

Strongly continuous one-parameter groups of automorphisms of multilinear functionals

L.L. STACHÓ

21/09/2013, Ploiești

PROBLEM SETTING

J. Jamison - F. Botelho 2008

X_1, \dots, X_N complex Banach spaces

$\mathcal{B} := \{ \text{bounded } N\text{-linear maps } X_1 \times \dots \times X_N \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \}$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} := \{ \text{surjective linear isometries } \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \}$

strongly cont. 1-parameter group of surj. lin. isom.

$$\mathbf{U}(t+h) = \mathbf{U}(t)\mathbf{U}(h) \quad (t, h \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)\Phi \text{ continuous} \quad (\Phi \in \mathcal{B})$$

Conjecture:

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \underbrace{[U_1^t \otimes \dots \otimes U_N^t]}_{\phi \mapsto \phi(U_1^t x_1, \dots, U_N^t x_N)}^*$$

$$t \mapsto U_k^t \text{ str.cont. 1-par.grp. in } \mathfrak{A}_k := \{ \text{surj.lin.isom. } X_k \rightarrow X_k \}$$

$$\left\{ \text{Surj. lin. isom. of } X \right\} = \left\{ F \in \underbrace{\text{Aut}(\text{Ball}(X))}_{\text{hol. aut. of unit ball}} : F(0) = 0 \right\}$$

$$\|\phi\| = \sup_{\|x_1\|=1, \dots, \|x_N\|=1} |\phi(x_1, \dots, x_N)| \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}$$

Question: What about *strongly cont. 1-par. groups* in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$?

Conjecture: $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$ LINEAR for $N > 2$ factors

Stachó 1982: TRUE \uparrow with HILBERT spaces of $\dim > 1$

Hilbert cases $N = 1, 2$: JB*-triples \mathbf{H} resp. $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)})$

HILBERT CASE $N > 2$; MAIN RESULT

$\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{(N)}$ Hilbert spaces

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}) = \{ ([U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_N] \circ I_\pi)^* : U_k \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \pi \text{ adm.index-perm.} \}$$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$ **str*.cont.** 1-par.grp:
 $t \mapsto [\mathbf{U}^t \phi](\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ cont.

Lemma. $\mathbf{U}(t) = [U_{1,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{N,t}]^*$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$)

THEOREM. *There are possibly unbounded self-adjoint $A_k : \text{dom}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{(k)}$ (defined on dense linear submanifolds) with*

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \left([\exp(itA_1)] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\exp(itA_N)] \right)^* \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Corollary. *If $\mathbf{W} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)}))$ is a str*.cont. lin. 1-par.grp then $\mathbf{W}(t)X = \exp(tA_1)X \exp(tA_2)$*

HILBERT CASE $N > 2$; MAIN RESULT

$\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{(N)}$ Hilbert spaces

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}) = \{ ([U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_N] \circ I_\pi)^* : U_k \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \pi \text{ adm.index-perm.} \}$$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$ **str*.cont.** 1-par.grp:
 $t \mapsto [\mathbf{U}^t \phi](\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ cont.

Lemma. $\mathbf{U}(t) = [U_{1,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{N,t}]^*$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$)

THEOREM. *There are possibly unbounded self-adjoint $A_k : \text{dom}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{(k)}$ (defined on dense linear submanifolds) with*

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \left([\exp(itA_1)] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\exp(itA_N)] \right)^* \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Corollary. *If $\mathbf{W} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)}))$ is a str*.cont. lin. 1-par.grp then $\mathbf{W}(t)X = \exp(tA_1)X \exp(tA_2)$*

HILBERT CASE $N > 2$; MAIN RESULT

$\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{H}^{(N)}$ Hilbert spaces

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}) = \{ ([U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_N] \circ I_\pi)^* : U_k \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}^{(k)}), \pi \text{ adm.index-perm.} \}$$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{B})$ **str*.cont.** 1-par.grp:
 $t \mapsto [\mathbf{U}^t \phi](\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ cont.

Lemma. $\mathbf{U}(t) = [U_{1,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{N,t}]^*$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$)

THEOREM. *There are possibly **unbounded self-adjoint** $A_k : \text{dom}(A_k) \rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{(k)}$ (defined on dense linear submanifolds) with*

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \left([\exp(itA_1)] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\exp(itA_N)] \right)^* \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Corollary. *If $\mathbf{W} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)}))$ is a **str*.cont. lin.** 1-par.grp then $\mathbf{W}(t)X = \exp(tA_1)X \exp(tA_2)$*

$$\mathbb{T} := \{\kappa : |\kappa| = 1\}$$

Ambiguous representation for unitary ops

$$U_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_N = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_N$$
$$\Updownarrow$$

$$V_k = \kappa_k U_k, \quad \kappa_k \in \mathbb{T} \quad (k = 1, \dots, N) \quad \prod_{k=1}^N \kappa_k = 1$$

$$\mathbf{h}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{h}_N^* : (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \mapsto \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbf{h}_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = \prod_{k=1}^N \langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{h}_k \rangle$$

Lemma. $\Phi := \mathbf{g}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{g}_N^*$, $\Psi := \mathbf{h}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{h}_N^*$ (unit vectors)

$$\|\Phi - \Psi\| \leq \varepsilon \implies \underbrace{\text{dist}(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{g}_k, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{h}_k)}_{\min_{\kappa, \mu \in \mathbb{T}} \|\kappa \mathbf{g}_k - \mu \mathbf{h}_k\|} \leq 2^{N-1} \varepsilon$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}) := \{\mathbb{T}\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{g} \in \partial\text{Ball}(\mathbf{H})\}$$

Lemma. $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H})$ cont. $\implies \exists t \mapsto \mathbf{h}_t \in \partial\text{Ball}(\mathbf{H})$ cont.

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = \mathbb{T}\mathbf{h}_t \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$\mathbf{h}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{h}_N^* : (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \mapsto \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbf{h}_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = \prod_{k=1}^N \langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{h}_k \rangle$$

Lemma. $\Phi := \mathbf{g}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{g}_N^*$, $\Psi := \mathbf{h}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{h}_N^*$ (unit vectors)

$$\|\Phi - \Psi\| \leq \varepsilon \implies \underbrace{\text{dist}(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{g}_k, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{h}_k)}_{\min_{\kappa, \mu \in \mathbb{T}} \|\kappa \mathbf{g}_k - \mu \mathbf{h}_k\|} \leq 2^{N-1} \varepsilon$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}) := \{\mathbb{T}\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{g} \in \partial\text{Ball}(\mathbf{H})\}$$

Lemma. $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H})$ cont. $\implies \exists t \mapsto \mathbf{h}_t \in \partial\text{Ball}(\mathbf{H})$ cont.

