

Weak Continuity of holomorphic automorphisms in JB*-triples

José M. Isidro*

Wilhelm Kaup

0. Introduction

Suppose E is a complex Banach space and $D \subset E$ is the open unit ball of E . Then the linear geometry of E is completely determined by the holomorphic structure of the open unit ball D , more precisely (compare [13]): Two complex Banach spaces are isometrically isomorphic if and only if the corresponding open unit balls are biholomorphically equivalent. In the linear theory it is standard to consider besides the norm on E other topologies like the weak topology w or w^* if E has a predual. The question might come up which of the holomorphic transformations of the open unit ball D of E are also continuous in these other topologies.

In this note we study the special case of complex Banach spaces E where there are many biholomorphic automorphisms of the open unit ball, more precisely where the group $\text{Aut}(D)$ of all biholomorphic automorphisms is transitive on D . It is known [11] that these Banach spaces can be algebraically characterized by a certain "ternary-type" structure (called JB*-triple) given by a (uniquely determined) Jordan triple product $\{xyz\}$. For instance, the underlying Banach space of every C^* -algebra A is a JB*-triple and $\{xyz\} = (xy^*z + zy^*x)/2$ in this case.

In section 3 of this paper we answer the question from above in the following way (compare 3.6 and 3.7): *There is a closed linear subspace $C \subset E$ such that $g \in \text{Aut}(D)$ is weakly continuous if and only if $g(0) \in C$. C is a characteristic (triple) ideal in E and consists precisely of all $a \in E$ such that the a -squaring map $q_a: x \mapsto \{xax\}$ on E is weakly continuous on bounded subsets.* We denote this set by $\text{Cont}_w(E)$. In section 2 we compute this space for various examples. It turns out, that the elements of $\text{Cont}_w(E)$ are closely related to compact operators on Hilbert space.

Notation For every complex Banach space E denote by $\mathcal{L}(E)$ the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on E and by $\text{GL}(E)$ the subgroup of all invertible operators. $\mathcal{K}(E)$ is the subalgebra of compact operators and $\mathcal{H}(E) \subset \mathcal{L}(E)$ is the \mathbb{R} -linear subspace of hermitian operators on E . By $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ we denote the Banach space of all bounded operators $E \rightarrow F$. For every locally compact topological space S we denote by $C_0(S)$ the space of all continuous complex-valued functions on S vanishing at ∞ endowed with the sup-norm. In case S is compact we simply write $\mathcal{C}(S)$. For every topological

* Supported by DAAD grant 313, programm II (1991)

group G we denote by G^0 the connected identity component of G . Although we consider various topologies on Banach spaces the notion of boundedness always refers to the norm topology.

Acknowledgment The second author thanks the University of Santiago de Compostela for the hospitality during a one week visit in October 1990.

1. Preliminaries

We recall that a JB*-triple (compare [11]) is a complex Banach space E together with a continuous mapping (called Jordan triple product)

$$E \times E \times E \longrightarrow E \quad (x, y, z) \longmapsto \{xyz\}$$

such that for all elements in E the following conditions $J_1 - J_4$ hold, where for every $x, y \in E$ the operator $x \square y$ on E is defined by $z \mapsto \{xyz\}$:

J_1 $\{xyz\}$ is symmetric bilinear in the outer variables x, z and conjugate linear in the inner variable y ,

J_2 $\{ab\{xyz\}\} = \{\{abx\}yz\} - \{x\{bay\}z\} + \{xy\{abz\}\}$, (Jordan triple identity)

J_3 $x \square x \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is a hermitian operator with spectrum ≥ 0 ,

J_4 $\|\{xxx\}\| = \|x\|^3$.

It is known ([11] p. 523) that in this definition condition J_4 can be replaced by $\|x \square x\| = \|x\|^2$ and that

$$(1.1) \quad \|x \square y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

holds for all $x, y \in E$ (compare [6]). The simplest example of a non-trivial JB*-triple is the complex line \mathbb{C} with triple product $\{xyz\} = x\bar{y}z$. More generally, every C*-algebra A becomes a JB*-triple in the triple product $\{xyz\} = (xy^*z + zy^*x)/2$ - we denote it by A^{JT} .

A linear subspace $I \subset E$ is called a *subtriple* if $\{III\} \subset I$ and an *ideal* in E if $\{EEI\} + \{EIE\} \subset I$. For every closed ideal $I \subset E$ the quotient Banach space E/I is again a JB*-triple in the obvious triple product and the canonical projection is a homomorphism. Here by a homomorphism $h: E \rightarrow F$ of JB*-triples we understand just a linear mapping h satisfying

$$h\{xyz\} = \{(hx)(hy)(hz)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$. Every homomorphism $E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a *character* on E . By [11] p. 505 every character is continuous.

