L-Multialgebras and P-fuzzy Congruences

R. Ameri^{*a*}, I. G. Rosenberg ^{*b*}

a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Science, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

e-mail: ameri@umz.ac.ir

b Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Montreal, Montreal Canada

e-mail: rosenb@dms.umontreal.ca

Abstract

The purpose of this note is the study of L-multialgebras and fuzzy congruences of multialgebras. In this regards first the notion of a L-multialgebras are introduced and studied and then the notion of a P-fuzzy relations on multialgebra are given and is applied to introduce the notion of P-fuzzy congruence of multialgebras. Finally, the lattices of P-fuzzy (resp. strong) congruences of multialgebras is constructed and and it is shown that is complete. Keywords: P-fuzzy set, P-fuzzy relation, L-multialgebra, compatibility, congruences¹

1 Introduction

The concept of a hypergroup was introduced by F. Marty [22]. Since then many researchers studied in this field and developed; for example [10, 11, 27]. Several aspects of homomorphisms, subalgebras and subdirect decompositions of relational systems of multialgebras (hyperalgebra) developed in [23], [24] by Picket and in [16] by Hansoul. In [26] D. Schweigert studied the congruence of multialgebras. Ameri and Zahedi introduced the notion of hyperalgebraic systems [1].

As it is well known Zadeh in 1965 [28] introduced the notion of a fuzzy subset μ of a nonempty set X as a function from X to unite real interval I = [0, 1]. J.E. Goguen in [15] replace I by a complete lattice L in the definition of fuzzy sets and introduced the notion of L-fuzzy sets.

Rosenfeld defined the concept of a fuzzy subgroup of a group G [21]. and since then many researchers have worked in this area. Zahedi and others introduced and studied the fuzzy hyperalge-

¹a Correspondence Author

braic structures (for example [2, 3, 4, 12, 13, 25]). The purpose of this note is the study of L-multialgebras and fuzzy congruences of multialgebras. We introduce the notion of L-multialgebras, as a generalization of multialgebras and fuzzy algebraic systems investigate the basic properties of L-multialgebras. Then we introduce notion of P-relation and apply it to introducing the notions of P-fuzzy congruence of multialgebras. Then we give the basic results of these notions. In particular, we show that the set of all P-fuzzy congruences on a given multialgebra via natural order, forms a complete lattice.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we gather all definitions and simple properties we require of hyperstructures and fuzzy subsets and set the notions. In the sequel H is a fixed nonvoid set, $P^*(H)$ is the family of all nonvoid subsets of H, and for a positive integer n we denote for H^n the set of n-tuples over H (for more see [1]).

For a positive integer n a n-ary hyperoperation β on H is a function $\beta : H^n \to P^*(H)$. We say that n the arity of β . A subset S of H is closed under the n-ary hyperoperation β if $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in S^n$ implies that $\beta(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \subseteq S$. A nullary hyperoperation on H is just an element of $P^*(H)$; i.e. a nonvoid subset of H.

An *n*-ary relation on *H* is a subset of H^n . We also say that the arity of ρ is *n*. Orders and equivalence relations on *H* are the best examples of binary (i.e. 2-array) relations on *H*. Henceforth sometimes we use hyperoperation instead of the *n*-ary hyperoperation. A hyperalgebraic system $\langle H, (\beta_i, | i \in I), (\alpha_j | j \in J) \rangle$ is the set *H* with together a collection $(\beta_i, | i \in I)$ of hyperoperations on *H* and a collections $(\alpha_j | j \in J)$ of relations on *H*. $\langle H, (\beta_i, | i \in I) \rangle$ is called a hyperoperational system or a multialgebra. Notice the set $\langle H, (\alpha_j | j \in J) \rangle$ is called a relational system.

A subset S of a multialgebra $H = \langle H, (\beta_i, | i \in I) \rangle$ is a submultialgebra of H if for all $i \in I$, each hyperoperation β_i is closed on S, that is $\beta_i(a_1, ..., a_n) \subseteq S$, whenever $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in S^n$. The type of H is the map from I into the set N of nonnegative integers assigning to each $i \in I$ the arity of β_i .

