

# On a Schwarz Lemma for Bounded Symmetric Domains

Wilhelm Kaup<sup>1</sup>

Mathematisches Institut  
Universität Tübingen  
Auf der Morgenstelle 10  
D-72076 Tübingen, Germany  
wilhelm.kaup@uni-tuebingen.de

ABSTRACT.

## 1. Introduction

It is well known that the classical Schwarz Lemma allows the following higher dimensional extension: Let  $E, F$  be complex Banach spaces with open unit balls  $B \subset E, D \subset F$  and let  $f: B \rightarrow D$  be a holomorphic mapping with  $f(0) = 0$ . Then  $\|f(z)\| \leq \|z\|$  for all  $z \in B$  and  $\|L\| \leq 1$  hold, where the linear operator  $L: E \rightarrow F$  is the complex derivative at 0 of  $f$ . But in contrast to the classical case  $E = F = \mathbb{C}$ , the condition  $\|f(z)\| = \|z\|$  for some  $z \neq 0$  and also the condition  $\|L\| = 1$  does in general not imply that  $f$  is linear (more precisely the restriction to  $B$  of a linear map – necessarily the derivative  $L = df(0)$ ). To have a short notation we call the ordered pair of complex Banach spaces  $(E, F)$  *rigid* if every holomorphic mapping  $f: B \rightarrow D$  with  $f(0) = 0$  is linear provided that the derivative  $df(0): E \rightarrow F$  is a (not necessarily surjective) isometry. In case this conclusion already follows without the assumption  $f(0) = 0$  we call the pair *strictly rigid*. For instance,  $(E, F)$  is rigid if every unit vector in  $F$  is a complex extremal boundary point of  $D$  and this condition is also necessary if  $E = \mathbb{C}$ , compare [1]. Also,  $(E, E)$  is strictly rigid for every complex Banach space  $E$  of finite dimension as a consequence of Cartan's uniqueness theorem, compare [5] and [1]. The rigidity condition for  $(E, F)$  is not symmetric in  $E, F$ . In particular,  $(E, F)$  trivially is rigid if there is no linear isometry  $E \rightarrow F$ .

Suppose that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a class of complex Banach spaces and that  $\varphi: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  is a function. We will consider the following property for  $\varphi$ .

**Property A:** For all  $E, F \in \mathcal{K}$  with  $\varphi(F) \leq \varphi(E) < \infty$  the pair  $(E, F)$  is rigid.

Since for spaces with  $\varphi$ -value  $\infty$  nothing is claimed in this property we always may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{K}$  is the class  $\mathcal{B}$  of all complex Banach spaces (simply by extending  $\varphi$  using the value  $\infty$ ). For instance, on  $\mathcal{B}$  the function  $\varphi = \dim$  satisfies Property A. But also the following function  $\psi$  satisfies Property A: For every complex Hilbert space  $E$  put  $\psi(E) = 1$ . In case  $E$  is not a Hilbert space but every unit vector is an extreme point of its unit ball put  $\psi(E) = 2$ . In the remaining cases put  $\psi(E) = \infty$ . Clearly, this would be more interesting if some of the values  $\infty$  could be changed to a finite one while keeping Property A.

In the present paper we consider certain rank functions with Property A on the class of complex Banach spaces associated with bounded symmetric domains. It is known that every bounded symmetric domain in a complex Banach space can be realized as the open unit ball of another complex Banach space  $E$  uniquely determined up to linear isometry [7]. These Banach spaces are called JB\*-triples since they may be algebraically characterized by a certain ternary structure, the Jordan triple product.

<sup>1</sup> Supported by a grant from the German-Israeli Foundation (GIF), I-0415-023.06/95.

