

ON SOME CONTINUITY PROPERTIES OF DERIVATIONS AND HOLOMORPHIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF JB*-TRIPLES

José M. ISIDRO¹

To honour *her* memory
Salamanca, August 27, 1990

0. Introduction and preliminaries

Some twenty years ago, W. Kaup [10], [11], introduced a "ternary-type" structure known as JB*-triple systems. This structure turned out to be the natural algebraic-metric setting for the study of bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces, and has been intensively studied for the last ten years (see [9] for a survey). To some extent, JB*-triples behave as C*-algebras or as JB*-algebras, of which they are a generalization. In particular, in dual JB*-triples (called JBW*-triples), besides the norm topology, one can consider the weak*, the strong*, the Mackey and the weak topologies (denoted by n , w^* , s^* , τ^* and w , respectively). Automatic continuity properties of the triple product (and of derivations) with respect to the topologies n and s^* have been recently investigated by Barton-Friedman in [2] and Rodríguez Palacios in [12]. A thorough discussion of the continuity properties of the triple product with respect to the topology w^* has been made in [16]. In particular, this latter study has given a purely W*-algebra structure characterization of compact operators in complex Hilbert spaces [16, prop. 4.2].

However, the weak topology on a JB*-triple seems to have never been considered in this context. It is the purpose of this note to make a study of the weak-weak continuity properties of both triple product, derivations, and holomorphic automorphisms of a non necessarily dual JB*-triple E . To be precise, if τ is one of the above mentioned topologies, we prove:

1. Everywhere defined derivations of E are automatically τ - τ continuous if and only if all surjective linear isometries of E lying in the connected identity component are τ - τ continuous. The latter property holds for any of the topologies w^* , s^* , τ^* , w , n .

2. Holomorphic automorphisms of B_E are automatically τ - τ continuous if and only if the following two conditions hold: (a) For all $a \in E$, the mapping $Q_a: x \rightarrow xa^*x$ is τ - τ continuous on B_E . (b) All surjective linear isometries of E lying in the connected identity component are τ - τ continuous. These two properties hold for s^* , τ^* , and n .

3. Counterexamples to the *joint* τ - τ continuity of the triple product, and to the automatic τ - τ continuity of holomorphic automorphisms of B_E , are given for $\tau=w^*$ and $\tau=w$. In particular, we discuss the joint w - w continuity of the triple product in the classical JB*-triples $C_0(\Omega)$, $L(\mathcal{H})$ and $c_0(\mathcal{H})$, where Ω is a locally compact space, \mathcal{H} is a complex Hilbert space, and $c_0(\mathcal{H})$ is the ideal of compact operators on \mathcal{H} .

We take from [10] and [11] the notation and basic results.

¹Lecture delivered at University College, Dublin, on December 11, 1990

1. Continuity of derivations and automorphisms

We recall that a *JB*-triple* is a Banach space E with a mapping $E \times E \times E \rightarrow E$, called the *triple product* and denoted by $\{ \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \}$, such that the following conditions J_1, \dots, J_4 hold:

(J_1) $\{x, y, z\}$ is jointly continuous, linear and symmetric in the external variables x, z , and conjugate linear in the internal variable y .

For fixed a, b in E , and fixed A, B in $\mathcal{L}(E)$, the symbols $a \square b$ and $[A, B]$ represent the operators $x \rightarrow \{a, b, x\}$, x in E , and $AB - BA$, respectively. Then

(J_2) The *Jordan identity* holds: for all a, b, x, y in E ,

$$[a \square b, x \square y] = \{a, b, x\} \square y - x \square \{y, a, b\}.$$

(J_3) For x in E , $x \square x$ is a hermitian positive element of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(E)$.

(J_4) For x in E , one has $\|x \square x\| = \|x\|^2$.

Let E be a JB*-triple. *Homomorphisms* and *isomorphisms* can be introduced in the obvious manner. The set of surjective linear isometries of E , denoted by $\text{Isom}(E)$, coincides with the set of its *automorphisms*. E is said to be a *JBW*-triple* if E is a dual Banach space. In that case, it has a unique predual E_* , and we refer to $w^* =: \sigma(E, E_*)$ as the weak* topology on E . Automorphisms of a JBW*-triple are w^* - w^* continuous. The bidual E^{**} of E is a JBW*-triple whose triple product extends that of E . A *derivation* of E is a linear mapping δ defined on a (non necessarily closed) *subtriple* $\mathcal{D}(\delta)$ of E such that

$$\delta\{x, y, z\} = \{\delta x, y, z\} + \{x, \delta y, z\} + \{x, y, \delta z\} \quad (x, y, z \in \mathcal{D}(\delta)).$$

We write $\text{Der}(E)$ for the set of everywhere defined derivations of E . Any $\delta \in \text{Der}(E)$ is bounded [2, cor. 2.2]. $\text{Isom}(E)$ is an *algebraic subgroup* of the linear group $\mathcal{L}(E)$; therefore [6], it is a Banach-Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\text{Der}(E)$. We set $\text{Isom}_0(E)$ for the connected identity component in $\text{Isom}(E)$.

Any C^* -algebra, and any JB*-algebra, \mathcal{A} can be considered as a JB*-triple E with the triple product given respectively by

$$2\{x, y, z\} =: xy^*z + zy^*x \quad \{x, y, z\} =: x \circ (y^* \circ z) - y^* \circ (z \circ x) + z \circ (x \circ y^*) \quad (x, y, z \in \mathcal{A})$$

and any *-derivation of \mathcal{A} induces a derivation of the associated JB*-triple.

1.1 Definition. A linear topology τ on a JB*-triple E is said to be *admissible* if τ is coarser than the normed topology n .

1.2 Proposition. Let E be a JB*-triple, and let τ be an admissible topology. If $\delta \in \text{Der}(E)$ is a derivation, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i). The mapping δ is τ - τ continuous on E .
- (ii). The one parameter group $t \rightarrow \Delta_t =: \exp t\delta$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, consists of τ - τ continuous

automorphisms of E.