Let ρ be an h - ary relation on H. Extended ρ to $P^*(H)$ in two ways. Let $A_1, ..., A_h \in P^*(H)$ be arbitrary. Then

1) Set $(A_1, ..., A_2) \in \overline{\rho}$ if $A_1 \times ... \times A_h \subseteq \rho$; i.e. if $(a_1, ..., a_h) \in \rho$ whenever $a_i \in A_i$ for all i = 1, ..., h.

2) For h > 1 set $(A_1 \times, ..., \times A_h) \in \overline{\rho}$ if for every $1 \le j \le h$ and all

 $a_i \in A_i$ for $i = 1, ..., h, i \neq j$ we have $(a_1, ..., a_h) \in \rho$ for some $a_j \in A$. For h = 1 (if $\rho \subseteq H$) set $\overline{\rho} = \overline{\overline{\rho}} (= P^*(\rho))$.

For example, let h = 2, let ρ be an equivalence relation on Hand let $A_1, A_2 \subseteq H$. Then $(A_1, A_2) \subseteq \overline{\rho}$ if and only if $A_1, A_2 \subseteq B$ for a block (also called equivalence class) of ρ , while $(A_1, A_2) \in \overline{\rho}$ if the set A_1 and A_2 meet exactly the same blocks of ρ ; in other words, if both sets A_1 and A_2 have the same hull in ρ .

An *h*-ary relation ρ on *H* is strongly compatible with an *n*-ary hyperoperation β on *H* if either (i) n > 0 and for every $h \times n$ matrix $M = [m_{ij}]$ over *H* whose column vectors are all in ρ , the values of β on the rows of *M* form an h - tuple in ρ ; explicitly if $(m_{1j}, ..., m_{hj}) \in \rho$ for all j = 1, ..., n, implies

$$(\beta(m_{11},...,m_{1n}),...,\beta(m_{h1},...,m_{hn}))\in\overline{\rho}$$

$$(1)$$

or

(*ii*) n = 0 and $(\beta, ..., \beta) \in \overline{\rho}$ (where $\beta \in P^*(H)$ is the value of β). Strong compatibility was introduced in [10]. If we replace $\overline{\rho}$ by $\overline{\rho}$ we obtain the notion of compatibility ([22] for equivalence relation and independently [1] for h = 1, 2).

A binary relation ρ on a set M is called *compatible* (resp. strong compatible) with an *n*-ary hyperoperation β if $x_1\rho y_1, ..., x_n\rho y_n$ implies that

$$\beta(x_1, ..., x_n)\overline{\rho}\beta(y_1, ..., y_n),$$
$$(\beta(x_1, ..., x_n)\overline{\rho_S}\beta(y_1, ..., y_n))$$

where for nonempty subsets A and B of M,

 $A\overline{\rho}B \iff (\forall a \in A \ \exists b \in B : a\rho b \ \text{and} \ \forall b \in B , \ \exists a \in A : b\rho a),$

and

$$A\overline{\rho_S}B \iff \forall a \in A, \forall b \in B \ a\rho b.$$

Let $\langle H, (\beta_i, | i \in I) \rangle$ be a multialgebra. A binary relation ρ on Mis called (resp. strong) *congruence* if ρ is an equivalence relation and (resp. strongly) compatible with every $\beta_i, i \in I$.

For n > 0 we extend an n-ary hyperoperation β on H to $P^*(H)$ by setting for all $A_1, ..., A_n \in P^*(H)$

$$\beta(A_1, ..., A_n) = \bigcup \{ \beta(a_1, ..., a_h) | a_i \in A_i (i = 1, ..., n) \}.$$

Whenever possible we write a instead of the the singleton $\{a\}$; e.g. for a binary hyperoperation \circ and $a, b, c \in H$ we write $a \circ (b \circ c)$ for $\{a\} \circ (\{b\} \circ \{c\}) = \cup \{a \circ u | u \in b \circ c\}$.

An equivalence relation on A compatible (strongly compatible) with a multialgebra H on A is *congruence* (strong congruence) of *H*. Denote by Con(H)(Cons(H)) the set of all congruences (strong congruences) of *H*.

