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## 1. Introduction

In 1931 H . Cartan [4] proved the following uniqueness theorem: Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a bounded domain and let $f: D \rightarrow D$ be a holomorphic mapping. Then $f$ is the identity on $D$ if it has a fixed point $a \in D$ at which the Jacobian $f^{\prime}(a)$ is the identity matrix. Another way of expressing this is as follows: In the space of all holomorphic mappings $D \rightarrow D$ a biholomorphic mapping $f$ is uniquely determined by the first two terms $f(a), f^{\prime}(a)$ of its power series expansion about $a$. Cartan's proof uses an iteration argument that can immediately be extended to bounded domains in complex Banach spaces (clearly the Jacobian $f^{\prime}(a)$ has to be interpreted as linear operator-the Fréchet derivative $d f(a)$ of $f$ at $a$ ). In finite as well as in infinite dimensions Cartan's uniqueness theorem has been the key for many important results.

In the present paper we study holomorphic mappings $f: B \rightarrow D$ between domains in complex Banach spaces that are rigid at $a \in B$ in the following sense: $f=g$ for every holomorphic mapping $g: B \rightarrow D$ with $f(a)=g(a)$ and $d f(a)=d g(a)$. Our main interest is concentrated to the special case where $B, D$ are the open unit balls of the complex Banach spaces $E, F$ and where $a=0$ is the origin. Then, if $f: B \rightarrow D$ with $f(0)=0$ is rigid at the origin it necessarily must be of the form $f=L \mid B$ for a linear operator $L: E \rightarrow F$ with $\|L\|=1$. Such linear operators $L$ we also call rigid.

The paper is organized as follows:
In Section 2 we present the basic background for holomorphic mappings between domains $U, V$ in complex Banach spaces as needed later for the linear case. In particular, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every holomorphic mapping $f: U \rightarrow V$ we define $f$ to be $m$-rigid at the point $a \in U$ if $f$ is uniquely determined within the space of all holomorphic mappings $U \rightarrow V$ by all derivatives of order $<m$ at $a$. This, in case $m=2$, is just what occurs for biholomorphic $f$ in Cartan's uniqueness theorem. We also introduce the more general notion of infinitesimal $m$-rigidity at $a$ in case $f$ is uniquely determined within families of holomorphic mappings $g_{t}: U \rightarrow V$ depending holomorphically on a one-dimensional parameter $t$. Using a theorem of Kakutani we show that every biholomorphic automorphism of a bounded domain is infinitesimally 1-rigid, and is even infinitesimally 0 -rigid if $U$ is the open unit ball of a complex Banach space.

In Section 3 we study linear operators $L: E \rightarrow F$ with $\|L\|=1$ and call $L$ rigid if the induced map between the open unit balls is 2-rigid at the origin. In the case of Hilbert spaces $E, F$ for instance, $L$ is rigid if and only if $L$ is a not necessarily surjective) isometry.

In Section 4 we introduce for every given linear operator $L: E \rightarrow F$ of norm 1 and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ numerical invariants $\alpha_{m} \in[0,1]$ that measure the non-rigidity of $L$ in connection with homogeneous polynomials of degree $m$. It turns out that $L$ is a complex extreme point of the unit ball in the Banach space of all polynomials $E \rightarrow F$ of degree $\leqslant m$ if and only if $\alpha_{m}$ vanishes. Furthermore, $\left(\alpha_{m}\right)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence, and $L$ is rigid if and only if $\alpha_{m}=0$ for all $m$ (i.e. the limit $\alpha_{\infty}$ vanishes). Besides the invariants $\alpha_{m}$ we also introduce invariants $\pi_{m}$ that measure certain eccentricities.

In Section 5 we determine the invariants $\alpha_{m}$ and estimate $\pi_{m}$ for some special examples. Also, in the case of contractive projections $L$ we relate rigidity properties of $L$ with smoothness properties of the unit spheres.

In Section 6 we introduce various types of tangent spaces and correlate them to the rigidity problem.

In Section 7 we apply the methods to JB*-triples. These are generalizations of operator algebras where the algebra product is replaced by a certain ternary product, the Jordan triple product. Our main result-Theorem 7.14-solves completely the rigidity problem for $w^{*}$-closed inner ideals in JBW*-triples, the triple generalizations of $\mathrm{W}^{*}$-algebras.

Notation. Throughout, $E$ and $F$ are complex Banach spaces with open unit balls $B \subset E$ and $D \subset F$. The notation $E \subset F$ means that $E$ carries the induced norm from $F$, i.e. $B=D \cap F$. By $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ we denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators $E \rightarrow F$. Furthermore $\mathcal{L}(E):=\mathcal{L}(E, E)$ is the Banach algebra of all continuous endomorphisms and $E^{*}:=\mathcal{L}(E, \mathbb{C})$ is the dual of $E$. The group of all invertible operators in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ is denoted by $\operatorname{GL}(E)$. The $\ell^{p}$-sum of $E$ and $F$ will be denoted by $E \oplus_{p} F$, that is $E \oplus F$ with norm satisfying $\|(z, w)\|=\max (\|z\|,\|w\|)$ if $p=\infty$ and $\|(z, w)\|^{p}=\|z\|^{p}+\|w\|^{p}$ if $1 \leqslant p<\infty$. For complex Hilbert spaces we denote the inner product always by $(z \mid w)$ where the conjugate linear variable is $w$.

The boundary of $B$ (the unit sphere in $E$ ) is denoted by $\partial B$. The subset of all extreme boundary points of $B$ is denoted by $\partial_{e} B$ and $\partial_{e c} B$ is the set of all complex extreme boundary points of $B$. Always $\Delta:=\{t \in \mathbb{C}:|t|<1\}$ is the open unit disc and $\mathbb{T}:=\partial \Delta$ is the circle group. The set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers always includes 0 .

## 2. Rigid holomorphic mappings

For an open subset $U$ of the Banach space $E$ a mapping $f: U \rightarrow F$ is called holomorphic if for every $a \in U$ the Fréchet-derivative $d f(a) \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ exists.

Then it is known that the derivative $d f: U \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ again is holomorphic and the second derivative $d^{2} f=d d f$ takes values in $\mathcal{L}(E, \mathcal{L}(E, F))$, which as Banach space can be identified in a natural way with the space of all bounded bilinear mappings $E^{2} \rightarrow F$. More generally, the $n$-th derivative $d^{n} f(a)$ exists as a bounded symmetric $n$-linear mapping $E^{n} \rightarrow F$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (by definition $d^{0} f=f$ ).

For arbitrary subsets $S \subset E$ and $T \subset F$ a mapping $f: S \rightarrow T$ is called holomorphic if there exists an open subset $U \subset E$ and a holomorphic mapping $h: U \rightarrow F$ with $S \subset U$ and $f=h \mid S$. The space of all holomorphic mappings $S \rightarrow T$ will be denoted by $\operatorname{Hol}(S, T)$. With $\operatorname{Aut}(S) \subset \operatorname{Hol}(S, S)$ we denote the group of all biholomorphic automorphisms of $S$. For every point $a \in S$ denote by

$$
\circ_{a}(f)=\sup \left\{n \in \mathbb{N}:\|f(z)\|=O\left(\|z-a\|^{n}\right) \quad \text { as } z \rightarrow a\right\}
$$

the vanishing order of the holomorphic mapping $f: S \rightarrow T$ at $a$. For any pair $f, g \in \operatorname{Hol}(S, T)$ then $\circ_{a}(f, g):=\circ_{a}(f-g)$ is the order of contact at $a$. In case $a$ is an inner point of $S$ the condition $\circ_{a}(f, g) \geqslant m$ is equivalent to $d^{n} f(a)=$ $d^{n} g(a)$ for all $n<m$.

Definition 2.1. A subset $A \subset E$ is called a set of determinacy in $E$ if for every open connected neighbourhood $U \subset E$ of $A$ the restriction operator $\operatorname{Hol}(U, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hol}(A, \mathbb{C})$ is injective.

The following statement is an easy consequence of the Hahn-Banach theorem.
LEMMA 2.2. Let $f: U \rightarrow F$ be a holomorphic mapping for a domain $U \subset$ $E$. Let furthermore $R \subset F$ be a closed linear subspace and $A \subset U$ a set of determinacy in $E$. Then $f(U) \subset R$ if $f(A) \subset R$.

Proof. Fix $\lambda \in F^{*}$ with $\lambda \mid R=0$. Then the holomorphic function $\lambda \circ f$ vanishes on $A$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A \subset E$ be a balanced set of determinacy in $E$. Then also $A \cap B$ is a set of determinacy in $E$.

Proof. Let $U$ be an open connected neighbourhood of $A \cap B$ and fix a holomorphic function $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ vanishing on $A \cap B$. We have to show that $f=0$. Since $A \cap B$ contains the origin we may assume without loss of generality that $U=B$. Expand $f$ into a series $\sum f_{n}$, where every $f_{n}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is homogeneous of degree $n$ (compare Section 4). Every $f_{n}$ vanishes on $A \cap B$ and hence also on $A$, i.e. $f_{n}=0$ for all $n$.

DEFINITION 2.4. Let $S \subset E$ and $T \subset F$ be connected subsets, let $m \geqslant 0$ be an integer and let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the open unit disc. Then $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(S, T)$ is called $m$-rigid at $a \in S$ if the equality $g=f$ holds for every $g \in \operatorname{Hol}(S, T)$ with $\circ_{a}(f, g) \geqslant m$. If $f$ is $m$-rigid at every point of $S$ we call $f m$-rigid every where. The mapping $f$ is called infinitesimally m-rigid at $a \in S$ if $g_{t} \equiv f$ holds for
every family $\left(g_{t}\right)_{t \in \Delta}$ in $\operatorname{Hol}(S, T)$ satisfying the following properties: (i) $g_{0}=f$, (ii) $\circ_{a}\left(f, g_{t}\right) \geqslant m$ for all $t \in \Delta$ and (iii) $g_{t}$ depends holomorphically on $t$, i.e. the mapping $\Delta \times S \rightarrow T$ defined by $(t, s) \mapsto g_{t}(s)$ is holomorphic, where $\Delta \times S$ is considered as a subset of $\mathbb{C} \times E$.

Clearly, stronger versions of infinitesimal rigidity could be introduced by requiring for instance that $\left(g_{t}\right)$ depends real analytically or only $\mathcal{C}^{r}$ on the parameter $t$. Our main interest however is in the case of linear operators where all these notions are equivalent to simple rigidity (at least if $m \geqslant 2$, compare 4.3).

All degrees of rigidity may occur: $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, \bar{\Delta})$ defined by $f(z)=z^{m}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is $m+1$-rigid but not $m$-rigid at $0 \in \Delta$.

We start with some trivial statements
REMARK 2.5. Suppose that $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a holomorphic mapping and $g$ : $T \rightarrow \tilde{T}, h: S \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ are biholomorphic mappings. Then for every $a \in S$, every integer $m \geqslant 0$ and $f:=g \circ f \circ h^{-1}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ the following holds
(i) $f$ is (infinitesimally, resp.) $m+1$-rigid at $a$ if $f$ is (infinitesimally, resp.) $m$-rigid at $a$.
(ii) $f_{\sim}$ is (infinitesimally $m$-rigid at $a$ if $f$ is $m$-rigid at $a$.
(iii) $\tilde{f}$ is (infinitesimally, resp.) $m$-rigid at $h(a)$ if $f$ is (infinitesimally, resp.) $m$-rigid at $a$.

REMARK 2.6. Suppose that the holomorphic mappings $f_{i}: S \rightarrow T_{i}$ are (infinitesimally, resp.) $m$-rigid at $a \in S$ for $i=1,2$. Then also $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right): S \rightarrow$ $T_{1} \times T_{2}$ is (infinitesimally, resp.) $m$-rigid at $a$.

As a consequence of Liouville's theorem every holomorphic mapping $E \rightarrow T$ is 1-rigid everywhere if $T \subset F$ is bounded. Also, every biholomorphic mapping $f: U \rightarrow V$ is 2-rigid everywhere as a consequence of Cartan's uniqueness theorem if $U \subset E$ is a bounded domain. We even have

Proposition 2.7. Suppose that $f: U \rightarrow V$ is a biholomorphic mapping where $U \subset E$ is a bounded domain. Then $f$ is infinitesimally 1-rigid everywhere.