Like in the C*-algebra case those JB*-triples are of particular interest in which the points can be separated by characters. By definition the JB*-triple E is called *abelian* or *commutative* if $E \square E \subset \mathcal{L}(E)$ is a commutative set of operators. Clearly, A^{JT} is a commutative JB*-triple for every commutative C*-algebra A . On the other hand, to every JB*-triple E and every $a \in E$ there is a closed abelian subtriple of E containing a . By [11] p. 507 the abelian JB*-triples are up to isomorphism exactly the spaces

$$\mathcal{C}_0^{\mathbb{T}} := \{f \in \mathcal{C}_0(S) : f(ts) = tf(s) \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{T}\}$$

where $\mathbb{T} := \{t \in \mathbb{C} : |t| = 1\}$ and S is a locally compact \mathbb{T} -principal fibre bundle. Furthermore the characters on $C_0^{\mathbb{T}}(S)$ are just the point evaluations $h(f) = f(s)$ at points $s \in S$. From this the following result is an easy consequence (realize that the homomorphic image of an abelian subtriple is contained in a closed abelian subtriple and get the statement for abelian triples by lifting back characters - compare also [1]):

1.2 Proposition *Let $h: E \rightarrow F$ be a homomorphism of JB*-triples. Then h is a contraction, i.e. $\|h(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in E$. In particular, h is continuous. Furthermore the image of h is closed in F and h induces an isometry $E/I \cong h(E)$.*

1.3 Corollary *Let E be a JB*-triple and $I, F \subset E$ closed subtriples. Then also $I + F$ is a closed subtriple if I is an ideal in E .*

Proof Apply (1.2) to the canonical projection $E \rightarrow E/I$. \square

Let E be a JB*-triple and denote by $\text{Aut}(E) \subset \text{GL}(E)$ the subgroup of all triple automorphisms of E . The elements of $\text{Aut}(E)$ are precisely the isometries in $\text{GL}(E)$. $\text{Aut}(E)$ is a real algebraic subgroup of $\text{GL}(E)$ in the sense of [7] and in particular a real Banach Lie group. The Lie algebra $\text{aut}(E)$ of $\text{Aut}(E)$ can be identified with the space of all derivations of E , i.e. of all linear mappings $\delta: E \rightarrow E$ with

$$\delta\{xyz\} = \{(\delta x)xy\} + \{x(\delta y)z\} + \{xy(\delta z)\}$$

for all $x, y, z \in E$. All derivations on E are automatically continuous (compare [2]). By [11] p. 523 we have

$$(1.4) \quad \text{aut}(E) = i\mathcal{H}(E).$$

Notice, that by polarization the Jordan triple identity J_2 is equivalent to $ia\alpha a$ being a derivation of the triple product for every $a \in E$. For every $x, y \in E$ in particular the operator $\exp(x\alpha y - y\alpha x)$ is in $\text{Aut}(E)$. The subgroup generated by all such elements is denoted by $\text{Int}(E)$, the group of all inner automorphisms of E . $\text{Int}(E)$ is a connected normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(E)$.

A JB*-triple E is called a JBW*-triple if E is a dual Banach space (compare [9],[3]). In that case it has a unique predual E_* and we refer to $w^* := \sigma(E, E_*)$ as the weak*-topology on E . Every automorphism of a JBW*-triple is w^* - w^* -continuous. The bidual E^{**} of any JB*-triple E is a JBW*-triple whose triple product extends that of E and is separately w^* -continuous. For every norm closed ideal $I \subset E$ the w^* -closure J of I in E^{**} is an ideal in E^{**} with $J \cap E = I$. Often this can be used to reduce the study of ideals in JB*-triples to the special case of JBW*-triples. We give two examples: First recall the definition of a JB*-algebra (sometimes also called a Jordan C^* -algebra). This is a complex Jordan algebra B with a complete norm and conjugate-linear involution $*$ such that the Jordan product $x \circ y$ and the derived triple product

$$(1.5) \quad \{xyz\} = x \circ (y^* \circ z) - y^* \circ (z \circ x) + z \circ (x \circ y^*)$$

satisfy the conditions $J_4 - J_6$ where

$$J_5 \quad \|x \circ y\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|,$$

$$J_6 \quad (x \circ y)^* = y^* \circ x^*.$$

Every C^* -algebra A with product xy becomes a JB^* -algebra A^J in the Jordan product $x \circ y = (xy + yx)/2$ and every JB^* -algebra B becomes a JB^* -triple B^T in the triple product (1.5). The identity $A^{JT} = (A^J)^T$ is easily verified. In case the JB^* -algebra B has a unit e Jordan product and involution on B are recovered from the Jordan triple product on B^T by $x \circ y = \{xey\}$ and $x^* = \{axe\}$. For every JB^* -algebra B the bidual B^{**} is a JB^* -algebra with unit.

