One can define stochastic integral with respect to more general processes. The process (X_t) is a continuous semimartingale if

$$X_t = M_t + A_t,$$

where M_t is a continuous martingale and A_t is of bounded variation, and both are adapted. As in Lemma 6 it can be shown that this decomposition is essentially unique.

We can define stochastic integral with respect to semimartingales. Indeed, integral with respect to A_t can be defined pathwise, since A is of bounded variation, and integration with respect to continuous M_t can be defined similarly as for SBM.

The following version of Itô's formula holds.

Theorem 31 (Itô formula for semimartingales). Let $X_t = M_t + A_t$ be a continuous semimartingale, and let $f \in C^2$. Then

$$f(X_t) = f(X_0) + \int_0^t f'(X_s) dX_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(X_s) d\langle M \rangle_s.$$

Stochastic differential equations $\mathbf{5}$

5.1Existence and uniqueness

We define the strong solution of SDEs and obtain existence and uniqueness results.

The followings are given:

- probability space (Ω, A, P);
 with a filtration (F_t)_{t∈[0,T]};
- a d-dimensional SBM $\underline{W_t} = (W_t^1, \dots, W_t^r)$ with respect to the filtration (\mathcal{F}_t) ;
 - measurable functions $\underline{f} : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d, \ \sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times r};$
 - \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable rv $\xi : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^d$.

The (d-dimensional) process (X_t) is strong solution to the SDE

$$dX_{t} = f(X_{t}, t) dt + \sigma(X_{t}, t) dW_{t}, \qquad (22) \quad \{eq:sde\}$$

$$dX_{t} = X_{t} = h \cdot f(X_{t}) + (W_{t} - W_{t}) \cdot \sigma(X_{t}, t)$$

$$\rightarrow X_t - X_o = \int_o^t f(X_{s,s}) ds + \int_o^t G(X_{s,s}) dW_s$$

if $\int_0^t f(X_s, s) ds$ are $\int_0^t \sigma(X_s, s) dW_s$ well-defined for all $t \in [0, T]$ and the integral version of (22) holds, i.e.

$$\sum_{t=0}^{t} X_t = \xi + \int_0^t f(X_s, s) \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \text{ a.s.}$$

Written coordinatewise

$$X_t^i = \xi^i + \int_0^t f^i(X_s, s) \, \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sum_{j=1}^r \sigma_{i,j}(X_s, s) \, \mathrm{d}W_s^j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, d.$$

It is important to emphasize that with strong solutions not only the SDE (22) is given, but the driving SBM, the initial condition (not just distribution!) ξ and the filtration.

For d-dimensional vectors $|x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \ldots + x_d^2}$ stands for the usual Euclidean norm, and for a matrix $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$, define $|\sigma| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \sigma_{ij}^2}$,

Theorem 32. Assume that for the functions in (22) the following hold:

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Piclard} \\ \text{Piclard} \\ \text{Lindelij} \\ \text{Lindelij} \\ \text{Man.} \\ \text{ODE} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Theorem 32. Assume that for the functions in (22) the following hold:} \\ \text{Theorem 32. Assume that for the functions in (22) the following hold:} \\ \text{ODE} \\ \begin{array}{c} \left| f(x,t) - f(y,t) \right| + |\sigma(x,t) - \sigma(y,t)| \leq K|x-y|, \\ \left| f(x,t) \right|^2 + |\sigma(x,t)|^2 \leq K_0(1+|x|^2), \\ \text{enduct} \\ \text{Theorem (22) has a unique strong solution } X, and \\ \text{E} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |X_t|^2 \leq C(1+\mathbf{E}|\xi|^2). \end{array} \right. \\ \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} |X_t|^2 \le C(1 + \mathbf{E}|\xi|^2).$$

Proof. We only prove for d = r = 1. The general case is similar, but notationally messy.

Recall the following statement from the theory of ordinary differential equations.

Lemma 8 (Gronwall–Bellman). Let α, β be integrable functions for which

for some
$$H \ge 0$$
. Then

$$\alpha(t) \le \beta(t) + H \int_{a}^{t} \alpha(s) \, \mathrm{d}s, \quad t \in [a, b],$$

$$\alpha(t) \le \beta(t) + H \int_{a}^{t} e^{H(t-s)} \beta(s) \, \mathrm{d}s.$$

$$62$$

{thm:sde-exuni}

$$\begin{aligned} \int dX_t &= \int (X_t, t) dt + \sigma(X_t, t) dW_t \\ X_0 &= \gamma \\ X_t &= \xi + \int_0^t \int (X_s, s) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s, s) dW_s \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness. Let X_t, Y_t be solutions. Then

$$X_t - Y_t = \int_0^t \left(f(X_s, s) - f(Y_s, s) \right) ds + \int_0^t \left(\sigma(X_s, s) - \sigma(Y_s, s) \right) dW_s.$$

