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#### Abstract

We prove that, if $E$ is a real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple having a predual $E_{*}$, then $E_{*}$ is the unique predual of $E$ and the triple product on $E$ is separately $\sigma\left(E, E_{*}\right)$-continuous. Mathematics Subject Classification (1991):17C65, 46K70, 46L05, 46L10, 46L70


## 1 Introduction

In last years, a special category of complex Banach spaces, called JB*triples, has focused the attention of many researchers. Historically, JB*triples arose in the study of bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces (see [L] and [K1]) and it has been shown by Kaup [K2], that every such domain is biholomorphic to the open unit ball of a JB*-triple. Every C*algebra is a JB*-triple in the triple product $\{a, b, c\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(a b^{*} c+c b^{*} a\right)$ and every $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra is a JB*-triple in the triple product $\{a, b, c\}=\left(a \circ b^{*}\right) \circ$ $c+\left(c \circ b^{*}\right) \circ a-(a \circ c) \circ b^{*}$. In the context of Functional Analysis, JB*-triples arise in a natural way in the solution of the contractive projection problem for $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras, concretely, the range of such a projection is a JB*-triple for a suitable triple product (see [S], [K3] and [FR1]).

We refer to ( $[R],[R u]$ and $[C M]$ ) for recent surveys and to $[U]$ for the general theory of JB*-triples.

Recently, a theory of real JB*-triples has been developed (see [D] , [CDRV], [DR], [BC], [IKR], [K4] and [CGR]) extending to the real context

[^0]many results in (complex) JB*-triples. However, the extension to the real case, of the important result proved by Barton-Timoney [BT] assuring that if $E$ is a JB*-triple which is a dual Banach space, then $E$ has a unique predual and the triple product on $E$ is separately weak*-continuous, was an open problem which explicitly appears in the papers [IKR] and [CGR]. In this paper we solve this problem, so extending the above mentioned result of Barton-Timoney.

Isidro-Kaup-Rodríguez [IKR] introduce the concept of real JBW*-triple (as a real form of a complex JBW*-triple) and they have shown [IKR, Theorem 4.4] that every real JB*-triple $E$ is a real JBW*-triple if and only if $E$ has a predual in such a way that the triple product is separately weak*continuous. From this, using our main result, we conclude that every dual real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple is a real JBW*-triple.

JB*-algebras and JB-algebras are real JB*-triples. If they have a unit, then the Jordan product is uniquely determined by the triple product (see [U, Proposition 19.13]) and the unit. Therefore our main result also gives the known separately weak*-continuity of the product in dual JB*-algebras and JB-algebras.

The proof of our main result (Theorem 2.11) follows several steps.
In a first step we prove that the dual of a real JB*-triple is well-framed, (Lemma 2.2). As a consequence the predual of every dual real JB*-triple, say $E$, is unique and every isometric bijection of $E$ is weak*-continuous.

Once it is proved that the Peirce projections on $E$ and the operators $L(e, e)$ and $Q(e)$ are weak*-continuous for all tripotents $e$ in $E$ (Proposition 2.4), it can be concluded that if $E$ has a distinguished unitary element, then the triple product is separately weak*-continuous (Proposition 2.7).

Finally, starting from the existence of complete tripotents in dual real JB*-triples and using Peirce decomposition, we conclude the proof.

## 2 Main result

We recall that a complex JB*-triple is a complex Banach space $B$ with a continuous triple product $\{., .,\}:. B \times B \times B \rightarrow B$ which is bilinear and symmetric in the outer variables and conjugate linear in the middle variable, satisfying:

1. (Jordan Identity) $L(a, b)\{x, y, z\}=\{L(a, b) x, y, z\}-\{x, L(b, a) y, z\}$ $+\{x, y, L(a, b) z\}$ for all $a, b, c, x, y, z$ in $B$, where $L(a, b) x:=\{a, b, x\} ;$
2. The map $L(a, a)$ from $B$ to $B$ is an hermitian operator with spectrum $\geq 0$ for all $a$ in $B$;
3. $\|\{a, a, a\}\|=\|a\|^{3}$ for all $a$ in $B$.