Proof. We may assume that $U=V$ and that $f$ is the identity on $U$. Fix $a \in U$ and consider on $E$ the Carathéodory norm $v$ defined by

$$
v(v)=\sup \{|d f(a) v|: f \in \operatorname{Hol}(U, \Delta), f(a)=0\}
$$

for all $v \in E$. Then $V:=(E, v)$ also is a complex Banach space. Now suppose that $\left(g_{t}\right)$ is a family in $\operatorname{Hol}(U, U)$ depending holomorphically on $t \in \Delta$ with $g_{t}(a) \equiv a$ and $g_{0}=f$. Then $t \mapsto d g_{t}(a)$ defines a holomorphic mapping $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(V)$ with $\left\|d g_{t}(a)\right\| \leqslant 1$ for all $t$. But $d g_{0}(a)=$ id is an extreme point of the unit ball in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{L}(V)$ by a result of Kakutani and hence $d g_{t}(a)=$ id for all $t \in \Delta$-compare [9] p. 74 and p. 69. But then Cartan's uniqueness theorem gives $g_{t}=f$ for all $t \in \Delta$.

For the open unit balls of Hilbert spaces Proposition 2.7 can be generalized, compare also 3.6.

Example 2.8. Suppose that $E \subset F$ are complex Hilbert spaces with open unit balls $B \subset D$. Then the canonical injection $f: B \hookrightarrow D$ is 2-rigid everywhere. Also, $f$ is infinitesimally 1 -rigid everywhere.

Proof. Denote by $H$ the orthogonal complement of $E$ in $F$ and suppose that $\circ_{a}(f, g) \geqslant 2$ for $g \in \operatorname{Hol}(B, D)$ and some $a \in B$. Then there are holomorphic maps $\varphi: B \rightarrow B, h: B \rightarrow H$ with $g=\varphi+h$. From $\circ_{a}(f, \varphi) \geqslant 2$ we derive $\varphi=$ $f$. But then $\lim _{z \rightarrow \partial B} h(z)=0$ implies $h=0$. That $f$ is infinitesimally 1-rigid at $a=0 \in B$ follows as in the proof of 2.7 since the canonical injection $E \hookrightarrow F$ is an extreme point of the unit ball in $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$. The statement for arbitrary $a \in B$ then is a consequence of 2.5 since there are $h \in \operatorname{Aut}(B)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ with $a=h(0)$ and $f=g \circ f \circ h^{-1}$.

For certain domains Cartan's uniqueness theorem can be strengthened. The first part of the following statement is due to Harris [13], compare also the more general Proposition 6.8.

Proposition 2.9. Let $B$ be the open unit ball of the complex Banach space $E$ and let $f: B \rightarrow B$ be a holomorphic mapping with $d f(0)=\mathrm{id}$. Then also $f(0)=0$ holds and hence $f$ is the identity on B. Furthermore, $f$ is infinitesimally 0 -rigid everywhere on $B$.

Proof. Put $c:=f(0)$ and start with the special case $B=\Delta$. Then Schwarz lemma applied to the function $g(z):=(f(z)-c) /(\bar{c} f(z)-1)$ in $\operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, \Delta)$ gives $1=f^{\prime}(0) \leqslant(1-c \bar{c})$ and hence $c=0$. In the general case choose $a \in \partial B$ and $\lambda \in$ $E^{*}$ in such a way that $\|c\| a=c$ and $\|\lambda\|=1=\lambda(a)$ holds. Then $h \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, \Delta)$ defined by $h(z)=\lambda \circ f(z a)$ satisfies $h^{\prime}(0)=1$ and hence $\|c\|=h(0)=0$. Finally, suppose that $\left(g_{t}\right)$ is a family in $\operatorname{Hol}(B, B)$ depending holomorphically on $t \in \Delta$ with $g_{0}=f$. Then by Cauchy's inequalities $\left\|d g_{t}(0)\right\| \leqslant 1$ holds and as before we derive $g_{t}=$ id for all $t$. But then also $g_{t}(0)=0$ holds, i.e. $g_{t}=f$ for all $t$.

Example 2.10. Let $E$ be a complex Hilbert space of finite dimension $>1$. Let $B \subset E$ be the open unit ball and let $S:=\partial B$ be the unit sphere of $E$. Suppose that $f: S \rightarrow S$ is a holomorphic mapping and that $a \in S$ is a given point. Then it is known that $f$ extends to a holomorphic mapping $f: \bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{B}$. Therefore, if $f$ is not constant, its restriction to $B$ is a proper holomorphic map $B \rightarrow B$. But then by $[\mathbf{1}] f \mid B$ is already an automorphism of $B$. But it is known that every $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{B})$ is linear fractional and is uniquely determined by the three derivatives $f(a), d f(a)$ and $d^{2} f(a)$ within $\operatorname{Aut}(\bar{B})$. From this we get: Either $f$ is constant, and then $f$ is 1 -rigid on $S$ everywhere, or $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(\bar{B})$, and then $f$ is 3-rigid everywhere - but not 2-rigid in any point of $S$. For more general situations of this type compare [23].

Proposition 2.11. Suppose that the holomorphic mapping $f: U \rightarrow V$ is $m$-rigid at $a \in U$ and that $Q$ is an arbitrary domain. Then the mapping $g: U \times Q \rightarrow V$ defined by $g(z, w)=f(z)$ is $m$-rigid at every point $(a, q) \in U \times Q$, provided the bounded holomorphic functions on $U$ separate the points (this happens for instance if $U$ is a bounded domain).

Proof. Fix $q \in Q$ and assume that the holomorphic mapping $h: U \times Q \rightarrow V$ satisfies $\circ_{(a, q)}(h, g) \geqslant m$. We have to show that $g=h$. Consider

$$
\Omega:=\{(z, w) \in U \times Q: \exists \varphi \in \operatorname{Hol}(U, Q) \text { with } \varphi(a)=q, \varphi(z)=w\}
$$

and fix $(z, w) \in \Omega$ together with a corresponding $\varphi$. Then $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hol}(U, V)$ defined by $\gamma(t)=h(t, \varphi(t))$ satisfies $\circ_{a}(\gamma, g) \geqslant m$, i.e. $\gamma=f$ and hence $g(z, w)=$ $h(z, w)$ for all $(z, w) \in \Omega$. Let $X \subset U$ be an open non-void subset that can be separated from $a$ via bounded holomorphic functions on $U$ (for instance $X=$ $U \backslash\{a\}$ ). To every $x \in X$ there is a neighbourhood $Y \subset Q$ of $q$ with $(x, y) \in \Omega$ for all $y \in Y$. This implies by the identity theorem for holomorphic functions that $h$ coincides with $g$ on $X \times Q$ and hence on all of $U \times Q$.

The condition on $U$ in 2.11 cannot be omitted as the following counter example shows: Every holomorphic map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \Delta$ is constant and hence $m$-rigid everywhere while no constant map $\mathbb{C} \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is $m$-rigid at any point.

Corollary 2.12. Let $U$ be a bounded domain. Then for every domain $Q$ the canonical projection $U \times Q \rightarrow U$ is 2-rigid everywhere.

Corollary 2.13. Suppose that $U_{i}$ is a bounded domain and that the holomorphic mapping $f_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow V_{i}$ is $m$-rigid at $a_{i} \in U_{i}$ for $i=1$, 2. Then also $f_{1} \times f_{2}: U_{1} \times U_{2} \rightarrow V_{1} \times V_{2}$ is m-rigid at $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$.

## 3. Rigid linear operators

In the following let $B \subset E$ and $D \subset F$ always be the open unit balls. We are mainly interested in holomorphic maps $f: B \rightarrow D$ with $f(0)=0$. Then the derivative $L:=d f(0)$ satisfies $\|L\| \leqslant 1$. Therefore, if $f$ is 2-rigid at $0 \in B$ we must have $f=L \mid B$ and $\|L\|=1$. It is clear that $f$ never can be 1 -rigid at 0 although it may be infinitesimally 1 -rigid at 0 . This motivates the following definition for the linear case.

DEfinition 3.1. The linear operator $L \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is called rigid if the induced map $B \rightarrow r D$ is 2 -rigid at $0 \in B$ where $r=\|L\|$, that is, if for every holomorphic mapping $f: B \rightarrow r D$ with $f(0)=0$ and $d f(0)=L$ necessarily $f=L \mid B$ follows. We call $L$ strictly rigid if for every holomorphic $f: B \rightarrow r D$ the conclusion $f=L \mid B$ already from the only assumption $d f(0)=L$ follows. In case $E \subset F$ is a subspace we call $E$ (strictly) rigid in $F$ if the canonical injection $E \hookrightarrow F$ has this property.

Clearly, the study of rigidity of linear operators $L$ always can be reduced to the case $\|L\|=1$. The slightly more general situation in 3.1 avoids complicated constants sometimes. Rigidity in our sense is closely related to the vector valued Schwarz lemma in the following form: Suppose $f: B \rightarrow D$ with $f(0)=0$ is holomorphic. Then the derivative $L=d f(0)$ has norm $\leqslant 1$ and $\|f(z)\| \leqslant\|z\|$ holds for all $z \in B$. The question then is: Under what conditions can $f=L \mid B$ be concluded? Many authors studied this question under the additional assumption that $L$ is isometric. It is clear that in case $L$ isometric the Banach space $E$ can without loss of generality be identified with a subspace $E \subset F$ via $L$. To simplify notation we will frequently do so.

We start with the simple situation $\operatorname{dim} E=1$, compare also 5.1 for a more quantitative statement and also 7.2 for a generalization to higher dimensional $E$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow F$ be a linear operator with $a: L(1) \in \partial D$. Then $L$ is rigid if and only if a is a complex extremal boundary point of $D$. Also, $L$ is strictly rigid if and only if a is a (real) extremal boundary point of $D$.

Proof. Put $f:=L \mid B$ and assume that $a$ is not complex extremal. Then there is a vector $v \in F$ with $v \neq 0$ and $a+\Delta v \subset \partial D$. But then $g(z)=z(a+z v)$ defines a holomorphic map $g: \Delta \rightarrow D$ with $d g(0)=L$, i.e. $L$ is not rigid. Assume on the contrary that $a \in \partial B$ is complex extremal and that $g: \Delta \rightarrow D$ is a holomorphic mapping with $g(0)=0$ and $d g(0)=L$. Then $h(z):=g(z) / z$ defines a holomorphic function $h: \Delta \rightarrow \bar{D}$ with $h(0)=a$, i.e. $h \equiv a$ and hence $f=g$, compare [9] p. 69 or [21]. Now assume that $a \in \partial B$ is not extremal. Then there is a vector $v \in E$ with $v \neq 0$ and $\|a \pm v\|=1$. For every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ then $2(\alpha a+\beta v)=(\alpha+\beta)(a+v)+(\alpha-\beta)(a-v)$ implies $2\|\alpha a+\beta v\| \leqslant$ $|\alpha+\beta|+|\alpha-\beta|$. Define $g: \Delta \rightarrow F$ with $d g(0)=L$ by $2 g(z)=2 z a+\left(1+z^{2}\right) v$. Then $\|4 g(z)\| \leqslant|1+z|^{2}+|1-z|^{2}=2(1+z \bar{z})<4$ for all $z \in \Delta$ shows $g(\Delta) \subset D$, i.e. $L$ is not strictly rigid. It remains to show that $a \in \partial_{e} D$ implies strict rigidity of $L$. But this follows from [12] p. 27-28 and also from Théorème 3.6 in [18].

Corollary 3.3. Suppose that $E$ is arbitrary and that $A \subset \partial B$ is a set of determinacy in $E$. Then every $L \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ with $\|L\|=1$ and $L(A) \subset \partial_{e c} D$ is rigid. If in addition $L(a) \in \partial_{e} D$ holds for some $a \in A$ then $L$ is even strictly rigid. In particular, in case $\partial D=\partial_{e} D$ every linear isometry $L: E \rightarrow F$ is strictly rigid.

