Minimal clones with few majority operations

Tamás Waldhauser

Bolyai Institute University of Szeged

Conference on Algorithmic Complexity and Universal Algebra

Szeged, 2007.07.16.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Definition

A family C of finitary operations on a set A is a concrete clone, if it is closed under composition of functions and contains all projections.

Example

If $\mathbb{A} = (A; F)$ is an algebra, then the set of its term functions is a clone on A. This clone is generated by F: $\operatorname{Clo} \mathbb{A} = [F]$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

All clones on a given set *A* form a lattice with respect to inclusion.

- least element: \mathcal{I}_A , the trivial clone (only projections)
- atoms: minimal clones
- greatest element: \mathcal{O}_A , the clone of all operations on A
- coatoms: maximal clones

Definition

A clone is a minimal clone, if its only proper subclone is the trivial one.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

Minimality Criterion

 $\mathcal{I} \neq \mathcal{C} ext{ is minimal } \iff \forall g \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{C} = [g].$

Therefore all minimal clones are singly generated.

We usually determine a minimal clone by a generating function of minimal arity.

Definition

An *n*-ary function *f* is a minimal function if

- [f] is a minimal clone;
- every nontrivial operation in [f] has arity at least n.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Theorem (Rosenberg)

Let f be a minimal function on A. Then f satisfies one of the following conditions:

- *f* is unary, and $f^2(x) = f(x)$ or $f^p(x) = x$ for some prime *p*;
- f is a binary idempotent operation, i.e. f(x, x) = x;
- *f* is a ternary majority operation, i.e.
 f(*x*, *x*, *y*) = *f*(*x*, *y*, *x*) = *f*(*y*, *x*, *x*) = *x*;
- *f*(*x*, *y*, *z*) = *x* + *y* + *z* for a Boolean group(*A*; +);
- *f* is a semiprojection, i.e. there exists an *i* (1 ≤ *i* ≤ *n*) such that *f*(*x*₁, *x*₂,..., *x_n*) = *x_i* whenever the arguments are not pairwise distinct.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Theorem (Csákány)

If f is a minimal majority function on a three-element set A, then $(A; f) \cong (\{1, 2, 3\}; m)$ for some function m from the the twelve majority functions listed below.

These functions belong to three minimal clones containing 1,3 and 8 majority operations respectively, as shown in the table.

	<i>m</i> ₁	<i>m</i> ₂			<i>m</i> 3							
(1,2,3)	1	1	2	3	2	3	2	2	3	2	3	3
(2, 3, 1)	1	2	3	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	2
(3, 1, 2)	1	3	1	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	3
(2, 1, 3)	1	2	1	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	3	2
(1,3,2)	1	1	3	2	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	2
(3, 2, 1)	1	3	2	1	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	3

Definition

An abstract clone C is given by a family $C^{(n)}$ $(n \ge 1)$ of sets with distinguished elements $e_i^{(n)} \in C^{(n)}$ $(1 \le i \le n)$ and mappings

$$\mathcal{F}_{k}^{n}:\mathcal{C}^{(n)} imes\left(\mathcal{C}^{(k)}
ight)^{n}
ightarrow\mathcal{C}^{(k)},\ (f,g_{1},\ldots,g_{n})\mapsto f\left(g_{1},\ldots,g_{n}
ight)$$

such that the following axioms are satisfied.

•
$$e_i^{(n)}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = f_i$$

• $f(e_1^{(n)}, \dots, e_n^{(n)}) = f$
• $f(g_1, \dots, g_n)(h_1, \dots, h_k) = f(g_1(h_1, \dots, h_k), \dots, g_n(h_1, \dots, h_k))$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本

Majority operations

Fact

Majority operations are nice. (Congruence distributivity, Baker-Pixley theorem, \dots)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Fact

Majority operations are nice. (Congruence distributivity, Baker-Pixley theorem, \dots)

Fact

Let C be a clone generated by a majority operation. If every nontrivial ternary operation in C generates C, then C is a minimal clone.