A real Banach space $A$ together with a trilinear map $\{., .,\}:. A \times A \times$ $A \rightarrow A$ is called (see [IKR]) a real JB*-triple if there is a complex $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$ triple $B$ and an $\mathbb{R}$-linear isometry $\lambda$ from $A$ to $B$ such that $\lambda\{x, y, z\}=$ $\{\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z\}$ for all $x, y, z$ in $A$.

Real JB*-triples are essentially the closed real subtriples of complex JB*-triples and, by [IKR, Proposition 2.2], given a real JB*-triple $A$ there exists a unique complex $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $B$ and a unique conjugation (conjugate linear and isometric mapping of period 2) $\tau$ on $B$ such that $A=B^{\tau}:=$ $\{x \in B: \tau(x)=x\}$. In fact, $B$ is the complexification of the vector space $A$, with triple product extending in a natural way the triple product of $A$ and a suitable norm.

The class of real JB*-triples includes all JB-algebras [H], all real C*algebras [Go], and all $\mathrm{J} * \mathrm{~B}$-algebras [A].

Real JB*-triples are Jordan triples. So, given a tripotent $e(\{e, e, e\}=e)$ in a real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $A$, there exists a decomposition of $A$ into the eigenspaces of $L(e, e)$, known as the Peirce decomposition;

$$
A=A_{0}(e) \oplus A_{1}(e) \oplus A_{2}(e)
$$

where $A_{k}(e)=\left\{x \in A: L(e, e) x=\frac{k}{2} x\right\}$ for $k=0,1,2$.
$A_{k}(e)$ is called the Peirce $k$-space of $e$. Peirce $k$-spaces satisfy the following multiplication rules:

1. $\left\{A_{i}(e), A_{j}(e), A_{k}(e)\right\} \subseteq A_{i-j+k}(e)$, where $i, j, k=0,1,2$ and $A_{l}(e)=0$ for $l \neq 0,1,2$.
2. $\left\{A_{0}(e), A_{2}(e), A\right\}=\left\{A_{2}(e), A_{0}(e), A\right\}=0$.

These rules are known as Peirce arithmetic. In particular, Peirce $k$-spaces are subtriples.

The projection $P_{k}(e)$ of $A$ onto $A_{k}(e)$ is called the Peirce $k$-projection of $e$. These projections are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{2}(e) & =Q(e)^{2} \\
P_{1}(e) & =2\left(L(e, e)-Q(e)^{2}\right) \\
P_{0}(e) & =I d_{A}-2 L(e, e)+Q(e)^{2} \\
\text { where } Q(e) x & =\{e, x, e\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $X$ is a dual Banach space with predual $X_{*}$, we will denote by $w^{*}$ the $\sigma\left(X, X_{*}\right)$ topology in $X$. The next Lemma summarizes some important results on well-framed Banach spaces, relevant to our purpose. We refer to [G] for a detailed presentation of the well-framed property and the proof of the next Lemma.

Lemma 2.1 [G, Th. 15 and Th. 16]
Let $X$ be a real or complex Banach space, then

1. If $X$ is well-framed, then $X$ is the unique predual of $X^{*}$. Furthermore, every isometric bijection on $X^{*}$ is $w^{*}$-continuous.
2. If $X$ is well-framed, then so is any closed linear subspace of $X$.

In the proof of [BT, Theorem 2.1] it is shown that the dual $B^{*}$ of a complex $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $B$ is well-framed. The next lemma shows that this is still true for real JB*-triples.

