

3. A. Bikyalis and A. Zhemaitis, "Asymptotic expansions for probabilities of large deviations," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 16, No. 2, 202-206 (1976).
4. Sh. V. Yakshyavichyus, "Asymptotic expansions for probability distributions," *Liet. Mat. Rinkiny*, 23, No. 3, 197-213 (1983).
5. A. A. Borovkov, *Course in Probability Theory [in Russian]*, Nauka, Moscow (1976).

REMARK ON THE CRAMER-VON MISES-SMIRNOV CRITERION

V. Bentkus and R. Zitikis

UDC 519.21

1. Introduction and Basic Results

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be independent, identically distributed random variables on the segment  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1\{X_i < x\},$$

where  $1\{A\}$  is the indicator of the event  $A$ ,

$$\omega_n^2 = n \int_0^1 \{F_n(x) - x\}^2 dx,$$

$$U_n(x) = P\{\omega_n^2 < x\},$$

$$U(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n(x).$$

Let  $\alpha = n/2 - 1$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\alpha = (n - 1)/2$ , if  $n$  is odd. We prove that the distribution function  $U_n(x)$  is differentiable with respect to  $x$   $\alpha$  times, but not continuously differentiable  $\alpha + 1$  times. In addition, the derivatives of the distribution functions  $U_n(x)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , converge uniformly in  $x$  to the corresponding derivative of the limit distribution function  $U(x)$ . In particular, one has uniform convergence of the densities  $U'_n(x)$ .

In this paper we also give asymptotic expansions for the derivatives of the distribution functions  $U_n(x)$ . The estimates of the remainders depend properly on  $n$ .

The results of the paper generalize and improve the results of Smirnov [1], Anderson and Darling [2], Kandelaki [3], Sazonov [4, 5], Rosenkrantz [6], Kiefer [7], Nikitin [8], Orlov [9], Czorgo [10], Csorgo and Stacho [11], Götze [12], Borovskikh [13], in which the convergence and rate of convergence of distribution functions  $U_n(x)$  to  $U(x)$  were studied and asymptotic expansions for  $U_n(x)$  were also found.

We proceed to precise formulations.

We denote by  $C^\alpha$  the class of functions  $f: R^1 \rightarrow R^1$  which have  $\alpha$  bounded derivatives.

**THEOREM 1.1.** The distribution function  $U_n(x)$  belongs to the class  $C^\alpha$  but does not belong to the class  $C^{\alpha+1}$ , where  $\alpha = n/2 - 1$  if  $n$  is even, and  $\alpha = (n - 1)/2$  if  $n$  is odd. Moreover, for any

$$\sup_{x>0} (1+x^m) |U_n^{(s)}(x) - U^{(s)}(x)| \leq c(s,m)/n. \tag{1.1}$$

Theorem 1.1 generalizes results of [1-13], devoted to proving (1.1) if  $s = 0$ . The first part of Theorem 1.1 on the differentiability of the distribution function  $U_n(x)$  somewhat improves the result of Csorgo and Stacho [11]. One should note that in [11] the question of

---

Institute of Mathematics and Cybernetics, Academy of Sciences of the Lithuanian SSR. Translated from *Litovskii Matematicheskii Sbornik (Lietuvos Matematikos Rinkinys)*, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 14-22, January-March, 1988. Original article submitted February 6, 1987.

differentiability of the distribution function  $U_n(x)$  was studied with the help of the representation of  $U_n(x)$  as the Lebesgue measure of a certain set in the space  $R^n$  using the well-known Bruno-Minkowsky inequality. In the present paper this question is studied with the help of a detailed analysis of the characteristic function  $E \exp \{it\omega_n^2\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.2.** For any  $m \geq 0$ ,  $s=0, 1, \dots, p=1, 2, \dots, n \geq 2(s+1)$ ,

$$\sup_{x>0} (1+x^m) \left| \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^s \left\{ U_n(x) - U(x) - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} n^{-k} A_k U(x) \right\} \right| \leq c(m, s, p)/n^p. \quad (1.2)$$

Explicit formulas for the Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of the functions  $x \mapsto A_k(x)$  are given on p. 150 of [18]. It is known [4] that  $U_n(x)$  can be represented in the form of the probability  $P \{ \|S_n\|^2 < x \}$ , where  $S_n$  is a sum of independent  $L_2[0, 1]$ -valued random elements.