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = \mathbb{T}\mathbf{h}_t \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$\mathbf{h}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{h}_N^* : (\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \mapsto \prod_{k=1}^N \mathbf{h}_k^*(\mathbf{x}_k) = \prod_{k=1}^N \langle \mathbf{x}_k | \mathbf{h}_k \rangle$$

Lemma. $\Phi := \mathbf{g}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{g}_N^*$, $\Psi := \mathbf{h}_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{h}_N^*$ (unit vectors)

$$\|\Phi - \Psi\| \leq \varepsilon \implies \underbrace{\text{dist}(\mathbb{T}\mathbf{g}_k, \mathbb{T}\mathbf{h}_k)}_{\min_{\kappa, \mu \in \mathbb{T}} \|\kappa \mathbf{g}_k - \mu \mathbf{h}_k\|} \leq 2^{N-1} \varepsilon$$

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H}) := \{\mathbb{T}\mathbf{g} : \mathbf{g} \in \partial\text{Ball}(\mathbf{H})\}$$

Lemma. $\mathbf{F} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{H})$ cont. $\implies \exists t \mapsto \mathbf{h}_t \in \partial\text{Ball}(\mathbf{H})$ cont.

$$\mathbf{F}(t) = \mathbb{T}\mathbf{h}_t \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

ADJUSTED STRONG* CONTINUITY

Lemma*. $\Psi(t) = \mathbf{h}_{1,t}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{h}_{N,t}^*$ (with unit vectors)

$\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ continuous, $\implies \exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$

$$\prod_{k=1}^N \kappa_k(t) \equiv 1, \quad t \mapsto \kappa_k(t) \mathbf{h}_{k,t} \text{ norm-continuous}$$

Proposition. $t \mapsto [\overbrace{U_{1,t}}^{\text{unit.}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overbrace{U_{N,t}}^{\text{unit.}}]^*$ str*.cont. $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, \implies

$\exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \quad t \mapsto \kappa_k(t) U_{k,t}$ str.cont. ($k = 1, \dots, N$)

ADJUSTED STRONG* CONTINUITY

Lemma*. $\Psi(t) = \mathbf{h}_{1,t}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{h}_{N,t}^*$ (with unit vectors)

$\Psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ continuous, $\implies \exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$

$$\prod_{k=1}^N \kappa_k(t) \equiv 1, \quad t \mapsto \kappa_k(t) \mathbf{h}_{k,t} \text{ norm-continuous}$$

Proposition. $t \mapsto \left[\overbrace{U_{1,t}}^{\text{unit.}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overbrace{U_{N,t}}^{\text{unit.}} \right]^*$ str*.cont. $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, \implies

$\exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \quad t \mapsto \kappa_k(t) U_{k,t}$ str.cont. ($k = 1, \dots, N$)

EXTENSION: MORE BANACH SPACE GEOMETRY

$\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{X}^{(N)}$ **uniformly smooth** Banach spaces

Recall: \mathbf{X} is uniformly convex (rotond):

$$\inf \left\{ 1 - \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| / 2 : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \partial\text{Ball}(\mathbf{X}) \right\} > 0$$

\mathbf{X} is uniformly smooth:

$$\lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} \sup \left\{ \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| / 2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| / 2 - 1 : \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1, \|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \tau \right\} = 0$$

1) \mathbf{X} unif.sm. $\iff \mathbf{X}^*$ unif.convex,

\mathbf{X} unif.convex $\iff \mathbf{X}^*$ unif.sm

2*) \mathbf{X} unif.convex: weak converg. + conv of norms \implies norm conv.

3) Unif.conv. and unif.sm. spaces are reflexive.

EXTENSION: MORE BANACH SPACE GEOMETRY

$\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{X}^{(N)}$ **uniformly smooth** Banach spaces

Recall: \mathbf{X} is uniformly convex (rotund):

$$\inf \left\{ 1 - \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| / 2 : \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \partial\text{Ball}(\mathbf{X}) \right\} > 0$$

\mathbf{X} is uniformly smooth:

$$\lim_{\tau \searrow 0} \frac{1}{\tau} \sup \left\{ \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| / 2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\| / 2 - 1 : \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1, \|\mathbf{y}\| \leq \tau \right\} = 0$$

1) \mathbf{X} unif.sm. $\iff \mathbf{X}^*$ unif.convex,

\mathbf{X} unif.convex $\iff \mathbf{X}^*$ unif.sm

2*) \mathbf{X} unif.convex: weak converg. + conv of norms \implies norm conv.

3) Unif.conv. and unif.sm. spaces are reflexive.

Lemma. Let $\phi^{(k)}, \psi^{(k)} \in \partial\text{Ball}[\mathbf{X}^{(k)}]^*$. T.f.a.e.:

- (1) $\phi^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi^{(N)} = \psi^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi^{(N)}$,
 (2) $\exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\prod_k \kappa_k = 1$, $\psi^{(k)} = \kappa_k \phi_k$.

Lemma. Let $\phi_j^{(k)} \in \partial\text{Ball}[\mathbf{X}^{(k)}]^*$ be nets such that

$$\phi_j^{(k)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_j^{(N)} \rightarrow \phi^{(k)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi^{(N)} \quad \text{pointwise.}$$

Then $\exists [\kappa_j^{(k)}]$ in \mathbb{T} with $\|\kappa_j^{(k)} \phi_j^{(k)} - \phi^{(k)}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, N)$.

Lemma. Let $\phi^{(k)}, \psi^{(k)} \in \partial\text{Ball}[\mathbf{X}^{(k)}]^*$. T.f.a.e.:

(1) $\phi^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi^{(N)} = \psi^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes \psi^{(N)}$,

(2) $\exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\prod_k \kappa_k = 1$, $\psi^{(k)} = \kappa_k \phi_k$.

Lemma. Let $\phi_j^{(k)} \in \partial\text{Ball}[\mathbf{X}^{(k)}]^*$ be nets such that

$$\phi_j^{(k)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_j^{(N)} \rightarrow \phi^{(k)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi^{(N)} \quad \text{pointwise.}$$

Then $\exists [\kappa_j^{(k)}]$ in \mathbb{T} with $\left\| \kappa_j^{(k)} \phi_j^{(k)} - \phi^{(k)} \right\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (k=1, \dots, N)$.

BANACH EXTENSIONS (continued)

$\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{X}^{(N)}$ unif.smooth Banach spaces

Proposition. Let $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \phi_t^{(k)} \otimes \dots \otimes \phi_t^{(N)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$ cont. for any fixed $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(N)}$ where $\phi_t^{(k)} \in \partial \text{Ball}[\mathbf{X}^{(k)}]^*$.

Then \exists functions $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \kappa_t^{(k)} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that

$$\prod_{k=1}^N \kappa_t^{(k)} = 1 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}), \quad t \mapsto \kappa_t^{(k)} \phi_t^{(k)} \text{ is norm-cont.}$$

Corollary. If $U_{k,t} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)})$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq k \leq N$) are isometries and $t \mapsto [U_{1,t} \otimes \dots \otimes U_{N,t}]^*$ is **str***.cont. then \exists functions $t \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto \kappa_t^{(k)} \in \mathbb{T}$ such that each $t \mapsto U_{k,t}$ is **str**.cont. (pointwise cont.).

SEPARATE COMMUTATIVITY (smooth Banach setting)

$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t) := [U_{1,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{N,t}]^*$ str.cont. 1-par.grp

Proposition \implies without loss of generality:

- 1) $t \mapsto U_{k,t} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)})$ strongly continuous;
- 2) $U_{k,0} = \text{Id}, \quad U_{k,-t} = U_{k,t}^* \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma. $\{U_{k,t} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$) are Abelian.

[A priori only $U_{k,t}U_{k,s} \in \mathbb{T}U_{k,s}U_{k,t}$!]