Let $H = \langle A, (\beta_i, | i \in I) \rangle$ be a multialgebra and let $\theta \in Con(H)$. Let $A'\{B_j | j \in J\}$ be the set of blocks of θ . For every $i \in I$ define β'_i on A' as follows:

Let $j_1, ..., j_{M_i} \in J$ be arbitrary and let $a_l \in B_{j_l}$ for $l = 1, ..., M_i$. Let

$$\beta'_i(B_{j_1}, \dots, B_{j_{M_i}}) = \{B_j | j \in J, B_j \text{ meets } \beta_i(a_1, \dots, a_{M_i})\}$$
(2)

Since $\theta \in Con(H)$, it can be verified that β'_i is well defined M_i ary hyperoperation on A'. Call $H/\theta = \langle A', \{B_j | j \in J\} \rangle$ a factor multialgebra of H. If, moreover, $\theta \in Con(H)$, then every β'_i is singleton valued, i.e. an operation on A', and H/θ is an algebra. For semihypergroups this fact are in [10](see also [12], [19] and [20]), the general case is in [1].

We view binary relation on A as subsets of A^2 and so for a multialgebra H on A the sets Con(H) and Cons(H) are naturally ordered by set inclusion. First we characterize the poset $(Con(H, \subseteq))$. Recall that for a binary relations ρ and σ on A the relation product (also called *de Morgan product*) is

 $\rho \circ \sigma = \{(x, y) \in A^2 | (x, u) \in \rho, (u, y) \in \sigma \text{ for some} u \in A\}.$

It is well known and easy to show that the relation product is associative with the unital element $\omega = \{(a, a) | a \in A\}$.

A hypergroupoid is a multialgebra of type (2), that is a set H together with a (binary) hyperoperation \circ . A hypergroupoid (H, \circ) , which is associative, that is $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$ for all $x, y, z \in H$ is called a *semihypergroup*. A hypergroup is a semihypergroup such that for all $x \in H$ we have $x \circ H = H = H \circ x$ (called the *reproduction axiom*).

Let *H* be a hypergroup. A nonempty subset *K* of *H* is a *subhy*pergroup of *H* if $a \circ K = K = K \circ a$ for all $a \in K$.

An element e in a hypergroup $H = (H, \circ)$ is called an *identity* of H if for all $x \in H$

$$x \in (e \circ x) \cap (x \circ e).$$

A polygroup is a semihypergroup $H = (H, \circ)$ with $e \in H$ such that for all $x, y \in H$

- $(i)e \circ x = x = x \circ e;$
- (*ii*) there exists a unique element, $x^{-1} \in H$ such that

$$e \in (x \circ x^{-1}) \cap (x^{-1} \circ x), x \in \bigcap_{z \in x \circ y} (z \circ y^{-1}), y \in \bigcap_{z \in x \circ y} (x^{-1} \circ z).$$

In fact a polygroup is a multialgebra of type (2, 1, 0).

Let $H = (H, \circ)$ be a polygroup. A subhypergroup $K = (K, \circ)$ of

H is a

(i) subpolygroup of H, in symbols $K \leq_P H$, if $e \in K = K^{-1}$

(*ii*) normal subpolygroup of H, in symbol KH, if for all $x \in H$ we get $x \circ K = K \circ x$.

Denote by L a complete distributive lattice. The meet, join, and partial ordering of L will be written as $\land, \lor, \lor \leq$, respectively. By an L-subset of X, we mean a function μ from X to L. The set of all L-subsets of X is called L-power subsets of X and is denoted by L^X . In particular, when L is I = [0,1], the L-subsets of Xare called the fuzzy subset and the set I^X is referred as the fuzzy power set of X.

Let $\mu \in L^X$. Then the set $\{\mu(x)|x \in X\}$ is called the *image* of μ and is denoted by $\mu(X)$ or $Im(\mu)$. The set $\{x \in X | \mu(x) > 0\}$ is called the *support* of μ and is denoted by μ^* or $supp(\mu)$.

Let $\{\mu_i | i \in I\}$ be a family of L-subsets of X, where I is a nonempty index set, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mu_i$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i$ are given by

$$(\bigcup_{i\in I}\mu_i)(x)=\bigvee_{i\in I}\mu_i(x),$$

$$(\bigcap_{i\in I}\mu_i)(x) = \bigwedge_{i\in I}\mu_i(x).$$

Let $\mu \in L^X$. For $a \in L$, define μ_a as follows:

$$\mu^a = \{ x \in X | \mu(x) \ge a \}.$$

 μ^a is called the *a*-level subset of μ .