Proof: "i \Rightarrow ii" One has $[\exp t\delta](x) = \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \delta^n(x)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, $x \in E$. By assumption each map $x \rightarrow \frac{t^n}{n!} \delta^n(x)$, $x \in E$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, is τ - τ continuous on E, and the convergence of the series is uniform for $\|x\| \leq 1$ because δ is bounded. Thus, the limit mapping $x \rightarrow [\exp t\delta](x)$ is τ - τ continuous on the unit ball $\|x\| \leq 1$, hence also on E.

"ii \Rightarrow i" Since δ is bounded, one has [13, th. 13.36]

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left\| \delta x - \frac{1}{t} (\Delta_t - \text{Id})x \right\| = 0 \quad (x \in E) \quad (1)$$

uniformly for $\|x\| \leq 1$. As τ is admissible, i.e. $\tau \leq n$, (1) entails

$$\tau \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left[\delta x - \frac{1}{t} (\Delta_t - \text{Id})x \right] = 0 \quad (x \in E) \quad (2)$$

uniformly for $\|x\| \leq 1$. As each transformation $\frac{1}{t} (\Delta_t - \text{Id})$, $0 \neq t \in \mathbf{R}$, is τ - τ continuous on E, its uniform τ -limit (which is δ), is τ - τ continuous on $\|x\| \leq 1$ and also on E.

1.3 Corollary. For a JB*-triple E and an admissible topology τ , the following statements are equivalent:

(i). Each derivation $\delta \in \text{Der}(E)$ is τ - τ continuous

(ii). Each surjective linear isometry of E lying in $\text{Isom}_0(E)$ is τ - τ continuous.

Proof: Since $\text{Der}(E)$ is the Lie algebra of $\text{Isom}(E)$, there are a neighbourhood \mathcal{N} of 0 in $\text{Der}(E)$, and a neighbourhood \mathcal{M} of Id in $\text{Isom}_0(E)$, such that $\exp: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a homeomorphism. Assume (i) holds. By proposition 1.2, $\mathcal{M} = \exp(\mathcal{N})$ consists of τ - τ continuous automorphisms of E. Thus, (ii) follows from the fact that the connected component $\text{Isom}_0(E)$ is generated by any neighbourhood of the identity. The converse is a consequence of 1.2.

To give examples, we recall the definition of some admissible topologies on E. An element $0 \neq u \in E$ is said to be *tripotent* if $\{u, u, u\} = u$. Each tripotent u produces a topologically direct sum decomposition

$$E = E_0(u) \oplus E_{1/2}(u) \oplus E_1(u)$$

where $E_k(u) = \{x \in E; u \square u(x) = kx\}$, $k \in \{0, 1/2, 1\}$, is the k-eigenspace of $u \square u \in \mathcal{L}(E)$.

Here, $E_1(u)$ is a JB*-algebra in the product and involution given by

$$x \circ y = \{x, u, y\} \quad x^\# = \{u, x, u\}.$$

Let E be a JBW*-triple, and let $\phi \in E_*$ be a weak* continuous functional. Then there is a tripotent $u \in E$, called the *support* of ϕ , uniquely determined by the fact that $\phi|_{E_1(u)}$ is a faithful positive functional on the JB*-algebra $E_1(u)$ and $\phi(u) = \|\phi\| = 1$. Under those conditions [1, prop. 1.2]

$$\|x\|_\phi^2 =: \phi\{x, x, u\} \quad (x \in E)$$

is the square of a seminorm, and the *strong* topology* on E , denoted by $s^*(E, E_*)$, is defined by the set $\{\|\cdot\|_\phi; \phi \in E_*\}$. If $w = \sigma(E, E^*)$ and $\tau^* = \tau(E, E_*)$ are the weak, and the Mackey topology associated to the duality $\langle E, E_* \rangle$, then by [2, th. 3.2], one has the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \leq s^*(E, E_*) \leq & \tau^*(E, E_*) \leq & \\ & \swarrow & & & \searrow \\ \sigma(E, E_*) \leq & & & & \leq n \\ & \searrow & & & \swarrow \\ & & \leq \sigma(E, E^*) \leq & & \end{array}$$

1.4 Corollary. Let E be a JBW*-triple, and denote by τ be any of the topologies w^* , s^* , τ^* , w , n . Then

- (i). Each surjective automorphism Ψ of E is τ - τ continuous
- (ii). Each derivation $\delta \in \text{Der}(E)$ is τ - τ continuous.

Proof: For $\tau \neq s^*$, (respectively, $\tau = s^*$), the definition of τ involves only the Banach space (respectively, the JB*-triple) structure of E . Surjective automorphisms of E are isometric, hence they preserve both the Banach space and the JB*-triple structure of E . Thus, surjective automorphisms of E are τ - τ homeomorphisms for any topology $\tau \in \{w^*, s^*, \tau^*, w, n\}$, and (ii) follows from (i) by proposition 1.2.

The following result, which is more or less known, is now recovered in a unified manner.

1.5 Corollary. If \mathcal{A} is a W^* -algebra or a JBW*-algebra, and δ is a $*$ -algebra derivation with $\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{A}$, then δ is τ - τ continuous for any $\tau \in \{w^*, s^*, \tau^*, w, n\}$.

Proof: We shall distinguish between the W^* -algebra, or the JBW*-algebra, \mathcal{A} and its associated JB*-triple, denoted by \mathcal{A}^\wedge . For $\tau \neq s^*$ there is no distinction between the τ -topology on \mathcal{A} and the τ -topology on \mathcal{A}^\wedge as remarked before, and by [12, prop. 3] this is also true for $\tau = s^*$. Each $*$ -algebra derivation with $\mathcal{D}(\delta) = \mathcal{A}$ induces a triple derivation of \mathcal{A}^\wedge , and the result follows from corollary 1.4.