Lemma 2.2 The dual of a real JB*-triple is well-framed.
Proof. Let $A$ be a real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple and suppose that $B$ is a complex $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple such that $A=B^{\tau}$, where $\tau$ is a conjugation on $B$. Then $\tau^{*}: B^{*} \rightarrow B^{*}$ defined by $\left(\tau^{*} f\right) x:=\overline{f \tau(x)}$, for all $f$ in $B^{*}$ and $x$ in $B$, is a conjugation on $B^{*}$. Furthermore, the map $\left.f \mapsto f\right|_{B^{\tau}}$ is an isometric bijection between $\left(B^{*}\right)^{\tau^{*}}$ and $\left(B^{\tau}\right)^{*}$, hence $A^{*}=\left(B^{*}\right)^{\tau^{*}}$ is a real subspace of $B^{*}$. It is known [IR, Lemma 1.4] that if $X$ is a well-framed complex Banach space, then its underlying real Banach space $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ is well-framed, too. Hence $\left(B^{*}\right)_{\mathbb{R}}$ is well-framed, so $A^{*}$ is well-framed too by Lemma 2.1, 2.

The following proposition is a first application of Godefroy's theory of well-framed Banach spaces to dual real JB*-triples (that is real JB*-triples which are dual Banach spaces).

Proposition 2.3 Let $E$ be a real $J B^{*}$-triple with a predual $E_{*}$. Then

1. $E_{*}$ is the unique predual of $E$ and every isometric bijection on $E$ is $w^{*}$-continuous.
2. The operator $L(a, b)-L(b, a)$ on $E$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b$ in $E$.

Proof. 1. By the above Lemma, $E^{*}$ is well-framed. Since $E_{*}$ is a subspace of $E^{*}$, Lemma 2.1 gives the first assertion.
2. Let $a, b$ in $E$. It is known [IKR, proposition 2.5] that
$\exp (t(L(a, b)-L(b, a)))$ is an isometric bijection on $E$, for all $t$ in $\mathbb{R}$. Hence by the first assertion it is $w^{*}$-continuous. Now the operator

$$
L(a, b)-L(b, a)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\exp (t(L(a, b)-L(b, a)))-I d_{E}}{t}
$$

is $w^{*}$-continuous, because the set of all $w^{*}$-continuous operators on $E$ is norm-closed in the Banach space of all bounded linear operators on $E$.

We recall that if $Y$ is a $w^{*}$-closed subspace of a Banach dual space $X$, then $Y$ is a Banach dual space (with predual $X_{*} / Y_{\circ}$ ). Furthermore $\sigma\left(Y, Y_{*}\right)$ and $\left.\sigma\left(X, X_{*}\right)\right|_{Y}$ are the same topology on $Y$. On the other hand if $e$ is a tripotent in a complex $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $B$, then $e$ is a tripotent in the subtriple
$B_{2}(e)$ such that $L(e, e)$ is the identity map on $B_{2}(e)$ (i.e. $e$ is a unitary element in $B_{2}(e)$ ). Therefore $B_{2}(e)$ is a unital $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra with product $x \circ y=\{x, e, y\}$ and involution $x^{*}=Q(e) x$ ([BKU, Theorem 2.2] and [KU, Theorem 3.7], see also [U, Proposition 19.13]).

The next proposition shows that the triple product in a dual real JB*-triple is separately $w^{*}$-continuous if we fix the same tripotent in two variables.

Proposition 2.4 Let $E$ be a dual real $J B^{*}$-triple and e a tripotent in $E$. Then the Peirce projections, $L(e, e)$ and $Q(e)$ are $w^{*}$-continuous operators on $E$.

Proof. Let $B$ a complex JB*-triple and $\tau$ a conjugation on $B$ such that $E=B^{\tau}$. First we observe that every tripotent in $E$ is a tripotent in $B$ and the restrictions to $E$ of Peirce projections on $B$ are the Peirce projections on $E$.