It is easy to verify that for any $\mu, \nu \in L^X$,

$$(1)\mu \subseteq \nu, a \in L \Longrightarrow \mu^{a} \subseteq \nu^{a},$$
$$(2)a \leq b, a, b \in L \Longrightarrow \mu^{b} \subseteq \mu^{a}$$
$$(3)\mu = \nu \iff \mu^{a} = \nu^{a} \quad \forall a \in L.$$

Definition 2.16. By an L_n -relation of X, we mean a function μ from X^n to L.

If n = 2 we say L-relation instead L_2 -relation.

An L-relation R of X is said to be an L-similarity relation if

(i) is reflexive, that is

$$R(x,x) = 1, \quad \forall x \in X;$$

(ii) is symmetric, that is

$$R(x, y) = R(y, x), \quad \forall x, y \in X;$$

(*iii*) is transitive, that is

$$R(x,y) \ge \bigvee_{z \in X} R(x,z) \wedge R(z,y).$$

3 L-Multialgebras

In the sequel H denotes the multialgebra $H = \langle H, (\beta_i, | i \in I) \rangle$.

Definition 3.1. Let $H = \langle H, (\beta_i, | i \in I) \rangle$ be a multialgebra. We say that $\mu \in L^H$ is an *L*-submultialgebra of *H*, in symbol $\mu <_{LHA} H$, iff

(i) for every $i \in I$ such that arity n_i of β_i is positive, for all $a_1, ..., a_{n_i} \in H$ and all $z \in \beta_i(a_1, ..., a_{n_i})$

$$\mu(z) \ge \mu(a_1) \land \dots \land \mu(a_{n_i}) \tag{4}$$

in other words, every values of μ on the set $\beta_i(a_1, ..., a_n)$ is at least the least of $\mu(a_1), ..., \mu(a_n)$ and

(ii) for any nullary hyperoperation β and every $z\in\beta$

$$\mu(c) \ge \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in H.$$

Denote by LHA(H), the set of all *L*-submultialgebras of *H*. **Examples 3.2**. (1) Let $H = (H, \circ)$ be a hypergroupoid. Then $\mu \in L^H$ is a fuzzy subhypergroupoid of *H* if for all $x, y \in H$

$$\mu(z) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(y) \tag{5}$$

(2) Let $H = (H, \circ, e)$ be a hypergroupoid with an identity element e (considered as a nullary hyperoperation). Then $\mu <_{FHA} H$ if and only if μ satisfies in (3) and $\mu(e)$ is the greatest element of the range of μ . In this case we say that μ is an L-subhypergroupoid of H.

(3) Let $H = (H, \circ, {}^{-1}, e)$ be a polygroup (considered as a multialgebra of type (2, 1, 0)). Then $\mu <_{FHA} H$ if and only μ satisfies (3) and $\mu(e)$ is the greatest element of the range of μ and $\mu(x^{-1}) =$ $\mu(x)$ for all $x \in H$. Indeed from (2) we get $\mu(x^{-1}) \ge \mu(x)$ for all $x \in H$. From the unity of x^{-1} we get $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$ and so $\mu(x) = \mu((x^{-1})^{-1}) \ge \mu(x^{-1})$ proving the equality. Then μ is an L-subpolygroup if $\forall x, y \in H$ the following conditions are satisfies: $(i)\mu(z) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(y), \forall z \in x \circ y;$

$$(ii)\mu(x^{-1}) \geq \mu(x).$$

Theorem 3.3 (First Representation Theorem. Let $\mu \in FS(H)$. If all nonempty t-level subset μ^t is a submultialgebra of H, then μ is an L-submultialgebra of H.

Theorem 3.4 (Second Representation Theorem). Let μ be a *L*-submultialgebra of *H*. Then every nonempty t-level subset μ^t is a submultialgebra of *H*.