2. Continuity of holomorphic automorphisms

Let E and B_E be an arbitrary JB*-triple and its unit open ball. We recall that a *holomorphic automorphism* of B_E is a bijection Φ of B_E onto itself such that both Φ and Φ^{-1} are holomorphic mappings. The set $\text{Aut}(B_E) = \{\Phi: B_E \rightarrow B_E; \Phi \text{ is an automorphism}\}$ is a topological group with the usual law of composition and the topology of uniform convergence on B_E [17, th.4.3].

A holomorphic vector field $X: x \rightarrow X(x)$, $x \in B_E$, is said to be *complete in B_E* if, for each $x \in B_E$, the maximal solution of the initial value problem

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(t, x) = X[f(t, x)] \quad f(0, x) = x \quad (1)$$

is valid on the whole real line \mathbf{R} . We set $\text{aut}(B_E) = \{X: B_E \rightarrow E; X \text{ complete in } B_E\}$. The solution of (1) is denoted by

$$f(t, x) = [\exp tX](x) \quad (x \in B_E, t \in \mathbf{R}).$$

For $X \in \text{aut}(B_E)$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$, the mapping $f(t, \cdot): x \rightarrow f(t, x)$ satisfies $f(t, \cdot) \in \text{Aut}(B_E)$ and $t \rightarrow f(t, \cdot)$ is a continuous one-parameter subgroup of $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ whose infinitesimal generator is

$$X(x) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 f(t, x) \quad (x \in B_E).$$

For $a \in E$, we let $a \cdot a^*$ denote the vector field $x \rightarrow a \cdot \{x, a, x\}$, $x \in E$. By [10] and [11], $\text{Aut}(B_E)$ is a Banach-Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\text{aut}(B_E)$, and $\{a \cdot a^*; a \in E\} \subset \text{aut}(B_E)$. As usually, we write $\text{Aut}_0(B_E)$ for the connected identity component of this group. The following result is taken from [16, lem. 2.3]

2.1 Proposition. If E is a JB*-triple and $a \in E$ satisfies $4\|a\| < \pi$, then the mapping $f(t, x) = [\exp t(a \cdot a^*)](x)$ is the uniform norm-limit on \bar{B}_E of the series $\sum_0^\infty t^n a_n(x)$ where

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(x) &= x, & a_1(x) &= a \cdot \{x, a, x\}, \\ a_{n+1} &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j+k=n} \{a_j(x), a, a_k(x)\}, \quad (n \geq 1), \end{aligned} \quad (2).$$

Proof: The scalar power series $\alpha(t) = \sum_0^\infty \alpha_n t^n$ with coefficients $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = 2\|a\|$ and

$$\alpha_{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j+k=n} \alpha_j \|a\| \alpha_k \quad (n \geq 1)$$

dominates $z_x(t) = \sum_0^\infty t^n a_n(x)$. But $\alpha(t)$ satisfies $\frac{d}{dt} \alpha(t) = \|a\| + \|a\| \alpha^2(t)$, $\alpha(0) = 1$, i.e.,

$\alpha(t) = \text{tg}(\frac{\pi}{4} + t\|a\|)$. By Cauchy's dominated convergence criterion, $z_x(t)$ is uniformly

convergent and satisfies $\frac{d}{dt} z_x(t) = a \cdot \{z_x(t), a, z_x(t)\}$, $z_x(0) = x$, i.e., $z_x(t) = f(t, x)$.

2.2 Corollary. Let a be an element of a JB*-triple E , and let τ be an admissible topology on E . If the mapping Q_a is τ - τ continuous on B_E , then for each $t \in \mathbf{R}$, the holomorphic automorphism $\Phi_t(x) = [\exp t(a \cdot a^*)](x)$ is τ - τ continuous on B_E . ζ

Proof: Constant maps and the identity are τ - τ continuous, and an induction argument shows that the coefficients a_{n+1} , $n \in \mathbf{N}$, in (2) are τ - τ continuous on B_E . By proposition 2.1, for small values of t one has

$$\Phi_t(x) = \sum_0^\infty t^n a_n(x)$$

where the series is norm-convergent, hence also τ -convergent, uniformly for $\|x\| \leq 1$. Since the terms are τ - τ continuous functions, so is its uniform limit.

2.3 Theorem. Let E be a JB*-triple, and let τ denote an admissible topology on E .

Then the following statements are equivalent:

(i). All holomorphic automorphisms $\Phi \in \text{Aut}_0 B_E$ are τ - τ continuous on B_E .

(ii). These two conditions hold: (a) All surjective linear isometries $L \in \text{Isom}_0(E)$ are τ - τ continuous. (b): For all $a \in E$, the mapping Q_a is τ - τ continuous on B_E .

Proof: "i \Rightarrow ii". Since $\text{aut}(B_E)$ is the Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(B_E)$, there are a neighbourhood \mathcal{N} of 0 in $\text{aut}(B_E)$ and a neighbourhood \mathcal{M} of Id in $\text{Aut}_0(B_E)$ such that $\exp: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a homeomorphism. Suppose i) holds. Then clearly condition (a) also holds. Let $a \in E$ be small enough to have $a \in \mathcal{N}$. Then $\Phi_t(x) = [\exp t(a-a^*)](x)$, $x \in B_E$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, is a one parameter group which, by assumption, consists of τ - τ continuous transformations. By proposition 2.1, for small values of t (say $|t| \leq T$), one has

$$\frac{1}{t} [\Phi_t(x) - \text{Id}(x)] - a_1(x) = \sum_2^{\infty} \frac{t^{n-1}}{2} a_n(x) \quad (x \in B_E)$$

hence,

$$\| \frac{1}{t} [\Phi_t(x) - \text{Id}(x)] - a_1(x) \| \leq |t| \cdot \sum_2^{\infty} \| a_n(x) \| \cdot |t|^{n-2} \leq |t| \cdot \sum_2^{\infty} \| a_n(x) \| T^{n-2} \leq |t| \cdot K$$

where K does not depend on $x \in B_E$. Since each transformation $\frac{1}{t} [\Phi_t - \Phi_0]$, $t \neq 0$, is τ - τ continuous, so is its uniform limit $x \rightarrow a_1(x) = a - \{x, a, x\}$ on B_E , and condition (b) holds for all $a \in \mathcal{N}$ hence for all $a \in E$.