For every $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}$ let $S_{\varepsilon}:=S_{\varepsilon}(e)=\sum_{k=0}^{2} \varepsilon^{k} P_{k}(e)$. Then $S_{\varepsilon}$ is an isometric automorphism of $B$ if $|\varepsilon|=1$ by [FR2, Lemma 1.1]. Then $S_{ \pm 1}$ are isometries of E and hence $w^{*}$-continuous. Therefore $P_{1}(e)=\left(S_{1}-S_{-1}\right) / 2$ is $w^{*}$-continuous and the subtriple $E_{2}(e)+E_{0}(e)$ is $w^{*}$-closed in $E$. But $S_{i}$ and $P_{0}(e)-P_{2}(e)$ have the same restriction to $E_{2}(e)+E_{0}(e)$. This implies that $P_{0}(e), P_{2}(e)$ and $L(e, e)=P_{2}(e)+\frac{1}{2} P_{1}(e)$ are $w^{*}$-continuous. The restriction of $Q(e)$ to the $w^{*}$-closed subtriple $E_{2}(e)$ is isometric and hence is $w^{*}$-continuous on $E$.

Following [IKR] a real $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple is a real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $E$ such that $E=B^{\tau}$ for a dual complex $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple ( $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple) $B$ and a conjugation $\tau$ on $B$.

From [IKR, Theorem 4.4] $E$ is a real JBW*-triple if an only if $E$ has a predual $E_{*}$ in such a way that the triple product is separately $w^{*}$-continuous. In this paper we prove that every dual real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple is a real $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple. Concretely we will prove that in every dual real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple the triple product is separately $w^{*}$-continuous.

The following Proposition is a first approach to our purpose.
Proposition 2.5 Let $E$ be a dual real JB*-triple. Suppose that for every a in $E$ and for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a family $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of pairwise orthogonal tripotents and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, such that $\left\|a-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon$. Then the triple product of $E$ is separately $w^{*}$-continuous.

Proof. Let $a \in E$ and $1>\varepsilon>0$. Then by hypothesis, there exists a family $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ of pairwise orthogonal tripotents and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
\left\|a-a_{n}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+\|a\|)}
$$

for $a_{n}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{i}$. By orthogonality $L\left(a_{n}, a_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}^{2} L\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)$. Hence $L\left(a_{n}, a_{n}\right)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous by Proposition 2.4. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L(a, a)-L\left(a_{n}, a\right)\right\| & =\left\|L\left(a-a_{n}, a\right)\right\| \leq\left\|a-a_{n}\right\|\|a\| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+\|a\|)}(1+\|a\|)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L\left(a_{n}, a_{n}\right)-L\left(a_{n}, a\right)\right\| & =\left\|L\left(a_{n}, a_{n}-a\right)\right\| \leq\left\|a-a_{n}\right\|\left\|a_{n}\right\| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+\|a\|)}(1+\|a\|)
\end{aligned}
$$

(where we have used that $\left\|a-a_{n}\right\|<\varepsilon \Rightarrow\left\|a_{n}\right\|<\varepsilon+\|a\|<1+\|a\|$ ). It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|L(a, a)-L\left(a_{n}, a_{n}\right)\right\| \leq & \left\|L(a, a)-L\left(a_{n}, a\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|L\left(a_{n}, a_{n}\right)-L\left(a_{n}, a\right)\right\| \\
< & \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $L(a, a)$ is in the norm closure of the set of all $w^{*}$-continuous operators and hence is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a$ in $E$. In particular $L(a, b)+$ $L(b, a)=L(a+b, a+b)-L(a, a)-L(b, b)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous. Now by using Proposition 2.3, 2., we have $L(a, b)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b$ in $E$.

It is known [IKR, Lemma 3.6] that $e_{i}, e_{j}$ are orthogonal tripotents if and only if $e_{i} \pm e_{j}$ are tripotents. Therefore, if $e_{i}, e_{j}$ are orthogonal tripotents, then $Q\left(e_{i}+e_{j}\right)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous by Proposition 2.4. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(a_{n}, a_{n}\right) & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} Q\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}\left(Q\left(e_{i}+e_{j}\right)-Q\left(e_{i}\right)-Q\left(e_{j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is $w^{*}$-continuous.
Again