## 2. The rank function

Fix the field  $\mathbb{K}$  in the following which is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{B}$  the category of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -Banach spaces with the bounded  $\mathbb{K}$ -linear mappings as morphisms. Throughout,  $E$  and  $F$  are Banach spaces with open unit balls  $B \subset E$  and  $D \subset F$ . The notation  $E \subset F$  means that  $E$  carries the induced norm from  $F$ , i.e.  $B = D \cap F$ . Also we write  $E \leq F$  to indicate that there exists a (not necessarily surjective) linear isometry  $E \rightarrow F$ . By  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  we denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators  $E \rightarrow F$ . Furthermore  $\mathcal{L}(E) := \mathcal{L}(E, E)$  is the Banach algebra of all continuous endomorphisms and  $E^* := \mathcal{L}(E, \mathbb{C})$  is the dual of  $E$ . The group of all invertible operators in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  is denoted by  $\text{GL}(E)$ . The vector space dimension of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  is denoted by  $\dim(E)$  and will be considered as an element of  $\overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

The boundary of  $B$  (the unit sphere in  $E$ ) is denoted by  $\partial B$ . The subset of all extreme boundary points of  $B$  is denoted by  $\partial_e B$ , that is the set of all  $a \in \partial B$  with the property:  $\|a \pm v\| = 1$  implies  $v = 0$  for all  $v \in E$ . In the complex case (i.e.  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ ) the point  $a \in \partial B$  is called complex extreme if  $\|a + tv\| = 1$  for all  $t \in \Delta$  always implies  $v = 0$ , where  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$  is the open unit disc. With  $\partial_{ce} B \subset \partial B$  we denote the subset of all complex extreme boundary points. Also we denote for every complex Banach space  $E$  by  $E^{\mathbb{R}}$  the underlying real Banach space. Clearly,  $E$  and  $E^{\mathbb{R}}$  have to be distinguished, for instance  $\dim(E^{\mathbb{R}}) = 2 \dim(E)$  holds in our notation.

We are interested in functions  $\varphi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfying

**Property B:**  $\varphi(E) \leq \varphi(F)$  for all  $E, F \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $E \leq F$ .

It is clear that  $\varphi = \dim$  satisfies this property. Further examples can be obtained in the following way: Let  $\varphi$  satisfy Property B. For every Banach space  $E$  and every  $a \in E$  let  $\Theta_a$  be the closed linear span of

$$\{v \in E : \|a + tv\| = \|a\| \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{K} \text{ with } |t| \leq 1\}$$

in  $E$  (this notion coincides except for  $a = 0$  with the one in [1]). Then  $\varphi'(E) := \sup_{a \in E} \varphi(\Theta_a)$  defines a function  $\varphi': \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ , and it is clear that every linear isometry  $L: E \rightarrow F$  maps  $\Theta_a$  into  $\Theta_{L(a)}$ . Therefore, with  $\varphi$  also  $\varphi'$  satisfies Property A. We call  $\varphi'$  the *derived function* of  $\varphi$ . Then  $\varphi' \leq \varphi$  is easily seen and by iteration we also get  $\varphi''$  and so on. As an example,  $\dim'(E) = 0$  holds if and only if  $\partial B = \partial_e B$  in case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\partial B = \partial_{ce} B$  in case  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ . Also, if  $E = \mathcal{L}(H, K)$  for Hilbert spaces  $H, K$  with  $\dim(H) = 2$  and  $\dim(K) = n \geq 1$  we have  $\dim(E) = 2n$ ,  $\dim'(E) = n - 1$  and  $\dim''(E) = 0$  (even if  $n$  is infinite).

For every  $E$  put furthermore  $r_\varphi(E) := \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \varphi^{(n)}(E) = 0\}$ , where  $\varphi^{(n)}$  is the  $n$ -th derivative of  $\varphi$  and  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . In case of  $\varphi = \dim$  we also write  $r(E) = r_{\dim}(E)$  and call it the *rank* of the Banach space  $E$ . The following statement is easily verified.