Theorem. $\exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \exists$ 1-par.grp-s $t \mapsto U_k^t$

$$U_{k,t} = \kappa_k(t)U_k^t, \quad \kappa_k(0) = 1 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq k \leq N).$$

SEPARATE COMMUTATIVITY (smooth Banach setting)

$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t) := [U_{1,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{N,t}]^*$ str.cont. 1-par.grp

Proposition \implies without loss of generality:

- 1) $t \mapsto U_{k,t} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)})$ strongly continuous;
- 2) $U_{k,0} = \text{Id}$, $U_{k,-t} = U_{k,t}^*$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$).

Lemma. $\{U_{k,t} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$) are Abelian.

[A priori only $U_{k,t}U_{k,s} \in \mathbb{T}U_{k,s}U_{k,t}$!]

Theorem. $\exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, \exists 1-par.grp-s $t \mapsto U_k^t$

$$U_{k,t} = \kappa_k(t)U_k^t, \quad \kappa_k(0) = 1 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq k \leq N).$$

SEPARATE COMMUTATIVITY (smooth Banach setting)

$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t) := [U_{1,t} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{N,t}]^*$ str.cont. 1-par.grp

Proposition \implies without loss of generality:

- 1) $t \mapsto U_{k,t} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)})$ strongly continuous;
- 2) $U_{k,0} = \text{Id}$, $U_{k,-t} = U_{k,t}^*$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$).

Lemma. $\{U_{k,t} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ ($k = 1, \dots, N$) are Abelian.

[A priory only $U_{k,t}U_{k,s} \in \mathbb{T}U_{k,s}U_{k,t}$!]

Theorem. $\exists \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_N : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, \exists 1-par.grp-s $t \mapsto U_k^t$

$$U_{k,t} = \kappa_k(t)U_k^t, \quad \kappa_k(0) = 1 \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \leq k \leq N).$$

LOCALIZATION (a Hilbert space argument)

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \left([\kappa_1(t)U_1^t] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\kappa_N(t)U_N^t] \right)^*$$

k fixed, $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}^{(k)}$

$t \mapsto U^t := U_k^t \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H})$ non.cont. 1-prg., $\kappa = \kappa_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$
 $t \mapsto \kappa(t)U^t$ str.cont. ~~1-prg.~~, $\kappa(-t) = \overline{\kappa(t)}$, $\kappa(0) = 1$.

Cyclic decomp: $\mathbf{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{H}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{H}_\alpha := \overline{\text{Span}\{\mathbf{U}^t \mathbf{x}_\alpha : t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$

Main idea: It suffices to see

$$\kappa(t)U^t|_{\mathbf{H}_\alpha} = \chi_\alpha(t)\tilde{U}_\alpha^t$$

$\exists \chi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T})$, $t \mapsto \tilde{U}_\alpha^t$ str.cont. 1-prg. in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)$

LOCALIZATION (a Hilbert space argument)

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \left([\kappa_1(t)U_1^t] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\kappa_N(t)U_N^t] \right)^*$$

k fixed, $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}^{(k)}$

$t \mapsto U^t := U_k^t \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H})$ **non.cont.** 1-pgr., $\kappa = \kappa_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$
 $t \mapsto \kappa(t)U^t$ str.cont. ~~1-pgr.~~, $\kappa(-t) = \overline{\kappa(t)}$, $\kappa(0) = 1$.

Cyclic decomp: $\mathbf{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{H}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{H}_\alpha := \overline{\text{Span}\{\mathbf{U}^t \mathbf{x}_\alpha : t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$

Main idea: It suffices to see

$$\kappa(t)U^t|_{\mathbf{H}_\alpha} = \chi_\alpha(t)\tilde{U}_\alpha^t$$

$\exists \chi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T})$, $t \mapsto \tilde{U}_\alpha^t$ str.cont. 1-pgr. in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)$

LOCALIZATION (a Hilbert space argument)

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \left([\kappa_1(t)U_1^t] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\kappa_N(t)U_N^t] \right)^*$$

k fixed, $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}^{(k)}$

$t \mapsto U^t := U_k^t \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H})$ **non.cont.** 1-pgr., $\kappa = \kappa_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$
 $t \mapsto \kappa(t)U^t$ str.cont. ~~1-pgr.~~, $\kappa(-t) = \overline{\kappa(t)}$, $\kappa(0) = 1$.

Cyclic decomp: $\mathbf{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{H}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{H}_\alpha := \overline{\text{Span}\{\mathbf{U}^t \mathbf{x}_\alpha : t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$

Main idea: It suffices to see

$$\kappa(t)U^t|_{\mathbf{H}_\alpha} = \chi_\alpha(t)\tilde{U}_\alpha^t$$

$\exists \chi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T})$, $t \mapsto \tilde{U}_\alpha^t$ str.cont. 1-pgr. in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)$

LOCALIZATION (a Hilbert space argument)

$$\mathbf{U}(t) = \left([\kappa_1(t)U_1^t] \otimes \cdots \otimes [\kappa_N(t)U_N^t] \right)^*$$

k fixed, $\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{H} := \mathbf{H}^{(k)}$

$t \mapsto U^t := U_k^t \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H})$ **non.cont.** 1-pgr., $\kappa = \kappa_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$
 $t \mapsto \kappa(t)U^t$ str.cont. ~~1-pgr.~~, $\kappa(-t) = \overline{\kappa(t)}$, $\kappa(0) = 1$.

Cyclic decomp: $\mathbf{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbf{H}_\alpha$, $\mathbf{H}_\alpha := \overline{\text{Span}\{\mathbf{U}^t \mathbf{x}_\alpha : t \in \mathbb{R}\}}$

Main idea: It suffices to see

$$\kappa(t)U^t|_{\mathbf{H}_\alpha} = \chi_\alpha(t)\tilde{U}_\alpha^t$$

$\exists \chi_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T})$, $t \mapsto \tilde{U}_\alpha^t$ str.cont. 1-pgr. in $\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}_\alpha)$

LOCAL FUNCTION REPRESENTATION (Hilbert spaces)

Henceforth α fixed

Gelfand repr. $\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{C}(\underbrace{\Omega}_{\text{comp.}}) \leftrightarrow \overline{\text{Span}}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} U^t, \quad \underbrace{u^t}_{\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}} \mapsto U^t$

\sim Halmos's idea \mathbf{H}_α cyclic \Rightarrow

\exists prob. Radon $(\Omega, \underbrace{\mu}_{=\mu_\alpha})$, $\mathbf{T} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T}_\alpha : L^2(\Omega, \mu) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{H}_\alpha$ isometry

$$\left\langle U^t \mathbf{T}_\alpha f \mid \mathbf{T}_\alpha g \right\rangle = \int_{\omega \in \Omega} u^t(\omega) f(\omega) \overline{g(\omega)} \mu(d\omega)$$

We have to prove: $\exists \chi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{T})$, $t \mapsto \tilde{u}^t$ 1-prg. in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{T})$

$\kappa(t) u^t = \chi(t) \tilde{u}^t$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) and $t \mapsto \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{u}^t}$ is str.cont.