Proof. Let β be an *n*-ary hyperoperation $(n \ge 1)$ and $a = (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mu_n^t$. Then for any $z \in \beta(a_1, ..., a_n)$

$$\mu(z) \ge \mu(a_1) \land \dots \land \mu(a_n) \ge t,$$

that is $z \in \mu^t$, which means that μ^t is closed under β . For any nullary hyperoperation β and $z \in \beta$, by (*ii*) of Definition 3.1 $\mu(z) \ge \mu(x)$. Thus μ^t is closed under nullary hyperoperation, too. This complete the proof.

4 *P*-fuzzy Congruences

Let $\mu \in FS(A)$. Recall that the set

$$\mu^p = \{x \in A | \mu(x) \ge p\}$$

is the *p*-level subset or *p*-cut of μ for every $p \in L$.

The following, property known, proposition links *P*-fuzzy subset and this level sets.

Proposition 4.1. Let A be a nonvoid set and let and $P = (P, \leq)$ be a nonempty ordered set. A family $M = \{M^p | p \in P\}$ of subsets of A is the family of all level subsets of P-fuzzy subset μ on A if and only if

- (i) M covers A,
- (*ii*) for every $a \in A$ the set $M_a = \{p \in P | a \in M^p\}$.

has a greatest element (i.e. there exists $g \in M_a$ such that $g \ge p, \forall p \in M_a$).

Proposition 4.2. Let $h \in N_+$ and let $P = (P, \leq)$ proving $x \in \mu^p$ be a nonvoid ordered set. A *P*-fuzzy set on H^h is an *h*-ary *P*-fuzzy relation on *A*.

For a subset Q of P set $P = (P, \leq)$ be nonvoid order, let

$$Q^{\downarrow} = \{ p \in P | p \le q \quad \forall q \in Q \},$$
$$Q^{\uparrow} = \{ p \in P | p \ge q \quad \forall q \in Q \}.$$

The set of the form Q^{\downarrow} and Q^{\uparrow} are the *Galois-closed sets* on the left and right in Galois connection induced by \leq on P. We write $Q^{\downarrow\uparrow}$ for $(Q^{\downarrow})^{\uparrow}$. The set $\{(Q^{\downarrow})^{\uparrow}|Q \subseteq P\}$, ordered by \subseteq , is the Mac Neil completion of (P, \leq) . If (P, \leq) is \wedge - semilattice then for every finite nonvoid subset $Q = \{g_1, ..., g_n\}$ of P we have $Q^{\downarrow\uparrow} =$ $\{g_1 \wedge ... \wedge g_n\}^{\uparrow}$.

Definition 4.3. Let $h \in N_+$ and μ be an h - ary *P*-fuzzy relation on *H*. For an h-ary hyperoperation β on *A* is strongly compatible with μ if for every $h \times n$ matrix on A with rows $r_1, ..., r_h$ and columns $c_1, ..., c_n$

$$\mu(\beta(r_1) \times \dots \times \beta(r_n)) \subseteq \{\mu(c_1), \dots, \mu(c_n)\}^{\downarrow\uparrow} \qquad (6)$$

where by $\mu(\beta(r_1) \times ... \times \beta(r_n))$ we mean $\bigwedge_{u_i \in \beta(r_i)} \bigvee_{i=1}^n \mu(u_i)$

Definition 4.4. Let $P = (P, \leq)$ be a nontrivial ordered set, let $h \in N_+$ and let μ be an *h*-ary *P*-fuzzy relation on *A*. For $n \in N_+$ are *n*-ary hyperoperation β on *H* is compatible with μ if for every $h \times n$ matrix with rows $r_1, ..., r_h$ and columns $c_1, ..., c_n$ for each $1 \leq i \leq h$, for every $1 \leq j \leq h, j \neq i$, for all $u_j \in \beta(r_j)$ there exists $u_i \in \beta(r_i)$ such that

$$\mu(u_1, ..., u_h) \in \{\mu(c_1), ..., \mu(c_n)\}^{\downarrow^{\top}}$$
 (7)

A nullary hyperoperation on H is compatible with μ if it is strongly compatible with μ .

A multialgebra $\langle H, (\beta_i, | i \in I) \rangle$ is compatible with μ if each β_i is compatible with μ .