Asymptotic expansions for the derivatives  $\left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^k P \{ \|S_n\|^2 < x \}$ ,  $k=0, 1, \dots$ , are constructed in [14-16]. There too, one can find rules for constructing the coefficients of the expansion. In particular, the functions  $A_k U(x)$  are infinitely differentiable and their derivatives decrease at infinity faster than any power of  $x$ .

Theorem 1.2 generalizes the results of [10-13], where asymptotic expansions of the distribution functions  $U_n(x)$  were studied. The proof of the theorems is based essentially on the results of [16] on asymptotic expansions in the local limit theorem in Hilbert space. It follows from the results and proofs of this paper (cf. Sec. 3 and the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [16]) that to prove Theorem 1.2 it suffices to verify the condition

$$\int_{t \leq t/n} |t|^r \left| \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^q E \exp \{it\omega_n^2\} \right| dt < \infty \quad (1.3)$$

for certain sufficiently large  $r = r(m, s, p)$  and  $q = q(m, s, p)$ . The analysis of the characteristic function  $E \exp \{it\omega_n^2\}$  and the proof of (1.3) are given in Sec. 2. The following lemma illustrates the results of this section.

**LEMMA 1.3.** Let  $s=0, 1, \dots, n=1, 2, \dots, t \in R^1$ . Then for any  $A > 0$ , and for sufficiently large  $n$  one has

$$\left| \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^s E \exp \{it\omega_n^2\} \right| \leq c(s, A) n^s / (1 + |t|^A). \quad (1.4)$$

A general method for estimating characteristic functions in the zone  $|t| \leq n^{1-\varepsilon}$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) was proposed by Götze [12]. In the zone  $|t| \geq n^{1/2+\varepsilon}$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ), for any  $A > 0$  and for sufficiently large  $n$ , Borovskikh [13] found the estimate

$$\left| \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^s E \exp \{it\omega_n^2\} \right| \leq c(s, A) n^A. \quad (1.5)$$

Lemma 1.3 improves this result.

## 2. Estimate of the Characteristic Function $E \exp \{it\omega_n^2\}$

**THEOREM 2.1.** There exists an absolute constant  $a$  such that for  $s = 0, 1, \dots, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , in the zone  $|t| \geq n^2$  one has

$$\left| \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^s E \exp \{it\omega_n^2\} \right| \leq |t|^{-n/2} n! (9n)^s \{a(s+1)\}^n. \quad (2.1)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be given below.

Applying the representation of Anderson and Darling for the statistic  $\omega_n^2$  (cf. [2, 17])

$$\omega_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^* - a_j)^2 + 1/(12n),$$

where  $a_j = (j - 1/2)/n$  and  $X_1^*, X_2^*, \dots, X_n^*$  are the ordered random variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ , we get

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^s E \exp \{it\omega_n^2\} = i^s E \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^* - a_j)^2 + \frac{1}{12n} \right\}^s \exp \left\{ it \sum_{j=1}^n (X_j^* - a_j)^2 + \frac{it}{12n} \right\} =$$

$$= i^s n! \exp \left\{ \frac{it}{12n} \right\} \int \dots \int \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - a_j)^2 + \frac{1}{12n} \right\}^s \times \\ \times \exp \left\{ it \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - a_j)^2 \right\} dx_n \dots dx_1,$$

where the integration is over all  $x_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $x_j \in [x_{j-1}, 1]$ ,  $j=2, \dots, n$ . We make the change of variables  $y_k = |t|^{1/2} (x_k - a_k)$ ,  $k=1, \dots, n$ , and we note that

$$(x_1 + \dots + x_n)^s = \sum^* C_s(k_1, \dots, k_n) x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n},$$

where the sign  $\Sigma^*$  denotes summation over all  $(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ ,  $k_1 \geq 0, \dots, k_n \geq 0$ ,  $k_1 + \dots + k_n = s$ . We get

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^s E \exp \{ it \omega_n^2 \} = i^s n! |t|^{-n/2-s} \exp \{ it/(12n) \} \sum^* C_s(k_0, \dots, k_n) \times \\ \times |t|^{k_n} (12n)^{-k_n} \int \dots \int y_0^{2k_0} \exp \{ i \Theta y_0^2 \} \dots y_{n-1}^{2k_{n-1}} \exp \{ i \Theta y_{n-1}^2 \} dy_{n-1} \dots dy_0, \quad (2.2)$$

where we have set  $\Theta = \text{sgn } t$  and the integration is over all

$$y_{n-1} \in [-|t|^{1/2} (2n)^{-1}, (n-1/2) |t|^{1/2} n^{-1}]$$

and

$$y_{p-1} \in [y_p - |t|^{1/2} n^{-1}, (p-1/2) |t|^{1/2} n^{-1}], \quad p=1, \dots, n-1.$$