LOCAL FUNCTION REPRESENTATION (Hilbert spaces)

Henceforth α fixed

Gelfand repr. $\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{C}(\underbrace{\Omega}_{\text{comp}}) \leftrightarrow \overline{\text{Span}}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} U^t, \quad \underbrace{u^t}_{\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}} \mapsto U^t$

\sim **Halmos's idea** \mathbf{H}_α cyclic \Rightarrow

\exists prob. Radon $(\Omega, \underbrace{\mu}_{=\mu_\alpha})$, $\mathbf{T} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T}_\alpha : L^2(\Omega, \mu) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{H}_\alpha$ isometry

$$\left\langle U^t \mathbf{T}_\alpha f \mid \mathbf{T}_\alpha g \right\rangle = \int_{\omega \in \Omega} u^t(\omega) f(\omega) \overline{g(\omega)} \mu(d\omega)$$

We have to prove: $\exists \chi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{T})$, $t \mapsto \tilde{u}^t$ 1-prg. in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{T})$

$\kappa(t) u^t = \chi(t) \tilde{u}^t$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) and $t \mapsto \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{u}^t}$ is str.cont.

LOCAL FUNCTION REPRESENTATION (Hilbert spaces)

Henceforth α fixed

Gelfand repr. $\mathbf{T} : \mathcal{C}(\underbrace{\Omega}_{\text{comp}}) \leftrightarrow \overline{\text{Span}}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} U^t, \quad \underbrace{u^t}_{\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{T}} \mapsto U^t$

\sim **Halmos's idea** \mathbf{H}_α cyclic \Rightarrow

\exists prob. Radon $(\Omega, \underbrace{\mu}_{=\mu_\alpha})$, $\mathbf{T} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T}_\alpha : L^2(\Omega, \mu) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{H}_\alpha$ isometry

$$\left\langle U^t \mathbf{T}_\alpha f \mid \mathbf{T}_\alpha g \right\rangle = \int_{\omega \in \Omega} u^t(\omega) f(\omega) \overline{g(\omega)} \mu(d\omega)$$

We have to prove: $\exists \chi \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{T})$, $t \mapsto \tilde{u}^t$ 1-prg. in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{T})$

$\kappa(t) u^t = \chi(t) \tilde{u}^t$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) and $t \mapsto \mathbf{M}_{\tilde{u}^t}$ is str.cont.

Notations, assumptions

(ω, μ) prob. Radon measure, Ω compact

$\mathbf{M}_a : L^2(\Omega, \mu) \ni f \mapsto af \quad (a \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)) \quad \text{mult.op.}$

$u^t \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{T}), \quad u^{t+s} = u^t u^s$

$\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \underline{t \mapsto \kappa(t)\mathbf{M}_{u^t} \text{ str.cont.}}$

Lemma. $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ bounded $\subset L^\infty(\Omega, \mu), \implies$

$\mathbf{M}_{a_1}, \mathbf{M}_{a_2}, \dots \rightarrow 0$ strongly $\iff a_n \rightarrow 0$ stochastically (wrt. μ):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\{\omega \in \Omega : |a_n(\omega)| > \varepsilon\} = 0 \quad (\varepsilon > 0)$$

Notations, assumptions

(ω, μ) prob. Radon measure, Ω compact

$\mathbf{M}_a : L^2(\Omega, \mu) \ni f \mapsto af \quad (a \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)) \quad \text{mult.op.}$

$u^t \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega, \mathbb{T}), \quad u^{t+s} = u^t u^s$

$\kappa : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, \quad \underline{t \mapsto \kappa(t)\mathbf{M}_{u^t} \text{ str.cont.}}$

Lemma. $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ bounded $\subset L^\infty(\Omega, \mu), \implies$

$\mathbf{M}_{a_1}, \mathbf{M}_{a_2}, \dots \rightarrow 0$ strongly $\iff a_n \rightarrow 0$ **stochastically** (wrt. μ):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\{\omega \in \Omega : |a_n(\omega)| > \varepsilon\} = 0 \quad (\varepsilon > 0)$$

PROBABILISTIC ARGUMENTS

- $\Delta(h) := \sup_{|t| \leq h} \int_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega} |u^t(\omega_1) - u^t(\omega_2)|^2 \mu(d\omega_1) \mu(d\omega_2)$

Proposition. $\Delta(h) \searrow 0$ as $h \searrow 0$

Proof. Use the fact:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\kappa(h)u^h} \xrightarrow{str} \mathbf{M}_{u^0} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu\{\omega : |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega) - 1| > \varepsilon\} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \quad |u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2)| &= |\kappa(h)(u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2))| \leq \\ &\leq |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega_1) - 1| + |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega_2) - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu \otimes \mu\{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : |u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2)| > \varepsilon\} = 0$$

PROBABILISTIC ARGUMENTS

- $\Delta(h) := \sup_{|t| \leq h} \int_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega} |u^t(\omega_1) - u^t(\omega_2)|^2 \mu(d\omega_1) \mu(d\omega_2)$

Proposition. $\Delta(h) \searrow 0$ as $h \searrow 0$

Proof. Use the fact:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\kappa(h)u^h} \xrightarrow{str} \mathbf{M}_{u^0} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu\{\omega : |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega) - 1| > \varepsilon\} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \quad |u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2)| &= |\kappa(h)(u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2))| \leq \\ &\leq |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega_1) - 1| + |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega_2) - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu \otimes \mu\{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : |u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2)| > \varepsilon\} = 0$$

- $$\Delta(h) := \sup_{|t| \leq h} \int_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega} |u^t(\omega_1) - u^t(\omega_2)|^2 \mu(d\omega_1) \mu(d\omega_2)$$

Proposition. $\Delta(h) \searrow 0$ as $h \searrow 0$

Proof. Use the fact:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\kappa(h)u^h} \xrightarrow{str} \mathbf{M}_{u^0} \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu\{\omega : |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega) - 1| > \varepsilon\} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \quad |u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2)| &= |\kappa(h)(u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2))| \leq \\ &\leq |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega_1) - 1| + |\kappa(h)u^h(\omega_2) - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mu \otimes \mu\{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : |u^h(\omega_1) - u^h(\omega_2)| > \varepsilon\} = 0$$

PROBABILISTIC ARGUMENTS

Arc length distance on \mathbb{T} : $d(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) := 2 \arcsin \frac{|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|}{2}$

- $\Omega_{t,r}^{(2)} := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : d(u^t(\omega_1), u^t(\omega_2)) < r\}$

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega_{t/n, \pi/n}^{(2)} \implies$$

$$\log_* [u^{kt/n}(\omega_1)/u^{kt/n}(\omega_2)] = \frac{k}{n} \log_* [u^t(\omega_1)/u^t(\omega_2)] \quad (|k| \leq n),$$

$$\Omega_{t,\pi}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/2,\pi/2}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/3!,\pi/3!}^{(2)} \supset \dots$$

- Proposition.** For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{t/n!, \pi/n!}^{(2)} \right) \geq 1 - \underbrace{\Delta(|t|)}_{\sup_{|\tau| \leq |t|} \int_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega} |u^\tau(\omega_1) - u^\tau(\omega_2)|^2 \mu(d\omega_1) \mu(d\omega_2)}$$

PROBABILISTIC ARGUMENTS

Arc length distance on \mathbb{T} : $d(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) := 2 \arcsin \frac{|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|}{2}$

- $\Omega_{t,r}^{(2)} := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : d(u^t(\omega_1), u^t(\omega_2)) < r\}$

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega_{t/n, \pi/n}^{(2)} \implies$$

$$\log_* [u^{kt/n}(\omega_1)/u^{kt/n}(\omega_2)] = \frac{k}{n} \log_* [u^t(\omega_1)/u^t(\omega_2)] \quad (|k| \leq n),$$

$$\Omega_{t,\pi}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/2,\pi/2}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/3!,\pi/3!}^{(2)} \supset \dots$$