Since $(a + b)^2 \leq 2a^2 + 2b^2$, by Theorem 25 (ii) and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality 1

Since
$$(a + b) \leq 2a + 2b$$
, by Theorem 25 (ii) and the Cauchy–Schwarz
inequality

$$E(X_t - Y_t)^2 \leq 2E\left[\left(\int_0^t (f(X_s, s) - f(Y_s, s))ds\right)^2\right] = \left(\int_0^t e^{-c} dds\right)^2$$

$$+ 2E\int_0^t (\sigma(X_s, s) - \sigma(Y_s, s))^2 ds$$

$$\leq 2(T+1)K^2\int_0^t E(X_s - Y_s)^2 ds$$

$$K^2(X_s - Y_s)Z$$
With the sector $(b) = E(X_s - Y_s)^2$

With the notation $\varphi(t) = \mathbf{E}(X_t - Y_t)^2$ we obtained

$$\varphi(t) \le 2(T+1)K^2 \int_0^t \varphi(s) \,\mathrm{d}s.$$

By the Gronwall–Bellman lemma $\varphi(t) \equiv 0$, i.e. $X_t = Y_t$ a.s. Since $X_t - Y_t$ is continuous, the two processes are indistinguishable, meaning

$$\mathbf{P}(X_t = Y_t, \ \forall t \in [0, T]) = 1.$$

Thus the uniqueness is proved.

Existence. Sketch. The proof goes similarly as the proof of the Picard–Lindelöf theorem for ODEs. We do Picard iteration. Let $X_t^{(0)} \equiv \xi$, and if $X_t^{(n)}$ is given, let

Write
$$\bigvee_{X_t \in \mathcal{F}_t} \mathcal{F}_t$$

 $X_t^{(n+1)} - X_t^{(n+1)}$

 $= \left[\left(\int_{0}^{t} f(X_{s},s) - f(Y_{s},s) ds \right)^{2} \right] \leq$ $\leq E \left[\int_{0}^{t} \left(f(X_{g}, \varsigma) - f(X_{g}, \varsigma) \right)^{2} d\varsigma - \int_{0}^{t} 1 d\varsigma \right]$ Sfg = [Sp2]. [Sg2] $\leq t \cdot \int E(K^2(X_s - Y_s)^2) ds$ $= t \cdot k^{2} \int_{0}^{t} E\left[\left(X_{s} - Y_{s}\right)^{2}\right] ds$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{t} &\to \mathcal{M}_{t}^{(n)} = \int_{0}^{t} \left(\mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n-1)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n-1)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) - \mathcal{D} \left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{(n)}, s \right) \right) d\mathcal{M}_{s} \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \left(\left(X_{s}^{$$

Eyrood's maximal inequality, as in the proof of uniqueness $\mathbf{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]} (M_{s}^{(n)})^{2}\right) \leq 4\mathbf{E}\int_{0}^{t} \left(\sigma(X_{s}^{(n)},s) - \sigma(X_{s}^{(n-1)},s)\right)^{2} \mathrm{d}s$ $\leq 4K^{2}\int_{0}^{t} \mathbf{E}\left[X_{s}^{(n)} - X_{s}^{(n-1)}\right]^{2} \mathrm{d}s.$ On the other hand, by Cauchy–Schwarz & inschedung prop. $B_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{E}\left[X_{s}^{(n)} - I_{s}^{(n)}\right]^{t} \mathrm{d}s.$ $= \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{E}\left[X_{s}^{(n)} - X_{s}^{(n-1)}\right]^{2} \mathrm{d}s.$

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]}(B_{s}^{(n)})^{2}\right) \leq tK^{2}\mathbf{E}\int_{0}^{t}\left(X_{s}^{(n)}-X_{s}^{(n-1)}\right)^{2}\mathrm{d}s$$

This implies

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]} (X_s^{(n+1)} - X_s^{(n)})^2\right) \le L \int_0^t \mathbf{E}(X_s^{(n)} - X_s^{(n-1)})^2 \mathrm{d}s,$$

with $L = 2(T+4)K^2$. Iterating and changing the order of integration

$$\mathbf{E} \left(\sup_{s \in [0,t]} (X_s^{(n+1)} - X_s^{(n)})^2 \right) \leq L \int_0^t \mathbf{E} (X_s^{(n)} - X_s^{(n-1)})^2 \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq L^2 \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s \mathbf{E} (X_u^{(n-1)} - X_u^{(n-2)})^2 \, \mathrm{d}u \right) \, \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq L^2 \int_0^t (t-s) \mathbf{E} (X_s^{(n-1)} - X_s^{(n-2)})^2 \, \mathrm{d}s.$$
Continuing, and using the assumption on \mathcal{E} we obtain \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A} if \mathbf{A}