In case of $\operatorname{dim} E=2$ the situation is already much more complicated as the following example indicates. In particular, rigid linear operators of norm 1 need not be isometric even if they are bijective. Notice that by 2.9 every surjective linear isometry between complex Banach spaces is strictly rigid.

Example 3.4. Let $I$ be an arbitrary set of cardinality $>1$. For $1 \leqslant p<$ $q \leqslant \infty$ fixed consider $E:=\ell^{p}(I)$ and $F:=\ell^{q}(I)$. Then the canonical injection $L: E \rightarrow F$ is not rigid if $q=\infty$. In case that $I$ is finite, the inverse operator
$L^{-1}: F \rightarrow E$ however always is rigid. In case $p>1$ the operator $L^{-1}$ is strictly rigid.

Proof. Write every $z \in B$ as tuple $z=(z(i))$, fix $j \in J$ and let $v=e_{j}$ (i.e. $v(i)=\delta_{i j}$ for all $i \in I$ ) in the following. Choose furthermore an integer $m \geqslant 2$ together with a constant $c>0$ such that $\left(1-t^{p}\right) \leqslant\left(1-c t^{m}\right)^{p}$ holds for all $t \in[0,1]$. Then $g(z)=L(z)+c(z(k))^{m} v$ defines a holomorphic mapping $g: B \rightarrow D$ with $\circ_{0}(f, g)=m \geqslant 2$ for every $k \neq j$ in $I$ if $q=\infty$. Now suppose that $I$ is of finite cardinality $n$ and put $S:=(r L)^{-1}$ for $r:=n^{(1 / p-1 / q)}=\left\|L^{-1}\right\|$. Then $A=\{z \in \partial D:|z(i)|=|z(j)|$ for all $i \in I\}$ is a set of determinacy in $F$. By 3.3 therefore $L^{-1}$ is rigid since $S(A) \subset \partial_{e c}(B)$.

Lemma 3.5. Suppose that $E \subset F$ is a closed linear subspace and that $A$ is a set of determinacy in $E$. Suppose that for every $a \in A$ there is a closed linear subspace $E_{a} \subset E$ containing the point a such that $E_{a}$ is rigid in $F$. Then also $E$ is rigid in $F$.

Proof. Let $f: B \rightarrow D$ be a holomorphic mapping with $\circ_{0}(f, g) \geqslant 2$ for the canonical injection $g: B \hookrightarrow D$. For every $a \in A$ then $f(a)=g(a)$ since $E_{a}$ is rigid in $F$. But then $f=g$ by 2.2.

Proposition 3.6. Let $H, K$ be complex Hilbert spaces and let $L \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ have norm 1. Then $L$ is rigid if and only if $L$ is a (not necessarily surjective) isometry.

Proof. Assume that $L$ is rigid and let $L=V|L|$ be the polar decomposition, where $|L|=\left(L^{*} L\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $V \in \mathcal{L}(H, K)$ is a partial isometry with $\operatorname{ker}(V)=$ $\operatorname{ker}(L)$. Let $\{E(d \lambda)\}$ be the spectral measure of $|L|$, so

$$
|L|=\int_{[0,1]} \lambda E(d \lambda)
$$

We claim that $|L|=\mathrm{id}$, that is $E([0, \alpha])=0$ for all $\alpha<1$. Indeed, if $E([0, \beta]) \neq$ 0 for some $\beta<1$, choose a unit vector $a \in H$ fixed under the projection $P:=$ $E([0, \beta])$ and denote by $Q:=$ id $-P$ the complementary projection. Define a holomorphic map $h: B \rightarrow B$ by $h(z)=(1-\beta)(z \mid a)^{2} a$ where $B \subset H$ is the open unit ball. Since $V^{*} V(a)=a$ holds and $V^{*}$ is an isometry on $V(H)=\overline{L(H)}$ we have for all $z \in B$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|L(z)+V(h(z))\|^{2} & =\||L|(z)+h(z)\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|P|L|(z)+(1-\beta)(P(z) \mid a)^{2} a\right\|^{2}+\|Q|L|(z)\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\|P|L|(z)\|^{2}+(1-\beta)^{2}\|P(z)\|^{4} \\
& +2(1-\beta)\|P|L|(z)\| \cdot\|P(z)\|^{2}+\|Q|L|(z)\|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left(\beta^{2}+(1-\beta)^{2}\right)\|P(z)\|^{2}+2 \beta(1-\beta)\|P(z)\|^{2}+\|Q(z)\|^{2} \\
& =\|P(z)\|^{2}+\|Q(z)\|^{2}=\|z\|^{2}<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction to the rigidity of $L$ since $V(h(a)) \neq 0$. Therefore $|L|=$ id and $L=V$ is an isometry. The converse statement follows from 2.8.

Corollary 3.7. Suppose that $H, K$ are complex Hilbert spaces. Then a linear operator $L: H \rightarrow K$ is a surjective isometry if and only if $L$ and $L^{*}$ are rigid.

Corollary 3.8. Suppose that $E$ is a complex Hilbert space of finite dimension and that $a \in B$ is a given point. Then a holomorphic mapping $f: B \rightarrow B$ is rigid at a if and only if $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(B)$.

Proof. Suppose that $f$ is rigid at $a$. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(B)$ acts transitively on $B$ we may assume that $a=0$. But then $f=L \mid B$ for some linear isometry of $E$ by 3.6. Because of finite dimension $L$ must be surjective, i.e. $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(B)$

A projection $P \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ is called contractive if $\|P\| \leqslant 1$ holds and $P$ is called bicontractive if in addition also id $-P$ is a contractive projection. Furthermore, a contractive projection $P$ from $F$ onto $E \subset F$ is called neutral if $\|P(z)\|=\|z\|$ always implies $z \in E$ for all $z \in F$. Neutrality is not invariant under $\ell^{\infty}$-sums, more precisely, suppose that $P_{i}$ is a neutral projection on the complex Banach space $F_{i}$ with range $E_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then $P:=P_{1} \times P_{2}$ is a contractive projection on $F=F_{1} \oplus_{\infty} F_{2}$ with image $E=E_{1} \oplus_{\infty} E_{2}$, but in general $P$ is not neutral. However, it is easily seen that $A:=\{(x, y) \in E:\|x\|=\|y\|\}$ is a set of determinacy in $E$ and that $P$ is almost neutral in the following sense.

DEFINITION 3.9. We call a contractive projection $P$ from $F$ onto $E$ almost neutral if there exists a set of determinacy $A$ in $E$ such that $z \in E$ for every $z \in F$ with $\|P(z)\|=\|z\|$ and $P(z) \in A$.

A nontrivial example for an almost neutral projection is obtained as follows (compare also 7.11). Let $H \subset K$ be complex Hilbert spaces with $H \neq K$ and $\operatorname{dim}(H) \geqslant 2$. Let furthermore $p: K \rightarrow H$ be the orthogonal projection. Then $P(z)=p \circ z$ defines a contractive projection from $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ onto $\mathcal{L}(H)$ that is not neutral. With $A \subset \mathcal{L}(H)$ the unitary group we see that $P$ is almost neutral.

Proposition 3.10. Suppose that there exists an almost neutral projection $P$ from $F$ onto $E$. Then $E$ is rigid in $F$.

Proof. Choose $A \subset E$ as in definition 3.9. Then we may assume that $A$ is balanced and hence that $A \subset B$ holds by 2.3. Let $f: B \rightarrow D$ be a holomorphic mapping with $\circ_{0}(f, g) \geqslant 2$ for the canonical injection $g: B \hookrightarrow D$. Then $g=$ $P \circ f$ holds by Cartan's uniqueness theorem. By Schwarz lemma we have for all $z \in B$

$$
\|z\|=\|g(z)\|=\|P f(z)\| \leqslant\|f(z)\| \leqslant\|z\|
$$

and hence $\|P f(z)\|=\|f(z)\|$, i.e. $f(z) \in E$ for all $z \in A$. This implies $f(z) \in E$ for all $z \in B$ by 2.2 and thus $f=g$.

A little more can be said in 3.10 if the projection $P$ is strongly neutral in the following sense: For every sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ in $F$ with $\lim \left\|P\left(z_{n}\right)\right\|=\lim \left\|z_{n}\right\|<$ $+\infty$ always $\lim \left\|z_{n}-P\left(z_{n}\right)\right\|=0$ holds. Then it is not difficult to see that the canonical injection $B \hookrightarrow D$ is rigid everywhere. As an example of this situation we may take for every $1 \leqslant p<\infty$ any $\ell^{p}$-sum $F=E \oplus_{p} W$ with the canonical projection $P: F \rightarrow E$ along $W$.

## 4. Quantitative study of rigidity

As before, let $E, F$ be complex Banach spaces with open unit balls $B, D$. We call a continuous mapping $f: E \rightarrow F$ a homogeneous polynomial of degree $n$ if there is a symmetric $n$-linear mapping $q: E^{n} \rightarrow F$ with $f(z)=q(z, z, \ldots, z)$ for all $z \in E$-or equivalently-if $f$ is holomorphic and satisfies $f(t z)=t^{n} f(z)$ for all $z \in E$ and all $t \in \mathbb{C}$. The $n$-linear map $q$ is uniquely determined by $f$ and can be recovered from $f$ with the polarization formula

$$
q\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\left(2^{n} n!\right)^{-1} \sum_{\varepsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}^{n}} \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \cdots \varepsilon_{n} f\left(\varepsilon_{1} z_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{n} z_{n}\right)
$$

Also $d^{n} f(a)=n!q$ holds for every $a \in E$. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{n}:=\mathcal{P}_{n}(E, F):=\{\text { homogeneous polynomials } E \rightarrow F \text { of degree } n\} \\
& \mathcal{P}^{n}:=\mathcal{P}^{n}(E, F):=\{\text { polynomials } E \rightarrow F \text { of degree } \leqslant n\}=\bigoplus_{k \leqslant n} \mathcal{P}_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by $\mathrm{H}^{\infty}(B, F)$ the Banach space of all bounded holomorphic functions $f: B \rightarrow F$ with norm $\|f\|=\sup _{z \in B}\|f(z)\|$. Then $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ as well as $\mathcal{P}^{n}$ can be considered as closed linear subspaces of $\mathrm{H}^{\infty}(B, F)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $f \in \mathrm{H}^{\infty}(B, F)$ has a unique expansion

$$
f=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n} \quad \text { with } f_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{n} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

converging uniformly on every subball $s B \subset B, s \in \Delta$. Every $f_{n}: E \rightarrow F$ is given by

$$
f_{n}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{T}}(r t)^{-n} f(r t z) d t
$$

with $z \in E$ and $r>0$ satisfying $r_{z} \in B$ and $d t$ the (normalized) Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$. In particular, $f \mapsto f_{n}$ defines a contractive projection $P_{n}$ from $\mathrm{H}^{\infty}(B, F)$ onto $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following let $\mathcal{E}:=\left\{f \in \mathrm{H}^{\infty}(B, F): f(0)=0\right\}$ and denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the closed unit ball of $\mathcal{E}$. For every $t \in \bar{\Delta}$ define the commutation operator $C_{t} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ by $f(z) \mapsto f(t z) / t$ if $t \neq 0$ and by $f \mapsto d f(0) \in \mathcal{P}_{1}$ if $t=0$.

LEMMA 4.1. $t \mapsto C_{t}$ defines a semigroup homomorphism $\bar{\Delta} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ with
$\left\|C_{t}\right\|=1$ for all $t$. For every $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} \in \mathcal{E}$ with $f_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}$ the family $\left(g_{t}\right)$ in $\mathcal{E}$ with

$$
g_{t}:=C_{t}(f)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n} t^{n-1}
$$

depends holomorphically on $t \in \bar{\Delta}$ and $t \mapsto g_{t}$ defines a holomorphic curve $\Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. In case $\|f\|=\left\|f_{1}\right\|$ also $\left\|g_{t}\right\|=\left\|f_{1}\right\|$ holds for all $t$.