Remark 4.5. If $P = (L, \lor, \land)$ is a complete lattice. Then the condition (7) is expressible in lattice terms:

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{h} \bigwedge_{j=1, j \neq i}^{h} \bigwedge_{u_j \in \beta(r_j)} \bigvee_{u_i \in \beta(r_i)} \mu(u_1, \dots, u_h) \ge \bigwedge_{k=1}^{h} \mu(c_k)$$
(8)

In particular, if $P = ([0,1], \leq)$ (the unit interval of real numbers with the natural order) then the *P*-fuzzy sets are the standard fuzzy sets and (8) becomes

$$min_{i=1,...,h}min_{j=1,...,h,j\neq i}inf_{u_j} \in \beta(r_j)sup_{u_i\in\beta(r_i)}\mu(u_1,...,u_h) \ge min_{k=1,...,h}\mu(c_k).$$

Proposition 4.6. Let $P = (P, \leq)$ be a nontrivial order, let $H = \langle H, (\beta_i, | i \in I) \rangle$ be a multialgebra and let μ be an *h*-ary *P*-fuzzy relation on *H*. Then μ is compatible (resp. strongly compatible) with *H* if and only if for every $l \in L$ the multialgebra *H* is compatible (strongly compatible) with the level relation μ^l .

Definition 4.7. Denote by F_{hPA} , the set of *h*-ary *P*-fuzzy relation on *A*. The set F_{hPH} is naturally ordered pointwise as a set of maps from H^h into the ordered set *P*: For $\mu, \nu \in F$ set $\mu \preceq \nu$ if and only if $\mu(a) \leq \nu(a)$ for all $a \in H^h$.

The following proposition expresses \leq in terms of the level relations.

Proposition 4.8. Let $P = (P, \leq)$ be an ordered set and $\mu, \nu \in F_{hPH}$. Thus $\mu \leq \nu$ if and only if $\mu^P \subseteq \nu^p$ for all $p \in P$.

The following definition extends equivalence relations to *P*-fuzzy relations.

Definition 4.9. Let $P = (P, \leq)$ be an ordered set with the greatest

element 1. A binary *P*-fuzzy relation θ on *H* is a *similarity* if for all $x, y, z \in H$.

$$\theta(x, x) = 1, \theta(y, x) = \theta(x, y), \tag{9}$$

$$\theta(x,z) \in \{\theta(x,y), \theta(y,z)\}^{\downarrow\uparrow}.$$
 (10)

Lemma 4.10. Let θ be a binary *P*-fuzzy relation on *H* such that $\theta(a, a) = 1$ for all $a \in H$. Then θ is a similarity relation if and only if all θ^p are equivalence relations.

Definition 4.11. Let H be a multialgebra and P an ordered set with a greatest element 1. A similarity θ on H is a P-fuzzy congruence (strong P-fuzzy congruence) with θ . We denote by Con_PH ($Cons_PH$) the set of P-fuzzy congruences (strong P-fuzzy congruences) of H. Further we denote by ε the constant map (from A^2 into P) with value 1. If, moreover, H has a least element 0. We denote by η the map from A^2 into P defined by setting $\eta(a, a' = 1 \text{ if } a = a' \text{ and } \eta(a, a') = 0 \text{ if } a \neq a'.$

Lemma 4.12. Let P have a least element 0 and greatest element 1. Then ε is the least element and η the greatest element of (Con_PH, \preceq) for every multialgebra H on A.

Proposition 4.13. If *H* is a multialgebra on *A* and *P* an ordered set with a least element 0 and greatest element 1. Then (Con_PH, \preceq) is a complete lattice with the least element η and greatest element ε .

Proof. If $P, Q \in Con_P H$, then $P \cap Q \in Con_P H$ and it is the greatest lower bound, while unique smallest *P*-fuzzy congruence containing $P \cup Q$, in fact it is the intersection of the family of all *P*-fuzzy congruence on *H* containing $P \cup Q$ is their least upper bound. It is easy to replacing the set $\{P, Q\}$ by an arbitrary family of *P*-fuzzy congruence, and so the lattice $(Con_P H, \subseteq, \cap, \vee)$ is a complete lattice. Acknowledgment

This work is carried out during sabbatical leave of the first author in the University of Montreal. The first author would like to thank the Department of Mathematics and Statistics of University of Montreal for their hospitality and the University of Mazandaran and program "studies and researches between universities" project No. 31303386 for their financial support.