For all three structures (i.e. C^* -algebras, JB^* -algebras, JB^* -triples) the notion of ideal exists and behaves very well by passing from one structure to the other, more precisely

1.6 Lemma Let B be a JB^* -algebra, $E = B^T$ the corresponding JB^* -triple and $I \subset B$ a closed linear subspace. Then the following two conditions are equivalent

- (1) I is a (Jordan algebra) ideal in B , i.e. $I \circ B \subset I$,
- (2) I is a (triple) ideal in E , i.e. $\{EEI\} + \{EIE\} \subset I$.

Each of these two conditions implies

- (3) I is $*$ -invariant, i.e. $I^* = I$.

In case $B = A^J$ for a C^* -algebra A condition (1) is also equivalent to

- (4) I is a (two-sided associative) ideal in A , i.e. $IA + AI \subset I$.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (3) follows from [16] p. 98 and also gives (1) \Rightarrow (2). The implication (2) \Rightarrow (1) can easily be shown by passing to the bidual. Finally, (1) \Rightarrow (4) follows from [16] p. 107. \square

The essential part of the following proposition (i.e. the direction (1) \Rightarrow (3)) can be found in [5] p. 330. We provide here an alternate proof:

1.7 Proposition Let E be a JB^* -triple and $I \subset E$ a closed linear subspace. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) I is invariant under all operators $x \circ x$, $x \in E$,
- (2) I is invariant under the group $\text{Int}(E)$ of all inner automorphisms,
- (3) I is an ideal.

Proof (1) \Rightarrow (2) is obvious from the definition of $\text{Int}(E)$ and the fact that (1) implies $\{EEI\} \subset I$ by polarization.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) Suppose I is $\text{Int}(E)$ -invariant. $\text{Int}(E)$ contains the real one-parameter subgroup $\exp(itx \circ x)$ for every $x \in E$. Differentiating by t implies that I is invariant under the operator $ix \circ x$ and hence under $x \circ x$.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) Suppose $I \subset E$ satisfies (1) and hence $\{EEI\} \subset I$. We have to show $\{EIE\} \subset I$. After passing to the bidual of E we may assume without loss of generality that E is a JBW^* -triple. But then the closed unit ball of E has an extreme point e . By [14] p. 190 the element e is a complete tripotent in E , i.e. $\{eee\} = e$ and $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, where E_k is the k -eigenspace of the hermitian operator $2e \circ e$ for $k = 1, 2$. From (1) we get $I = I_1 \oplus I_2$ with $I_k := I \cap E_k$. E is a Jordan algebra in the product $x \circ y := \{xey\}$ and clearly I is an algebra ideal in E . The subalgebra $E_2 \subset E$ is a JB^* -algebra with unit e and involution $a \mapsto a^* = \{eae\}$. By 1.6 the algebra ideal $I_2 \subset E_2$ is $*$ -invariant. For $y \in E_2$ the formula (1.5) with y replaced by y^* is nothing but the Jordan triple identity, i.e. $\{E_1 I_2 E\} \subset I$. Because of $\{E_2 E_1 E_2\} = 0$ we therefore only have to show $\{E_1 I_1 E\} \subset I$. For every $x, y \in E_1$ the element $F(x, y) := 2\{xye\} \in E_2$ satisfies $F(x, y) = F(y, x)^*$. This implies for every $y \in I_1$ the inclusion $F(x, y) \in I_2$ and in particular $\{xyx\} = 2F(x, y) \circ x \in I_1$ (compare [12] p. 469 and also [15] p. 75), i.e. $\{E_1 I_1 E_1\} \subset I_1$. The only missing inclusion $\{E_1 I_1 E_2\} \subset I_2$ now is obtained in the following way: For every $x \in E_1, y \in I_1, a \in E_2$

the first three terms in the equation

$$\{ea^*\{xye\}\} = \{\{ea^*x\}ye\} - \{x\{a^*ey\}e\} + \{xya\}$$

belong to I by what has been proved so far, i.e. $\{xya\} \in I_2$. \square

In referring to [16] p. 107 in the proof of 1.6 we implicitly used already the notion of an M -ideal, which is defined for arbitrary Banach spaces. Exploiting this a little more we get from 1.7.

1.8 Corollary Let E be a JB^* -triple with $E = A^T$ for some JB^* -algebra A . Then for every closed linear subspace $I \subset E$ the following conditions are equivalent

- (1) I is invariant under all derivations of E ,
- (2) I is invariant under the group $\text{Aut}^0(E)$ (= the connected identity-component of $\text{Aut}(E)$),
- (3) I is an M -ideal in the underlying Banach space of E ,
- (4) I is an ideal in E .

Proof (1) \iff (2) is obvious from the fact that $\text{aut}(E)$ is the Lie algebra of the connected Lie group $\text{Aut}^0(E)$.

(4) \iff (3) \Rightarrow (1) follows from [16] p. 101 using 1.6 and 1.4.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) follows from 1.7 since every operator $i x \square x$ is a derivation of E . \square

1.9 Definition A linear subspace $I \subset E$ is called *characteristic* if it is invariant under all automorphisms of E .