- Proposition.** For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{t/n!, \pi/n!}^{(2)} \right) \geq 1 - \underbrace{\Delta(|t|)}_{\sup_{|\tau| \leq |t|} \int_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega} |u^\tau(\omega_1) - u^\tau(\omega_2)|^2 \mu(d\omega_1) \mu(d\omega_2)}$$

PROBABILISTIC ARGUMENTS

Arc length distance on \mathbb{T} : $d(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) := 2 \arcsin \frac{|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|}{2}$

- $\Omega_{t,r}^{(2)} := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : d(u^t(\omega_1), u^t(\omega_2)) < r\}$

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega_{t/n, \pi/n}^{(2)} \implies$$

$$\log_* [u^{kt/n}(\omega_1)/u^{kt/n}(\omega_2)] = \frac{k}{n} \log_* [u^t(\omega_1)/u^t(\omega_2)] \quad (|k| \leq n),$$

$$\Omega_{t,\pi}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/2, \pi/2}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/3!, \pi/3!}^{(2)} \supset \dots$$

- **Proposition.** For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{t/n!, \pi/n!}^{(2)} \right) \geq 1 - \underbrace{\Delta(|t|)}_{\sup_{|\tau| \leq |t|} \int_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega} |u^\tau(\omega_1) - u^\tau(\omega_2)|^2 \mu(d\omega_1) \mu(d\omega_2)}$$

PROBABILISTIC ARGUMENTS

Arc length distance on \mathbb{T} : $d(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) := 2 \arcsin \frac{|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|}{2}$

- $\Omega_{t,r}^{(2)} := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : d(u^t(\omega_1), u^t(\omega_2)) < r\}$

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega_{t/n, \pi/n}^{(2)} \implies$$

$$\log_* [u^{kt/n}(\omega_1)/u^{kt/n}(\omega_2)] = \frac{k}{n} \log_* [u^t(\omega_1)/u^t(\omega_2)] \quad (|k| \leq n),$$

$$\Omega_{t,\pi}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/2, \pi/2}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/3!, \pi/3!}^{(2)} \supset \dots$$

- **Proposition.** For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{t/n!, \pi/n!}^{(2)} \right) \geq 1 - \underbrace{\Delta(|t|)}_{\sup_{|\tau| \leq |t|} \int_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega} |u^\tau(\omega_1) - u^\tau(\omega_2)|^2 \mu(d\omega_1) \mu(d\omega_2)}$$

PROBABILISTIC ARGUMENTS

Arc length distance on \mathbb{T} : $d(\kappa_1, \kappa_2) := 2 \arcsin \frac{|\kappa_1 - \kappa_2|}{2}$

- $\Omega_{t,r}^{(2)} := \{(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2 : d(u^t(\omega_1), u^t(\omega_2)) < r\}$

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega_{t/n, \pi/n}^{(2)} \implies$$

$$\log_* [u^{kt/n}(\omega_1)/u^{kt/n}(\omega_2)] = \frac{k}{n} \log_* [u^t(\omega_1)/u^t(\omega_2)] \quad (|k| \leq n),$$

$$\Omega_{t,\pi}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/2, \pi/2}^{(2)} \supset \Omega_{t/3!, \pi/3!}^{(2)} \supset \dots$$

- Proposition.** For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mu \otimes \mu \left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{t/n!, \pi/n!}^{(2)} \right) \geq 1 - \underbrace{\Delta(|t|)}_{\sup_{|\tau| \leq |t|} \int_{\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \Omega} |u^\tau(\omega_1) - u^\tau(\omega_2)|^2 \mu(d\omega_1) \mu(d\omega_2)}$$

Corollary. $\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \frac{1}{i/\ell} \log_* [u^{1/\ell}(\omega_1)/u^{1/\ell}(\omega_2)]$ for $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in D_\ell$ well-def. $\mu \otimes \mu$ a.e.

$$\frac{u^q(\omega_1)}{u^q(\omega_2)} = \exp(i q \alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2)) \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall_{\mu \otimes \mu} (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2$$

Theorem. $t \mapsto u^t \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ 1-prg., $t \mapsto \kappa(t) \mathbf{M}_{u^t}$ str.cont. \implies
 $\exists \chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T}) \quad \exists \tilde{\alpha} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \mu$ -mesurable

$$\kappa(t) u^t(\omega) = \chi(t) \exp(it \tilde{\alpha}(\omega)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega \in \Omega$$

Corollary. $\alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2) := \frac{1}{i/\ell} \log_* [u^{1/\ell}(\omega_1)/u^{1/\ell}(\omega_2)]$ for $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in D_\ell$ well-def. $\mu \otimes \mu$ a.e.

$$\frac{u^q(\omega_1)}{u^q(\omega_2)} = \exp(i q \alpha(\omega_1, \omega_2)) \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall_{\mu \otimes \mu} (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^2$$

Theorem. $t \mapsto u^t \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega)$ 1-prg., $t \mapsto \kappa(t) \mathbf{M}_{u^t}$ str.cont. \implies

$\exists \chi \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T}) \quad \exists \tilde{\alpha} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \mu$ -mesurable

$$\kappa(t) u^t(\omega) = \chi(t) \exp(it \tilde{\alpha}(\omega)) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}) \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega \in \Omega$$

FINISH OF THE PROOF (for Hilbert case)

Cor. \implies

$$\forall_{\mu} \omega_0 \quad \mu\{\omega : u^q(\omega) = u^q(\omega_0)e^{iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)} \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q})\} = 1$$

Fix ω_0 . Then $\exists! \chi_0 : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with

$$\chi_0(q) \exp(iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)) = \kappa(q)u^q(\omega) \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega \in \Omega$$

- Define: $\tilde{\alpha}(\omega) := \alpha(\omega, \omega_0)$

$t \mapsto u^t e^{-it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)}$ **stoch.cont.** $[t_n \rightarrow t \Rightarrow e^{it_n \tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \rightarrow e^{it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega]$
 $\kappa(t)u^t e^{-iq\tilde{\alpha}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) are constant μ -alm. everywhere $[\leftarrow \text{def. } \tilde{\alpha}]$

- $q \mapsto \chi_0(q) := [\mu\text{-a.e. value of } \kappa(q)u^q \exp(-iq\tilde{\alpha})]$
admits a cont.ext. $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ from \mathbb{Q}

FINISH OF THE PROOF (for Hilbert case)

Cor. \implies

$$\forall_{\mu} \omega_0 \quad \mu\{\omega : u^q(\omega) = u^q(\omega_0) e^{iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)} \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q})\} = 1$$

Fix ω_0 . Then $\exists! \chi_0 : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with

$$\chi_0(q) \exp(iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)) = \kappa(q) u^q(\omega) \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega \in \Omega$$

- Define: $\tilde{\alpha}(\omega) := \alpha(\omega, \omega_0)$

$t \mapsto u^t e^{-it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)}$ **stoch.cont.** $[t_n \rightarrow t \Rightarrow e^{it_n \tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \rightarrow e^{it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega]$
 $\kappa(t) u^t e^{-iq\tilde{\alpha}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) are constant μ -alm. everywhere $[\leftarrow \text{def. } \tilde{\alpha}]$

- $q \mapsto \chi_0(q) := [\mu\text{-a.e. value of } \kappa(q) u^q \exp(-iq\tilde{\alpha})]$
admits a cont.ext. $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ from \mathbb{Q}