Proof. Fix $f \in \mathcal{B}$. Then by Schwarz lemma we have $\|f(z)\| \leqslant\|z\|$ for all $z \in B$ and hence $\left\|C_{t}\right\| \leqslant 1$. From $\mathcal{P} \subset \operatorname{Fix}\left(C_{t}\right)$ we thus get $\left\|C_{t}\right\|=1$. The last statement follows from $g_{1}=f, g_{0}=f_{1}$ and $\left\|g_{s}\right\| \leqslant\left\|g_{t}\right\|$ if $|s| \leqslant|t|$.

Fix an operator $L \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ with $\|L\|=1$ in the following. We introduce some numerical invariants that measure the size of non-rigidity of $L$ : Let $\mathcal{A}=$ $\mathcal{A}(L)$ be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{E}$ with $d f(0)=0$ and $\|L+f\| \leqslant 1$. Then $L$ is rigid if and only if $\mathcal{A}=0$. It is easily seen that $\mathcal{A}$ is closed convex in $\mathcal{E}$ and also is invariant under every operator $C_{t}$. From 4.1 we see that $L+\mathcal{A}$ is contained in the boundary $\partial \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{B}$. For every $m \geqslant 2$ let

$$
\mathcal{A}_{m}:=\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{P}_{m}, \quad \alpha_{m}:=\sup _{f \in \mathcal{A}_{m}}\|f\| \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{m}:=\sup _{f \in \mathcal{A}}\left\|P_{m}(f)\right\|
$$

where $P_{m}$ is the projection operator $\left(\sum_{n} f_{n}\right) \mapsto f_{m}$ as defined above. Then clearly $\alpha_{m} \leqslant \pi_{m} \leqslant 1$ holds and every $\mathcal{A}_{m}$ is a balanced subset of $\mathcal{B}$, that is

$$
\mathcal{A}_{m}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{P}_{m}:\|L+t f\| \leqslant 1 \text { for all } t \in \bar{\Delta}\right\} .
$$

We may use this equation to define $\mathcal{A}_{m}$ together with $\alpha_{m}$ also for the remaining cases $m=0$ and $m=1$.

Lemma 4.2. $\alpha_{m} \leqslant \alpha_{m+1}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and in particular, the limit $\alpha_{\infty}:=$ $\lim \alpha_{m} \leqslant 1$ exists.

Proof. Fix $f \in \mathcal{A}_{m}$ and let $\Lambda$ be the unit ball of $E^{*}$. For every $\lambda \in \Lambda, z \in B$ and $t \in \bar{\Delta}$ we have

$$
\|L(z)+t \lambda(z) f(z)\| \leqslant 1
$$

that is, $\lambda \cdot f$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}_{m+1}$. Then the Hahn-Banach theorem implies

$$
\|f\|=\sup _{\lambda \in \Lambda}\|\lambda \cdot f\|,
$$

which proves the statement.
The operators $C_{t}$ may be generalized in the following way. Let $\mu$ be a regular complex Borel measure on $\bar{\Delta}$ with finite total variation and put

$$
\hat{\mu}(k):=\int_{\bar{\Delta}} t^{-k} d \mu(t)
$$

for every integer $k \leqslant 0$. Then the operator $C_{\mu}:=\int_{\bar{\Delta}} C_{t} d \mu(t) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ satisfies $\left\|C_{\mu}\right\| \leqslant\|\mu\|$ and for $f=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}$ as before we have

$$
C_{\mu}(f)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{\mu}(1-n) f_{n}
$$

In particular, if $\mu$ is a probability measure on $\bar{\Delta}$, we have $\left\|C_{\mu}\right\|=1=\hat{\mu}(0)$ and
$\left\|L+C_{\mu}(f)\right\|=\left\|\int_{\bar{\Delta}} C_{t}(L+f) d \mu(t)\right\| \leqslant \int_{\bar{\Delta}}\|L+f\| d \mu(t) \leqslant \int_{\bar{\Delta}} d \mu(t)=1$
for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e. $C_{\mu}$ maps the spaces $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{m}$ into themselves for every $m \in \underline{\mathbb{N}}$. In the following proposition we use measures $\mu$ that are concentrated on $\mathbb{T} \subset \bar{\Delta}$.

Proposition 4.3. For every $m \geqslant 2$ and every $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|s| \leqslant 1 / 2$ the operator $s P_{m}$ maps $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A}_{m}$. In particular, $\alpha_{m} \leqslant \pi_{m} \leqslant 2 \alpha_{m}$ holds and for every fixed $m \geqslant 2$ the factor 2 in this estimate is the best constant valid for all operators $L$ uniformly.

Proof. Consider $d \mu(t)=\operatorname{Re}\left(1+2 s t^{1-m}\right) d t$, where $d t$ is the Haar measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Then $\mu$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{T}$ with $\hat{\mu}(1-n)=0$ for all $n \geqslant 2$ except $\hat{\mu}(1-m)=s$. This implies $s P_{m}(f)=C_{\mu}(f) \in \mathcal{A}_{m}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$. The last claim will be verified in example 5.2.

Proposition 4.4. The following conditions are equivalent for every $L \in$ $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$ with $\|L\|=1$.
(i) $L$ is a complex extreme point of the unit ball in $\mathrm{H}^{\infty}(B, F)$,
(ii) $L$ is rigid,
(iii) $\alpha_{m}=0$ for all $m$ (i.e. $\alpha_{\infty}=0$ ).

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that $L$ is not rigid. Then there is $f=\sum f_{n} \in \mathcal{B}$ with $f \neq f_{1}=L$. Since $g_{t}:=C_{t}(f)$ depends holomorphically on $t \in \Delta$ we get $\left\|L+s\left(g_{t}-g_{0}\right)\right\|=1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \Delta$ with $|2 s t| \leqslant 1-|t|$ by [9] p. 68. Then $g_{t} \neq g_{0}=L$ for $t \neq 0$ implies that (i) does not hold.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) is trivial.
(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Suppose that (i) does not hold. Then there is a non-zero holomorphic map $f: B \rightarrow D$ with $\|L+t f\| \leqslant 1$ for all $t \in \Delta$. After replacing $f$ by the function $z \mapsto \lambda(z)^{2} f(z)$ for a suitable $\lambda \in E^{*}$ we may assume that $f \in \mathcal{A}$. By 4.3 there is an $m \geqslant 2$ with $\alpha_{m}>0$. Finally, Lemma 4.2 implies $\alpha_{\infty}>0$.

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) in 4.4 is already contained in [12] p. 25, compare also [6] p. 75. In [12] it also has been shown that $L$ (using our language) is strictly rigid if it is a (real) extreme point of the unit ball in $\mathrm{H}^{\infty}(B, F)$.

DEFINITION 4.5. For every $m \geqslant 1$ the linear operator $L \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is called $m$-extreme if $L$ is an extreme point of the unit ball in $\mathcal{P}^{m}(E, F)$. In case of a complex extreme point we call $L$ complex m-extreme.

LEMMA 4.6. For every $m \geqslant 2$ the conditions ' $m$-extreme', 'complex $m$ extreme' and ' $\alpha_{m}=0$ ' are equivalent. Furthermore, ' $\alpha_{1}=0$ ' is equivalent to 'complex 1-extreme'.

The set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{E}$ is convex and contains the origin. In general, $\mathcal{A}$ it is not circular (compare 5.2 for an example).

Lemma 4.7. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is circular. Then the projection $P_{m}$ maps $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{m}$ and in particular, $\alpha_{m}=\pi_{m}$ holds for every $m \geqslant 2$.

Proof. Fix $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then

$$
\left\|L(z)+t^{-m} f(t z)\right\|=\left\|L(t z)+t^{1-m} f(t z)\right\| \leqslant 1
$$

holds for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $z \in B$. This implies
$\left\|L(z)+P_{m} f(z)\right\|=\left\|\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left(L(z)+t^{-m} f(t z)\right) d t\right\| \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{T}}\left\|L(z)+t^{-m} f(t z)\right\| d t \leqslant 1$
and hence $P_{m}(f) \in \mathcal{A}_{m}$.

## 5. Some numerical estimates

In the following, for given $L \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ with $\|L\|=1$, the spaces $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{m}$ and the numerical invariants $\alpha_{m}, \pi_{m}$ have the same meaning as in the preceding section. We want to get estimates on these invariants in the special situation where one of the spaces $E, F$ has dimension 1 . We start with the case $E=\mathbb{C}$ and a quantitative version of Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 5.1. Let $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow F$ be a linear operator with $\|L\|=1$. Then

$$
\alpha_{m}=\alpha_{0}=\sup \{\|v\|: v \in F,\|a+t v\| \leqslant 1\} \quad \text { for all } t \in \Delta
$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where $a:=L(1) \in \partial D$.
Proof. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{A}_{m}$. Then $f(z)=z^{m} v$ for some $v \in F$ with $\| a+$ $t v \| \leqslant 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. This shows $v \in \mathcal{A}_{0}$ and thus $\alpha_{m} \leqslant \alpha_{0}$.

Example 5.2. Let $K$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $F:=\mathcal{C}_{0}(K)$. Fix a function $a \in F$ with $\|a\|=1$ and put $r:=\|1-|a|\|$. Let $L: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow F$ be defined by $L(z)=z a$. Then by 3.2 the operator $L$ is rigid if and only if $r=0$ holds. For $v \in F$ the condition $\|a+t v\| \leqslant 1$ for all $t \in \Delta$ is equivalent to $|a|+|v| \leqslant 1$ which implies $\alpha_{m}=1-r$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We claim that in general
the invariants $\pi_{m}$ differ from $\alpha_{m}$, i.e. the set $\mathcal{A}$ is not circular. To see this, define for every $c \in D$ the holomorphic mappings $g_{c}: \Delta \rightarrow D$ by

$$
g_{c}(z):=\frac{c+z}{1+z \bar{c}}=c+(1-c \bar{c}) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}(-\bar{c})^{m-1} z^{m}
$$

where every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is identified with the constant function $\equiv z$ on $K$. Then for all $0<s, t<1$ and $z \in \Delta$ we have

$$
\left\|g_{s a}(z)-g_{t a}(z)\right\|=\left\|\frac{(s-t)\left(a-z^{2} \bar{a}\right)}{(1+s z \bar{a})(1+t z \bar{a})}\right\| \leqslant 2(1-|z|)^{-2}|s-t|
$$

This implies that the local uniform limit

$$
g:=\lim _{s \nearrow 1} g_{s a} \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, \bar{D})
$$

exists. Now consider the $F$-valued function $f$ on $\Delta$ defined by

$$
f(z):=z g(z)-L(z)=(1-a \bar{a}) \sum_{m=2}^{\infty}(-\bar{a})^{m-2} z^{m}
$$

Then $f$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}$ and hence

$$
\pi_{m} \geqslant r^{m-2}\left(1-r^{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } m \geqslant 2
$$

In particular, $\pi_{2}>\alpha_{2}$ holds if $0<r<1$. Consequently, $\mathcal{A}$ is not circular in this case. Also, because of $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} r^{m-2}\left(1-r^{2}\right) /(1-r)=2$ the example shows that for every fixed $m \geqslant 2$ the estimate $\pi_{m} \leqslant 2 \alpha_{m}$ in Proposition 4.3 cannot be improved with a universal constant $<2$.

Next we consider the case $F=\mathbb{C}$, that is, when $L$ is a linear form on $E$. For every pair of vectors $a, v \in E$ with $\|a+t v\| \geqslant\|a\|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ put

$$
\delta_{a}(v):=\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log (\|a+t v\|-\|a\|)}{\log |t|} \in[1,+\infty]
$$

where $t$ runs in $\mathbb{C}^{*}$. Then $\delta_{a}(s v)=\delta_{a}(v)$ holds for all $s \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ and also $\delta_{a}(0)=$ $+\infty$.

Now let $L: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a linear form with $\|L\|=1$ in the following. Assume that there exists a unit vector $a \in E$ with $L(a)=1$. Then every $z \in E$ can be uniquely written as $z=(u, v)$ with $u=L(z)$ and $v=z-u a \in V:=\operatorname{ker}(L)$. We would like to relate rigidity properties of $L$ to smoothness properties of the unit sphere of $E$ at the point $a=(1,0)$.