"ii \Rightarrow i". Let $\Phi \in \text{Aut}_0(B_E)$ be given. By [17, th.4.3], one has $\Phi = L \circ M$ where L is a surjective isometry of E with $L \in \text{Isom}_0(E)$ and $M = [\exp(a-a^*)]$ for some $a \in E$. By assumption, L is τ - τ continuous and, by corollary 2.2, so is M , whence the result follows.

2.4 Corollary. If E is a JBW*-triple and τ is any of the topologies s^* , τ^* , then any holomorphic automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(B_E)$ is τ - τ continuous on B_E .

Proof: By theorem 2.3 and corollary 1.4, it suffices to show that, for all $a \in E$, the mapping Q_a is τ - τ continuous on B_E , which is a consequence of [12, th. and note added in proof].

The continuity properties of $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(B_E)$ with respect to $\tau=w^*$ or $\tau=w$ are completely different from what precedes, as shown in the following section.

3. Weak continuity of holomorphic automorphisms in spin factors

We recall that a *spin factor* is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with a conjugation $\bar{\cdot}$, endowed with the triple product and the norm

$$\{a, b, x\} = (a, b)x + (x, b)a - (a, \bar{x})\bar{b} \quad \| \| x \| \|^2 = \|x\|^2 + (\|x\|^2 - |(x, \bar{x})|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The norm in a JB*-triple is uniquely determined by the triple product, and it is essential

to note that $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_*$ are equivalent though they do not coincide [5, th.7.3]. If $E=(\mathcal{H}, \{.,.\}, \|\cdot\|_*)$ is a spin factor, one has $E_* = E^* = \mathcal{H}$ as vector spaces, and so there is no distinction between the weak* and the weak topologies on E . The equalities $s^*(E, E_*) = \tau^*(E, E_*) = n$ also hold in this case. The conjugation on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} underlying to E is a surjective \mathbf{R} -linear isometry, hence in order to study the w^* - w^* continuity of Q_a we may assume that $a = \bar{a}$. Fix any non null $a = \bar{a} \in \mathcal{H}$. Then the orthogonal complement of $\mathbf{C}a$ in \mathcal{H} is a selfconjugate space, and due to $\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty$, one can choose an orthogonal sequence $\{x_n, n \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ with

$$\|x_n\| = 1, \quad x_n = \bar{x}_n, \quad x_n \perp a, \quad (n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Since the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_*$ are equivalent on E , $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a bounded w^* -null sequence. If Q_a is w^* - w^* continuous, then $\{x_n, a, x_n\} = -a$, $(n \in \mathbf{N})$, is also w^* -null, and so $a=0$. We have proved [16, prop. 4.3]:

3.1 Proposition. Let E be any spin factor with $\dim E = \infty$. Then the triple product of E is *not jointly continuous* with respect to the weak* (or the weak) topology on E . The only weak*-weak* (or weak-weak) continuous holomorphic automorphisms of B_E are surjective isometries.

Note that, by [3, th. 2.1], the triple product is *separately* weak*-weak* continuous on E since any spin factor is a JBW*-triple.

4 Weak continuity of holomorphic automorphisms in the spaces $C_0(\Omega)$

In this section, we establish that the multiplication $(f, g) \rightarrow f \cdot g$ is jointly weak-weak continuous on bounded subsets of $C_0(\Omega)$. We apply this result to prove that all holomorphic automorphisms of the unit ball $B_{C_0(\Omega)}$ are weak-weak continuous. Here, Ω is a locally compact σ -compact Hausdorff space, and $C_0(\Omega)$ is the Banach algebra of continuous complex valued functions on Ω that vanish at infinity, with the norm of the supremum. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of Ω , and by $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ the space of complex valued Borel measures on Ω . If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ and $S \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, $|\mu|(S)$ denotes the variation of μ on S . Then $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|\mu\| = |\mu|(\Omega)$ is a Banach space which is isometrically isomorphic to the dual $C_0(\Omega)^*$ of $C_0(\Omega)$ in the representation $\mu \rightarrow \langle \mu, \cdot \rangle$, where $\langle \mu, f \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(\omega) d\mu(\omega)$ for $f \in C_0(\Omega)$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$. The space of measures is also a module over the ring $C_0(\Omega)$ in the product

$$g\mu(S) =: \int_S g(\omega) d\mu(\omega) \quad (g \in C_0(\Omega), \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega), S \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)).$$

4.1 Theorem. If Ω is a locally compact σ -compact Hausdorff space, then the multiplication $(f, g) \rightarrow f \cdot g$ is jointly weak-weak continuous on bounded subsets of $C_0(\Omega)$.

Proof: Since only bounded subsets of $C_0(\Omega)$ are involved, we can restrict our consi-

derations to the unit ball $B_{C_0(\Omega)}$. We have to show that, if $(u_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(v_i)_{i \in I}$ are nets in $B_{C_0(\Omega)}$ weakly convergent to u and v respectively, $(u, v \in B_{C_0(\Omega)})$, then $(u_i \cdot v_i)_{i \in I}$ is weakly convergent to $u \cdot v$, that is, $(u_i \cdot v_i - u \cdot v)_{i \in I}$ is a weakly null net. Due to the identity

$$u_i \cdot v_i - u \cdot v = (u_i - u) \cdot (v_i - v) + (u_i - u) \cdot v + u \cdot (v_i - v),$$

it suffices to prove that the three nets $(u_i - u) \cdot v$, $u \cdot (v_i - v)$, and $(u_i - u) \cdot (v_i - v)$, $i \in I$, are weakly null. We divide the proof into two steps.

