorem 1.40 of [20]. As pointed out by Dancer [6], the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>™</sup>Corresponding author. Email: xujia@nwnu.edu.cn

proofs of these two theorems both contain gaps. Dancer [6,7] constructed a counterexample to Theorem 1.40 of [20]. López-Gómez [15] also pointed out that the proof of Theorem 1.27 is insufficient. Moreover, Dancer [6] established the so-called Dancer-type unilateral global bifurcation theorem from simple eigenvalues. Concretely, if  $\mu^{-1}$  is an eigenvalue of L of algebraic multiplicity 1, then there exist two sub-continua,  $\mathscr{C}^+_\mu$  and  $\mathscr{C}^-_\mu$ , of  $\mathscr{C}_\mu$  bifurcating from  $(\mu,0)$ , such that either  $\mathscr{C}^+_\mu$  and  $\mathscr{C}^-_\mu$  are both unbounded or  $\mathscr{C}^+_\mu \cap \mathscr{C}^-_\mu \neq \{(\mu,0)\}$ . Further, Dancer [7] also proved that the above beautiful unilateral global bifurcation result is also valid for the case of  $\mu^{-1}$  being an eigenvalue of L with geometric multiplicity 1 and odd algebraic multiplicity. López-Gómez [15] also established a unilateral global bifurcation theorem. López-Gómez's result indicates that  $\mathscr{C}^\nu_\mu$  with each  $\nu \in \{+,-\}$  either satisfies Rabinowitz-type global alternative or contains a nontrivial element of complement of kernel space.

Following the Rabinowitz's reflection argument in the proof of [20, Theorem 1.27], Kielhofer [11, Theorem II.5.9] established a unilateral global bifurcation theorem via the conception of odd crossing number. To present the Kielhofer's unilateral global bifurcation theorem, we recall the conception of odd crossing number. Let 0 be an isolated eigenvalue of algebraic multiplicity m of  $D_uF(\mu,0)$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is well known that the number m is an invariant, i.e., the dimension of eigenspace is invariant under perturbation near  $\mu$ . The set of all perturbed eigenvalues near 0 is called 0-group. Further, define  $\sigma(\lambda) = 1$  if there are no negative real eigenvalues in the 0-group of  $D_uF(\lambda,0)$  and

$$\sigma(\lambda) = (-1)^{m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k}$$

if  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k$  are all negative real eigenvalues in the 0-group having algebraic multiplicities  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_k$ , respectively. From now on, for simplicity,  $\sigma(\lambda)$  is called 0-*group index* of  $\lambda$ . If  $D_u F(\lambda, 0)$  is regular for  $\lambda \in (\mu - \delta, \mu) \cup (\mu, \mu + \delta)$  and if  $\sigma(\lambda)$  changes at  $\lambda = \mu$ , then  $D_u F(\lambda, 0)$  has an *odd crossing number* at  $\lambda = \mu$ .

If dim Ker $(D_uF(\mu,0))=1$  and  $D_uF(\lambda,0)$  has an odd crossing number at  $\lambda=\mu$ , Kielhofer [11, Theorem II.5.9] proved that there exist two sub-continua,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^+$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^-$ , of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$  bifurcating from  $(\mu,0)$ , such that  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}^{\nu}$  with each  $\nu\in\{+,-\}$  either satisfies Rabinowitz-type global alternative or contains a pair of points  $(\lambda,u)$  and  $(\lambda,-u)$  with  $u\neq 0$ . If  $f(\lambda,u)=\lambda f(u)$  and 0 is the simple eigenvalue of  $D_uF(\mu,0)$ , Kielhofer's unilateral global bifurcation theorem is just the Rabinowitz's unilateral global bifurcation theorem of [20, Theorem 1.27]. So, Kielhofer's result extends Rabinowitz's unilateral global bifurcation theorem of [20, Theorem 1.27]. As the mentioned above, since the proof of Theorem 1.27 of [20] is insufficient, Kielhofer's argument is also insufficient because he also adopted the Rabinowitz's reflection argument.

The first aim of this work is to establish the Dancer-type unilateral global bifurcation theorem under the assumptions of Kielhofer, which fills the above gap by providing a corrected unilateral global bifurcation theorem. Let  $\mathcal S$  be the closure of the set of nontrivial solutions of equation (1.1). The following Dancer-type unilateral global bifurcation theorem is our first main result.