PROPOSITION 5.3. For every integer $m \geqslant 2$ and $\delta:=\inf _{v \in V} \delta_{a}(v)$ we have
(i) $L$ is m-extreme (i.e. $\alpha_{m}=0$ ) if $m<\delta$,
(ii) $L$ is not $m$-extreme if $m>\delta$ and $V$ has the following property: To every $w \in$ $V$ there is a non-zero linear form $\lambda \in V^{*}$ such that $(u, v) \mapsto(u, \lambda(v) w)$ defines a linear operator of norm 1 on $E$. This condition is always satisfied if $E$ has dimension 2.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $h \in \mathcal{A}$ is homogeneous of degree $m$. For every $(u, v) \in$ $B$ and every $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have $|u+t h(u, v)| \leqslant\|(u, v)\|$ and hence

$$
|u|+|h(u, v)| \leqslant\|(u, v)\| .
$$

Fix $0<u<1$ in the following and put $w:=v / u$. Dividing by $u$ then gives

$$
\left|u^{m-1} h(1, w)\right| \leqslant\|(1, w)\|-1
$$

for all $w \in V$ near $0 \in V$. Fix an arbitrary vector $v \in V$ and put $p(t):=$ $u^{m-1} h(1, t v)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $p$ is a polynomial of degree $\leqslant m$ in $t$. Choose $\beta>m$ together with a sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$ in $\Delta \backslash\{0\}$ satisfying

$$
\frac{\log \left(\left\|\left(1, t_{n} v\right)\right\|-1\right)}{\log \left|t_{n}\right|} \geqslant \beta \quad \text { for all } n
$$

and $\lim t_{n}=0$. This implies

$$
\left\|\left(1, t_{n} v\right)\right\|-1 \leqslant\left|t_{n}\right|^{\beta} \quad \text { and hence } \quad\left|p\left(t_{n}\right)\right| \leqslant\left|t_{n}\right|^{\beta}
$$

for all $n$ big enough. Since $p$ has degree $<\beta$ this implies $p=0$ and hence $h(1, v)=0$ for all $v \in V$. But this means $h=0$.
(ii) Because of $m>\delta$ there exists $r>0$ and a non-zero vector $w \in V$ with $|t|^{m} \leqslant\|(1, t w)\|-1$ for all $t \in r \Delta$. Choose $\lambda \in V^{*}$ as above. We may assume that $|\lambda(v)|<1$ holds for all $(u, v) \in B$. There exists $c>0$ such that

$$
2 c \leqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad 1+c|t|^{m} \leqslant\|(1, t w)\| \quad \text { if } 0 \leqslant|t| \leqslant 2
$$

Consider the homogeneous polynomial $h(u, v)=c \lambda(v)^{m}$ of degree $m$ on $E$. We claim that $h \in \mathcal{A}_{m}$, i.e. $|u+h(u, v)| \leqslant 1$ for all $(u, v) \in B$. Indeed, in case $|\lambda(v)|<2|u|$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u+c \lambda(v)^{m}\right| & \leqslant|u|\left(1+c\left|u^{m-1} \lambda(v / u)\right|^{m}\right) \leqslant|u|\left(1+c|\lambda(v / u)|^{m}\right) \\
& \leqslant|u| \cdot\|(1, \lambda(v / u) w)\|=\|(v, \lambda(v) w)\|<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In case $|\lambda(v)| \geqslant 2|u|$ we have $\left|u+c \lambda(v)^{m}\right| \leqslant|\lambda(v) / 2|+c<1$, which proves the claim.

Proposition 5.4. Let $V$ be a complex Banach space and put $E:=\mathbb{C} \oplus_{p} V$ for fixed $1 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty$. Let $L: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the canonical projection and $a=$ $(1,0)$. Then for every $v \in V \subset E$ with $v \neq 0$ we have $\delta_{a}(v)=p$. In particular, $L$ is m-extreme if $m<p$. Since $V$ satisfies the condition in 5.3.ii, the operator $L$ is not $m$-extreme if $m>p$. Actually, we have $\alpha_{m} \geqslant 1 / p$ if $m=p$ and $\alpha_{m}=1$ if $m>p$. In case $V=\mathbb{C}$ and $p=2$ the equality $\alpha_{2}=1 / 2$ holds.

Proof. Fix a linear form $\lambda \in V^{*}$ with $\|\lambda\|=1$ and define the homogeneous polynomial $h: E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $h(u, v):=c \lambda(v)^{m}$ for $c>0$ to be determined. Then $\|h\|=c$ is clear. By elementary calculus we see: $h \in A_{m}$ if $m>p$ and $c=1$ or if $m=p$ and $c=1 / p$. In case $V=\mathbb{C}$ and $p=2$ one shows that $\mathcal{A}_{2}=\left\{(u, v) \mapsto c v^{2}:|c| \leqslant 1 / 2\right\}$.

## 6. Tangent spaces

We start with an example that motivates the following definitions.
Example 6.1. Let $E$ be a complex Hilbert space with open unit ball $B$ and let $F:=E \oplus E$ with norm

$$
\|(z, w)\|=\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\|((\cos t) z+(\sin t) w)\| .
$$

Denote by $P$ the projection on $F$ defined by $P(z, w)=(z, 0)$ and identify $E$ with $P(F)$ in the obvious way. The projection $P$ is bicontractive but not almost neutral. Indeed, $\operatorname{Re}(z \mid w)=0$ and $\|w\| \leqslant\|z\|$ implies $\|(z, w)\|=\|z\|$. Our methods so far do not guarantee that $E \subset F$ is rigid. To get this, suppose that $f: E \rightarrow E$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m \geqslant 2$ satisfying

$$
\|(z, f(z))\| \leqslant 1 \quad \text { for all } z \in B
$$

This is easily seen to be equivalent to $\operatorname{Re}(f(z) \mid z)=0$ for all unit vectors $z \in E$ and hence for all $z \in E$ since $f$ is homogeneous. Geometrically this means that every vector $f(z)$ is tangent at $z$ to the sphere with radius $\|z\|$ about the origin. The same holds for if in place of $f$, i.e.

$$
(f(z) \mid z)=0 \quad \text { for all } z \in E
$$

But then polarization gives $(f(z) \mid w)=0$ for all $z, w \in E$, i.e. $f=0$ and therefore $E$ is rigid in $F$ by Proposition 4.4. It can be shown that $F$ is isometrically isomorphic to the complex Banach space of all $\mathbb{R}$-linear operators $X \rightarrow E$, where $X$ is a real Hilbert space of real dimension 2.

Let $F$ be a an arbitrary complex Banach space with open unit ball $D$. For every $a \in F$ denote by $S_{a}$ the set of all $\lambda \in F^{*}$ with $\lambda(a)=\|\lambda\| \cdot\|a\|$ and $\|\lambda\|=\|a\|$. Then $S_{a}$ is a non-void convex subset of $F^{*}$ with $S_{t a}=\bar{t} S_{a}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and hence also $S_{a}(v):=\left\{\lambda(v): \lambda \in S_{a}\right\}$ is convex in $\mathbb{C}$ for every $v \in F$. Put

$$
T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}}:=\left\{v \in F: S_{a}(v) \subset i \mathbb{R}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad T_{a}:=\left\{v \in F: S_{a}(v)=\{0\}\right\}
$$

Then the $\mathbb{R}$-linear subspace $T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}}$ for $a \neq 0$ may be considered as the real tangent space at $a$ to the sphere $\{v \in F:\|v\|=\|a\|\}^{a}$ and $T_{a}=T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}} \cap i T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is called the complex tangent space at $a$. We call $a \in F$ a smooth point if $S_{a}$ consists of a single functional or equivalently if $F=\mathbb{C}_{a}+T_{a}$. For every smooth $a \in F$ denote by $s_{a}$ the unique functional in $S_{a}$. For instance, if $F$ is a complex Hilbert space, then every $a \in F$ is smooth and $s_{a}(v)=(v \mid a)$ holds for all $a, v \in F$.

Our definition of tangent space implies in particular $T_{0}=F$ for the origin. This simplifies later notations and also means that for $T_{a}$ only the case $a \neq 0$ counts. The following characterization of tangent spaces in terms of differentiability conditions seems to be known.

REMARK 6.2. For every $0 \neq a \in F$ the vector $v \in F$ is in $T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}}$ (in $T_{a}$ respectively) if and only if

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|a+t v\|-\|a\|}{t}=0
$$

holds where $t$ runs in $\mathbb{R}$ (in $\mathbb{C}$ respectively).
Simple examples show that $\left\{(a, v) \in F^{2}: v \in T_{a}\right\}$ is not closed in $F^{2}$ in general. Therefore, denote by $C_{a}$ the set of all $v \in F$ such that there exist sequences $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(v_{n}\right)$ in $F$ with $a=\lim a_{n}, v=\lim v_{n}$ and $v_{n} \in T_{a_{n}}$ for all $n$. Then $C_{a}$ is a closed complex cone in $F$ with $T_{a} \subset C_{a}$. For every subset $A \subset F$ we put

$$
T_{A}:=\bigcap_{a \in A} T_{a} \quad \text { and } \quad C_{A}:=\bigcap_{a \in A} C_{a} .
$$

To indicate the dependence on $F$ we also write $T_{a}(F), T_{A}(F)$ and $C_{A}(F)$ instead of $T_{a}, T_{A}$ and $C_{A}$. For arbitrary complex Banach spaces $E \subset F \subset R$ the identity $T_{E}(F)=F \cap T_{E}(R)$ is clear by the Hahn-Banach theorem. For every contractive projection $P$ from $F$ onto $E$ and every $a \in E$ we have $P\left(T_{a}(F)\right)=T_{a}(E)$. Also, for every $a \in F$ and every skew-hermitian operator $\delta \in \mathcal{L}(F)$, i.e. $\|\exp (t \delta)\|=1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the vector $\delta(a)$ belongs to the tangent space $T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Lemma 6.3. For every closed linear subspace $E \subset F$ the space $T_{E}$ is a closed linear subspace of $F$ with $E \cap T_{E}=0$. Furthermore, $R:=E+T_{E}$ is closed in $F$ and the projection $R \rightarrow E$ along $T_{E}$ is contractive.

Proof. For every $a \in E$ and $v \in T_{a}$ we have $\|a+v\| \geqslant\|a\|$. In particular, $a=0$ if $a+v=0$, i.e. $E \cap T_{E}=0$. The projection $P: R \rightarrow E$ along $T_{E}$ is contractive. This implies for every Cauchy sequence ( $z_{n}$ ) in $R$ that also ( $P z_{n}$ ) and $\left(z_{n}-P z_{n}\right)$ are Cauchy sequences in $E$ and $T_{E}$, respectively. Therefore $\left(z_{n}\right)$ converges in $R$ and thus $R$ is closed in $F$.

We call the linear subspace $E \subset F$ smooth in $F$ if $F=E+T_{E}$.
Example 6.4. Let $K$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space and $F:=C_{0}(K)$. For every unit vector $a \in F$ put

$$
\Sigma_{a}:=\{s \in K:|a(s)|=1\} .
$$

Then $S_{a}$ is the space of all linear forms

$$
f \longmapsto \int_{\Sigma_{a}} f(s) \overline{a(s)} d \mu(s)
$$

where $\mu \geqslant 0$ is a regular Borel measure on $\Sigma_{a}$ with $\mu\left(\Sigma_{a}\right)=1$. In particular, if $E \subset F$ is a closed linear subspace and

$$
\Omega:=\{s \in K:|a(s)|=1 \quad \text { for some unit vector } a \in E\}
$$

then $T_{E}(F)=\{f \in F: f \mid \Omega=0\}$. Therefore, $T_{E}(F)=0$ if and only if $\Omega$ is dense in $K$. Also, $a \in F$ is smooth if and only if $T_{a}=C_{a}$ holds. The subspace $E$ is smooth in $F$ if and only if the restriction operator $E \rightarrow C_{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is surjective and then in particular $E$ has to separate the points of $\bar{\Omega}$.