We let  $\varphi(0, x) \equiv 1$

$$\varphi(p, y) = \int x^{2k_{p-1}} \varphi(p-1, x) \exp \{ i \Theta x^2 \} dx, \quad p=1, \dots, n, \quad (2.3)$$

where the integration is over the domain  $[y - |t|^{1/2}/n, (p-1/2) |t|^{1/2}/n]$ . Since  $\varphi(p, y)$  also depends on  $k_0, \dots, k_{p-1}$ , we shall sometimes write  $\varphi_{k_0, \dots, k_{p-1}}(p, y)$ .

It follows quickly from (2.2) and the definition of  $\varphi(p, y)$  that

$$\left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^s E \exp \{ it \omega_n^2 \} = i^s n! |t|^{-n/2-s} \exp \{ it/(12n) \} \times \sum^* C_s(k_0, \dots, k_n) |t|^{k_n} (12n)^{-k_n} \varphi_{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}}(n, |t|^{1/2}/(2n)). \quad (2.4)$$

In estimating the sum in (2.4) we shall use the following.

**LEMMA 2.2.** Let  $|t| \geq n^2$ . Then there exists an absolute constant  $a$  such that for all  $y \in (-\infty, (n+1/2) |t|^{1/2} n^{-1}]$  one has

$$|\varphi_{k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}}(n, y)| \leq (s+1)^n (9|t|)^{k_{n-1} + \dots + k_0} a^n.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\tau = |t|^{1/2} n^{-1}$ . Then

$$\varphi(p, y) = \int_{y-\tau}^{(p-1/2)\tau} x^{2k_{p-1}} \varphi(p-1, x) \exp \{ i \Theta x^2 \} dx; \quad p \geq 1.$$

We set

$$T_p(A, B) = \int_A^B x^{2k_{p-1}} \varphi(p-1, x) \exp \{ i \Theta x^2 \} dx \quad (2.5)$$

and let  $\varphi(0, x) \equiv 1$ ,  $\varphi(-1, x) \equiv 0$ ,  $\varphi(-2, x) \equiv 0$ .

First we prove the recurrence estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |T_p(A, B)| &\leq (s+1) (9|t|)^{k_{p-1}} \left\{ \frac{|\varphi(p-1, A)|}{|A|} + \frac{|\varphi(p-1, B)|}{|B|} + \right. \\ &+ \int_A^B \frac{|\varphi(p-1, x)|}{x^2} dx \left. \right\} + (s+1) (9|t|)^{k_{p-1} + k_{p-2}} \left\{ \frac{|\varphi(p-2, A-\tau)|}{|A| |A-\tau/2|} + \right. \\ &+ \frac{|\varphi(p-2, B-\tau)|}{|B| |B-\tau/2|} + \int_A^B |\varphi(p-2, x-\tau)| \left( \frac{1}{x^2 |x-\tau/2|} + \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{1}{|x| |x-\tau/2|^2} + \frac{1}{|x| |x-\tau/2| |x-\tau|} \right) dx \left. \right\} + (s+1) (9|t|)^{k_{p-1} + k_{p-2} + k_{p-3}} \int_A^B \frac{|\varphi(p-3, x-2\tau)|}{|x| |x-\tau/2|} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(p-1, x) &= |x|^{2k_{p-1}} |\varphi(p-1, x)|, \\
 G(p-2, x) &= |x|^{2k_{p-1}} |x-\tau|^{2k_{p-2}} |\varphi(p-2, x-\tau)|, \\
 H(p-3, x) &= |x|^{2k_{p-1}} |x-\tau|^{2k_{p-2}} |x-2\tau|^{2k_{p-3}} |\varphi(p-3, x-2\tau)|.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Integrating in (2.5) by parts and applying elementary inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |T_p(A, B)| &\leq \frac{F(p-1, A)}{|A|} + \frac{F(p-1, B)}{|B|} + \left| k_{p-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \left| \int_A^B \frac{F(p-1, x)}{x^2} dx + \right. \\
 &+ \frac{G(p-2, A)}{|A| |A-\tau/2|} + \frac{G(p-2, B)}{|B| |B-\tau/2|} + \left| k_{p-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \left| \int_A^B \frac{G(p-2, x)}{x^2 |x-\tau/2|} dx + \right. \\
 &+ k_{p-2} \int_A^B \frac{G(p-2, x)}{|x| |x-\tau/2| |x|-\tau|} dx + \int_A^B \frac{G(p-2, x)}{|x| (x-\tau/2)^2} dx + \int_A^B \frac{H(p-3, x)}{|x| |x-\tau/2|} dx.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Since  $y_{p-1} \in [y_p - \tau, (p-1/2)\tau]$ ,  $p=1, \dots, n$ ,  $y_n = \tau/2$ , it is easy to verify that  $y_p \in [-(n-1/2)\tau, (n-1/2)\tau]$  for all  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Hence,