Notice that by (1.7) every characteristic closed linear subspace in E automatically is an ideal in E .

1.10 Example Let S be a locally compact topological space and $E := C_0(S)$. Then the ideals $I \subset E$ are well known to be precisely the subsets $I = \{a \in E: a|_T = 0\}$ with $T \subset S$ a closed subset. The ideal I is characteristic in E if and only if T is invariant under all homeomorphisms of S .

2. Weak continuity and squaring in JB*-triples

In the following let E be a JB*-triple. For every $a \in E$ then E becomes a Jordan algebra in the product $xoy := \{xay\}$. This product depends on the choice of the element a and is uniquely determined by the corresponding squaring mapping $q_a: E \rightarrow E$ defined by $q_a(x) := \{xax\}$.

With ν the norm topology on E we call a locally convex topology t on E *admissible* if it is coarser than ν , i.e. $t \leq \nu$. We are mainly interested in the weak topology $w = \sigma(E, E^*)$ on E , which clearly is admissible.

In case E is a JBW*-triple we get further examples of admissible topologies on E by $w^* = \sigma(E, E_*)$, τ^* the Mackey topology associated to the duality (E, E_*) and the strong* topology s^* as defined in [2],[17]. These satisfy $w^* \leq s^* \leq \tau^* \leq \nu$ and are all invariant under $\text{Aut}(E)$.

Suppose E is a JBW*-triple and t is a linear topology on E with $w^* \leq t \leq \nu$. Then in [18] the notion of a t -compact element was introduced - this is an element $a \in E$ such that q_a is w^* - t -continuous on bounded subsets of E . By this - essentially for $t = w^*$ - the compactness of arbitrary elements $a \in E$ can be characterized in terms of the triple product structure without realizing a as an operator on a Hilbert space. Here we modify the notion of t -compactness slightly and extend it to arbitrary JB*-triples E : For every admissible topology t on E we denote by $\text{Cont}_t(E)$ the set of all $a \in E$ such that q_a is t -continuous on bounded subsets of E . Then the following statement is obvious

2.1 Lemma For every closed subtriple $F \subset E$

$$F \cap \text{Cont}_t(E) \subset \text{Cont}_t(F)$$

holds, where the restriction of t to F is again denoted by t .

In the same way as in [18] p. 175 one can show

2.2 Lemma $\text{Cont}_t(E)$ is a norm closed inner ideal in E , i.e. a linear subspace C of E with $\{CEC\} \subset C$.

As an application of (1.7) we get furthermore

2.3 Proposition Let E be a JB*-triple and t an admissible topology on E which is invariant under the group $\text{Aut}(E)$ - for instance if $t = w$ is the weak topology or, in case E is a JBW*-triple, if t is one of w^* , s^* , τ^* . Then $\text{Cont}_t(E)$ is a closed characteristic ideal in E .

For the rest of this section we restrict t to the weak topology $t = w$. Since for every JB*-triple E the triple product is w -continuous in every variable separately, an element $a \in E$ is in $\text{Cont}_w(E)$ if and only if the following is true: For every bounded net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ converging weakly to 0 also the net $(y_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ with $y_\alpha := \{x_\alpha a x_\alpha\}$ converges weakly to 0.

2.4 Lemma Let E be a JB*-algebra with unit e . Then the following three conditions are equivalent

- (1) $\text{Cont}_w(E) = E$,
- (2) For every bounded self-adjoint net (x_α) in E converging weakly to 0 the same is true for the net (x_α^2) ,

(3) For every bounded net (x_α) in E converging weakly to 0 the same is true for the net $(x_\alpha^* \circ x_\alpha)$.

Proof Since $\text{Cont}_w(E)$ is an ideal in E , condition (1) is equivalent to $e \in \text{Cont}_w(E)$. For the proof of the remaining equivalences write $x_\alpha = u_\alpha + iv_\alpha$ with u_α, v_α self-adjoint. Then $x_\alpha^* \circ x_\alpha = u_\alpha^2 + v_\alpha^2$. \square

Statement (3) in 2.4 is similar to a condition in [4] p. 60 characterizing the Dunford-Pettis property of C^* -algebras: By definition a Banach space E has the Dunford-Pettis property if for all weakly null sequences (x_n) and (λ_n) in E and E^* respectively $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n(x_n) = 0$ is true. One of the conditions occurring in [4] in case of a C^* -algebra A is the following: For every weakly null sequence (x_n) in A also the sequence $(x_n^* \circ x_n)$ is weakly null, where \circ is the Jordan product. Two differences to (3) in 2.4 seem to occur here: 1. Instead of nets there are sequences. This can be formulated in terms of sequentially continuity. 2. No boundedness is required. This is not a difference, since by the principle of uniform boundedness the following holds: *Every weakly convergent sequence in a Banach space is norm bounded.*

2.5 Definition For every JB^* -triple E let $\text{CONT}_w(E)$ be the set of all $a \in E$ such that the squaring map $q_a: E \rightarrow E$ is sequentially w - w -continuous on E or, equivalently, such that for every weakly null sequence (x_n) in E also the sequence $(\{x_n a x_n\})$ is weakly null.