**2.1 Lemma.** *With  $\varphi$  also all derivatives  $\varphi^{(n)}$  and also  $r_\varphi$  satisfies Property B.*

In particular, the rank  $r$  satisfies Property B. All elements of  $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$  occur as a rank: Consider for example the Banach space  $E = C_0(S, \mathbb{K})$  of all  $\mathbb{K}$ -valued continuous functions vanishing at infinity on the locally compact topological space  $S$ . Then it is not difficult to see that  $r(E) = \dim(E) = |S|$  where  $|S| \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  is the number of elements in  $S$ . Actually, we can show a little bit more. Denote by  $E \oplus_p F$  the  $\ell^p$ -sum of  $E$  and  $F$ , that is  $E \oplus F$  with norm satisfying  $\|(z, w)\| = \max(\|z\|, \|w\|)$  if  $p = \infty$  and  $\|(z, w)\|^p = \|z\|^p + \|w\|^p$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Instead of  $E \oplus_\infty F$  we also write  $E \times F$  since then the open unit ball is  $B \times D$ .

**2.2 Proposition.** *For all Banach spaces  $E, F$  the following statements hold.*

- (i)  $r(E) \leq \dim(E)$  and  $r(E) = 0$  if and only if  $E = \{0\}$ .
- (ii)  $\sup_{a \in E} r(\Theta_a) = r(E) - 1$  if  $E \neq \{0\}$ .
- (iii)  $r(E \times F) = r(E) + r(F)$ .

**Proof.** (i) is obvious.

(ii) We may assume that  $k := \sup_{a \in E} r(\Theta_a) < \infty$  since  $k \leq r(E)$ . For  $\varphi = \dim$  this means

$$\varphi^{(k+1)}(E) = \sup_{a \in E} \varphi^{(k)}(\Theta_a) = 0,$$

i.e.  $r(E) \leq k + 1$ . But  $r(E) \leq k$  would contradict the definition of  $k$ .

(iii) We assume that  $0 < r(E) \leq r(F)$  holds and use induction on  $n = r(E) + r(F)$ . The case  $n = 0$  is trivial and for  $n = \infty$  the statement follows from  $r(E \times F) \geq r(F) = \infty$ . Therefore we only have to consider the case  $0 < n < \infty$ . For all  $(a, b) \in E \times F$

$$\Theta_{(a,b)} = \begin{cases} \Theta_a \times F & \|a\| > \|b\| \\ \Theta_a \times \Theta_b & \|a\| = \|b\| \\ E \times \Theta_b & \|a\| < \|b\| \end{cases}$$

is easily seen. Then by induction hypothesis we have

$$\sup_{(a,b) \in E \times F} r(\Theta_{(a,b)}) = n - 1 \quad \text{and hence} \quad r(E \times F) = n \quad \text{by (ii).} \quad \square$$

Property (ii) implies that the  $n$ -th derivative  $r^{(n)}$  of the rank function does not give further information since

$$r^{(n)} = \max(r - n, 0) \quad \text{for all} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the rest of the paper let  $\mathbb{K}$  be the complex field. For every complex Banach space  $E$  then let  $\rho(E) := r(E^{\mathbb{R}})$  be the real rank of  $E$ . Then it is clear that also the function  $\rho$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  satisfies Property B. Also, by induction it can be shown that always  $r(E) \leq \rho(E)$  holds. The question arises: To what extent do the rank functions  $r$  and  $\rho$  satisfy Property A? In the next section we prove this for the class of JB\*-triples.

For certain complex Banach spaces  $E$  of finite dimension Vigué [12] has defined a rank  $r(B)$  of the open unit ball  $B \subset E$ . Since in case that  $B$  is a bounded symmetric domain this rank in general is not the usual one, we prefer to write  $r_{\mathcal{V}}(E)$  instead of  $r(B)$  here. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the class of all complex Banach spaces of finite dimension such that the set

$$\{x \in E : \dim \Theta_x = \sup_{a \in E} \dim \Theta_a = \dim'(E)\}$$

is dense in  $E$ . Then  $r_{\mathcal{V}}(E) = 1 + \dim'(E)$  in our language and the result in [12], Théorème 5.2, can be expressed in the following way: *The function  $r_{\mathcal{V}}$  on  $\mathcal{V}$  satisfies Property A.*

