Towards a logarithmic Brunn-Minkowski theory

K. B., Erwin Lutwak, Deane Yang, Gaoyong Zhang Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics and NYU-Poly

Szeged, November 28, 2013

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Minkowski problem

- K, C convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n
- h_k support function of K
- S_K surface area measure of K on S^{n-1}
- L linear subspace, $L \neq \{o\}, \mathbb{R}^n$
- μ non-trivial Borel measure on S^{n-1}

"Minkowski problem"

 $\mu = S_K$ for some unique convex body K (up to translation) iff 1. $\mu(L \cap S^{n-1}) < \mu(S^{n-1})$ for any L with dim L = n - 12. $\int_{S^{n-1}} u \, d\mu(u) = o$

If μ is even, then the first condition is enough

Brunn-Minkowski inequality

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha,\beta>0\\ \alpha K+\beta C=\{x\in \mathbb{R}^n: \langle u,x\rangle\leq \alpha h_K(u)+\beta h_C(u) \; \forall u\in S^{n-1}\} \end{array}$$

Brunn-Minkowski inequality $\alpha \in (0, 1)$

$$V(\alpha K + (1 - \alpha)C) \ge V(K)^{\alpha}V(C)^{1-\alpha}$$

with equality iff K and C are homothetic.

Minkowski inequality If V(K) = V(C), then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} h_C \, dS_K \geq \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K \, dS_K,$$

with equality iff K and C are translates.

To solve the Minkowski problem,

- Minimize $\int_{S^{n-1}} h_C d\mu$ under the condition V(C) = 1
- Uniqueness comes from the Minkowski inequality

Logarithmic Minkowski problem - Cone volume measure

 $dV_{K} = \frac{1}{n} h_{K} dS_{K} \text{ - cone volume measure on } S^{n-1} \text{ if } o \in \operatorname{int} K$ Theorem (Even logarithmic Minkowski problem, BLYZ) Let μ be an even Borel measure on S^{n-1} . $\mu = V_{K}$ for some o-symmetric convex body K iff (i) $\mu(L \cap S^{n-1}) \leq \frac{\dim L}{n} \mu(S^{n-1})$ for any $L \neq \{o\}, \mathbb{R}^{n}$ (ii) If equality holds for some L, then $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subset L \cup L'$ for some complementary L'

Necessity for polytopes: Henk-Schuermann-Wills, He-Leng, Xiong Idea for sufficiency: Minimize $\int_{S^{n-1}} \log h_C d\mu$ assuming V(C) = 1

Conjecture (Uniqueness)

 $V_K = V_C$ for o-symmetric convex bodies K and C with V(K) = V(C) iff K and C have dilated direct summands; namely, $K = K_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus K_m$ and $C = C_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus C_m$ with $K_i = \lambda_i C_i$ for $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m > 0$.

Isotropic position of a measure on S^{n-1}

Theorem (BLYZ)

Let μ be a Borel probability measure on S^{n-1} . There exists $A \in GL(n)$ such that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{Au}{\|Au\|} \otimes \frac{Au}{\|Au\|} \, d\mu(u) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Id}_n$$

iff

- Sufficiency if $\mu(L \cap S^{n-1}) < \frac{\dim L}{r}$ is due to Klartag (supergaussian marginals of probability measures on \mathbb{R}^n)
- Discrete case is due to Carlen-Lieb-Loss (extremals for the Brascamp-Lieb inequality). See also Benneth-Carbery-Christ-Tao, Carlen&Cordero-Erausquin □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Logarithmic Brunn-Minkowski inequality $\alpha \in [0, 1], o \in int K, int C$

 $\alpha \mathcal{K} +_0 (1-\alpha)\mathcal{C} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle u, x \rangle \leq h_{\mathcal{K}}(u)^{\alpha} h_{\mathcal{C}}(u)^{1-\alpha} \, \forall u \in S^{n-1}\}$

$$\alpha K +_0 (1-\alpha)C \subset \alpha K + (1-\alpha)C$$

Conjecture (Logarithmic Brunn-Minkowski conjecture) $\alpha \in (0, 1), K, C$ are o-symmetric

$$V(\alpha K +_0 (1 - \alpha)C) \ge V(K)^{\alpha}V(C)^{1-\alpha}$$

with equality iff K and C have dilated direct summands.

Conjecture (Logarithmic Minkowski conjecture) For o-symmetric K, C, if V(K) = V(C), then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \log h_C \, dV_K \geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \log h_K \, dV_K,$$

with equality iff K and C have dilated direct summands.

About the logarithmic Brunn-Minkowski conjecture

- Interesting for any log-concave measure instead of volume
- n = 2 for volume (BLYZ)
- K and C are unconditional for any log-concave measure (Bollobás&Leader and Cordero-Erausquin&Fradelizi&Maurey on coordinatewise product)

 K and C are dilates for the gaussian measure (Cordero-Erausquin&Fradelizi&Maurey on B-conjecture)