It is easily verified that $\text{CONT}_w(E)$ is a closed linear subspace of E invariant under all automorphisms of E . With 1.7 we conclude

2.6 Proposition For every JB^* -triple E the space $\text{CONT}_w(E)$ is a closed characteristic ideal in E containing $\text{Cont}_w(E)$.

2.7 Proposition Let A be a C^* -algebra with unit and let $E = A^{JT}$ be the underlying JB^* -triple. Then E has Dunford-Pettis property if and only if $\text{CONT}_w(E) = E$.

In [4] all W^* -algebras with Dunford-Pettis property have been classified. These are precisely the l^∞ -sums of W^* -algebras of type I_{n_k} with $\sup_k(n_k) < \infty$. Furthermore the Dunford-Pettis property is inherited to C^* -subalgebras. So in particular all commutative C^* -algebras have Dunford-Pettis property - a result originating from Grothendieck. As a consequence we get

2.8 Proposition $\text{CONT}_w(E) = E$ for every commutative JB^* -triple E .

Let us present also a direct proof. Since E is of the form $C_0^{\text{TR}}(S)$ by section 1, we may assume without loss of generality that $E = C(\Omega)$ for some compact space Ω . It is enough to show:

The algebra product $(f, g) \mapsto fg$ is sequentially weakly continuous on $E \times E$.

Proof We identify E^* with the space $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ of all complex regular Borel measures on Ω (compare [8] p. 364). Suppose, the statement is false. Then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$, a regular Borel measure $\mu \geq 0$ on Ω and a weakly null sequence (f_n) of real-valued continuous functions on Ω such that for all n

$$\|f_n\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} f_n^2 d\mu \geq \varepsilon.$$

$\mu(\Omega) < \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f_n(\omega) d\mu = 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$ imply by Egorov's Theorem (compare [8] p. 158) the existence of a Borel set $A \subset \Omega$ such that the sequence (f_n) converges

uniformly on A to 0 and such that $\mu(B) < \varepsilon/2$ holds for $B := \Omega \setminus A$. In particular there is an index n_0 with $\mu(A) \cdot f_n^2(\omega) \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $n > n_0$ and all $\omega \in A$. But then

$$\int_A f_n^2 d\mu \leq \varepsilon/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_B f_n^2 d\mu \leq \mu(B) < \varepsilon/2$$

for all $n > n_0$ produce a contradiction. \square

2.9 Remark Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval and $E := C_0(I)$. Then by 2.8 we have $\text{CONT}_\omega(E) = E$. Since $\text{Cont}_\omega(E)$ is a closed characteristic ideal in E only the following two possibilities can occur: $\text{Cont}_\omega(E) = E$ or $\text{Cont}_\omega(E) = 0$. We conjecture that the latter is true.

A connection with compact operators on a Hilbert space is given by the following

2.10 Proposition Let H be a complex Hilbert space, $E \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ a JB^* -subtriple and $\mathcal{K}(H)$ the ideal of all compact operators on H . Then $E \cap \mathcal{K}(H) \subset \text{Cont}_\omega(E)$.

Proof Denote by S the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{K}(H)$ and put $C := \text{Cont}_\omega(E)$ for short. Let $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ be a net in E converging weakly to 0 with $\|x_\alpha\| < 1$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Since the finite rank operators are dense in $\mathcal{K}(H)$ and C is closed in E , it is enough to show that every rank one hermitian projection $a \in E$ is contained in C . So fix such an a , i.e. $a(x) = (x|e)e$ for some unit vector $e \in H$. Then $y_\alpha := \{x_\alpha a x_\alpha\} \in S$ for all α . By [19] p. 69 the weak topology restricted to S is the same as the weak operator topology on S , i.e. we have to show that for every $\xi, \eta \in H$ the net $(y_\alpha \xi | \eta)$ converges in \mathbb{C} to 0. But this is obvious from

$$|(y_\alpha \xi | \eta)| = |(x_\alpha \xi | e)(x_\alpha e | \eta)| \leq \|\xi\| \cdot \|(x_\alpha e | \eta)\|. \quad \square$$

The example $E = \mathbb{C} \cdot \text{id}$ shows that in general in 2.10 equality does not hold. But then the action of E on H is highly non-irreducible. For H infinite dimensional it is known [4] that $E = \mathcal{L}(H)$ does not have the Dunford-Pettis property, i.e. $\text{CONT}_\omega(E)$ is a proper ideal in E . But in case H is separable, $\mathcal{K}(E)$ is the only proper ideal $\neq 0$ in E (compare [19] p. 65), i.e. $\text{CONT}_\omega(E) = \text{Cont}_\omega(E) = \mathcal{K}(H)$. More generally we have

2.11 Lemma Let H, K be complex Hilbert spaces. Then $E := \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is a JB^* -triple with respect to the triple product $\{xyz\} = (xy^*z + zy^*x)/2$ and $\text{CONT}_\omega(E) = \text{Cont}_\omega(E) = \mathcal{K}(H, K)$ is the space of all compact operators $H \rightarrow K$.