### 3. JB\*-triples

For complex Banach spaces  $E, F$  with open unit balls  $B, D$  a mapping  $f: B \rightarrow D$  is called *holomorphic* if for every  $a \in B$  the Fréchet derivative  $df(0) \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  exists. The holomorphic mapping  $f$  is called *biholomorphic* if the inverse mapping  $D \rightarrow B$  exists and is holomorphic. Cartan's uniqueness theorem states that for every  $a \in B$  every biholomorphic map  $f: B \rightarrow D$  is uniquely determined within the space of all holomorphic mappings  $B \rightarrow D$  by  $f(a)$  and  $df(a)$  (compare f.i. [4] p. 75). With  $\text{Aut}(B)$  we denote the group of all biholomorphic mappings  $g: B \rightarrow B$ , also called biholomorphic automorphisms of  $B$ .

The complex Banach space  $E$  is called a *JB\*-triple* if the group  $\text{Aut}(B)$  acts transitively on the open unit ball  $B$ . To every  $a \in B$  then there is a unique automorphism  $s_a \in \text{Aut}(B)$  with  $s_a = s_a^{-1}$ ,  $s_a(a) = a$  and  $ds_a(a) = -\text{id}$ , i.e.  $D$  is a bounded symmetric domain. Denote by  $\mathcal{JB}$  the category of all JB\*-triples. By definition a linear map  $L: E \rightarrow F$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{JB}$  if  $L \circ s_a = s_c \circ L$  holds for all  $a \in B$  and  $c := L(a) \in D$ . It is clear that with  $E, F$  also the  $\ell^\infty$ -sum  $E \times F$  is in  $\mathcal{JB}$  and that the canonical projections are triple morphisms. JB\*-triples can also be introduced without any reference to holomorphy by the existence of a Jordan triple product  $(a, b, c) \mapsto \{abc\}$  from  $E^3$  to  $E$  that is symmetric complex bilinear in the outer variables  $a, c$  and conjugate linear in the middle variable  $b$  together with some other properties, compare [7]. For instance, for every pair  $H, K$  of complex Hilbert spaces every closed linear subspace  $E \subset \mathcal{L}(H, K)$  stable under the triple product  $\{abc\} = (ab^*c + cb^*a)/2$  is a JB\*-triple. Therefore, every C\*-algebra and also every complex Hilbert space is in  $\mathcal{JB}$ , where in the latter case  $\{aba\} = (a|b)a$  holds. The morphisms in  $\mathcal{JB}$  can also be characterized algebraically by the triple product:

The linear map  $L: E \rightarrow F$  is a triple morphism if and only if  $L\{abc\} = \{L(a)L(b)L(c)\}$  holds for all  $a, b, c \in E$ . Triple morphisms always have closed range and are automatically continuous (the induced map  $E/\ker(L) \rightarrow F$  is an isometry). On the other hand, every surjective linear isometry in  $\mathcal{JB}$  is a triple isomorphism.

Let  $E, F$  always be  $\mathcal{JB}^*$ -triples in the following. For every  $a, b \in E$  denote the linear operator  $z \mapsto \{abz\}$  by  $a \square b$ . Then  $\|a \square b\| \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$  holds and  $\square$  may be considered as an operator-valued inner product on  $E$ . We write  $a \perp b$  and call  $a, b$  orthogonal if  $\|a \square b\| = 0$  or – equivalently – if  $\|b \square a\| = 0$  holds. For every  $a \in E$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the odd powers are defined by  $a^{2n+1} := (a \square a)^n a$ . These always satisfy  $\|a^{2n+1}\| = \|a\|^{2n+1}$ . It is clear that the triple product on  $E$  is uniquely determined by the cube mapping  $a \mapsto a^3 = \{aaa\}$ . The fixed points of the cube mapping are called *tripotents*. The set  $M \subset E$  of all tripotents is a real analytic submanifold of  $E$  and every non-zero tripotent  $e \in E$  has norm 1. Suppose  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  are pairwise orthogonal tripotents in  $E$ . Then for every  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$  the Peirce space