Step 1. Since $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is a module over the ring $C_0(\Omega)$, we have $\alpha =: v \cdot \mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$, and as $(u_i - u)_{i \in I}$ is weakly null,

$$\lim_{i \in I} \langle \mu, (u_i - u) \cdot v \rangle = \lim_{i \in I} \int_{\Omega} (u_i - u) \cdot v \, d\mu = \int_{\Omega} (u_i - u) \, d\alpha = \lim_{i \in I} \langle \alpha, u_i - u \rangle = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{i \in I} \langle \mu, u \cdot (v_i - v) \rangle = 0.$$

Step 2. Let us write $f_i =: u_i - u$ and $g_i =: v_i - v$, $i \in I$, and assume that $(f_i \cdot g_i)_{i \in I}$ is not weakly null. Then there exists a $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ such that the net of complex numbers $(\langle \mu, f_i \cdot g_i \rangle)_{i \in I}$ is not convergent to zero. Hence there exists a number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and there exists a sequence of indices $(i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset I$ such that (by writing f_n and g_n instead of f_{i_n} , g_{i_n})

$$|\langle \mu, f_n \cdot g_n \rangle| \geq \varepsilon_0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (1).$$

As Ω is σ -compact, there is a sequence $(K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of compact subsets of Ω such that $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\bigcup_n K_n = \Omega$. In particular, there is a compact set $L \subset \Omega$ such that

$$|\mu|(\Omega \setminus L) \leq \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_0 \quad (2).$$

To each point $\omega \in L$, we associate the Dirac measure on ω , $\delta_{\omega} \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega)$; since the subnet $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (f_i)_{i \in I}$ is weakly null,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \delta_{\omega}, f_n \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\omega) = 0 \quad (\omega \in L) \quad (3).$$

As $|\mu|(L) < \infty$, the Egoroff theorem applies [7, th. 11.32]; hence there exists a partition A , B of L such that

$$|\mu|(B) \leq \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\omega) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly for } \omega \in A. \quad (4).$$

In particular, there exists an index $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (note that the case $|\mu|(A) = 0$ may be disregarded)

$$\sup_{\omega \in A} |f_n(\omega)| \leq \frac{1}{6} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|\mu|(A)}, \quad (n \geq n_0) \quad (5).$$

Since $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ is contained in $B_{C_0(\Omega)}$, $\|g_n\| \leq 1$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and from (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_A f_n \cdot g_n \, d\mu \right| &\leq \int_A |f_n| |g_n| \, d|\mu| \leq \|g_n\| \int_A |f_n| \, d|\mu| \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{\omega \in A} |f_n(\omega)| \right) \cdot |\mu|(A) \leq \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_0 \quad (n \geq n_0) \end{aligned} \quad (6).$$

From $|\mu|(B) \leq \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_0$ and the boundedness of $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

$$\left| \int_B f_n \cdot g_n d\mu \right| \leq \int_B |f_n| |g_n| d|\mu| \leq \|f_n\| \cdot \|g_n\| \cdot |\mu|(B) \leq \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_0 \quad (7).$$

From (2) by a similar argument

$$\left| \int_{\Omega-L} f_n \cdot g_n d\mu \right| \leq \int_{\Omega-L} |f_n| |g_n| d|\mu| \leq \|f_n\| \cdot \|g_n\| \cdot |\mu|(\Omega-L) \leq \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_0 \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (8).$$

Finally, by (6), (7) and (8), we have for $n \geq n_0$

$$|\langle \mu, f_n \cdot g_n \rangle| = \left| \int_{\Omega} f_n \cdot g_n d\mu \right| \leq \left| \int_A \right| + \left| \int_B \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega-L} \right| \leq 3 \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \quad (n \geq n_0)$$

which contradicts (1) and completes the proof.

4.2 Corollary. If \mathcal{A} is a commutative unital complex C^* -algebra, then the multiplication $(x, y) \rightarrow x \cdot y$ is jointly weak-weak continuous on bounded subsets of \mathcal{A} .

Proof: Use Gelfand's representation and theorem 4.1.

4.3 Corollary. If Ω is a locally compact σ -compact space, then all holomorphic automorphisms $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(B_{C_0(\Omega)})$ are weak-weak continuous in $B_{C_0(\Omega)}$.

Proof: By theorem 4.1, the triple product of $C_0(\Omega)$ is jointly weak-weak continuous on bounded sets. Surjective linear isometries of any Banach space are weak-weak continuous; thus the result follows by theorem 2.3.

4.4 Example. If \mathcal{A} is the classical algebra l_∞ of all bounded complex valued sequences, and we apply corollaries 4.2 and 4.3, we get:

All holomorphic automorphisms $\Phi \in \text{Aut}(B_{l_\infty})$ of B_{l_∞} are weak-weak continuous.

5. Weak continuity properties of the triple product in the algebra $c_0(\mathcal{H})$

We would like to prove an analogous to theorem 4.1 for non abelian C^* -algebras, i.e., essentially for the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ of bounded linear operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Unfortunately, no representation of the dual $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^*$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is known. Thus, we consider the algebra $c_0(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators in \mathcal{H} , whose dual space is well known.

We recall [14, § 1.15] that $a \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a *trace operator* if there is an orthonormal basis $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ of \mathcal{H} such that $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \|a\xi_\alpha\| < \infty$. In that case, the sum $\|a\|_1 =: \sum_{\alpha \in A} \|a\xi_\alpha\|$ does not depend on the basis $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ we consider in \mathcal{H} . We write $l_1(\mathcal{H})$ for the set of all trace operators on \mathcal{H} . Since for $a \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$, the sum $\sum_{\alpha \in A} \|a\xi_\alpha\|$ is finite, the family $\{\alpha \in A; a(\xi_k) \neq 0\}$ is countable and we order it into a sequence $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$; then any extension of $(\xi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} is said to be *associated* to a . For $a \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$, the series $\text{trace}(a) =: \sum_{\alpha \in A} (a\xi_\alpha | \xi_\alpha)$ has a well defined sum which does not depend on the basis $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ and is called the *trace* of a . Also $(l_1(\mathcal{H}), \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a

Banach space and a two-sided ideal over the ring $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Thus, for $x \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$ and $a \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$, the series

$$\langle a, x \rangle =: \text{trace}(x \cdot a) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (x a \xi_\alpha | \xi_\alpha)$$

is well defined, and $\langle a, \cdot \rangle$ is a continuous linear form on $c_0(\mathcal{H})$. Finally, the mapping $a \rightarrow \langle a, \cdot \rangle$ is an isometric isomorphism of $(l_1(\mathcal{H}), \|\cdot\|_1)$ onto the dual $c_0(\mathcal{H})^*$ of $c_0(\mathcal{H})$ and $l_1(\mathcal{H})$ is a module over the ring $c_0(\mathcal{H})$. We shall need the following lemmas.