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that dim Ker $(D_uF(\mu,0))=1$  for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $D_uF(\lambda,0)$  has an odd crossing number at  $\lambda=\mu$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  possesses two maximal sub-continua  $\mathscr{C}^{\pm}_{\mu}$  emanating from  $(\mu,0)$ , such that either  $\mathscr{C}^+_{\mu}$  and  $\mathscr{C}^-_{\mu}$  are both unbounded or  $\mathscr{C}^+_{\mu} \cap \mathscr{C}^-_{\mu} \neq \{(\mu,0)\}$ .

If  $f(\lambda, u)$  has the form of  $\lambda f(u)$  and 0 is the simple eigenvalue of  $D_u F(\mu, 0)$ , the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 would degenerate to the famous Dancer-type unilateral global bifurcation theorem from simple eigenvalues [6]. While,  $f(\lambda, u)$  has the form of  $\lambda f(u)$  and 0 is odd algebraic multiplicity eigenvalue of  $D_u F(\mu, 0)$ , Theorem 1.1 degenerates to the Dancer-type

unilateral global bifurcation theorem from odd algebraic multiplicity eigenvalues [7]. As pointed out by Dancer [7], although the conditions are the same, Dancer-type unilateral global bifurcation conclusion is better than López-Gómez's [15, Theorem 6.4.3].

As one of applications for our unilateral global bifurcation theorem, we next investigate the unilateral global bifurcation phenomenon for an overdetermined elliptic problem in  $\mathbb{S}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{S}^N$  is the N-dimensional sphere, and  $\mathbb{H}^N$  is the N-dimensional hyperbolic space. We consider the following overdetermined elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u + \lambda u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\ g(\nabla u, \nu) = \text{const.} & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \end{cases}$$
 (1.2)

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{M}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  with  $\mathbb{M}^N = \mathbb{S}^N$  or  $\mathbb{H}^N$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , g denotes the standard metric of  $\mathbb{M}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Delta_g$  is the Laplace–Beltrami operator.

When  $\mathbb{M}^N = \mathbb{R}^N$ , Sicbaldi [24] constructed periodic solutions of (1.2) that are perturbations of a cylinder, which can be seen the first unbounded case counterexample to the following BCN Conjecture.

**BCN Conjecture:** If  $\Omega$  is a smooth domain and  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  is connected such that problem (1.2) exists a bounded solution, then  $\Omega$  is either a ball, a half-space, a generalized cylinder  $B^k \times \mathbb{R}^{N-k}$  where  $B^k$  is a ball in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , or the complement of one of them.

In [23], it is shown that such new solutions belong in fact to a smooth local 1-parameter family. Generalizations of such results have been done in the Riemannian manifolds  $\mathbb{S}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  [5,16], and in the Euclidean case for some functions f [22]. The boundaries of the domains  $\Omega$  constructed in [24] are related to the Delaunay surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . The analogy between constant mean curvatures surfaces and overdetermined elliptic problems has inspired a lot of works [9,14,21,25]. Such analogy motivated also the study of overdetermined elliptic problems in the Riemannian manifolds  $\mathbb{S}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ . It is well known that the Thurston's Geometrization Conjecture proved by G. Perelman in 2003 [17–19]. Since these two manifolds with N=2 represent two of the eight Thurston's 3-dimensional geometries [1], the theory of constant mean curvature surfaces in such ambient spaces are extremely important.

While, we note that bifurcation results in [5,16] are all local. A natural question is whether these local bifurcation results can be extended to the global? Our second main result provides a positive answer to this question.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $C^{2,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  be the space of even  $2\pi$ -periodic  $C^{2,\alpha}$  functions of mean zero. There exist two nontrivial branches  $\mathscr{C}^+$  and  $\mathscr{C}^-$  in  $\mathcal{V}\times(0,T_0)$  emanating from  $(0,T_*(N))$ , such that  $\mathscr{C}^{\nu}\cap(\{0\}\times(0,+\infty))=\{(0,T_*(N))\}$  and for any  $(v^{\nu},T)\in\mathscr{C}^{\nu}\setminus\{(0,T_*(N))\}$  with  $\nu\in\{+,-\}$ , the overdetermined problem (1.2) has a positive T-periodic solution  $u\in C^{2,\alpha}(\Omega^{\nu})$  on the modified cylinder

$$\Omega^{\nu} = \left\{ (x,t) \in \mathbb{M}^N \times \mathbb{R} : |x| < 1 + v^{\nu} \left( \frac{2\pi}{T} t \right) \right\},$$

where V is an open neighborhoods of the 0 in  $\{v \in C^{2,\alpha}_{\text{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}) : v > -1\}$  for some positive constant  $T_0$ . Moreover,  $\mathscr{C}^{\nu}$  satisfies at least one of the following three properties:

- (i)  $\mathscr{C}^{\nu} \cap \partial \mathcal{O} \neq \emptyset$  with  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{V} \times (0, T_0)$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{C}^{\nu}$  is unbounded,
- (iii)  $\mathscr{C}^{\vee}$  contains a point  $(T^*,0) \in \mathcal{O}$  with some  $T^* \neq T_*$ .

The outline of the rest of this article is as follows. In Section 2, we mainly establish a new Dancer-type unilateral global bifurcation theorem via the so-called 0-group, which ends the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2 by using the new Dancer-type unilateral global bifurcation Theorem 1.1.

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, the operator F can be rewritten as

$$F(\lambda, u) = u + D_u f(\lambda, 0) u + H(\lambda, u),$$

where  $H(\lambda, u)$  is  $o(\|u\|)$  for  $u \in X$  near 0 uniformly on the bounded  $\lambda$  interval. Since  $f : \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$  is a completely continuous operator,  $D_u f(\lambda, 0)$  is also a completely continuous operator (see [8] or [13]). It further follows that  $H : \mathbb{R} \times X \to X$  is a completely continuous operator.

Let  $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R} \times X$ . Given any  $\iota \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < s < +\infty$ , we consider an open neighborhood of  $(\iota, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{X}$  defined by

$$\mathbb{B}_{s}(\iota,0) = \{(\lambda,u) \in \mathbb{X} : ||u|| + |\lambda - \iota| < s\}.$$

Let  $X_0$  be a closed subspace of X such that

$$X = \operatorname{span}\{w_0\} \oplus X_0$$
,

where  $w_0$  is a nonzero element in  $Ker(D_uF(\mu,0))$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\|w_0\|=1$ . According to the Hahn–Banach theorem, there exists a linear functional  $l\in X^*$  such that

$$l(w_0) = 1$$
 and  $X_0 = \{u \in X : l(u) = 0\},\$ 

where  $X^*$  denotes the dual space of X. For any  $0 < \eta < 1$ , define

$$K_{\eta} = \{(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{X} : |l(u)| > \eta ||u||\}.$$

Obviously,  $K_{\eta}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{X}$  consisting of two disjoint components  $K_{\eta}^+$  and  $K_{\eta}^-$  with

$$K_{\eta}^{+} = \{(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{X} : l(u) > \eta \|u\|\},$$
  

$$K_{\eta}^{-} = \{(\lambda, u) \in \mathbb{X} : l(u) < -\eta \|u\|\}.$$

Clearly, both  $K_{\eta}^+$  and  $K_{\eta}^-$  are convex cones,  $K_{\eta}^- = -K_{\eta}^+$ , and  $\nu t w_0 \in K_{\eta}^{\nu}$  for every t > 0 and each  $\nu \in \{+, -\}$ .

Applying [15, Lemma 6.4.1] or [3, Lemma 2.2], we have the following lemma, which localizes the possible solutions of problem (1.1) bifurcating from  $(\mu, 0)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For every  $\eta \in (0,1)$  there exists a number  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for each  $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ , there holds

$$(S \setminus \{(\mu, 0)\}) \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\delta}(\mu, 0) \subseteq K_{\eta},$$

and when

$$(\lambda, u) \in (\mathcal{S} \setminus \{(\mu, 0)\}) \cap \bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\delta}(\mu, 0)$$

there are  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and a unique  $y \in X_0$  such that  $u = sw_0 + y$  and  $|s| > \eta ||u||$ . Furthermore, for each  $(\lambda, u)$ , there holds  $\lambda = \mu + o(1)$  and y = o(s) as  $s \to 0$ .