$$|A|, |B|, |x|, |x-\tau|, |x-2\tau| \leq 3|t|^{1/2}. \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, one has

$$0 \leq k_p \leq s \quad \forall p=0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{2.10}$$

Estimating the expressions (2.7) with the help of (2.9) and (2.10), we derive (2.6) from (2.8).

We shall estimate the  $\varphi(p, y)$  by induction on  $p$ . First we consider the case  $p = 1$ . Then

$$\varphi(1, y) = \int_{y-\tau}^{\tau/2} x^{2k_0} \exp\{i\Theta x^2\} dx.$$

We separate three subcases:

- a)  $y-\tau \in [1/2, \tau/2]$ ;
- b)  $y-\tau \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ ;
- c)  $y-\tau \in (-\infty, -1/2]$ .

a) Applying (2.6) for  $p = 1$ , we get

$$|\varphi(1, y)| = \left| \int_{y-\tau}^{\tau/2} x^{2k_0} \exp\{i\Theta x^2\} dx \right| \leq (s+1)(9|t|)^{k_0} \left\{ \frac{1}{|y-\tau|} + \frac{1}{\tau/2} + \int_{y-\tau}^{\tau/2} \frac{1}{x^2} dx \right\} \leq (s+1)(9|t|)^{k_0} 4.$$

b) Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi(1, y)| &= \left| \int_{y-\tau}^{\tau/2} x^{2k_0} \exp\{i\Theta x^2\} dx \right| \leq \left| \int_{y-\tau}^{1/2} y^{2k_0} \exp\{i\Theta x^2\} dx \right| + \\
 &+ \left| \int_{1/2}^{\tau/2} x^{2k_0} \exp\{i\Theta x^2\} dx \right| \leq 4(s+1)(9|t|)^{k_0} + (9|t|)^{k_0} \leq (s+1)(9|t|)^{k_0} 5.
 \end{aligned}$$

c) Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\varphi(1, y)| &\leq \left| \int_{y-\tau}^{-1/2} x^{2k_0} \exp\{i\Theta x^2\} dx \right| + \left| \int_{-1/2}^{\tau/2} x^{2k_0} \exp\{i\Theta x^2\} dx \right| \leq \\
 &\leq \left| \int_{y-\tau}^{-1/2} x^{2k_0} \exp\{i\Theta x^2\} dx \right| + (s+1)(9|t|)^{k_0} 5 \leq \\
 &\leq (s+1)(9|t|)^{k_0} \left\{ 5 + \frac{1}{|y-\tau|} + 2 + \int_{y-\tau}^{-1/2} \frac{1}{x^2} dx \right\} = (s+1)(9|t|)^{k_0} 9.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that in case c) one gets the worst estimate. Hence, for  $p = 1$ , for all  $y \in (-\infty, 3\tau/2]$  one has the estimate from the hypotheses of the lemma with  $a = 9$ .

We proceed to estimate  $\varphi(p, y)$  for  $p \geq 2$ . Let us assume that for  $\ell = 1, \dots, p-1$  and all  $y \in (-\infty, (l+1/2)\tau]$  one has

$$|\varphi(l, y)| \leq (s+1)^l (9|t|)^{k_{l-1} + \dots + k_l} \Phi(l, t, n) \quad (2.11)$$

with some finite  $\Phi(l, t, n)$ ,  $l \geq 2$ , and  $\Phi(1, t, n) \equiv 9$ . Without loss of generality, one can assume that  $\Phi(0, t, n) \equiv 1$ ,  $\Phi(-1, t, n) = \Phi(-2, t, n) \equiv 0$ . First we prove that then (2.11) also holds for  $\ell = p$ . We prove the estimate  $\Phi(p, t, n) \leq a^p$  somewhat later.