5.1 Lemma. If $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset c_0(\mathcal{H})$ be a weakly null net, then:

(i). For any pair of vectors $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$, one has $\lim_{i \in I} (x_i \xi | \eta) = 0$.

(ii). For any $a \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$, the nets $(a \cdot x_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(x_i \cdot a)_{i \in I}$ are weakly null.

Proof: (i). Clearly $a =: (\cdot | \xi) \xi \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$, and we may assume $\|\xi\|=1$. Let $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ extend the singleton $\{\xi\}$ to an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} ; since $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is weakly null,

$$0 = \lim_{i \in I} \langle a, x_i \rangle = \lim_{i \in I} \text{trace}(x_i \cdot a) = \lim_{i \in I} \sum_{\alpha \in A} (x_i \cdot a \xi_\alpha | \xi_\alpha) = \lim_{i \in I} (x_i \xi | \xi).$$

By polarizing we get $\lim_{i \in I} (x_i \xi | \eta) = 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$

(ii). Let $b \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$. Since $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is weakly null and $l_1(\mathcal{H})$ is an ideal over $c_0(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\lim_{i \in I} \langle b, x_i \cdot a \rangle = \lim_{i \in I} \text{trace}[(x_i \cdot a) \cdot b] = \lim_{i \in I} \text{trace}[(x_i \cdot (a \cdot b))] = 0$$

which shows that $(x_i \cdot a)_{i \in I}$ is weakly null. The other half follows from $\text{trace}(x \cdot y) = \text{trace}(y \cdot x)$ for $x, y \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$.

5.2 Lemma. Let $b \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$, and $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a basis associated to b . If $A \subset c_0(\mathcal{H})$ is a bounded subset, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an index $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} (a b \xi_k | \xi_k) \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad (a \in A).$$

Proof: We have $a \cdot b \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$ for all $a \in A$. From the boundedness of A , if $M =: \sup_{a \in A} \|a\|$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |(a \cdot b \xi_k | \xi_k)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a\| \|b \xi_k\| \leq M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|b \xi_k\| \quad (a \in A).$$

Since $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|b \xi_k\|$ is finite, we can choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \|b \xi_k\| \leq \frac{1}{M} \varepsilon$.

If E is an arbitrary JB*-triple and τ is an admissible topology on E , then we write $\text{Cont}_\tau(E)$ for the set of $a \in E$ such that Q_a is τ - τ continuous on B_E . One can prove, as in [16, lem. 2.2], that

5.3 Lemma. If E is an arbitrary JB*-triple, then $\text{Cont}_\tau(E)$ is a norm closed subtriple of E ; actually it is a quadratic ideal, i.e.,

$$\{\text{Cont}_\tau(E), E, \text{Cont}_\tau(E)\} \subset \text{Cont}_\tau(E).$$

5.4 Theorem. Each mapping $Q_a, a \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$, is weak-weak continuous on bounded subsets.

Proof: We restrict our considerations to the unit ball $B_{c_0(\mathcal{H})}$ of $c_0(\mathcal{H})$. By the identity

$$\{x_i, a, x_i\} - \{x, a, x\} = \{(x_i - x), a, (x_i - x)\} + \{x, a, (x_i - x)\}$$

where $x_i, x, a \in B_{c_0(\mathcal{H})}$, $i \in I$, it suffices to prove the statements (i) and (ii) below:

(i). If $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset B_{c_0(\mathcal{H})}$ is a weakly null net and $u, v \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$, then $(\{u, v, x_i\})_{i \in I}$ is weakly null.

(ii). If $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset B_{c_0(\mathcal{H})}$ is a weakly null net, then $(\{x_i, a, x_i\})_{i \in I}$ is weakly null.

Proof: (i). One has $2\{u, v, x_i\} = uv^*x_i + x_iv^*u$, $i \in I$, and the statement is an immediate consequence of lemma 5.1.

(ii). We shall prove the statement in the special case in which a can be written in the form $a = (\cdot | \xi)\eta$ for some $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$. As a consequence, (ii) holds for all finite rank operators $a \in FR(\mathcal{H})$. The result follows then by the norm density of $FR(\mathcal{H})$ in $c_0(\mathcal{H})$ and lemma 5.3. Thus, let $a = (\cdot | \xi)\eta$. We have to show that, for each $b \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$, one has

$$\lim_{i \in I} \langle b, \{x_i, a, x_i\} \rangle = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\lim_{i \in I} \text{trace} (\{x_i, a, x_i\} b) = 0 \quad (1).$$

If $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ is a basis associated to b , the latter is equivalent to

$$\lim_{i \in I} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\{x_i, a, x_i\} b \xi_k | \xi_k) = 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is bounded, so is $(\{x_i, a, x_i\})_{i \in I}$, whence one can apply lemma 5.2. Let us fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ in such a way that

$$\left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} (y_i b \xi_k | \xi_k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \quad (i \in I) \quad (2)$$

where $y_i = \{x_i, a, x_i\}$ for $i \in I$. From the expression $a = (\cdot | \xi)\eta$, it follows that

$$\{x_i, a, x_i\} b = (\cdot | x_i^* \xi) b x_i \eta \quad (i \in I).$$

Hence, to each fixed pair $\phi, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$(\{x_i, a, x_i\} b \phi | \varphi) = (x_i \phi | \xi) (b x_i \eta | \varphi), \quad (i \in I).$$

As $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is weakly null, by lemma 5.1 the latter shows that $\lim_{i \in I} (\{x_i, a, x_i\} b \phi | \varphi) = 0$. Thus, in particular, for $\phi = \varphi = \xi_k$,

$$\lim_{i \in I} (\{x_i, a, x_i\} b \xi_k | \xi_k) = 0 \quad (1 \leq k \leq N),$$

and so, there exists an index $i_0 \in I$ such that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^N (\{x_i, a, x_i\} b \xi_k | \xi_k) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \quad (i \geq i_0) \quad (3).$$

Finally, from (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{trace} (\{x_i, a, x_i\} b)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\{x_i, a, x_i\} b \xi_k | \xi_k) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \right| + \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon = \varepsilon \quad (i \geq i_0) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

5.5 Corollary. All holomorphic automorphisms $\Phi \in \text{Aut}_0(B_{C_0(\mathcal{H})})$ are weak-weak continuous in $B_{C_0(\mathcal{H})}$.