Proof Put $C := \text{CONT}_\omega(E)$ and $\mathcal{K} := \mathcal{K}(H, K)$ for short. Since the JB^* -triples $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ and $\mathcal{L}(K, H)$ are isomorphic, we may assume $\dim H \leq \dim K$. Since $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is isomorphic to a subtriple of $\mathcal{L}(H \oplus K)$ we get $\mathcal{K} \subset C$ by 2.10. For the proof of the opposite inclusion suppose there exists a non-compact operator $a \in C$. There is a closed linear subspace $L \subset K$ with $a(H) \subset L$ and $\dim L = \dim H$. Replacing $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ by the subtriple $\mathcal{L}(H, L)$ we may assume without loss of generality that $K = L$ and hence even that $K = H$, i.e. $E = \mathcal{L}(H)$. We may assume furthermore that a is self-adjoint and after applying the functional calculus for Borel functions in a that a is a projection on H with infinite dimensional range $R \subset H$. Replacing $\mathcal{L}(H)$ by the subtriple $\mathcal{L}(R)$ we may finally assume that $R = H$ and that a is the identity on H . For every pair of vectors $\eta, \xi \in H$ let $t_{\eta, \xi} \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ be the rank one operator defined by $t_{\eta, \xi}(\zeta) = (\zeta | \eta)\xi$ for all $\zeta \in H$. Fix a unit vector $\eta \in H$. Then $\xi \mapsto t_{\eta, \xi}$ defines a linear isometry $H \rightarrow E$. For an orthonormal sequence (ξ_n) in H the corresponding sequence (x_n) in E with $x_n := t_{\eta, \xi_n}$

converges weakly to 0 and violates condition (3) in 2.4, i.e. $a \notin C$ in contradiction to our assumption. \square

The JB*-triples $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ form the first out of 6 types of the so-called *Cartan factors* (compare [12] p. 473). The types II and III are defined as follows: Let $\varepsilon = 1$ or $\varepsilon = -1$ be fixed and consider a complex Hilbert space H with conjugation $j : \xi \mapsto \bar{\xi}$, i.e. a conjugate linear, involutory isometry of H . Then $x \mapsto x' := jxj$ defines a transposition on $\mathcal{L}(H)$ and $E := \{x \in \mathcal{L}(H) : x' = \varepsilon x\}$ is a JB*-triple. Also in this case $\text{CONT}_w(E) = \text{Cont}_w(E)$ coincides with the subspace of all compact operators in E . The proof is similar to the one of 2.11: In case $\varepsilon = 1$ clearly E is a JB*-algebra with unit in the product $x \circ y = (xy + yx)/2$ and we succeed as above with $x_n = t_{\eta, \xi_n} + t_{\xi_n, \eta}$ where ξ_n and η are self-adjoint in addition. In case $\varepsilon = -1$ and $\dim H = \infty$ the JB*-triple E can be realized als $\mathcal{L}(L)$ where L is an infinite dimensional (left) Hilbert space over the field \mathbb{H} of quaternions. Then the operators $t_{\eta, \xi}$ are again defined and have rank 1 over \mathbb{H} and rank 2 over \mathbb{C} - but all arguments go through.

Cartan factors of type IV are the *spin factors* defined as follows: Let H be a complex Hilbert space of dimension > 2 with conjugation $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. Then there is an equivalent norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ on H such that $E := (H, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ becomes a JBW*-triple in the triple product

$$\{xyz\} := (x|y)z + (z|y)x - (x|\bar{z})\bar{y}.$$

2.12 Lemma $\text{CONT}_w(E) = \text{Cont}_w(E) = 0$ for every spin factor E of infinite dimension.

Proof Fix an arbitrary element $a \in \text{Cont}_w(E)$. Then $\dim(E) = \infty$ implies the existence of an orthonormal sequence (x_n) in H with $x_n = -\bar{x}_n$ and $x_n \perp a$, i.e. $q_a(x_n) = \bar{a}$ for all n . But then $0 = w - \lim q_a(x_n) = \bar{a}$ and hence $a = 0$. \square

3. Holomorphic automorphisms

Let again E be a JB*-triple in the following. In the section before we have studied for every $a \in E$ the corresponding squaring operator $q_a : E \rightarrow E$. Of importance is also the conjugate linear operator $Q_a : E \rightarrow E$ defined by $Q_a(z) := \{aza\} = q_z(a)$. Q_a is called the *quadratic representation*, it satisfies the fundamental formula

$$Q_{Q_a(b)} = Q_a Q_b Q_a$$

for all $a, b \in E$ (compare [15]). For every $x, y \in E$ the *Bergman operator* $B(x, y) \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ is defined by

$$B(x, y) = \text{id} - 2x \circ y + Q_x Q_y.$$

In case $\|x \circ y\| < 1$ the spectrum of $B(x, y)$ lies in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - 1| < 1\}$, in particular the fractional power $B(x, y)^r \in \text{GL}(E)$ exists for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ in a natural way (compare [11] p. 517).