REFERENCES

- R. Ameri, M.M. Zahedi, Hyperalgebraic System, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 6(1999), 21-32.
- [2] R. Ameri, Fuzzy (Transposition)Hypergroups, Italian Journal Pure and Applied Mathematics (Appear).
- [3]Ameri, R., Zahedi, M.M.: Hypergroup and join spaces induced by a fuzzy subset, PU.M.A, Vol.8 (1997) 155-168.
- [4] R. Ameri, M. M. Zahedi, Hypergroup and join spaces induced by a fuzzy subset, PU.M.A, Vol.8 (1997) 155-168.

- [5] R. Ameri, M.M. Zahedi, Fuzzy Subhypermodules over fuzzy hyperrings, Sixth International Congress on AHA, Democritus Univ., (1996), 1-14.
- [7] D. S. Comer, Polygroups derived from cogorups, Journal of Algebra, Vol.89 (1984) 397-405.
- [8] D. S. Comer, Combinatorial aspects of relations, Algebra Universali 18 (1984) 77-98.
- [9]. P. Bonasinga, P. Corsini, Sugil omomorphismi di semi-ipergrupi e di ipergruppi, Bulltetino U.M.I.(6)1-B(1982), 717-727.
- [10] P. Corsini, Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory, second eddition Aviani, editor (1993).
- [11] P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Applications of Hyperstructure Theory, Kluwer Academic Publications (2003).
- [12] P. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Fuzzy sets and Join Spaces Associated with rough sets, Rend. Circ. Mat., Palermo, Vol. 51, (2002) 527-536.
- [13] P. Corsini, I. Tofan, On Fuzzy Hypergroups, PU.M.A., Vol. 8, (1997) 29-37.
- [14] P. S. Dos, Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 84 (1981) 264-269.
- [15] J. A Goguen, L-fuzzy Sets, Journal Math. Anal. Appl., 8 (1967) 145-174.
- [16] G.E. Hansoul, A Simultaneous Characterization of Subalgebras and Conditional Subalgebras of Multialgebra Bull. Soc. Roy. Science. Liege 50 (1981) 16-19.
- [17] J. Jantociak, Transposition Hypergroups:Noncommutative Join Spaces, J. of Algebra , Vol.187 (1997) 97-119.

- [18] Kehagias Ath. L-fuzzy Join and Meet Hyperoperations and the Associated L-fuzzy Hyperalgebras, Rend. Circ. Mat., Palermo, Vol. 51, 2002, pp. 503-526.
- [19] Kehagias Ath. An Example of L-fuzzy Join Space, Rend. Circ. Mat., Palermo, Vol. 52, 2003, pp. 322-350.
- [20] J.P. Kim, D.R. Bae, Fuzzy Congruences in Groups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 85 (1997) 115-120.
- [21] G. J. Klir, T.A Folger, Fuzzy Sets, Uncertainties, and Information, Prantice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ (1998).
- [22] F. Marty, Surnue generaliz-ation de la notion de group,8ⁱem course Math. Scandinaves Stockholm (1934) 45-49.
- [23] H.E. Pikett, Subdirect Representations of Relational Systems. Fund. Math. 56 (1964) 223-240.
- [24] H.E. Pikett, Homomorphisms and Subalgebras of Multialgebras, Pacific J. of Math. 21 (1967) 327-343.
- [25] R. Rosenfeld fuzzy groups, J.Math.Anal.Appl., vol.35 (1971) 512-517.
- [26]D. Schweigert, Congruence Relations of Multialgebras, Discrete Mathematics 53 (1985) 249-253.
- [27] T. Vougiuklis, Hyperstructures and their representations, Hardonic, Press, Inc. (1994).
- [28] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inform. and Control, vol. 8 (1965) 338-353.
- [29] M.M. Zahedi, M. Bolurian, A. Hasankhani, On polygroups and Fuzzy subpolygroups, J. of Fuzzy Mathematics, Vol., No.1, (1995) 1-15.