Proof: It follows immediately from theorems 5.4 and 2.3.

6. Weak continuity properties of the triple product in the space $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$

In this section, we investigate the set $\text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$ of the operators $a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ for which Q_a is weak-weak continuous in $B_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})}$. We recall [8, th. 4.2] that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a dual JB*-triple, and that any weak*-closed ideal M in a JBW*-triple E has an orthogonal complement M^\perp which is an ideal,

$$E = M \oplus M^\perp \quad M \square M^\perp = M^\perp \square M = \{0\}.$$

The canonical factor projection $\pi_M : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow M$ is a JB*-homomorphism, hence continuous. If $(\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H} , then we write

$$a_{\alpha\beta} = (\cdot | \xi_\alpha) \xi_\beta \quad (\alpha, \beta \in A).$$

Any operator $a \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ can be represented uniquely in the form

$$a = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in A} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} (\cdot | \xi_\alpha) \xi_\beta$$

for some bounded family of scalars $(\lambda_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha\beta \in A} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Here, the series is to be understood in the weak-operator topology of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

6.1 Lemma. Let $a \in \text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$, and let M be a weak*-closed ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $b =: \pi_M(a) \in \text{Cont}_w(M)$.

Proof: Let $(x_i)_{i \in I} \subset M$ be a bounded weakly null net in M . We have to show that

$$\lim_{i \in I} \langle \mu, \{x_i, b, x_i\} \rangle = 0$$

whenever $\mu \in M^*$. By the preceding remarks, we have

$$\{x_i, b, x_i\} = \{x_i, \pi_M a, x_i\} = \{\pi_M x_i, \pi_M a, \pi_M x_i\} = \pi_M \{x_i, a, x_i\}.$$

But $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ is also a bounded weakly null net in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, and clearly $\mu \circ \pi_M \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^*$; thus, by the assumption $a \in \text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$,

$$\lim_{i \in I} \langle \mu, \{x_i, b, x_i\} \rangle = \lim_{i \in I} \langle \mu, \pi_M \{x_i, a, x_i\} \rangle = \lim_{i \in I} \langle \mu \circ \pi_M, \{x_i, a, x_i\} \rangle = 0$$

as we wanted to show.

6.2 Proposition. We have $c_0(\mathcal{H}) \subset \text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$.

Proof: By lemma 5.3, $\text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$ is a norm closed quadratic ideal of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$; hence it suffices to prove that, whenever $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $a =: (\cdot | \xi) \xi \in \text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$. Let $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ be a bounded weakly null net in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, and let $\mu \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})^*$ be given. We have to prove that

$$\lim_{i \in I} \langle \mu, \{x_i, a, x_i\} \rangle = 0.$$

By Dixmier's theorem [15, §IV.3, th.5], μ admits a unique representation of the form

$$\mu = \phi + \varphi \quad \phi \in l_1(\mathcal{H}), \quad \varphi \in c_0(\mathcal{H})^\perp$$

i. e., ϕ can be identified to a trace operator $b \in l_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $c_0(\mathcal{H}) \subset \ker(\varphi)$. From $a \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$ we get $\{x_i, a, x_i\} \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$, $i \in I$, and so

$$\langle \mu, \{x_i, a, x_i\} \rangle = \langle \phi + \varphi, \{x_i, a, x_i\} \rangle = \langle \phi, \{x_i, a, x_i\} \rangle = \text{trace}(\{x_i, a, x_i\} \cdot b)$$

whence we can draw $\lim_{i \in I} \langle \mu, \{x_i, a, x_i\} \rangle = 0$ as we did in the proof of theorem 5.4.

6.3 Proposition. Let $a \in \text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$ admit a representation

$$a = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in A} \lambda_{\alpha\beta} (\cdot | \xi_\alpha) \xi_\beta \quad (1)$$

(weak operator convergence) for some bounded family $(\lambda_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in A} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and minimal pairwise orthogonal tripotents $(a_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in A}$. Then $a \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof: By the pairwise orthogonality of $(a_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in A}$, the net of partial sums in (1) is norm bounded in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. The weak-operator topology agrees with the weak* topology on bounded sets, hence we may assume that (1) is w*-convergent to a . We can suppose that there is an infinity of coefficients $\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ (otherwise, we would obviously have $a \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$). Since $(\lambda_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in A}$ is bounded, it has at least a cluster point, and we claim that $\lambda=0$ is its only cluster point. Indeed, let λ be a limit point of $(\lambda_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in A}$, and choose a sequence $(\lambda_{nm})_{n, m \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (\lambda_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in A}$ such that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{nm} = \lambda$. Let M denote the weak* closed ideal generated by $\{(\cdot | \xi_n) \xi_m; n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Clearly the sequence $\{(\cdot | \xi_n) \xi_m; n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is weak* summable in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, and the projection $b = \pi_M a$ of a onto M is given by

$$b = w^* \sum_{n, m} \lambda_{nm} (\cdot | \xi_n) \xi_m \quad (2)$$

We define a sequence $(x_{rs})_{r, s \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ by

$$x_{rs} = (\cdot | \xi_r) \xi_1 + (\cdot | \xi_1) \xi_s = a_{r1} + a_{1s} \quad (r, s \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Clearly $(x_{rs})_{r, s \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and weakly null

$$(x_{rs})_{r, s \in \mathbb{N}} \subset 2B_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \quad w \lim_{r, s \rightarrow \infty} x_{rs} = 0.$$