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Q(a)-Q\left(a_{n}, a\right)\right\| & =\left\|Q\left(a-a_{n}, a\right)\right\| \leq\left\|a-a_{n}\right\|\|a\| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+\|a\|)}(1+\|a\|)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|Q\left(a_{n}\right)-Q\left(a_{n}, a\right)\right\| & =\left\|Q\left(a_{n}, a_{n}-a\right)\right\| \leq\left\|a-a_{n}\right\|\left\|a_{n}\right\| \\
& <\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+\|a\|)}(1+\|a\|)
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left\|Q(a)-Q\left(a_{n}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

Hence $Q(a)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a$ in $E$. Finally

$$
Q(a, b)=\frac{1}{2}(Q(a+b)-Q(a)-Q(b))
$$

is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b$ in $E$.
If $E$ is a real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple there exists a complex $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $B$ and a conjugation $\tau$ on $B$ such that $E=B^{\tau}$. Let $e$ be a tripotent in $E$, as we have commented before, $B_{2}(e)$ is a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra. Therefore $A(e):=\left\{x \in E_{2}(e)\right.$ : $Q(e) x=x\}$ is a JB-algebra as a closed subalgebra of the JB-algebra $\left\{x \in B_{2}(e): Q(e) x=x^{*}=x\right\}$.

We are going to show that if $E$ is a dual real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple and $e$ is a tripotent in $E$, then every element in $A(e)$ can be approximated by finite linear combinations of pairwise orthogonal tripotents. An argument similar to that in the proof of Proposition 2.5 then shows that $L(a, b)$ and $Q(a, b)$ are $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b$ in $A(e)$.

Proposition 2.6 Let $E$ be a dual real $J B^{*}$-triple and e a tripotent in $E$. Then $L(a, b)$ and $Q(a, b)$ are $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b$ in $A(e)$.

Proof. $A(e)$ is a JB-algebra and since $Q(e)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous, then $A(e)$ is $w^{*}$-closed in $E$. Therefore $A(e)$ is a JBW-algebra [H, Theorem 4.4.16]. Again by [H, Lemma 4.1.11] if $a \in A(e)$, then the $w^{*}$-closure of the subalgebra generated by $a, W(a)$, is isometrically isomorphic to a monotone complete $C(X)$ where $X$ is a compact Hausdorff space and for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exist pairwise orthogonal idempotents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ in $W(a)$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\|a-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon[H$, Proposition 4.2.3]. In fact $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ are pairwise orthogonal tripotents in $E$ because $e_{i} \pm e_{j}$ are tripotents in $E$ for all $i \neq j$. Finally we proceed as in the proof of Proposition 2.5.

The following result is one of the keys in the proof of our main result and gives the separate $w^{*}$-continuity of the triple product in the case that the dual real JB*-triple $E$ has a unitary element $u$, i.e. $L(u, u)=I d_{E}$ ( $\left.E=E_{2}(u)\right)$.

Proposition 2.7 Let $E$ be a dual real $J B^{*}$-triple with a unitary element. Then the triple product is separately $w^{*}$-continuous.

Proof. Since $E$ is a real JB*-triple, there exists a complex JB*-triple $B$ and a conjugation $\tau$ on $B$ such that $E=B^{\tau}$. Let $u$ be a unitary element in
$E$. Then $u$ is a unitary element in $B$. So $B$ is a complex $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple with a unitary element $u$. By [BKU, Theorem 2.2] and [KU, Theorem 3.7], (see also [U, Proposition 19.13]) it follows that $B$ is a unital JB*-algebra with product $x \circ y:=\{x, u, y\}$, involution $x^{*}:=\{u, x, u\}=Q(u) x$ and unit $u$.

Put $A:=\{x \in E: Q(u) x=x\}$ and $D:=\{x \in E: Q(u) x=-x\}$. Then $E=A \oplus D$, because $Q(u)$ is an involution on $E$.