Thus it suffices to verify

$$\forall g \in \mathcal{C}^{(3)} \setminus \mathcal{I} : \mathcal{C} = [g].$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

To study minimal majority clones as abstract clones one can consider the algebra $(\mathcal{C}^{(3)}; F_3^3, e_1^{(3)}, e_2^{(3)}, e_3^{(3)})$. This is an algebra with one quaternary and three nullary operations satisfying the following identities.

•
$$F(e_i, f_1, f_2, f_3) = f_i$$
 $(i = 1, 2, 3)$

•
$$F(f, e_1, e_2, e_3) = f$$

•
$$F(F(f, g_1, g_2, g_3), h_1, h_2, h_3) =$$

 $F(f, F(g_1, h_1, h_2, h_3), F(g_2, h_1, h_2, h_3), F(g_3, h_1, h_2, h_3))$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

To study minimal majority clones as abstract clones one can consider the algebra $(\mathcal{C}^{(3)}; F_3^3, e_1^{(3)}, e_2^{(3)}, e_3^{(3)})$. This is an algebra with one quaternary and three nullary operations satisfying the following identities.

•
$$F(e_i, f_1, f_2, f_3) = f_i$$
 $(i = 1, 2, 3)$

•
$$F(f, e_1, e_2, e_3) = f$$

•
$$F(F(f, g_1, g_2, g_3), h_1, h_2, h_3) =$$

 $F(f, F(g_1, h_1, h_2, h_3), F(g_2, h_1, h_2, h_3), F(g_3, h_1, h_2, h_3))$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Fact

A majority clone ${\mathcal C}$ is minimal iff ${\mathcal C}^{(3)}$ has no proper nontrivial subalgebras.

The median function $f(x, y, z) = (x \land y) \lor (y \land z) \lor (z \land x)$ on any lattice is a minimal majority function.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

The median function $f(x, y, z) = (x \land y) \lor (y \land z) \lor (z \land x)$ on any lattice is a minimal majority function.

Proof.

Clearly *f* is a totally symmetric majority operation. One can check that the following identity also holds:

$$f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z})=f(f(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}),\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

This ensures that the ternary part of [f] is $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, f\}$.

The dual discriminator function d_A on any set *A* is a minimal majority function.

$$d_A(a,b,c) = egin{cases} a & ext{if } a = b \ c & ext{if } a
eq b \end{cases}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 のへで

The dual discriminator function d_A on any set A is a minimal majority function.

$$d_A(a,b,c) = egin{cases} a & ext{if } a = b \ c & ext{if } a
eq b \ . \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Proof.

One can check that the ternary part of $[d_A]$ is { e_1 , e_2 , e_3 , $d_A(x, y, z)$, $d_A(y, z, x)$, $d_A(z, x, y)$ }.

Minimal clones with few majority operations

Theorem

If C is a minimal clone with one majority operation, then $C^{(3)}$ is isomorphic to $[m_1]^{(3)}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 ○○○

Theorem

If C is a minimal clone with one majority operation, then $C^{(3)}$ is isomorphic to $[m_1]^{(3)}$.

Theorem

There is no minimal clone with exactly two majority operations.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Theorem

If C is a minimal clone with one majority operation, then $C^{(3)}$ is isomorphic to $[m_1]^{(3)}$.

Theorem

There is no minimal clone with exactly two majority operations.

Theorem

If C is a minimal clone with three majority operations, then $C^{(3)}$ is isomorphic to $[m_2]^{(3)}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○○

Theorem

If C is a minimal clone with one majority operation, then $C^{(3)}$ is isomorphic to $[m_1]^{(3)}$.

Theorem

There is no minimal clone with exactly two majority operations.

Theorem

If C is a minimal clone with three majority operations, then $C^{(3)}$ is isomorphic to $[m_2]^{(3)}$.

Theorem

There is no minimal clone with exactly four majority operations.