It is clear from (2.5) and (2.3) that

$$\varphi(p, y) = T_p(y - \tau, (p-1/2)\tau).$$

The points  $-1/4, 1/4, \tau/2 - 1/4, \tau/2 + 1/4, \tau - 1/4, \tau + 1/4, (p-1/2)\tau$  divide the half-line into seven intervals  $I_1 = (-\infty, -1/4), \dots, I_7 = (\tau + 1/4, (p-1/2)\tau)$ . The estimation of  $\varphi(p, y)$  largely repeats the estimation of  $\varphi(1, y)$ . Hence we consider only the most laborious case  $y - \tau \in I_1$ . Thus, let  $y - \tau \in I_1$ . Then

$$|\varphi(p, y)| = |T_p(y - \tau, (p-1/2)\tau)| \leq |T_p(y - \tau, -1/4)| + \sum_{i=2}^7 |T_p(I_i)| \leq$$

(we apply (2.9), (2.10), and the assumption (2.11))

$$\leq |T_p(y - \tau, -1/4)| + (s+1)^p (9|t|)^{k_{p-1} + \dots + k_p} \Phi(p-1, t, n) 3/2 + \sum_{i \in \{3, 5, 7\}} |T_p(I_i)|. \quad (2.12)$$

The points  $0, \tau/2, \tau$  do not belong to the intervals  $(y - \tau, -1/4), I_i, i = 3, 5, 7$ . Hence, to the intervals  $T_p(y - \tau, -1/4), T_p(I_i), i = 3, 5, 7$ , one can apply the recurrence estimate (2.6). Keeping (2.12) in mind, we get

$$|\varphi(p, y)| \leq (s+1)^p (9|t|)^{k_{p-1} + \dots + k_p} \Phi(p, t, n),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(p, t, n) &= \Phi(p-1, t, n) \left\{ 3/2 + U(y - \tau, -1/4) + \sum' U(I_i) \right\} + \\ &+ \Phi(p-2, t, n) \left\{ V(y - \tau, -1/4) + \sum' V(I_i) \right\} + \\ &+ \Phi(p-3, t, n) \left\{ W(y - \tau, -1/4) + \sum' W(I_i) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

the sign  $\Sigma'$  denotes summation over  $i = 3, 5, 7$ ,

$$U(A, B) = \frac{1}{|A|} + \frac{1}{|B|} + \int_A^B \frac{1}{x^2} dx,$$

$$V(A, B) = \frac{1}{|A| |A - \tau/2|} + \frac{1}{|B| |B - \tau/2|} + \int_A^B \left\{ \frac{1}{x^2 |x - \tau/2|} + \frac{1}{|x| |x - \tau/2| |x - \tau|} + \frac{1}{|x| (x - \tau/2)^2} \right\} dx,$$

$$W(A, B) = \int_A^B \frac{1}{|x| |x - \tau/2|} dx.$$

It is clear that there exists an absolute constant  $b \geq 9$  such that each of the expressions in curly brackets in (2.13) does not exceed  $b$ . Hence, from (2.13) for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , we get

$$\Phi(p, t, n) \leq \{ \Phi(p-1, t, n) + \Phi(p-2, t, n) + \Phi(p-3, t, n) \} b.$$

Consequently, there exists an absolute constant  $a$ , such that  $\Phi(p, t, n) \leq a^p$  (for example, one can take  $a = 2b$ ).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Estimating each summand in (2.4) in modulus, and applying the estimate of Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^s E \exp \{ it \omega_n^2 \} \right| \leq n! |t|^{-n/2-s} (s+1)^n a^n \times \\ & \times \sum^* C_s(k_0, \dots, k_n) |t|^{k_n} (12n)^{-k_n} (9|t|)^{k_{n-1} + \dots + k_0} \leq n! |t|^{-n/2-s} (s+1)^n a^n (9|t|)^s n^s. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is proved.