$$E_{ij} := E_{ij}(e_1, \dots, e_r) := \{z \in E : 2\{e_k e_k z\} = (\delta_{ik} + \delta_{jk})z \text{ for all } k\}$$

is a subtriple with  $E_{ij} = E_{ji}$  and

$$E = \bigoplus_{0 \leq i \leq j \leq r} E_{ij}$$

is called the corresponding Peirce decomposition, compare [10]. The Peirce spaces multiply according to the rules

$$\{E_{ij}E_{jk}E_{kl}\} \subset E_{il} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{ij} \square E_{pq} = 0 \text{ if } i, j \notin \{p, q\}.$$

In particular, we have the Peirce decomposition  $E = E_{11}(e) \oplus E_{10}(e) \oplus E_{00}(e)$  for every single tripotent  $e \in E$ . The tripotent  $e$  is called *minimal in  $E$*  if  $\dim(E_{11}(e)) = 1$  holds.

For every  $a \in E$  denote by  $E_a \subset E$  the smallest closed subtriple of  $E$  that contains  $a$  and put  $d(a) := \dim(E_a) \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ . It is known that  $E_a$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $C_0(S) := C_0(S, \mathbb{C})$  for some locally compact topological space  $S$ . In particular, also  $d(a) = r(E_a)$  holds where  $r(E_a)$  is the Banach space rank as defined in the previous section. By definition, the triple rank of  $E$  is the supremum in  $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$  of all  $d(a)$  with  $a \in E$ .

**3.1 Proposition.** *For every  $\mathcal{JB}^*$ -triple  $E$  the triple rank and the Banach space rank  $r(E)$  coincide.*

**Proof.** Denote for a while the triple rank of  $E$  by  $\tilde{r}(E)$ . We have to show  $\tilde{r}(E) = r(E)$ . For every  $a \in E$  we have  $d(a) = r(E_a) \leq r(E)$  and hence  $\tilde{r}(E) \leq r(E)$ . Therefore we may assume that  $n := \tilde{r}(E) < \infty$  holds. In case  $n = 0$  we have  $E = 0$ , i.e. in addition we may assume  $n > 0$ . For every  $a \in E$  with  $a \neq 0$  there exists a unique representation

$$a = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_s e_s \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_s > 0,$$

where  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s$  are pairwise orthogonal non-zero tripotents in  $E$ , compare [8]. By [1] Lemma 7.8 we know that  $\Theta_a = E_{00}(e_1)$  is a subtriple of  $E$ . Since  $\Theta_a$  has triple rank  $\tilde{r}(\Theta_a) < n$  we get by induction hypothesis  $r(\Theta_a) = \tilde{r}(\Theta_a) \leq n - 1$ , i.e.  $r(E) \leq n = \tilde{r}(E)$  by 2.2.ii.  $\square$

$\mathcal{JB}^*$ -triples of finite rank can be characterized in many ways, compare also [8].

**3.2 Proposition.** *For every  $\mathcal{JB}^*$ -triple  $E$  the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $E$  has finite rank.
- (ii) Every finite subset of  $E$  is contained in a subtriple of finite dimension.
- (iii) Every  $a \in \partial B$  has a (unique) representation  $a = e + u$  with  $u \in B$ ,  $e$  a tripotent and  $e \perp u$ .
- (iv) For every  $a \in E$  the operator  $a \square a \in \mathcal{L}(E)$  is algebraic (i.e. satisfies a nontrivial polynomial equation).
- (v)  $E$  is reflexive.