In the following denote by $D := \{z \in E : \|z\| < 1\}$ the open unit ball of E . Then a function $f : D \rightarrow E$ is called holomorphic if the (Fréchet) derivative $f'(a) \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ exists for every $a \in D$. A holomorphic bijection $g : D \rightarrow D$ is called an automorphism of D if also g^{-1} is holomorphic. Denote by $G := \text{Aut}(D)$ the group of all automorphisms of D . It is well known that the isotropy subgroup

$$K := \{g \in G : g(0) = 0\}$$

consists of all linear transformations in $\text{Aut}(D)$ (restricted to D), i.e. $K = \text{Aut}(E)$. As a consequence of 1.1 we have $\|z \circ a\| < 1$ for every $z, a \in D$. By [11] p. 515

$$(3.1) \quad g_a(z) := a + B(a, a)^{1/2}(1 + z \circ a)^{-1}z$$

defines an automorphism $g_a \in G$ with $g_a(0) = a$, $g_a(-a) = 0$ and $g_{-a} = g_a^{-1}$. This means in particular, that G acts transitively on D and that every $g \in G$ has a unique representation $g = g_a \lambda$, where $a = g(0)$ and $\lambda \in \text{Aut}(E)$. In [13] p. 132 it has been shown, that every $g \in G$ has a holomorphic continuation into an open neighbourhood of $\bar{D} \subset E$. With 3.1 we get a quantitative improvement

3.2 Proposition Every $g \in G$ has a holomorphic continuation to the open ball with radius $r = \|a\|^{-1}$, where $a = g(0)$.

Proof $\|z\| < r$ implies $\|z \circ a\| < 1$ by 1.1. Therefore 3.1 defines g_a and hence also $g = g_a \lambda$ holomorphically on the ball with radius r . \square

3.1 also gives a way how to recover the triple product on E from the group $G = \text{Aut}(D)$ - more precisely

3.3 Lemma For every $a \in D$ choose an automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(D)$ with $g(-a) = 0$. Let $L := g'(0) \in \text{GL}(E)$ be the first and $Q := g''(0): E \times E \rightarrow E$ be the second derivative of g at the origin. Then for all $x, y \in E$ the triple product is given by

$$\{xay\} = -L^{-1}Q(x, y).$$

Proof Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(z)$$

be the expansion of g as sum of k -homogeneous polynomials p_k around $0 \in D$. Because of $g(-a) = 0$ we have a representation of the form $g = \lambda g_a$ for some $\lambda \in K = \text{Aut}(E)$. This implies $p_1 = \lambda B(a, a)^{1/2}$ and $p_2 = -\lambda B(a, a)^{1/2} q_a$. \square

Let us now fix an admissible topology t on E and let $\text{Cont}_t(E)$ be as before.

3.4 Lemma For every $a \in \text{Cont}_t(E)$ the mapping

$$(3.5) \quad E \times E \rightarrow E \quad \text{defined by} \quad (x, y) \mapsto B(x, a)y$$

is t^2 - t -continuous on bounded subsets.

Proof Obvious from the definition of $\text{Cont}_t(E)$ and the Jordan triple identity

$$Q_x Q_a y = 2\{\{yax\}ax\} - \{yu\{xax\}\}. \quad \square$$

Denote by $\text{GL}_t(E)$ the group of all linear transformations g of E such that g and g^{-1} are t - t -continuous on bounded subsets of E and put $\text{Aut}_t(E) := \text{Aut}(E) \cap \text{GL}_t(E)$. In the same way let $\text{Aut}_t(D)$ be the group of all $g \in \text{Aut}(D)$ that are t - t -homeomorphisms of D .

3.6 Theorem For every admissible topology t on the JB^* -triple E the group of all biholomorphic t - t -homeomorphisms of the open unit ball $D \subset E$ is given by

$$\text{Aut}_t(D) = \{g_a \lambda : a \in D \cap \text{Cont}_t(E), \lambda \in \text{Aut}_t(E)\} .$$

Proof Fix an element $a \in D \cap \text{Cont}_t(E)$. Then $f(z) := (1 + z \square a)^{-1} z = \sum (-z \square a)^k z$ defines a t - t -continuous function on D , since the convergence of the sum is uniform on D and every summand is t - t -continuous. By (3.5) the operator $B(a, a)$ also is t - t -continuous. The spectral radius of $B(a, a) - \text{id}$ is bounded by $\|a\|^2 < 1$. Therefore also $B(a, a)^{1/2}$ is t - t -continuous as norm-convergent power series in $B(a, a) - \text{id}$. This shows that g_a is t - t -continuous for every $a \in D \cap \text{Cont}_t(E)$. Finally $g_a^{-1} = g_{-a}$ gives $\lambda g_a \in \text{Aut}_t(D)$ for every $a \in D \cap \text{Cont}_t(E)$ and $\lambda \in \text{Aut}_t(E)$.