DEfinition 6.5. The complex Banach space $E$ is called a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple if the group $\operatorname{Aut}(B)$ acts transitively on the unit ball $B \subset E$. More generally, for an arbitrary complex Banach space $F$ with open unit ball $D$ a closed linear subspace $E \subset F$ is called a JB*-subtriple of $F$ if the group $\{g \in \operatorname{Aut}(D): g(B)=B\}$ acts transitively on $B$. Then clearly $E$ is a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple by itself.

The name $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple comes from the fact that for every $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-subtriple $E \subset F$ there is a natural triple product mapping $\}: F \times E \times F \rightarrow F$ such that $\{z a w\}$ is symmetric bilinear in $(z, w) \in F^{2}$, antilinear in $a \in E$ and such that for every $a \in E$ the polynomial $a-\{z a z\} \in \mathcal{P}^{2}(F, F)$ is a complete holomorphic vector field on $D$ tangent to the subspace $E \subset F$, compare [3] and [15].

Example 6.6. Let $F$ be a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra or more generally a JB*-algebra with unit $e$, compare [11]. Then $F$ is also a JB*-triple. Furthermore, the self-adjoint part $J:=\left\{z \in F: z^{*}=z\right\}$ is a JB-algebra and $T_{e}^{\mathbb{R}}(F)=i J=\{z \in F:$ $\left.z^{*}=-z\right\}$ (compare 7.8). In particular, $T_{E}(F)=0$ holds for every closed linear subspace $E \subset F$ with $e \in E$.

DEFinition 6.7. We say that the pair $E \subset F$ of complex Banach spaces satisfies
(i) Property P if $f(E) \subset T_{E}(F)$ holds for every holomorphic mapping $f$ : $E \rightarrow F$ satisfying $f(z) \in T_{z}(F)$ for all $z \in E$.
(ii) Property Q if there exists a complex Banach space $R \supset F$ such that $E$ is a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-subtriple of $R$.

The assumptions in 6.7 may be weakened in several ways: From the power series expansion of holomorphic functions it is clear that in 6.7.i instead of arbitrary holomorphic mappings $f$ only homogeneous polynomials $f$ have to be checked. Also, the condition $f(z) \in T_{z}$ only has to be assumed for all $z \in A$ where $A$ is some set of determinacy in $E$. Clearly, $E$ is a JB*-triple if Property Q holds.

Proposition 6.8. Suppose that $E \subset F$ are complex Banach spaces and denote by $L: E \hookrightarrow F$ the canonical injection. Suppose furthermore that $f: B \rightarrow D$ is a holomorphic mapping with $d f(0)=L$. Then $f(0) \in T_{E}(F)$ and $g(z) \in T_{z}(F)$ holds for all $z \in B$ and $g:=f-L$. In particular, if $T_{E}(F)=0$
and $E \subset F$ satisfies Property P , we have $f=L \mid B$ and hence $E$ is strictly rigid in $F$.

Proof. For every $a \in \partial B$ and $\lambda \in S_{a}$ consider the function $h \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, \Delta)$ defined by $h(t)=\lambda \circ f(t a)$. Then $h^{\prime}(0)=1$ implies $h(t)=t$ and hence $\lambda\left(g\left(t_{a}\right)\right)=0$ for all $t$. This means $g(z) \in T_{z}$ for all $z \in B$ and in particular $f(0)=g(0) \in T_{E}(F)$. Now suppose that $T_{E}(F)=0$ holds and that $E$ is not rigid in $F$. Then we may assume that $g \neq 0$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $m \geqslant 2$ by Proposition 4.3. Clearly, $g(z) \in T_{z}$ holds for all $z \in E$ by homogeneity and hence Property P cannot be satisfied.

Obviously every linear subspace $E \subset F$ of dimension 1 satisfies Property P . Further examples are obtained in the following way.

Lemma 6.9. Suppose that $P$ is a contractive projection from $F$ onto $E$. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(E, F)$ satisfy $f(z) \in T_{z}(F)$ for all $z \in E$. Then $f(E) \subset \operatorname{ker} P$.

Proof. $g:=P \circ f$ satisfies $g(z) \in T_{z}(E)$ for all $z \in E$ which implies $g=0$ by the special case $E=F$ of the following proposition.

Proposition 6.10. The pair $E \subset F$ satisfies Property P if $E$ is smooth in $F$.
Proof. Because of 6.3 and 6.9 we only have to consider the special case $E=$ $F$. Fix a holomorphic map $f: E \rightarrow E$ with $f(a) \in T_{a}$ for all $a \in \partial B$. Then $f$ is a complete holomorphic vector field on the unit ball $B \subset E$ by [19], compare also [20], p. 28. But if has the same property and hence is also complete on $B$, i.e. $f=0$.

Corollary 6.11. Let $E$, $W$ be arbitrary complex Banach spaces and $F=$ $E \oplus_{p} W$ the $\ell^{p}$-sum for $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$. Then the pair $E \subset F$ satisfies Property P .

Proof. Let $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(E, F)$ satisfy $f(z) \in T_{z}(F)$ for all $z \in E$ and denote by $P: F \rightarrow E$ the canonical projection along $W$. In case $p=1$ we have $T_{z}(F)=T_{z}(E)$ for all $z \in E$ and the statement follows by 6.10 . In case $p>1$ the subspace $E$ is smooth in $F$.

For every measure space $(X, \mu)$ and every $p$ with $2 \leqslant p<\infty$ proposition 6.10 applied to $E=F=\mathrm{L}^{p}(X, \mu)$ gives $f=0$ for every holomorphic function $f: E \rightarrow E$ satisfying

$$
\int_{X} f(z) \bar{z}|z|^{p-2} d \mu=0
$$

for all $z \in E$. In case $p=2$ this is trivial (compare the reasoning in 6.1) and for $p>2$ a direct proof also can be obtained by taking real derivatives with respect to $z$ and then considering their complex linear as well as their complex antilinear parts. Notice that every $a \in E$ is smooth and that for $a \neq 0$ the corresponding
supporting functional $s_{a}$ is given by

$$
s_{a}(v)=\|a\|^{2-p} \int_{X} v \bar{a}|a|^{p-2} d \mu
$$

All these considerations remain valid for $1<p<2$ if for every $a \in E$ the function $\bar{a}|a|^{p-2}$ on $X$ is interpreted in an appropriate way.

Property P does not always hold.
Example 6.12. Let $E, W$ be complex Banach spaces and let $A \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ with $\|A\|=1$ be an operator such that $E_{1}:=\{z \in E:\|A z\|=\|z\|\}$ is a linear subspace with $0 \neq E_{1} \neq E$. Let $F$ be the Banach space $E \oplus W$ with norm given by

$$
\|(z, w)\|=\max (\|z\| \cdot\|A z\|+\|w\|)
$$

for all $z \in E$ and $w \in W$. Then for all $z \in E \subset F$ we have

$$
T_{z}(F)= \begin{cases}T_{z}(E) & z \in E_{1} \backslash\{0\} \\ T_{z}(E) \oplus W & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, if $0 \neq \lambda \in E^{*}$ satisfies $\lambda\left(E_{1}\right)=0$ and $0 \neq v \in W$ is a given vector, then $f(z):=\lambda(z) v \in T_{z}(F)$ defines a holomorphic map $f: E \rightarrow F$ with $f(E) \not \subset T_{E}(F)=\{0\}$, but clearly $f(E) \subset C_{E}(F)$ holds.

Proposition 6.13. Suppose that $E \subset F$ satisfies Property $Q$ and that $f$ : $B \rightarrow F$ is a holomorphic mapping with

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow a} \lambda \circ f(z)=0
$$

for every $a \in \partial B, \lambda \in S_{a}$ and $z$ running over the open unit ball $B$ of $E$. Then $f(B) \subset T_{E}(F)$.

Proof. Because of $T_{E}(F)=F \cap T_{E}(R)$ for every JB*-triple $R \supset F$ we may assume without loss of generality that $F$ is a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple containing $E$ as a subtriple. Fix $a \in \partial B$ and $\lambda \in S_{a}$. Then we also have $\lim _{z \rightarrow a} \lambda \circ f(t z)=0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Therefore, if we put $g(s):=\lambda \circ f(s a)$ for $s \in \Delta$, the holomorphic function $g: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $\lim _{|s| \rightarrow 1} g(s)=0$, i.e. $g \equiv 0$ and hence $f(s a) \in T_{a}$ for all $s \in \Delta$. This shows $f(0) \in T_{E}:=T_{E}(F)$. Fix an arbitrary point $c \in B$. Then there exists a complete holomorphic vector field $X^{\alpha}:=(\alpha-\{z \alpha z\})$ on the open unit ball $D$ of $F$ with $g(0)=c, g(B)=B, d g(0)=\exp (L)$ and $L(E) \subset E$ for $g:=\exp \left(X^{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ and a certain hermitian operator $L \in \mathcal{L}(F)$, compare [17] Proposition 2.6. For every real $t$ the isometry $\exp (i t L) \in \mathrm{GL}(F)$ leaves the subspaces $E$ and $T_{E}$ invariant. This implies that also $L$ and consequently also $d g(0)$ leaves $T_{E}$ invariant. Define the holomorphic mapping $\tilde{f}: B \rightarrow F$ by $f(g(w)):=d g(w) \tilde{f}(w)$ for all $w \in B$. Because of $f(c)=d g(0) \tilde{f}(0)$ we only have to show that also $\tilde{f}$ satisfies the assumptions of the proposition since then $\tilde{f}(0) \in T_{E}$ by the above reasoning. For this fix $b \in \partial B$ and $\mu \in S_{b}$. By [16] $g$ extends to a biholomorphic mapping $g: U \rightarrow V$ for suitable open neighbourhoods
$U, V$ of $\bar{D}$ in $F$. Consider $a:=g(b) \in \partial B$ and $\lambda:=\mu \circ d g(b)^{-1} \in F^{*}$. Then $S:=\{g(z): z \in U, \mu(z)=1\}$ is a complex-analytic hypersurface of $V$ with $S \cap D=\emptyset$. Therefore also the corresponding tangent hyperplane $\{z \in F: \lambda(z)=\lambda(a)\}$ at $a \in S$ does not intersect $D$, i.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} S_{a}$ and thus

$$
\lim _{w \rightarrow b} \mu \circ \tilde{f}(w)=\lim _{w \rightarrow b} \mu \circ d g(w)^{-1} \circ f(g(w))=\lim _{z \rightarrow a} \lambda \circ f(z)=0
$$

## Corollary 6.14. Property Q implies Property P.

Theorem 6.15. Suppose that $E \subset F$ are complex Banach spaces satisfying Property P and $T_{E}(F)=0$. For $B$, the open unit ball of $E$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be the space of all holomorphic mappings $f: \bar{B} \rightarrow F$ with $f(a) \in T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}}(F)$ for every $a \in \partial B$. Then $\mathcal{F} \subset \operatorname{Hol}(\bar{B}, F)$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-linear subspace with $\mathcal{F} \cap i \mathcal{F}=0$ and every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2 . Every $f$ is uniquely determined in $\mathcal{F}$ by $f(0)$ and $d f(0)$.

Proof. Fix $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and expand it on $\bar{B}$ into the uniformly convergent series $f=\sum f_{n}$ with $f_{n} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(E, F)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $a \in \partial B, \lambda \in S_{a}$ and define $c_{n}:=\lambda \circ f_{n}(a) \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $n$. Then we also have $\operatorname{Re}(\bar{t} \lambda \circ f(t a))=0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and hence

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(t^{n-1} c_{n}+t^{1-n} \bar{c}_{n}\right)=2 \operatorname{Re}(\bar{t} \lambda \circ f(t a))=0
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Since the coefficients of a Fourier series are uniquely determined we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}+\bar{c}_{2}=c_{1}+\bar{c}_{1}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad c_{n}=0 \quad \text { for all } n \geqslant 3 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for every $f \in \operatorname{Hol}(\bar{B}, F)$, condition $(*)$ for every $a \in \partial B$, $\lambda \in S_{a}$ and $c_{k}=\lambda \circ f_{k}(a)$ is also sufficient for $f$ to be in $\mathcal{F}$, i.e. $f_{n}=0$ for all $n \geqslant 3$ as a consequence of Property P and hence $f=f_{0}+f_{1}+f_{2}$ is a polynomial of degree at most 2. In particular $\mathcal{F} \cap i \mathcal{F}=0$ follows. In case $f_{0}=0$ the quadratic function $f_{2}$ is in $\mathcal{F} \cap i \mathcal{F}$, i.e. every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ is uniquely determined by $f_{0}$ and $f_{1}$.