It follows from [11, Theorem II.3.3] that  $(\mu,0)$  is a bifurcation point for equation (1.1), and  $\mathcal{S}$  possesses a maximal continuum  $\mathscr{C}_{\mu}$  such that  $(\mu,0)\in\mathscr{C}_{\mu}$  and  $\mathscr{C}_{\mu}$  either meets at infinity in  $\mathbb{R}\times X$ , or meets at  $(\hat{\mu},0)$  with some  $\hat{\mu}\neq\mu$ . Furthermore, by [15, Lemma 6.4.2] or [3, Lemma 2.3], we have that  $\mathscr{C}_{\mu}$  possesses a subcontinuum in each of the cones  $K^+_{\eta}\cup\{(\mu,0)\}$  and  $K^-_{\eta}\cup\{(\mu,0)\}$  each of which meets  $(\mu,0)$  and  $\partial\bar{\mathbb{B}}_{\varrho}(\mu,0)$  for all  $\varrho>0$  sufficiently small, which is the local unilateral bifurcation structure of  $\mathscr{C}_{\mu}$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, let  $a = \mu - \varepsilon$  and  $b = \mu + \varepsilon$ . Since  $D_u F(a,0)$  and  $D_u F(b,0)$  are isomorphism, the isolated zero index formula is well-defined for  $I + D_u f(a,0)$  and  $I + D_u f(b,0)$ , which are denoted by  $i(I + D_u f(a,0),0)$  and  $i(I + D_u f(b,0),0)$ . From the definition of 0-group index we see that

$$i(I + D_u f(a, 0), 0) = \sigma(a)$$

and

$$i(I + D_u f(b,0), 0) = \sigma(b).$$

Thus, we have that

$$i(I + D_u f(a,0), 0) \neq i(I + D_u f(b,0), 0).$$

That is to say

$$deg(I + D_u f(a, 0), \mathfrak{B}_r(0), 0) \neq deg(I + D_u f(a, 0), \mathfrak{B}_r(0), 0),$$

where  $\mathfrak{B}_r(0) = \{u \in X : ||u|| < r\}$  is an isolating neighborhood of the trivial solution. Applying [4, Theorem 3.1], we obtain that  $\mathcal{S}$  possesses two maximal sub-continua  $\mathscr{C}^{\pm}_{\mu}$  emanating from  $(\mu,0)$ , such that either  $\mathscr{C}^{+}_{\mu}$  and  $\mathscr{C}^{-}_{\mu}$  are both unbounded or  $\mathscr{C}^{+}_{\mu} \cap \mathscr{C}^{-}_{\mu} \neq \{(\mu,0)\}$ .

Note that the unilateral global bifurcation result of [4, Theorem 3.1] is for multiparameter problem. Here we use its special case of single parameter. If f is not globally defined, it is not difficult to get the following result.

**Corollary 2.2.** Assume that  $\mathcal{O}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R} \times X$  and F is defined on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, either  $\mathscr{C}^+_{\mu}$  and  $\mathscr{C}^-_{\mu}$  satisfy the alternatives of Theorem 1.1 or at least one of them meets  $\partial \mathcal{O}$ .

#### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let k be the sectional curvature of the manifold  $\mathbb{M}^N$  (i.e. k=1 if  $\mathbb{M}^N=\mathbb{S}^N$  and k=-1 if  $\mathbb{M}^N=\mathbb{H}^N$ ). If we choose spherical coordinates  $(r,\theta)$ , with  $\theta\in\mathbb{S}^{N-1}$  and  $r\in[0,+\infty)$  if k<0 and  $r\in[0,\pi]$  if k>0, the usual metric in  $\mathbb{M}^N$  [2, Section II.5, Theorem 1] can be written as

$$g_{\mathbb{M}^N} = \mathrm{d}r^2 + S_k^2(r)\,\mathrm{d}\theta^2$$

where

$$S_k(r) = \begin{cases} \sinh r & \text{if } k = -1, \\ \sin r & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{g_{\mathbb{M}^N}} u + \lambda u = 0 & \text{in } B_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