For the proof of the opposite inclusion choose an arbitrary $g \in \text{Aut}_t(D)$ and put $a := g(0)$. Then $h := g^{-1}$ satisfies $h(-b) = 0$ for $b := -a$. First and second derivative of h at the origin are t - t -continuous as a locally uniform limit of t - t -continuous mappings. This implies by 3.3 that g_b is t - t -continuous and hence that $a \in \text{Cont}_t(E)$. By the first part of this proof this implies that $\lambda := g_a^{-1} g \in \text{Aut}_t(E)$ \square

3.7 Corollary Suppose t is an admissible topology on E with $\text{Aut}_t(E) = \text{Aut}(E)$ - for instance, if $t = w$ is the weak topology or - in case of a JBW^* -triple - if t is one of the topologies s^*, τ^*, w^* . Then for every biholomorphic automorphism g of D the following conditions are equivalent

- (1) g is t - t -continuous on D
- (2) $g(0) \in \text{Cont}_t(E)$.

Proof Suppose (1) holds. Then $g = g_a \lambda$ with $a \in D \cap \text{Cont}_t(E)$ and λ linear. Then $g(0) = a$ shows (2). The other implication also follows easily. \square

As an application of 2.12 and 2.10 we get finally

3.8 Corollary Let E be a spin factor of infinite dimension. Then the only w - w -continuous biholomorphic automorphisms of the open unit ball of E are the surjective linear isometries.

3.9 Corollary Let H be a complex Hilbert space and $E := \mathcal{K}(H)$ the space of all compact operators on H . Then every biholomorphic automorphism of the open unit ball of E is w - w -continuous.

References

1. Barton, T.J., Dang, T., Horn, G.: Normal representations of Banach Jordan triple systems. *Proc. AMS* **102**, 551-555 (1988)
2. Barton, T.J., Friedman, Y.: Bounded derivations of JB^* -triples. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **41**, 255-268 (1990)
3. Barton, T.J., Timoney, R.W.: Weak* continuity of Jordan triple products and applications. *Math. Scand.* **59**, 177-191 (1986)
4. Chu, C., Jochum B.: The Dunford-Pettis property in C^* -algebras. *Studia Math.* **97** 59-64 (1990)

5. Dineen S., Timoney, R.M.: The centroid of a JB^* -triple system. *Math. Scand.* **62**, 327-342 (1988)
6. Friedman, Y., Russo. B.: The Gelfand-Naimark theorem for JB^* -triples. *Duke Math. J.* **53** 139-148 (1986)
7. Harris, L.A., Kaup, W.: *Linear algebraic groups in infinite dimensions.* *Illinois J. Math.* **21**, 666-674 (1977)
8. Hewitt, E., Stromberg, K.: *Real and Abstract Analysis.* Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1965
9. Horn, G.: Characterization of the predual and ideal structure of a JBW^* -triple. *Math. Scand.* **61**, 117-133 (1987)
10. Isidro, J.M.: A glimpse at the theory of Jordan Banach triple systems. *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complutense Madrid.* **2**, 145-156 (1989)
11. Kaup, W.: A Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces. *Math. Z.* **183**, 503-529 (1983)
12. Kaup, W.: Über die Klassifikation der symmetrischen Hermiteschen Mannigfaltigkeiten unendlicher Dimension I, II. *Math. Ann.* **257**, 463-483 (1981); **262**, 503-529 (1983)
13. Kaup, W., Upmeyer, H.: Banach spaces with biholomorphically equivalent unit balls are isomorphic. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **58**, 129-133 (1976)
14. Kaup, W., Upmeyer, H.: Jordan Algebras and Symmetric Siegel Domains in Banach Spaces. *Math. Z.* **157**, 179-200 (1977)
15. Loos, O.: *Jordan pairs.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 460. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1975
16. Payá, R., Pérez, J., Rodríguez, A.: Noncommutative Jordan C^* -algebras. *manuscripta math.* **37**, 87 - 120 (1982)
17. Rodríguez Palacios, A.: On the strong* topology of JBW^* -triple, to appear in *Quart. J. Math. Oxford*
18. Stachó, L., Isidro, J.M.: Algebraically compact elements in JBW^* -triple systems. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **54**, 171-190 (1990)
19. Takesaki, M.: *Theory of Operator Algebras I.* Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1979

José M. Isidro
 Departamento de Análisis Matemático
 Facultad de matemáticas
 15708 Santiago de Compostela
 Spain

Wilhelm Kaup
 Mathematisches Institut der Universität
 Auf der Morgenstelle 10
 7400 Tübingen
 Germany