For $M_{a}:=L(a, u)$ the identities

$$
\begin{gathered}
L(a, b)=M_{a} M_{b^{*}}-M_{b^{*}} M_{a}+M_{a \circ b^{*}}, \\
Q(a, b)=\left(M_{a} M_{b}+M_{b} M_{a}-M_{a \circ b}\right) Q(u)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $a, b \in E$ imply that only the $w^{*}$-continuity of every $M_{a}, a \in E$, has to be shown. For $a=a^{*}$ this follows from Proposition 2.6 and for $a^{*}=-a$ from the identity

$$
2 M_{a}=L(a, u)-L(u, a)
$$

and Proposition 2.3, 2.
Proposition 2.8 Let $E$ be a dual real $J B^{*}$-triple and e a tripotent in $E$. Then $L(a, b)$ and $Q(a, b)$ are $w^{*}$-continuous operators on $E$ for all $a, b$ in $E_{2}(e)$.

Proof. $E_{2}(e)$ is a dual real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple with a unitary element $e$. Then by Proposition 2.7 the triple product is separately $w^{*}$-continuous on $E_{2}(e)$. Therefore $E_{2}(e)$ is a real $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple [IKR, Theorem 4.4].

From [IKR, Proof of Theorem 4.8] it can be concluded that for all $a \in$ $E_{2}(e)$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exist a family of pairwise orthogonal tripotents $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ in $E_{2}(e)$ and $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\left\|a-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} e_{i}\right\|<\varepsilon$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.5, we conclude that $L(a, b)$ and $Q(a, b)$ are $w^{*}$-continuous operators on $E$ for all $a, b$ in $E_{2}(e)$.

The next two lemmas are needed in the proof of the Main Theorem below. We are inspired in some results of Friedman and Russo [FR2, Propositions 1 and 2] for their proofs.

Lemma 2.9 Let $E$ be a real $J B^{*}$-triple, $f \in E^{*}$ and e a tripotent in $E$ such that $\left\|f P_{2}(e)\right\|=\|f\|$. Then $f=f P_{2}(e)$.

Proof. The same proof as in [FR2, Proposition 1] runs here.
Lemma 2.10 Let $E$ be a dual real JB*-triple. Then

1. If $f \in E_{*}$, there exists a tripotent $e$ in $E$ such that $f=f P_{2}(e)$.
2. A net $\left\{x_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges to zero in the $w^{*}$-topology if and only if $\left\{P_{2}(u) x_{\alpha}\right\}$ converges to zero in the $w^{*}$-topology for every tripotent $u$ in $E$.

Proof. 1. Let us suppose $\|f\|=1$, then the set $S=\{x \in E: f(x)=\|x\|$ $=\|f\|=1\}$ is nonempty convex and $w^{*}$-compact. Therefore there exists an extreme point of the closed unit ball of $E, e$, such that $e$ is in $S$, too. Hence $e$ is a tripotent [IKR, Lemma 3.3], and $f(e)=\|f\|=1$. We have $f=f P_{2}(e)$ by Lemma 2.9.
2. $(\Rightarrow)$ Straightforward because $P_{2}(u)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous (for every tripotent $u$ in $E$ ) by Proposition 2.4.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Suppose that $P_{2}(u) x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} 0$, for every tripotent $u$ in $E$. Let $f \in$ $E_{*}$. From the first assertion, there exists a tripotent $e$ in $E$ such that $f=f P_{2}(e)$. By hypothesis $P_{2}(e) x_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^{*}} 0$. Therefore $f P_{2}(e) x_{\alpha}=$ $f\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

A tripotent $e$ in a Jordan triple $A$ is called complete if $A_{0}(e)=0$. In a dual real $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $E$ we have many complete tripotents because by [IKR, Lemma 3.3], the complete tripotents in $E$ are exactly the extreme points of the closed unit ball of $E, B_{E}$. Banach-Alaoglu's and Krein-Millman's theorems give that $B_{E}$ is the $w^{*}$-closed convex hull of its extreme points.

Having disposed of these preliminary steps we can now prove the Main Theorem.

Theorem 2.11 Let $E$ be a dual real $J B^{*}$-triple. Then the triple product is separately $w^{*}$-continuous, i.e., $E$ is a real JBW*-triple.