where  $B_1$  is the unit geodesic ball of  $\mathbb{M}^N$ . It is well known that (3.1) possesses a unique principal eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . Let  $\widetilde{\phi}_1$  be the positive eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$  normalized so that  $\int_{B_1} \widetilde{\phi}_1^2 \operatorname{dvol}_{g_{\mathbb{M}^N}} = 1/2\pi$ . Then, if g denotes the standard product metric of  $\mathbb{M}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  and r(x) denotes the geodesic distance of  $x \in \mathbb{M}^N$  from a fixed point  $0 \in \mathbb{M}^N$  (the origin), the function  $\phi_1(x,t) = \widetilde{\phi}_1(x)$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \phi_1 + \lambda_1 \phi_1 = 0 & \text{in } C_1^T, \\ \phi_1 = 0 & \text{on } \partial C_1^T, \end{cases}$$

where

$$C_1^T = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{M}^N \times \mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z} : r(x) < 1\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\int_{C_1^{2\pi}} \phi_1^2 \, \mathrm{dvol}_g = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

For each  $v \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  with v(t) > -1, we set

$$C_{1+v}^T = \left\{ (x,t) \in \mathbb{M}^N \times \mathbb{R} / T\mathbb{Z} : r(x) < 1 + v\left(\frac{2\pi t}{T}\right) \right\}.$$

It follows from [2, 10] that there exists a unique positive function  $\phi_v \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}(C_{1+v}^T)$  and a constant  $\lambda_v$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \phi_v + \lambda_v \phi_v = 0 & \text{in } C_{1+v}^T, \\ \phi_v = 0 & \text{on } \partial C_{1+v}^T \end{cases}$$
(3.3)

and

$$\int_{C_{1+v}^{2\pi}} \phi_v^2 \left( x, \frac{T}{2\pi} t \right) \operatorname{dvol}_g = 1.$$

Define the operator

$$N(v,T) = g(\nabla \phi_v, \omega) \mid_{\partial C_{1+v}^T} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}_g(\partial C_{1+v}^T)} \int_{\partial C_{1+v}^T} g(\nabla \phi_v, \omega) \operatorname{dvol}_g,$$

where  $\omega$  denotes the unit normal vector field to  $\partial C_{1+v}^T$ . By the rotational symmetry of  $C_{1+v}^T$ , it is easy to show that N depends only on the variable t [16]. Set  $F(v,T) = N(v,T) \left(\frac{T}{2\pi}t\right)$ . Obviously, F(0,T) = 0 for any T > 0. From [5, Lemma 2.1] we know that F is a  $C^1$  operator in a neighborhood of (0,T) for any fixed T > 0.

Let  $\psi$  be the unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g \psi + \lambda_1 \psi = 0 & \text{in } C_1^T, \\ \psi = -\partial_r \phi_1 v(\frac{2\pi t}{T}) & \text{on } \partial C_1^T. \end{cases}$$

Define the function  $\widetilde{H}_T(\cdot)$  as follows

$$\widetilde{H}_T(v) = \left(\partial_r \psi + \partial_r^2 \phi_1 v \left(\frac{2\pi}{T} t\right)\right) \bigg|_{\partial C_1^T}$$

and set

$$H_T(v) = \widetilde{H}_T(v) igg(rac{T}{2\pi}tigg).$$

It follows from [16, Proposition 3.2] that the linearization of F with respect to v at the point (0,T) is just  $H_T$ .

If  $v \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$ , its Fourier expansion is

$$v = \sum_{m \ge 1} a_m \cos(mt).$$

Let  $V_m$  be the space spanned by the function  $\cos(mt)$ . It follows from [16, Proposition 4.3] that  $H_T$  preserves the eigenspaces  $V_m$ . Let  $\sigma_m(T)$  be the eigenvalue of  $H_T$  associated with the eigenfunction  $\cos(mt)$ . It is known (see [16]) that

$$\sigma_m(T) = \partial_r c_m(1) + \partial_r^2 \phi_1(1),$$

where  $c_m$  is the continuous solution on [0,1] of

$$\left(\partial_r^2 + (n-1)\frac{C_k(r)}{S_k(r)}\partial_r + \lambda_1\right)c - \left(\frac{2m\pi}{T}\right)^2c = 0$$

with  $c_m(1) = -\partial_r \phi_1(1)$ , where

$$C_k(r) = \begin{cases} \cosh r & \text{if } k = -1, \\ \cos r & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