Suppose that $E \subset F$ is a JB*-subtriple. For every $a \in E$ the polynomial $h: F \rightarrow F$ defined by $h(z)=a-\{z a z\}$ is a complete holomorphic vector field on $D$ and therefore the restriction $f=h \mid \bar{B}$ is in the space $\mathcal{F}$. The proof of Proposition 6.15 therefore gives the decomposition $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{P}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}=\{f \in \mathcal{F}: f(0)=0\}=\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F) \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{P}=\{f \in \mathcal{F}: d f(0)=0\}=\{z \mapsto a-\{z a z\}: a \in E\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7. The JB*-triple case

Property P together with $T_{E}(F)=0$ is sufficient but by no means necessary for the rigidity of $E \subset F$. For instance, if $F$ is a complex Hilbert space and $E \neq F$ is
an arbitrary closed linear subspace, then $E$ is rigid in $F$ as a consequence of 3.3 or of 3.10. On the other hand, $E \subset F$ satisfies Property P as a consequence of 6.14 and $T_{E}(F) \neq 0$ is the orthogonal complement of $E$ in the Hilbert space $F$. Therefore, the tangent spaces $T_{a}(F)$ and $T_{E}(F)$ still seem to big for some rigidity questions.

For every $a \in F$ denote by $\Theta_{a}=\Theta_{a}(F) \subset F$ the smallest closed linear subspace containing every $v \in F$ with $\|a+t v\|=\|a\|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| \leqslant\|a\|$. Then $\Theta_{a}$ is a linear subspace of $T_{a}$ with $\Theta_{0}=F$ and $\Theta_{s a}=\Theta_{a}$ for all $s \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$. Clearly, $a \in \partial D$ is a complex extreme boundary point of $D$ if and only $\Theta_{a}=0$. In case $E \subset F$ also $\Theta_{a}(E)=\Theta_{a}(F) \cap E$ holds for all $a \in E$. The following result is well known, compare also Théorème 3.1 in [18].

Lemma 7.1. Let $U$ be a domain in a complex Banach space and suppose that $f: U \rightarrow F$ is a holomorphic mapping with $f(U) \subset \bar{D}$. Then $f(U)$ is contained in the affine subspace $\left(a+\Theta_{a}\right)$ for every $a \in f(U) \cap \partial D$.

Proof. We may assume that $U=\Delta$ and $a=f(0) \in \partial D$. Fix an arbitrary $c \in \Delta \backslash\{0\}$ and consider

$$
v:=\frac{1-|c|}{2|c|}(f(c)-a) \in F .
$$

Then [9], p. 68, implies $a+\Delta v \subset \bar{D}$ and hence $a+\Delta v \subset \partial D$, i.e. $(f(c)-a) \in$ $\Theta_{a}$.

Proposition 7.2. Let $E \subset F$ be arbitrary complex Banach spaces and suppose that the balanced set

$$
A:=\left\{a \in E: \Theta_{a}(E)=\Theta_{a}(E)=\Theta_{a}(F) \text { or } a=0\right\}
$$

is a set of determinacy in $E$. Then $E$ is rigid in $F$.
Proof. Let $f: B \rightarrow D$ be a holomorphic mapping with $f(0)=0$ and $d f(0)$ : $E \hookrightarrow F$ the canonical injection. Fix an arbitrary unit vector $a \in A$ and consider $h(t)=f(t a) / t \in \bar{D}$ for all $t \in \Delta$. Then $h(t) \in\left(a+\Theta_{a}(F)\right) \subset E$ for all $t \neq 0$ by 7.1, i.e. $f(z) \in E$ for all $z \in A \cap B$. Since $A$ is balanced in $E$ we derive $f(B) \subset B$ by 2.3 and 2.2. But then Cartan's uniqueness theorem implies that $f$ is linear.

Proposition 7.2 for the special case of finite dimensions and $A$ dense in $E$ essentially already occurs in [22], compare Théorème 5.2. The proof is different from ours and does not extend to infinite dimensions. In the following we want to get rigidity also in cases where the set $A$ in Proposition 7.2 is not a set of determinacy (even where $A=\{0\}$, compare the discussion at the end of this section).

As before in the case of the tangent spaces we put

$$
\Theta_{E}:=\Theta_{E}(F):=\bigcap_{a \in E} \Theta_{a}(F)
$$

for every closed linear subspace $E \subset F$. Then $\Theta_{E}(F) \subset T_{E}(F)$ is a closed linear subspace and the following analogue of Proposition 6.13 holds. The proof is similar to the one of 6.13.

Proposition 7.3. Suppose that $E \subset F$ satisfy Property Q. Let $f: B \rightarrow F$ be a holomorphic mapping with

$$
\lim _{z \rightarrow a} \lambda \circ f(z)=0
$$

for every $a \in \partial B$ and every $\lambda \in F^{*}$ with $\lambda\left(\Theta_{a}\right)=0$. Then $f(B) \subset \Theta_{E}(F)$.
Corollary 7.4. Let $f: E \rightarrow F$ be a holomorphic mapping with $f(a) \in$ $\Theta_{a}$ for all $a \in E$. Then $f(E) \subset \Theta_{E}(F)$ if $E \subset F$ satisfy Property $Q$.

For the rest of the section let $F$ be a JB*-triple with triple product $\{a b c\}$. By the symmetry in the outer variables the triple product is uniquely determined by all triple products of the form $\{a b a\}$. For every $a, b \in F$ denote by $a \square b \in \mathcal{L}(F)$ the operator $z \mapsto\{a b z\}$. Then $\square$ can be understood as an operator-valued positivedefinite hermitian product on $F$, compare [15] for details. In particular, we write $a \perp b$ if $a \square b=0$ or-equivalently-if $b \square a=0$. For every subset $A \subset F$ call $A^{\perp}:=\{z \in F: z \perp A\}$ the annihilator of $A$ in $F$.

Examples of JB*-triples are for instance all Hilbert spaces with triple product given by $\{z a z\}=(z \mid a) z$ or more generally all spaces $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ with triple product $\{z a z\}=z a^{*} z$ where $H, K$ are arbitrary complex Hilbert spaces and * is the usual adjoint of operators. The class of subtriples of all $\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ includes in particular the class of all $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras.

For every $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $F$ and every $a \in F$ the smallest closed subtriple of $F$ containing $a$ is isometrically isomorphic to a space $\mathcal{C}_{0}(K)$ with $K \subset(0, \infty) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $K \cup\{0\}$ compact. For the study of rigidity and tangent spaces in JB*-triples therefore the following example is helpful.

EXAMPLE 7.5. Let $F=\mathcal{C}_{0}(K)$, the linear subspace $E \subset F$ and $\Omega \subset K$ be as in Example 6.4. Then $F$ is a $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple and it is seen easily that $\Theta_{E}(F)$ is the closure of $\{f \in F: \bar{\Omega} \cap \operatorname{support}(f)=\emptyset\}$ in $F$, i.e. $\Theta_{E}(F)=T_{E}(F)$ by Stone-Weierstraß.

Proposition 7.6. For every closed linear subspace $E \subset F$ and every unit vector $a \in F$ we have
(i) $(u-\{a u a\}+\{v w a\}-\{w v a\}) \in T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}}(F)$ for all $u, v, w \in F$,
(ii) $E^{\perp} \subset \Theta_{E}(F)$.

Proof. (i) The polynomial $f(z)=u-\{z u z\}+\{v w z\}-\{w v z\}$ is a complete holomorphic vector field on the open unit ball $D$ of $F$, compare [15]. Therefore the solution $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$ of the initial value problem $g^{\prime}(t)=f(g(t)), g(0)=a$
satisfies $g(\mathbb{R}) \subset \partial D$ and hence $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda \circ g)$ has a critical value in 0 for every $\lambda \in S_{a}$, i.e. $g^{\prime}(0)=f(a) \in T_{a}^{\mathbb{R}}$.
(ii) Follows from the fact that $v \perp w$ implies $\|v+w\|=\max (\|v\|,\|w\|)$.

Corollary 7.7. $\operatorname{Ker}(E):=\{z \in F: Q(E) z=0\} \subset T_{E}(F)$ for every linear subspace $E \subset F$.

The element $e \in F$ is called a tripotent if $\{e e e\}=e$ holds. Every tripotent $e$ induces a direct sum decomposition $F_{1} \oplus F_{1 / 2} \oplus F_{0}$ (the Peirce decomposition with respect to $e$ ), where $F_{k}=F_{k}(e)$ is the $k$-eigenspace of the operator $e \square e$ in $F$. Every Peirce space $F_{k}$ is a JB*-subtriple and the canonical projection $F \rightarrow F_{k}$ is contractive. For $k=1 / 2$ the Peirce projection is even bicontractive.

A special rôle is played by the conjugate linear operators $Q(a)$ on $F$ defined by $z \mapsto\{a z a\}$. These satisfy the fundamental formula $Q(Q(a) b)=Q(a) Q(b) Q(b)$. For every tripotent $e \in F$ the operator $Q(e)$ splits $F$ into real subspaces $F=$ $F^{1} \oplus F^{-1} \oplus F^{0}$ where $F^{k}$ is the $k$-eigenspace of $Q(e)$. Then $F_{1}=F^{1} \oplus F^{-1}$, $F^{-1}=i F^{1}$ and $F^{0}=F_{1 / 2} \oplus F_{0}$.

LEMMA 7.8. $T_{e}^{\mathbb{R}}(F)=F^{-1} \oplus F^{0}, T_{e}(F)=F^{0}$ and $\Theta_{e}(F)=F_{0}$ for every tripotent $e \in F$.

Proof. Proposition 7.6 implies $F_{0} \subset \Theta_{e}, F^{-1} \oplus F_{1 / 2}=\{\{$ eve $\}-\{$ vee $\}: v \in$ $F\} \subset T_{e}$ and hence also $F^{-1} \oplus F^{0} \subset T_{e}^{\mathbb{R}}$. Now consider a vector $v \in T_{e}^{\mathbb{R}} \cap F^{1}$ and denote by $V \subset F$ the closed (complex) subtriple generated by $v$ and $e$. Then $V$ coincides in the $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra $F_{1}(e)$ with the closed complex subalgebra generated by the unit $e$ and the self-adjoint element $v$. In particular, $V$ is a unital associative $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra and hence isometrically isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}(K)$ for some compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}$ in such a way that $e(s)=1$ and $v(s)=s \geqslant 0$ for all $s \in K$. But then $\int_{K} v(s) d \mu(s)=0$ for every Borel measure $\mu \geqslant 0$ implies $K=\{0\}$ and hence $T_{e}^{\mathbb{R}}=F^{-1} \oplus F^{0}$ as well as $\Theta_{e} \subset T_{e}=F^{0}$. The proof will be finished if we show that $w \in F_{0}$ for all $w \in \Theta_{e}$. For this we may assume that $w \in F_{1 / 2}$ and $e+\Delta w \subset$ $\partial D$ holds. Consider the complete holomorphic vector field $f(z)=\{z e z\}-e$ on $D$ and denote by $t \mapsto g_{t}(z)$ for every $z \in \bar{D}$ the solution of $\partial g_{t}(z) / \partial t=f\left(g_{t}(z)\right)$ to the initial value $g_{0}(z)=z$. Then $g_{t} \in \operatorname{Hol}(\bar{D}, \bar{D})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, $f(e)=0$ and $d f(e)=2 e \square e$ imply $g_{t}(e)=e$ and $d g_{t}(e)=\exp (t e \square e)$ for all $t$, compare [17] p. 210. For every $t$ define $h_{t} \in \operatorname{Hol}(\Delta, F)$ by $h_{t}(s)=g_{t}(e+s w) \in$ $\partial D$. Then $\left\{h_{t}: t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ is a bounded family of holomorphic mappings. Therefore also the set of all derivatives $\left\{h_{t}^{\prime}(0)=e^{t} w: t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}$ must be bounded in $F$, i.e. $w=0$.