FINISH OF THE PROOF (for Hilbert case)

Cor. \implies

$$\forall_{\mu} \omega_0 \quad \mu \{ \omega : u^q(\omega) = u^q(\omega_0) e^{iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)} \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \} = 1$$

Fix ω_0 . Then $\exists! \chi_0 : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with

$$\chi_0(q) \exp(iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)) = \kappa(q) u^q(\omega) \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega \in \Omega$$

- Define: $\tilde{\alpha}(\omega) := \alpha(\omega, \omega_0)$

$t \mapsto u^t e^{-it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)}$ **stoch.cont.** $[t_n \rightarrow t \Rightarrow e^{it_n \tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \rightarrow e^{it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega]$
 $\kappa(t) u^t e^{-iq\tilde{\alpha}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) are constant μ -alm. everywhere $[\leftarrow \text{def. } \tilde{\alpha}]$

- $q \mapsto \chi_0(q) := [\mu\text{-a.e. value of } \kappa(q) u^q \exp(-iq\tilde{\alpha})]$
admits a cont.ext. $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ from \mathbb{Q}

FINISH OF THE PROOF (for Hilbert case)

Cor. \implies

$$\forall_{\mu} \omega_0 \quad \mu \{ \omega : u^q(\omega) = u^q(\omega_0) e^{iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)} \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \} = 1$$

Fix ω_0 . Then $\exists! \chi_0 : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with

$$\chi_0(q) \exp(iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)) = \kappa(q) u^q(\omega) \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega \in \Omega$$

- Define: $\tilde{\alpha}(\omega) := \alpha(\omega, \omega_0)$

$t \mapsto u^t e^{-it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)}$ **stoch.cont.** $[t_n \rightarrow t \Rightarrow e^{it_n \tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \rightarrow e^{it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega]$
 $\kappa(t) u^t e^{-iq\tilde{\alpha}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) are constant μ -alm. everywhere $[\Leftarrow \text{def. } \tilde{\alpha}]$

- $q \mapsto \chi_0(q) := [\mu\text{-a.e. value of } \kappa(q) u^q \exp(-iq\tilde{\alpha})]$
admits a cont.ext. $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ from \mathbb{Q}

FINISH OF THE PROOF (for Hilbert case)

Cor. \implies

$$\forall_{\mu} \omega_0 \quad \mu \{ \omega : u^q(\omega) = u^q(\omega_0) e^{iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)} \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \} = 1$$

Fix ω_0 . Then $\exists! \chi_0 : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ with

$$\chi_0(q) \exp(iq\alpha(\omega, \omega_0)) = \kappa(q) u^q(\omega) \quad (q \in \mathbb{Q}) \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega \in \Omega$$

- Define: $\tilde{\alpha}(\omega) := \alpha(\omega, \omega_0)$

$t \mapsto u^t e^{-it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)}$ **stoch.cont.** $[t_n \rightarrow t \Rightarrow e^{it_n\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \rightarrow e^{it\tilde{\alpha}(\omega)} \quad \forall_{\mu} \omega]$
 $\kappa(t) u^t e^{-iq\tilde{\alpha}}$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$) are constant μ -alm. everywhere $[\Leftarrow \text{def. } \tilde{\alpha}]$

- $q \mapsto \chi_0(q) := [\mu\text{-a.e. value of } \kappa(q) u^q \exp(-iq\tilde{\alpha})]$
admits a cont.ext. $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ from \mathbb{Q}

INGREDIENTS OF PROOF IN HILBERT CASE

- 1) Reducibility of surjective isometries by a topological direct sum of separable subspaces.
- 2) Surjective linear isometries are scalar type operators.
- 3) Strong convergence of scalar type operators on separable subspaces can be treated with representations by multiplications of random variables with stochastically convergent functions.

INGREDIENTS OF PROOF IN HILBERT CASE

- 1) Reducibility of surjective isometries by a topological direct sum of separable subspaces.
- 2) Surjective linear isometries are scalar type operators.
- 3) Strong convergence of scalar type operators on separable subspaces can be treated with representations by multiplications of random variables with stochastically convergent functions.

INGREDIENTS OF PROOF IN HILBERT CASE

- 1) Reducibility of surjective isometries by a topological direct sum of separable subspaces.
- 2) Surjective linear isometries are scalar type operators.
- 3) Strong convergence of scalar type operators on separable subspaces can be treated with representations by multiplications of random variables with stochastically convergent functions.

PROBLEMS CONCERNING GENERALIZATIONS

Remark. The proof works if

each $\mathbf{X}^{(k)} = [\mathbf{H}^{(k)}$ with an equivalent smooth norm],

+ the surjective linear isometries on each $\mathbf{X}^{(k)}$ are of scalar type.

Conjecture. *If $\mathbf{X} = [\text{Hilbert space with an equivalent norm}]$ then any surjective linear isometry of \mathbf{X} is unitary with respect some scalar product.*

(In finite dimensions this is TRUE)

Problem. *Let $\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{X}^{(N)}$ be Banach spaces and let U be a surj. lin. isometry of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{X}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{X}^{(N)})$. Under which geometric hypothesis can we write it in the form $U = [U_1 \otimes \dots \otimes U_N]^*$?*

Cartan factors: Types 1–6

Every JB^ -triple embeds into an ℓ^∞ -product of Cartan factors*

Type 1: $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)})$

Types 2,3: $\mathcal{L}^{(\mathbf{T}, \pm)}(\mathbf{H}) = \{L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}) : L = \pm L^{\mathbf{T}}\}$

Type 4: Spin factor on \mathbf{H} , $\{\mathbf{xay}\} := \langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{a} \rangle \mathbf{y} + \langle \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{a} \rangle \mathbf{x} - \langle \mathbf{x} | \bar{\mathbf{y}} \rangle \bar{\mathbf{a}}$

Type 5: $\mathbf{0}^{1 \times 2}$ 1×2 complex octonion matrices (dim=16)

Type 6: $\mathcal{H}_3(\mathbf{0})$ 3×3 complex Hermitian octonion matrices (dim=27)

$\mathbf{h} \mapsto \bar{\mathbf{h}}$ conjugation: $\bar{\mathbf{e}_\alpha} = \mathbf{e}_\alpha$, $\overline{i\mathbf{e}_\alpha} = -i\mathbf{e}_\alpha$ for a fixed ON basis

$L \mapsto L^{\mathbf{T}}$ transposition (wrt. conjugation $\bar{\cdot}$): $L^{\mathbf{T}}\mathbf{h} = \overline{L^* \bar{\mathbf{h}}}$

STR. CONT. 1PRGs of LIN. AUTs on FACTORS

Factors of type > 3 are not interesting (str. cont. wrt. Hilbert norm)

Type 1: $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)}) \simeq \{2\text{-lin. funct. } \mathbf{H}^{(1)} \times \mathbf{H}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\} \longrightarrow \text{Case } N = 2.$

Types $k = 2, 3$:

$\mathfrak{A}_k := \{ \text{surjective lin. isom. of } \mathcal{L}^{(\mathbb{T}, (-1)^k)}(\mathbf{H}) \}$

$\mathcal{L}^{(\mathbb{T}, (-1)^k)}(\mathbf{H}) \simeq \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{symm.} \\ \text{antisymm.} \end{array} \text{ 2-lin. } \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ funct.} \right\}$

$$\mathbf{U} \in \mathfrak{A}_2 \iff \exists U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbf{H}) \quad \underline{\mathbf{U} : L \mapsto ULU^T}$$

Theorem. Let $k = 2, 3$, $\mathbf{U}_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_k$ str. cont. 1prg. Then

$$\exists A \text{ unbded. self-adj. } \mathbf{H}\text{-op.} \quad \mathbf{U}(t) = [L \mapsto \exp(itA)L \exp(it \underbrace{A^T}_{\bar{A}})]$$

Proof. $\mathbf{U}_k : \underbrace{U_t}_{\text{unit.}} \otimes U_t^T | F_k$

$$\exists \sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\} \quad t \mapsto \sigma(t)U(t) \text{ str. cont.}$$

SEP. CONT. + LOC. + PRB. \rightarrow Theorem.