It follows from [5, Proposition 2.1] that the function  $\sigma_1(T)$  satisfies  $\sigma_1'(T) < 0$  for any T > 0. Moreover,  $\sigma_1$  has exactly one zero in  $(0, +\infty)$ , which is denoted by  $T_*$ . Furthermore, by [5, Proposition 2.2], we also know that the linearized operator

$$H_T = D_v F(0,T) : \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$$

is a formally self-adjoint, first order elliptic operator. It preserves the eigenspaces  $V_m$  for all m and T > 0. Moreover, the kernel of  $H_{T_m}$  is just  $V_m$  and the eigenvalue associated to the eigenspace  $V_m$  has a unique zero which is just  $T_m$ . Note that  $\sigma_m(T) = \sigma_1(T/m)$ , which indicates the property of  $\sigma_m$  can be deduced from the property of  $\sigma_1$ . So we next only consider the case of m = 1.

We now present the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. From the property of  $\sigma_1(T)$  we know that there exists  $T_0 > T_*$  such that  $\sigma_1(T) > -1$  for any  $T \in (0, T_0)$ . We claim that  $H_T + \operatorname{Id}$  is invertible for any  $T \in (0, T_0)$ . For any  $v \in \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}_{\operatorname{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $H_Tv + v = 0$ , it follows from the Fourier expansion  $v = \sum_{m \geq 1} a_m \cos(mt)$  and  $\sigma_m(T) = \sigma(T/m)$  that

$$(\sigma(T)+1)\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}v^2\,dt \leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(H_Tv^2+v^2)\,dt = 0.$$

It follows that  $v \equiv 0$ . Clearly,  $H_T + \text{Id}$  is linear continuous. By Banach inverse operator theorem,  $H_T + \text{Id}$  is an isomorphism for any  $T \in (0, T_0)$ .

Define  $G:(0,T_0)\times\mathcal{V}\to\mathcal{W}$  by

$$G(T,v) = F(v,T) + v$$

where  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  are open neighborhoods of the 0 function. Since the operator  $H_T$  + Id is invertible for  $T \in (0,T_0)$ ,  $D_vG(T,0)$  is an isomorphism for all  $T \in (0,T_0)$ . For  $w \in \mathcal{W}$ , there exists a unique  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $G(\lambda,v) = w$ . Let  $v = G^{-1}(w)$ . Clearly,  $G^{-1}$  maps  $\mathcal{W}$  into  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $R(T,w) = w - G^{-1}(w)$ , which maps  $(0,T_0) \times \mathcal{W}$  into  $\mathcal{W}$  because  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$ . Since the embedding of  $\mathcal{C}^{2,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}_{\mathrm{even},0}(\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$  is compact,  $R: (0,T_0) \times \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}$  is compact. Then F(v,T) = 0 is equivalent to R(T,v) = 0 for all  $T \in (0,T_0)$ . We see that  $D_w R(T,0) w = \mu w$  is equivalent to  $H_\lambda(w) = \mu w/(1-\mu)$  with  $\mu < 1$ . It follows that  $D_w R(T,0)$  has the same number of negative eigenvalues as  $H_T$ .

We have known that  $\dim \operatorname{Ker}(H_{T_*})=1$ . So we also have that  $\dim \operatorname{Ker}(D_wR(T_*,0))=1$ . For any  $\varepsilon>0$  small enough, the property of  $\sigma(T)$  implies that 0-group index  $\sigma(T_*-\varepsilon)=(-1)^0=1$  and  $\sigma(T_*+\varepsilon)=(-1)^1=-1$ . It further indicates that  $D_wR(T,0)$  has an odd crossing number at  $T=T_*$ . Applying Theorem 1.1 to R(T,v)=0, we can conclude the desired unilateral global bifurcation result.