$\mathcal{JB}^*$ -triples  $E$  of finite rank behave essentially like those of finite dimension, compare [10] for the following discussion. A tuple  $(e_1, \dots, e_r)$  of pairwise orthogonal minimal tripotents in  $E$  is called

a frame in  $E$  if  $E_{00}(e_1, \dots, e_r) = 0$ . All frames have the same length  $r = r(E)$ . The tripotent  $\varepsilon(a) := e$  in 3.2.iv can be obtained by  $e = \lim a^{2n+1}$ . The fibres of the mapping  $\varepsilon: \overline{D} \rightarrow M$  are the holomorphic arc components of  $\overline{D}$ , i.e. the smallest non-empty subsets  $A \subset \overline{D}$  with the property:  $f(\Delta) \subset A$  for every holomorphic mapping  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \overline{D}$  with  $f(\Delta) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . For every  $a \in D$  and  $e = \varepsilon(a)$  the holomorphic arc component of  $a$  is  $\varepsilon^{-1}(e) = e + (D \cap E_{00}(e))$ .

The  $n$ -dimensional Banach space  $F = \ell_n^\infty$  is a JB\*-triple with open unit ball  $\Delta^n$ . Let  $f_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, f_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  be the standard basis of  $F$ . Suppose,  $E$  has finite rank and  $L: F \rightarrow E$  is a linear isometry. Let  $a_k := L(f_k)$  and write  $a_k = e_k + u_k$  with  $e_k = \varepsilon(a_k)$  for all  $k$ . Then for all  $j \neq k$  we have  $a_j + \Delta a_k \subset \varepsilon^{-1}(a_j) = \varepsilon^{-1}(e_j)$ . This implies  $u_j + \Delta a_k \subset E_{00}(e_j)$  and hence  $a_k \in E_{00}(e_j)$ . The closed subtriple  $E_{00}(e_j)$  contains with  $a_k$  also all odd powers of  $a_k$  and hence also the limit  $e_k$ , i.e.  $e_j \perp e_k$  and  $u_j \perp e_k$  for all  $j \neq k$ . This implies  $u_j \perp e := e_1 + \dots + e_n$  and also  $n \leq r(E)$ . In case of equality all  $u_j$  vanish and  $L(F)$  is a subtriple of  $E$ . This implies that then  $L$  is a triple homomorphism. Since for every  $1 \leq k < n$  there exist linear isometries  $\ell_k^\infty \rightarrow \ell_n^\infty$  that are not triple homomorphisms we have thus proved

**3.3 Lemma.** For every JB\*-triple  $E$  and every integer  $r \geq 1$  the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i)  $E$  has finite rank  $r$ .
- (ii)  $n \leq r$  if there exists a linear isometry  $\ell_n^\infty \rightarrow E$ .
- (iii) Every linear isometry  $\ell_r^\infty \rightarrow E$  is a triple homomorphism.

As a consequence, for every JB\*-triple of finite rank,  $r(E)$  is the maximal  $n$  such that there exists a linear isometry  $\ell_n^\infty \rightarrow E$ .

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

**3.4 Theorem.** Let  $E, F$  be JB\*-triples with  $r(F) \leq r(E) < \infty$  and open unit balls  $B, D$ . Suppose  $f: B \rightarrow D$  is a holomorphic mapping such that the derivative  $L := df(0) \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is an isometry. Then  $r(F) = r(E)$ ,  $f = L|B$  and  $L$  is a triple homomorphism. In particular, the rank function  $r$  on  $\mathcal{JB}$  satisfies Property A.

**Proof.** Fix  $a \in E$  and put  $r := r(E)$ . Then there exists a frame  $(e_1, \dots, e_r)$  in  $E$  and a spectral decomposition  $a = \lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_r e_r$  with coefficients  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . Since  $L$  is an isometry  $t \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^r t_i L(e_i)$  defines an isometry  $R: \ell_r^\infty \rightarrow F$ . From 3.3.ii we derive  $r(E) = r(F)$  and also that  $R$  is a triple homomorphism. This implies  $L(a)^3 = L(a^3)$  for all  $a \in E$ , i.e. also  $L$  is a triple homomorphism. The set  $\partial_e B \subset \partial B$  of all extreme boundary points of  $B$  coincides with  $\{e \in M : E_{00}(e) = 0\}$  and is a set of determinacy in  $E$  in the sense of [1]. Because of  $L(\partial_e B) \subset \partial_e D$  we derive  $f = L|B$  as a consequence of Corollary 3.3 in [1].  $\square$