GENERAL JB*-TRIPLES

$$E \text{ JB}^*\text{-triple} \quad E \hookrightarrow \text{Atomic}(E^{**}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \underbrace{F_k}_{\text{Cartan}}$$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$ str. cont, 1prg.

$\Pi_k : E \rightarrow F_k$ canonical proj.

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)^{**} \circ \Pi_k \underbrace{\text{str. cont. 1prg.}}_{???} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_k)$$

If YES , Gelfand-Neumark description for str.cont. 1prg of $\text{Aut}(E)$.

Problems. (1) E w^* -dense JB^* -subtriple in F (Cartan factor),
 $t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)$ str.cont. 1prg. $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E) \implies ? t \mapsto \mathbf{U}^{w^*}(t)$ str.cont.?
(2) Category description of w^* -dense JB^* -subtriples of Cartan factors

Conjecture. [~ 2003 Isidro-Stacho] E w^* -dense JB^* -subtrp in factor Type 1 $\iff \exists E_0 \subset E$ w^* -dense TRO (ternary ring of operators)

GENERAL JB*-TRIPLES

$$E \text{ JB}^*\text{-triple} \quad E \hookrightarrow \text{Atomic}(E^{**}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \underbrace{F_k}_{\text{Cartan}}$$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$ str. cont, 1prg.

$\Pi_k : E \rightarrow F_k$ canonical proj.

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)^{**} \circ \underbrace{\Pi_k}_{???} \text{ str. cont. 1prg. } \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_k)$$

If YES , Gelfand-Neumark description for str.cont. 1prg of $\text{Aut}(E)$.

Problems. (1) E w^* -dense JB^* -subtriple in F (Cartan factor),
 $t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)$ str.cont. 1prg. $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E) \implies^? t \mapsto \mathbf{U}^{w^*}(t)$ str.cont.?
(2) Category description of w^* -dense JB^* -subtriples of Cartan factors

Conjecture. [\sim 2003 Isidro-Stacho] E w^* -dense JB^* -subtrp in factor Type 1 $\iff \exists E_0 \subset E$ w^* -dense TRO (ternary ring of operators)

GENERAL JB*-TRIPLES

$$E \text{ JB}^*\text{-triple} \quad E \hookrightarrow \text{Atomic}(E^{**}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \underbrace{F_k}_{\text{Cartan}}$$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$ str. cont, 1prg.

$\Pi_k : E \rightarrow F_k$ canonical proj.

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)^{**} \circ \underbrace{\Pi_k}_{???} \text{ str. cont. 1prg. } \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_k)$$

If YES , Gelfand-Neumark description for str.cont. 1prg of $\text{Aut}(E)$.

Problems. (1) E w^* -dense JB^* -subtriple in F (Cartan factor),
 $t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)$ str.cont. 1prg. $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E) \implies? t \mapsto \mathbf{U}^{w^*}(t)$ str.cont.?
(2) Category description of w^* -dense JB^* -subtriples of Cartan factors

Conjecture. [\sim 2003 Isidro-Stacho] E w^* -dense JB^* -subtrp in factor Type 1 $\iff \exists E_0 \subset E$ w^* -dense TRO (ternary ring of operators)

GENERAL JB*-TRIPLES

$$E \text{ JB}^*\text{-triple} \quad E \hookrightarrow \text{Atomic}(E^{**}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \underbrace{F_k}_{\text{Cartan}}$$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$ str. cont, 1prg.

$\Pi_k : E \rightarrow F_k$ canonical proj.

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)^{**} \circ \underbrace{\Pi_k}_{???} \text{ str. cont. 1prg. } \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_k)$$

If YES , Gelfand-Neumark description for str.cont. 1prg of $\text{Aut}(E)$.

Problems. (1) E w^* -dense JB^* -subtriple in F (Cartan factor),
 $t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)$ str.cont. 1prg. $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E) \implies? t \mapsto \mathbf{U}^{w^*}(t)$ str.cont.?
(2) Category description of w^* -dense JB^* -subtriples of Cartan factors

Conjecture. [\sim 2003 Isidro-Stacho] E w^* -dense JB^* -subtrp in factor Type 1 $\iff \exists E_0 \subset E$ w^* -dense TRO (ternary ring of operators)

GENERAL JB*-TRIPLES

$$E \text{ JB}^*\text{-triple} \quad E \hookrightarrow \text{Atomic}(E^{**}) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \underbrace{F_k}_{\text{Cartan}}$$

$\mathbf{U} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E)$ str. cont, 1prg.

$\Pi_k : E \rightarrow F_k$ canonical proj.

$$t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)^{**} \circ \underbrace{\Pi_k}_{???} \text{ str. cont. 1prg. } \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(F_k)$$

If YES , Gelfand-Neumark description for str.cont. 1prg of $\text{Aut}(E)$.

Problems. (1) E w^* -dense JB^* -subtriple in F (Cartan factor),
 $t \mapsto \mathbf{U}(t)$ str.cont. 1prg. $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E) \implies? t \mapsto \mathbf{U}^{w^*}(t)$ str.cont.?
(2) Category description of w^* -dense JB^* -subtriples of Cartan factors

Conjecture. [~ 2003 Isidro-Stacho] E w^* -dense JB^* -subtrp in factor Type 1 $\iff \exists E_0 \subset E$ w^* -dense TRO (ternary ring of operators)

PROBLEMS IN NON-LINEAR CASE

$N < 3$: $\mathbf{H}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)})$ JB*-triples

E JB*-triple $B := \text{Ball}(E)$

$t \mapsto \Phi^t \in \text{Aut}(B)$ str.cont 1-prg: $t \mapsto \Phi^t(x)$ norm.cont. $\forall x$

$$\Phi^t = M_{\Phi^t(0)} \circ \underbrace{U_t}_{\text{LIN} \in \text{Aut}(B)} \quad M_a(x) = a + \underbrace{\beta(a)^{1/2}}_{I - 2D(a) + Q(a)^2} [I - D(x, a)]^{-1}x$$

Lemma. $t \mapsto \Phi^t$ str.cont. $\iff t \mapsto \Phi^t(0)$ cont., $t \mapsto U_t$ str.cont.

Question: $\Phi^t \stackrel{?}{=} \exp [t(a - \{aa^*x\} + Ax)\partial/\partial x]$ A unbded. {...}-der.