## References

- [1] L. Bessières, G. Besson, S. Maillot, M. Boileau, J. Porti, Geometrisation of 3-manifolds, EMS Tracts Math., Vol. 13, European Mathematical Society, Zurich, 2010. https://doi.org/10.4171/082; MR2683385; Zbl 1244.57003
- [2] I. Chavel, Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry, Academic Press, Orlando, 1984. MR0768584; Zbl 0551.53001
- [3] G. DAI, Bifurcation and one-sign solutions of the *p*-Laplacian involving a nonlinearity with zeros, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **36**(2016), 5323–5345. https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2016034; MR3543550; Zbl 06638709
- [4] G. Dai, Y. Sun, Z.Q. Wang, Z. Zhang, The structure of positive solutions for a Schrödinger system, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 55(2020), 343–367. https://doi.org/10.12775/TMNA.2019.098; MR4100389; Zbl 1505.47069
- [5] G. Dai, F. Morabito, P. Sicbaldi, A smooth 1-parameter family of Delaunay-type domains for an overdetermined elliptic problem in  $\mathbb{S}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ , Potential Anal. **60**(2024), 1407–1420. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-023-10093-6; Zbl 1537.35255
- [6] E. N. DANCER, On the structure of solutions of non-linear eigenvalue problems, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 23(1974), 1069–1076. https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.1974.23.23087; MR0348567; Zbl 0276.47051
- [7] E.N. DANCER, Bifurcation from simple eigenvalues and eigenvalues of geometric multiplicity one, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 34(2002), 533–538.https://doi.org/10.1112/ S002460930200108X; MR1912875; Zbl 1027.58009
- [8] K. Deimling, Nonlinear functional analysis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York-Heidelberg, 1985. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-00547-7; MR787404 Zbl 1257.47059
- [9] M. Del Pino, F. Pacard, J. Wei, Serrin's overdetermined problem and constant mean curvature surfaces, *Duke Math. J.* 164(2015) 2643–2722.https://doi.org/10.1215/00127094-3146710; MR3417183; Zbl 1342.35188

- [10] D. GILBARG, N. S. TRUDINGER, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2001. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-61798-0; MR1814364 Zbl 1042.35002
- [11] H. Kielhöfer, Bifurcation theory: an introduction with applications to PDEs, Appl. Math. Sci., Vol. 156, Springer, New York, 2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-0502-3; MR2859263; Zbl 1230.35002
- [12] M. A. Krasnosel'skii, Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations, Macmillan, New York, 1964. MR159197; Zbl 0111.30303
- [13] J. Leray, J. Schauder, Topologie et équations fonctionnelles, *Ann. Sci. école Norm. Sup.* (3) **51**(1934), 45–78. https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.836; MR1509338; Zbl 0009.07301
- [14] Y. Liu, K. Wang, J. Wei, On smooth solutions to one phase free boundary problem in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2021**, No. 20, 15682–15732. https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnz250; MR4329879; Zbl 1481.35412
- [15] J. López-Gómez, Spectral theory and nonlinear functional analysis, Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2001. MR1823860; Zbl 0978.47048
- [16] F. Morabito, P. Sicbaldi, Delaunay type domains for an overdetermined elliptic problem in  $\mathbb{S}^N \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{H}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ , ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 22(2016), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1051/cocv/2014064; MR3489374; Zbl 1336.58015
- [17] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, arXiv preprint, 2002. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211159; Zbl 1130.53001
- [18] G. Perelman, Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds, arXiv preprint, 2003. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0303109; Zbl 1130.53002
- [19] G. Perelman, Finite extinction time for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifolds, arXiv preprint, 2003. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0307245; Zbl 1130.53003
- [20] P. H. RABINOWITZ, Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems, J. Funct. Anal. 7(1971), 487–513. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(71)90030-9; MR0301587; Zbl 0212.16504
- [21] A. Ros, P. Sicbaldi, Geometry and topology of some overdetermined elliptic problem, *J. Differential Equations* **255**(2013), 951–977. MR3062759
- [22] D. Ruiz, P. Sicbaldi, J. Wu, Overdetermined elliptic problems in onduloid-type domains with general nonlinearities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **283**(2022), Paper No. 109705, 26 pp. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2022.109705; MR4484836; Zbl 1501.35229
- [23] F. SCHLENK, P. SICBALDI, Bifurcating extremal domains for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian, *Adv. Math.* 229(2012), 602–632.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2011.10.001; MR2854185; Zbl 1233.35147
- [24] P. Sicbaldi, New extremal domains for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian in flat tori, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **37**(2010), 329–344. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00526-009-0264-z; MR2592974; Zbl 1188.35122

[25] M. Traizet, Classification of the solutions to an overdetermined elliptic problem in the plane, *Geom. Func. Anal.* **24**(2014), No. 2, 690–720. https://doi.org/110.1007/s00039-014-0268-5; MR3192039; Zbl 1295.35344