Suppose,  $E$  with open unit ball  $B$  is a JB\*-triple of finite rank  $r$ . In [8] all equivalent norms  $\Phi$  on  $E$  have been determined which are invariant under the group  $GL(B) \subset GL(E)$ . Among these are all  $p$ -norms for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  on  $E$  defined as follows: Write every  $a \in E$  as linear combination  $a = \lambda_1 e_1 + \lambda_2 e_2 + \dots + \lambda_r e_r$  for some frame  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_r)$  in  $E$  and put  $\|a\|_p := \|(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)\|_p$ . Then  $\partial_e B = \{a \in \overline{B} : \|a\|_p = r^{1/p}\}$ , the original norm of  $E$  coincides with  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is a Hilbert norm. In particular,  $E$  is isomorphic to a complex Hilbert space. Now suppose that  $F$  is another JB\*-triple of finite rank and  $L: E \rightarrow F$  is a linear map with  $\|L\| \leq 1$  and  $\|L(a)\|_p = \|a\|_p$  for all  $a \in E$  (i.e. an isometry with respect to the  $p$ -norm on both spaces). Since  $\overline{B}$  is the closed convex hull of  $\partial_e B$  in  $E$  it is clear that then  $L$  is also an isometry of JB\*-triples. Thus as a consequence of our main Theorem ?? we get:

**3.5 Proposition.** Let  $E, F$  with open unit balls  $B, D$  be JB\*-triples of rank  $r(F) \leq r(E) < \infty$  and let  $f: B \rightarrow D$  be a holomorphic mapping such that  $L := df(0)$  is an isometry with respect to the  $p$ -norm for some  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $r(F) = r(E)$  and  $f = L|B$  is linear. For  $p = 2$  and finite dimensions this result is already contained in [13].

## References

1. Arazy, J. and Kaup, W.: On the holomorphic rigidity of linear operators on complex Banach spaces. To appear

2. Dineen, S.: *The Schwarz Lemma*. Oxford Math. Monographs. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1989
3. Dineen, S., Timoney, R.W.: Extremal mappings for the Schwarz lemma, *Arkiv for Math.* **30**, 61-81 (1992)
4. Franzoni, T. and Vesentini, E.: *Holomorphic Maps and Invariant Distances*. North Holland, Amsterdam 1980
5. Harris, L.A.: Schwarz's lemma and the maximum principle in infinite dimensional spaces. Ph.D. thesis, Cornell University 1969
6. Harris, L.A.: Schwarz's lemma in normed linear spaces. *Proc. Nath. Acad. Sci. USA* **62**, 1014-1017 (1969)
7. Kaup, W.: A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces. *Math. Z.* **183**, 503-529 (1983)
8. Kaup, W.: Über die Klassifikation der symmetrischen Hermiteschen Mannigfaltigkeiten unendlicher Dimension I, II, *Math. Ann.* **257**, 463-483 (1981); **262**, 503-529 (1983)
9. Koranyi, A.: A Schwarz lemma for bounded symmetric domains, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17**, 210-213 (1966)
10. Loos, O.: Bounded symmetric domains and Jordan pairs, *Mathematical Lectures*. Irvine: University of California at Irvine 1977
11. Stachó, L.L.: A projection principle concerning biholomorphic automorphisms. *Acta Sci. Math.* **44**, 99-124 (1982)
12. Vigué, J.P.: Un lemme de Schwarz pour les boules-unités ouvertes. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **40**, 117-128 (1997)
13. Yan, Z.: A norm-preserving  $H^\infty$  extension problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **121**, 1049-1056 (1994)