Since the triple product in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is separately weak* continuous, by (1) we have

$$\{x_{rs}, b, x_{rs}\} = \{x_{rs}, \sum_{n, m} \lambda_{nm} a_{nm}, x_{rs}\} = w^* \sum_{n, m} \bar{\lambda}_{nm} \{x_{rs}, a_{nm}, x_{rs}\} \quad (r, s \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The only non-zero summands above are

$$\lambda_{nm} \{x_{rs}, a_{nm}, x_{rs}\} = \lambda_{r1} a_{r1} + (\lambda_{rs} a_{11} + \lambda_{11} a_{rs}) + \lambda_{1s} a_{1s} \quad (3).$$

By assumption $a \in \text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$, hence by lemma 6.1, $b \in \text{Cont}_w(M)$, and as $(x_{rs})_{r, s \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded and weakly null in M ,

$$\lim_{r, s \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu, \{x_{rs}, b, x_{rs}\} \rangle = 0 \quad (4)$$

whenever $\mu \in M^*$. If μ is the functional associated to the trace operator $c = (\cdot | \xi_1) \xi_1$, we have (for $r, s > 1$), $a_{rs} \cdot c = a_{r1} \cdot c = 0$ and $a_{1s} \cdot c = a_{1s}$, $a_{11} \cdot c = a_{11}$. Thus, by (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu, \{x_{rs}, b, x_{rs}\} \rangle &= \text{trace}[\{x_{rs}, b, x_{rs}\}.c] = \\ &= \text{trace}(\bar{\lambda}_{1s}a_{1s} + \bar{\lambda}_{rs}a_{11}).c = \bar{\lambda}_{rs} \end{aligned}$$

and so, by (4)

$$0 = \lim_{r,s \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu, \{x_{rs}, b, x_{rs}\} \rangle = \bar{\lambda}_{rs}$$

Since $(\lambda_{nm})_{nm \in \mathbb{N}}$ was convergent to λ , we have $\lambda=0$. As the origin is the only limit point of $(\lambda_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha\beta \in A}$, the set of indices $\alpha\beta \in A$ such that $\lambda_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$ is countable, and can be arranged into a decreasing sequence $|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \downarrow 0$. By the orthogonality of the tripotents $(\cdot | \xi_n)\xi_m$, $(n, m \in \mathbb{N})$, the weak* closed subtriple E they generate in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is commutative, hence isomorphic to an abelian von Neumann algebra, which in turn is isomorphic to l_∞ , and clearly

$$a = w^* \sum_{nm} \lambda_{nm} (\cdot | \xi_n)\xi_m \in E.$$

Thus, we have norm convergence and this series defines a compact operator. This completes the proof.

6.4 Corollary. One has $\text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ if and only if $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$.

Proof: The identity operator has the representation $\text{Id} = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (\cdot | \xi_\alpha)\xi_\alpha$. If we had $\text{Id} \in \text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$, then by proposition 6.3, $\text{Id} \in c_0(\mathcal{H})$ and so $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$.

6.5 Corollary. The multiplication in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is jointly weak-weak continuous if and only if $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$.

Proof: If $(x, y) \rightarrow x.y$ is jointly weak-weak continuous, then so is $x \rightarrow x^2 = \{x, 1, x\}$, $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, whence $1 \in \text{Cont}_w(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}))$, which, by corollary 6.4 gives $\dim(\mathcal{H}) < \infty$. The converse is known.

REFERENCES:

1. BARTON, T.J. & FRIEDMAN, Y., *Grothendieck's inequalities for JB*-triples*, J. London Math. Soc. **36**, (1987), 513-523.
2. BARTON, T.J. & FRIEDMAN, Y., *Bounded derivations of JB*-triples*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, (2) **41**, (1990), 255-268.
3. BARTON, T.J. & TIMONEY, R. M., *Weak* continuity of Jordan triple products and application* Math. Scand. **59**, (1986), 177-191.
4. HANCHE-OLSEN, H. & STORMER, E., *Jordan operator algebras*, Monographs and Studies in Math. , **21**, Pitmann, London 1984.
5. HARRIS, L. A., *A generalization of C*-algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **42**, (1981), 331-361.
6. HARRIS, L.A. & KAUP, W., *Linear algebraic groups in infinite dimensions*, Illinois J. Math., **21**, (1977), 666-674.
7. HEWITT, E. & STROMBERG, K., *Real and Abstract Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Math. **25**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965.

8. HORN, G. *Characterization of the predual and ideal structure of a JBW^* -triple*, Math. Scand. **61** (1987), 117-133.
9. ISIDRO, J.M., *A glimpse at the theory of Jordan Banach triple systems*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complutense Madrid, 2 número suplementario, (1989), 145-156.
10. KAUP, W. *Algebraic characterization of symmetric Banach manifolds*, Math. Ann., **228**, (1977) 39-64.
11. KAUP, W., *A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces*, Math. Z., **183**, (1983), 501-529.
12. RODRIGUEZ PALACIOS, A. *On the strong* topology of JBW^* -triple*, to appear in Quart. J. Math, Oxford.
13. RUDIN, W., *Functional Analysis*, Mc Graw Hill, New York, (1973).
14. SAKAI, S., *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Ergb. der Math., **60**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg-N. York (1971).
15. SCHATTEN, R., *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, Ergb. der Math., **27**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg-N. York (1970).
16. STACHO, L. & ISIDRO, J.M., *Algebraically compact elements in JBW^* -triple systems*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **54**, (1989), to appear.
17. VIGUE, J. P. & ISIDRO, J.M., *Sur la topologie du groupe des automorphismes analytiques d'un domaine cerclé borné*, Bull. Sci. Math., 2 série, **106**, (1982), 417-426.

José M. ISIDRO
 Departamento de Análisis Matemático,
 Facultad de Matemáticas
 15706 Santiago de Compostela,
 SPAIN.