PROBLEMS IN NON-LINEAR CASE

$N < 3$: $\mathbf{H}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)})$ JB*-triples

E JB*-triple $B := \text{Ball}(E)$

$t \mapsto \Phi^t \in \text{Aut}(B)$ str.cont 1-prg: $t \mapsto \Phi^t(x)$ norm.cont. $\forall x$

$$\Phi^t = M_{\Phi^t(0)} \circ \underbrace{U_t}_{\text{LIN} \in \text{Aut}(B)} \quad M_a(x) = a + \underbrace{\beta(a)^{1/2}}_{I - 2D(a) + Q(a)^2} [I - D(x, a)]^{-1}x$$

Lemma. $t \mapsto \Phi^t$ str.cont. $\iff t \mapsto \Phi^t(0)$ cont., $t \mapsto U_t$ str.cont.

Question: $\Phi^t \stackrel{?}{=} \exp [t(a - \{aa^*x\} + Ax)\partial/\partial x]$ A unbded. {...}-der.

PROBLEMS IN NON-LINEAR CASE

$N < 3$: $\mathbf{H}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)})$ JB*-triples

E JB*-triple $B := \text{Ball}(E)$

$t \mapsto \Phi^t \in \text{Aut}(B)$ str.cont 1-prg: $t \mapsto \Phi^t(x)$ norm.cont. $\forall x$

$$\Phi^t = M_{\Phi^t(0)} \circ \underbrace{U_t}_{\text{LIN} \in \text{Aut}(B)} \quad M_a(x) = a + \underbrace{\beta(a)^{1/2}}_{I - 2D(a) + Q(a)^2} [I - D(x, a)]^{-1}x$$

Lemma. $t \mapsto \Phi^t$ str.cont. $\iff t \mapsto \Phi^t(0)$ cont., $t \mapsto U_t$ str.cont.

Question: $\Phi^t \stackrel{?}{=} \exp [t(a - \{aa^*x\} + Ax)\partial/\partial x]$ *A unbded. {...}-der.*

PROBLEMS IN NON-LINEAR CASE

$N < 3$: $\mathbf{H}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)})$ JB*-triples

E JB*-triple $B := \text{Ball}(E)$

$t \mapsto \Phi^t \in \text{Aut}(B)$ str.cont 1-prg: $t \mapsto \Phi^t(x)$ norm.cont. $\forall x$

$$\Phi^t = M_{\Phi^t(0)} \circ \underbrace{U_t}_{\text{LIN} \in \text{Aut}(B)} \quad M_a(x) = a + \underbrace{\beta(a)^{1/2}}_{I - 2D(a) + Q(a)^2} [I - D(x, a)]^{-1}x$$

Lemma. $t \mapsto \Phi^t$ str.cont. $\iff t \mapsto \Phi^t(0)$ cont., $t \mapsto U_t$ str.cont.

Question: $\Phi^t \stackrel{?}{=} \exp [t(a - \{aa^*x\} + Ax)\partial/\partial x]$ *A unbded. {...}-der.*

PROBLEMS IN NON-LINEAR CASE

$N < 3$: $\mathbf{H}, \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}^{(1)}, \mathbf{H}^{(2)})$ JB*-triples

E JB*-triple $B := \text{Ball}(E)$

$t \mapsto \Phi^t \in \text{Aut}(B)$ str.cont 1-prg: $t \mapsto \Phi^t(x)$ norm.cont. $\forall x$

$$\Phi^t = M_{\Phi^t(0)} \circ \underbrace{U_t}_{\text{LIN} \in \text{Aut}(B)} \quad M_a(x) = a + \underbrace{\beta(a)^{1/2}}_{I - 2D(a) + Q(a)^2} [I - D(x, a)]^{-1}x$$

Lemma. $t \mapsto \Phi^t$ str.cont. $\iff t \mapsto \Phi^t(0)$ cont., $t \mapsto U_t$ str.cont.

Question: $\Phi^t \stackrel{?}{=} \exp [t(a - \{aa^*x\} + Ax)\partial/\partial x]$ A unbded. $\{\dots\}$ -der.

EXAMPLE IN HILBERT SPACE

$E := L^2(\mathbb{R})$ $S^t : f \mapsto f(x+t)$ shifts INF.GEN: $A := \frac{d}{dx}$

Consider: $\Phi^t = \exp \left[t \left(a - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, \xi) \overline{a(\xi)} d\xi + Af \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \right]$ $\Phi^t(0) : x \mapsto f(t, x)$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = a - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, \xi) \overline{a(\xi)} d\xi \cdot f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \quad f(0, x) \equiv 0$$

$y := x+t, u := t, f(t, x) = g(y, u), b(u, y) = a(y-u) \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} = \underbrace{b(x-u)}_{\text{retard.}} - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(u, \eta) \overline{b(\eta)} d\eta \cdot g, \quad g(0, y) \equiv 0$$

EXAMPLE IN HILBERT SPACE

$E := L^2(\mathbb{R})$ $S^t : f \mapsto f(x+t)$ shifts INF.GEN: $A := \frac{d}{dx}$

Consider: $\Phi^t = \exp \left[t \left(a - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, \xi) \overline{a(\xi)} d\xi + Af \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \right]$ $\Phi^t(0) : x \mapsto f(t, x)$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = a - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, \xi) \overline{a(\xi)} d\xi \cdot f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \quad f(0, x) \equiv 0$$

$y := x+t, u := t, f(t, x) = g(y, u), b(u, y) = a(y-u) \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} = \underbrace{b(x-u)}_{\text{retard.}} - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(u, \eta) \overline{b(\eta)} d\eta \cdot g, \quad g(0, y) \equiv 0$$

EXAMPLE IN HILBERT SPACE

$$E := L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad S^t : f \mapsto f(x+t) \quad \text{shifts} \quad \text{INF.GEN: } A := \frac{d}{dx}$$

$$\text{Consider: } \Phi^t = \exp \left[t \left(a - \{aa^*f\} + Af \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \right] \quad \Phi^t(0) : x \mapsto f(t, x)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = a - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, \xi) \overline{a(\xi)} d\xi \cdot f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \quad f(0, x) \equiv 0$$

$$y := x+t, \quad u := t, \quad f(t, x) = g(y, u), \quad b(u, y) = a(y-u) \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} = \underbrace{b(x-u)}_{\text{retard.}} - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(u, \eta) \overline{b(\eta)} d\eta \cdot g, \quad g(0, y) \equiv 0$$

EXAMPLE IN HILBERT SPACE

$$E := L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad S^t : f \mapsto f(x+t) \quad \text{shifts} \quad \text{INF.GEN: } A := \frac{d}{dx}$$

$$\text{Consider: } \Phi^t = \exp \left[t \left(a - \{aa^*f\} + Af \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial f} \right] \quad \Phi^t(0) : x \mapsto f(t, x)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = a - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, \xi) \overline{a(\xi)} d\xi \cdot f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \quad f(0, x) \equiv 0$$

$$y := x+t, \quad u := t, \quad f(t, x) = g(y, u), \quad b(u, y) = a(y-u) \Rightarrow$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial u} = \underbrace{b(x-u)}_{\text{retard.}} - \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(u, \eta) \overline{b(\eta)} d\eta \cdot g, \quad g(0, y) \equiv 0$$

Reference

L.L. STACHÓ,

On strongly continuous one-parameter groups of automorphisms of multilinear functionals,

J. of Math. Anal. and Appl., **363/2**, 419-431 (2010)

Acknowledgement

Supported by the project

TAMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0060 -

Impulse Lasers for Use in Materials Science and Biophotonics

of the European Union and co-financed by the European Social Fund.