Proof. We first prove that $L(a, b)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b \in E$.
Let $e$ be a complete tripotent in $E$. If we fix $a \in E_{1}(e)$ and $b \in E_{2}(e)$, using Peirce arithmetic, it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(a, b)=L(a, b) P_{2}(e) \text { and } \\
& L(b, a)=L(b, a) P_{1}(e)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Proposition 2.3, 2., $L(a, b)-L(b, a)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous and by Proposition 2.4, $P_{2}(e)$ and $P_{1}(e)$ are $w^{*}$-continuous. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
L(a, b) & =L(a, b) P_{2}(e)-L(b, a) P_{1}(e) P_{2}(e) \\
& =(L(a, b)-L(b, a)) P_{2}(e) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

is $w^{*}$-continuous.
In a similar way $L(b, a)=-(L(a, b)-L(b, a)) P_{1}(e)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous.

Now if $a \in E$ and $b \in E_{2}(e)$, then $a=a_{1}+a_{2}$ where $a_{i} \in E_{i}(e)$ for $i=1,2$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(a, b)=L\left(a_{1}, b\right)+L\left(a_{2}, b\right) \\
& L(b, a)=L\left(b, a_{1}\right)+L\left(b, a_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we can conclude by (3.1), (3.2) and Proposition 2.8 that $L(a, b)$ and $L(b, a)$ are $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a \in E$ and $b \in E_{2}(e)$.

Let $a \in E$, by applying Jordan identity, we have $L(a, L(e, e) a)=-L(e, a) L(a, e)+L(\{e, a, a\}, e)+L(a, e) L(e, a)$.
(3.4) Thus by (3.3), $L(a, L(e, e) a)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous because $e \in E_{2}(e)$.

From

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(a, L(e, e) a) & =L\left(a_{1}+a_{2}, L(e, e) a_{1}+a_{2}\right) \\
& =L\left(a_{1}+a_{2}, \frac{1}{2} a_{1}+a_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} L\left(a_{1}, a_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} L\left(a_{2}, a_{1}\right)+L\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)+L\left(a_{2}, a_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

we deduce that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(a_{1}, a_{1}\right) \\
& =2\left(L(a, L(e, e) a)-\frac{1}{2} L\left(a_{2}, a_{1}\right)-L\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)-L\left(a_{2}, a_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

is $w^{*}$-continuous which follows from (3.3) and (3.4). We have proved that $L\left(a_{1}, a_{1}\right)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a_{1} \in E_{1}(e)$.

Finally since $E=E_{1}(e) \oplus E_{2}(e)$, and $L(.,$.$) is bilinear, by (3.3) and$ (3.5) we can conclude that $L(a, b)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b \in E$.

The last part of the proof is devoted to prove that $Q(a, b)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b \in E$.

We fix $a, b \in E$. It is easy to check that $Q(b) Q(a)=2 L(b, a) L(b, a)-$ $L(\{b, a, b\}, a)$. Thus $Q(b) Q(a)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for all $a, b \in E$ by (3.6). In particular $Q(u) Q(a)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for every tripotent $u$ in $E$. So (using Proposition 2.4) $P_{2}(u) Q(a)=Q(u) Q(u) Q(a)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous (3.7) for every tripotent $u$ in $E$.

Now by Lemma 2.10, 2., $Q(a)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous if and only if $P_{2}(u) Q(a)$ is $w^{*}$-continuous for every tripotent $u$ in $E$. Hence, using (3.7), we conclude the proof.

Edwards [E, Theorems 3.2 and 3.4] has shown that the complexification of a JB-algebra, $J$, is a $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-algebra if and only if $J$ is a JBW-algebra. This result now is a consequence of our main result and [IKR, Theorem 4.4].

Corollary 2.12 Let $J$ be a JB-algebra. Then $J$ is a $J B W$-algebra if and only if its complexification is a $J B W^{*}$-algebra.

Proof. Consider $B=J \oplus i J$ (the complexification of $J$ ) as JB*-triple, $\tau$ the natural involution on $B$ and $J=B^{\tau}$.
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