Lemma 7.9. For every tripotent $e \in F$ and every closed linear subspace $E \subset$ $F_{1}(e)$ we have (i) $F^{0}(e) \subset T_{E}(F)$, (ii) $F_{0}(e) \subset \Theta_{E}(F)$.

Proof. For every unit vector $a \in E$ and every $u \in F^{0}(e)$ we have $u=u-$ $\{a u a\} \in F^{0}(e)$ by 7.6.i, proving (i). The second statement follows from $E \perp F_{0}$.

LEMMA 7.10. Suppose that $E \subset F$ is a $J B^{*}$-subtriple with the following property: To every $v \neq 0$ in $F$ there exists a tripotent $e \in E$ with $\{$ eve $\} \neq 0$ (i.e. the Peirce-1-component of $v$ with respect to the tripotent e does not vanish). Then $T_{E}(F)=0$ and hence $E$ is rigid in $F$.

Proof. Suppose that $v \neq 0$ for a vector $v \in T_{E}(F)$. Choose a tripotent $e \in E$ with $\{e v e\} \neq 0$. Then $v \in F^{0}(e)$ by 7.8 , a contradiction.

As an application of 7.10 we see for instance that for $F=\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ the subspace $\mathcal{K}(H, K)$ of all compact operators is a rigid subspace of $F$ for every pair of complex Hilbert spaces $H, K$.

Proposition 7.11. Let e be a tripotent in the $J B^{*}$-triple $F$ and denote by $P=Q(e)^{2}$ the Peirce projection from $F$ onto $E:=F_{1}(e)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(i) $F_{0}(e)=0$,
(ii) $P$ is almost neutral,
(iii) $E$ is rigid in $F$.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) $E$ is a JB*-algebra with unit $e$ in the product $a \circ b=\{a e b\}$ and the involution $a^{*}=\{e a e\}$. The selfadjoint part $V:=F^{1}(e)$ is a JB-algebra and $\Omega:=\exp (V)$ is an open convex cone in $V$. The generalized unit circle $A:=\exp (i V)$ is a set of determinacy in $E$. This follows from the fact that the real analytic mapping $\varphi: V \rightarrow E$ defined by $v \mapsto \exp (i v)$ has real differential $d \varphi(0): V \rightarrow E$ given by $v \mapsto i v$. Every $a \in A$ is a tripotent with $F_{1 / 2}(a)=W:=F_{1 / 2}(e)$. Indeed, $a=\exp (2 i v)$ holds for some $v \in V$ and $\lambda:=\exp (i(v \square e+e \square v)) \in \mathrm{GL}(F)$ is a triple automorphism with $\lambda(c)=a$ and $\lambda(W)=W$. Therefore it is enough to show that $\|e+w\|=1$ for $w \in W$ implies $w=0$. Suppose on the contrary that $w \neq 0$ holds. Then $c:=\{e w w\} \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $c \neq 0$, compare [16] p. 183. But this is not possible-the closed real subalgebra of $V$ generated by $e$ and $c$ can be realized as $\mathcal{C}(K, \mathbb{R})$ in such a way that $e$ is the function $\equiv 1$ on the compact space $K$ and $c \geqslant 0$.
(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) Follows from Proposition 3.10.
(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Is trivial because of $e^{\perp}=F_{0}(e)$.

For every tripotent $e \in F$ the Peirce spaces $F_{1}(e)$ and $F_{0}(e)$ are inner ideals of $F$-by definition, a closed linear subspace $J \subset F$ is called an inner ideal if $\{J F J\} \subset J$ holds. Every inner ideal $J \subset F$ is a subtriple of $F$ and with every tripotent $e \in J$ also the whole Peirce space $F_{1}(e)$ is contained in $J$. By [7] the inner ideals of $F$ can be uniquely characterized in the class of all closed subtriples $E \subset F$ by the unique norm preserving extension property of linear functionals: To every $\lambda \in E^{*}$ there exists a unique $\sigma \in F^{*}$ with $\|\lambda\|=\|\sigma\|$ and $\sigma \mid E=\lambda$.The following proposition is a characterization of inner ideals within the bigger class
of all closed linear subspaces of $F$ in terms of holomorphic automorphisms of the open unit ball $D$ of $F$.

Proposition 7.12. Let $E$ with open unit ball $B$ be a closed linear subspace of the $J B^{*}$-triple $F$ with open unit ball $D$. Then $E$ is an inner ideal of $F$ if and only if $g(B)$ is convex in $F$ for every $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $c \in D$. Then $\|z \square c\|<1$ holds for all $z \in D$ and there exists an automorphism $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=c+\lambda(1+z \square c)^{-1} z \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\lambda=d g(0) \in \mathrm{GL}(F)$ and all $z \in D$, compare [15] p. 132. Therefore, if $E$ is an inner ideal in $F$, the function $f(z):=(1+z \square c)^{-1} z$ maps $B$ into $E$ and hence $g(B) \subset(A \cap D)$ fo rthe affine subspace $A:=c+\lambda(E)$. By the implicit function theorem $g(B)$ is a neighbourhood of $c$ in $A$, therefore $g^{-1}$ maps the domain $(A \cap D) \subset A$ into $E$, i.e. $g(B)=A \cap D$. In particular, $g(B)$ is convex in $F$. Any other automorphism $\tilde{g} \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$ with $\tilde{g}(0)=c$ is of the form $\tilde{g}=g k$ for some $k \in \operatorname{Aut}(D) \cap \mathrm{GL}(F)$. Then $k$ respects the triple product and hence $\tilde{E}:=k(E)$ is also an inner ideal of $F$, i.e. also $\tilde{g}(B)$ is convex. On the contrary, suppose that $g(B)$ is convex in $F$ for all $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(D)$. Fix an arbitrary $c \in D$ and choose $g$ as in $(*)$. Then $f(z)=\lambda^{-1}(g(z)-c)=z-\{z c z\}+o\left(\|z\|^{2}\right)$ defines a holomorphic mapping $f: D \rightarrow F$ with $f(0)=0, d f(0)=$ id and $f(B)$ convex. By the implicit function theorem $f(B)$ must be contained in $E$. This implies $\left.\{z c z\}=-\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-2}(f(t z)-t z)\right) \in E$ for all $z \in B$ and all $c \in D$. Therefore $E$ is an inner ideal in $F$.

A JB*-triple $F$ is called a JBW*-triple if $F$ as a Banach space is the dual of another Banach space, compare [14] and [10]. This predual is uniquely determined by $F$ and is denoted by $F_{*}$. It is known [2] that the triple product on every $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple is separately $w^{*}$-continuous. For every $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-triple $E$ the bidual $E^{* *}$ is a $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple with triple product extending the one of $E \subset E^{* *}$, compare [5]. The advantage of $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triples is that they contain many tripotents. By [8] a linear subspace $E$ of the $\mathrm{JBW}^{*}$-triple $F$ is a $w^{*}$-closed inner ideal if and only if $E$ is the range of a structural projection $P$ in $F$ (structural means $Q(P(a))=P \circ Q(a) \circ P$ for all $a \in F$-such a projection is automatically contractive and $w^{*}$-continuous).

Example 7.13. Let $F:=\mathcal{L}(H, K)$ for complex Hilbert spaces $H, K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K:=K_{1} \oplus_{2} K_{2}$. Then every $z \in F$ can be realized as a pair $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ with $z_{k} \in \mathcal{L}\left(H, K_{k}\right)$ for $k=1,2$ and $P\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1}, 0\right)$ defines a structural projection onto a $w^{*}$-closed inner ideal $E$ with $E^{\perp}=0$. In general, the projection $P$ is not almost neutral and also $E$ is not the Peirce-1-space of a tripotent, compare the matrix example at the end.

THEOREM 7.14. Let $E$ be a $w^{*}$-closed ideal in the $J B W^{*}$-triple $F$ and let $P$
be the corresponding structural projection from $F$ onto $E$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{E}(F)=\operatorname{ker}(P) & =\{z \in F: Q(E) z=0\} \text { and } \\
\Theta_{E}(F)=E^{\perp} & =\{z \in F:(E \square E) z=0\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, $E$ is rigid in $F$ if and only if $\Theta_{E}(F)=0$.

Proof. Fix $v \in T_{E}(F)$ and $a \in E$. Then there is a tripotent $e \in E$ with $a \in F_{1}(e)$. This implies $Q(a) v=0$ because of $v \in F^{0}(e)$ and hence $T_{E}(F) \subset$ $\operatorname{Ker}(E)$. The opposite inclusion follows with 7.7. $\operatorname{But} \operatorname{Ker}(E)=\operatorname{ker}(P)$, compare [8]. The statement concerning $\Theta_{E}(F)$ follows by a similar argument. Finally, $E^{\perp}=0$ is necessary for $E$ being rigid in $F$. Let us therefore assume conversely, that $E^{\perp}=0$ holds. Consider a homogeneous polynomial $f: E \rightarrow F$ of degree $m \geqslant 2$ with $z+f(z) \in D$ for all $z \in B$ and fix $c \in B$. We have to show that $f(c)=0$. Because of 7.4 it is enough to show that $f(c) \in \Theta_{c}(F)$. Choose a tripotent $e \in E$ with $c \in U:=F_{1}(e)$ and put $Z:=F_{0}(e)$. Then $\|e+t f(e)\| \leqslant 1$ for all $t \in \Delta$ implies $f(e) \in Z$ by 7.1. Now let the selfadjoint part $V$ of the $\mathrm{JB}^{*}$-algebra $U$ and $A=\exp (i V)$ be as in the proof of Proposition 7.11. Every $a \in A$ has the same Peirce spaces as $e$ and therefore also $f(a) \in Z$ by the above reasoning. Since $A$ is a set of determinacy in $U$ this implies $f(c) \in Z \subset \Theta_{c}(F)$ as a consequence of 2.2 and 7.9.

As an example consider the case of an arbitrary $\mathrm{W}^{*}$-algebra $F$. Then $F$ is also a JBW*-triple and a $w^{*}$-closed linear subspace $E \subset F$ is an inner ideal if and only if $E=e F c$ for (Hermitian) projections $e, c \in F$ having the same central support, compare [8] p. 59. Then $T_{E}(F)=(1-e) F+F(1-c)$ and $\Theta_{E}(F)=$ $(1-e) F(1-c)$.

We close with a finite dimensional illustration of Theorem 7.14: For fixed integers $1 \leqslant p \leqslant n$ and $1 \leqslant q \leqslant m$ with $n \leqslant m$ the matrix space $F:=\mathbb{C}^{n \times m}=\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{m}\right)$ is a JBW* ${ }^{*}$-triple of dimension $n m$ and rank $n$. Write every matrix $z \in F$ in block form $z=\binom{a b}{c d}$, where $a, b, c, d$ are rectangular matrices of sizes $p \times q, p \times(m-q),(n-p) \times q$ and $(n-p) \times(m-q)$ respectively. $P(z)=\binom{a 0}{00}$ defines a structural projection onto an inner ideal $E$ of $F$. Then $E \approx \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ is the Peirce-1-space of a tripotent $e \in F$ if and only if $E$ has square size, i.e. $p=q$. Under the assumption $E \neq F$ the projection $P$ is neutral if and only if $n=1$, that is, if $F$ is a Hilbert space. Furthermore, $P$ is neutral if and only if $q \geqslant p=n$ holds, that is, if $E$ and $F$ have the same rank. $E$ is rigid in $F$ if and only if $p=n$ or $q=m$. Finally, for the set $A$ of Proposition 7.2 the following conditions are equivalent: (i) $A \neq\{0\}$, (ii) $A$ is a set of determinacy in $E$, (iii) $E$ and $F$ have the same rank. Also, $A$ is dense in $E$ if and only if $E=F$ or $F$ is a complex Hilbert space. In particular, $E$ may be rigid in $F$ in the case $A=\{0\}$.
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