**Proof of Lemma 1.3.** Theorem 2.1 and the estimate (1.5) in the zone  $|t| \geq n^{1/2+\varepsilon}$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ), for sufficiently large  $n$ , imply

$$\left| \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^s E \exp \{ it \omega_n^2 \} \right| \leq c(s, A) / (1 + |t|^4).$$

In the zone  $|t| \leq n^{1-\varepsilon}$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ) the estimate of Lemma 1.3 is known (cf., e.g., [15, p. 37]). The lemma is proved.

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

As already noted, Theorem 1.2 follows from (1.3). The estimate of Theorem 2.1 and the above-mentioned results on estimates of characteristic functions from [12-16] guarantee that this condition holds. Theorem 1.1 is a special case (for  $p = 1$ ) of Theorem 1.2. The differentiability of the functions  $U_n(x)$  follows from the estimate of Theorem 2.1 and the well-known properties of the Fourier transform. It is known [19, 20] that  $U_n(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 1/(12n)$  and  $U_n(x) = c_n (x - 1/12)^{(n-1)/2}$ , for  $1/(12n) \leq x \leq 1/(12n) + 1/(2n^2)$ , where  $c_n > 0$  is a constant. Hence,  $U_n \notin C^{\alpha+1}$ .

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. N. V. Smirnov, Probability Theory and Mathematical Statistics. Selected Works [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1970), pp. 60-78.
2. T. W. Anderson and D. A. Darling, "Asymptotic theory of certain 'goodness of fit' criteria based on stochastic processes," Ann. Math. Statistics, 23, No. 2, 193-212 (1952).
3. N. P. Kandelaki, "A limit theorem in Hilbert space," Trudy Vsets. Akad. Nauk GSSR, 5, No. 1, 46-55 (1965).
4. V. V. Sazonov, "On  $\omega^2$  criterion," Sankhya. Ser. A, 30, No. 2, 205-210 (1968).
5. V. V. Sazonov, "Improvement of an estimate of a rate of convergence," Teor. Veroyatn. Primen., 14, No. 4, 667-678 (1969).
6. W. A. Rosenkrantz, "A rate of convergence for the von Mises statistic," Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 139, 329-337 (1969).
7. J. Kiefer, "Skorokhod embedding of multivariate RV's and the sample DF," Z. Wahr. Verb. Gebiete, 24, No. 1, 1-35 (1972).
8. Ya. Yu. Nikitin, "Estimates of rate of convergence in some limit theorems and statistical criteria," Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 202, No. 4, 758-760 (1972).
9. A. I. Orlov, "Rate of convergence of the distribution of von Mises-Smirnov statistics," Teor. Veroyatn. Primen., 19, No. 4, 766-786 (1974).
10. S. Czorgo, "On an asymptotic expansion for the von Mises  $\omega^2$  statistic," Acta Sci. Math., 38, 45-67 (1976).
11. S. Csorgo and L. Stacho, "A step toward an asymptotic expansion for the Cramer-von Mises statistic," in: Analytic Function Methods in Probab. Theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam-Oxford-New York (1980), pp. 53-65.
12. F. Götze, "Asymptotic expansions for bivariate von Mises functionals," Z. Wahr. Verb. Geb., 50, No. 3, 333-355 (1979).
13. V. S. Korolyuk and Yu. V. Borovskikh, Asymptotic Analysis of Distributions of Statistics [in Russian], Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1984).
14. V. Yu. Bentkus, "Asymptotic expansions in the central limit theorem in Hilbert space," Liet. Mat. Rinkiny, 24, No. 3, 29-50 (1984).
15. V. Yu. Bentkus, "Asymptotic expansions for distributions of sums of independent random elements of a Hilbert space," Liet. Mat. Rinkiny, 24, No. 4, 29-48 (1984).
16. V. Yu. Bentkus, "Asymptotic expansions in the local limit theorem in Hilbert space," Liet. Mat. Rinkiny, 25, No. 1, 9-22 (1985).
17. G. V. Martynov, Omega-Square Tests [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1978).
18. Sh. Cherge "Asymptotic expansion for the Laplace transform of the von Mises  $\omega^2$  criterion," Teor. Veroyatn. Primen., 20, No. 1, 158-162 (1975).
19. A. W. Marshall, "The small sample distribution of  $n\omega_n^2$ ," Ann. Math. Stat., 29, No. 1, 307-309 (1958).
20. M. A. Stephens, "The distribution of goodness-of-fit statistics  $U_n^2$ ," Biometrika, 50, No. 3-4, 303-313 (1963).