Continuing, and using the assumption on ξ we obtain ~ducti~

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sup_{s\in[0,t]} (X_s^{(n+1)} - X_s^{(n)})^2\right)$$

$$\leq L^n \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \mathbf{E} (X_s^1 - \xi)^2 \,\mathrm{d}s \leq C \frac{(LT)^n}{n!}.$$

Snmmable ST (LT)^h Zoo

By Chebyshev

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} |X_t^{(n+1)} - X_t^n| \not(n^{-2})\right) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C' n^4 \frac{(LT)^n}{n!} < \infty.$$



Therefore, applying the first Borel–Cantelli lemma the infinite sum

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (X_t^{(n+1)} - X_t^n)$$

converges a.s. Clearly the sum is a solution to the SDE (22).

5.2 Examples

Most of the examples and exercises are from Evans [4].

Example 16. Let g be a continuous function, and consider the SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t = g(t)X_t \mathrm{d}W_t\\ X_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Show that the unique solution is

$$X_{t} = \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{t} g(s)^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{t} g(s) dW_{s}\right\}.$$

The uniqueness follows from Theorem 32, assuming g is nice enough. To check that X_t is indeed a solution, we use Itô's formula. Let

$$Y_{t} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g(s)^{2} ds + \int_{0}^{t} g(s) dW_{s}.$$
With $f(x) = e^{x}$, we have
$$X_{t} = e^{Y_{t}} = 1 + \int_{0}^{t} e^{Y_{s}} dY_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} e^{Y_{s}} g^{2}(s) ds$$

$$= 1 + \int_{0}^{t} X_{s}g(s) dW_{s},$$
as claimed.
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} (f h) dW_{s},$$

Exercise 34. Let f and g be continuous functions, and consider the SDE $\frac{1}{2}$ b $\int (1/1)^{-1} f(x)$

$$\begin{cases} dX_t = f(t)X_t dt + g(t)X_t dW_t \\ X_0 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Show that the unique solution is

$$X_t = \exp\left\{\int_0^t \left[f(s) - \frac{1}{2}g(s)^2\right] \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t g(s)\mathrm{d}W_s\right\}.$$

Exercise 35 (Brownian bridge). Show that

$$B_t = (1-t) \int_0^t \frac{1}{1-s} \mathrm{d}W_s$$

is the unique solution of the SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}B_t = -\frac{B_t}{1-t}\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}W_t\\ B_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Calculate the mean and covariance function of B.

A mean zero Gaussian process B_t on [0, 1] is called *Brownian bridge* if its covariance function is

$$\mathbf{Cov}(B_s, B_t) = \min(s, t) - st.$$
 $\left(\mathscr{U}_t, \mathsf{f}_t \right)$

Exercise 36. Show that if W is SBM then $B_t = W_t - tW_1$ is Brownian $f_{ee}(0,1)$ bridge.

• Exercise 37. Solve the SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2X_t}\mathrm{d}t + e^{-X_t}\mathrm{d}W_t \\ X(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

 $\hat{\uparrow}$

and show that it explodes in a finite random time. *Hint: Look for a solution* $X_t = u(W_t)$.

Exercise 38. Solve the SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -X_t \mathrm{d}t + e^{-t} \mathrm{d}W_t.$$

Exercise 39. Show that $(X_t, Y_t) = (\cos W_t, \sin W_t)$ is a solution to the SDE

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_t = -\frac{1}{2}X_t\mathrm{d}t - Y_t\mathrm{d}W_t\\ \mathrm{d}Y_t = -\frac{1}{2}Y_t\mathrm{d}t + X_t\mathrm{d}W_t. \end{cases}$$

Show that $\sqrt{X_t^2 + Y_t^2}$ is a constant for any solution (X, Y)!

35. $\int dB_{t} = -\frac{B_{t}}{1-t}dH + dW_{t}$ (B, = 0 $B_{+} = (n-t) \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{n-s} dt ds \quad tr a edution.$ $\int_{0}^{t} \left(\frac{1}{1-s}\right)^{2} ds < \infty$ $E = 1 : \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(1-s)^{2}} ds = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{s^{2}} ds = \left[-\frac{1}{s}\right]_{0}^{1} = \infty$ - Poblem $dB_{t} = -\frac{B_{t}}{1-t}dt + dW_{t}$, $B_{o} = 0$ $B_{+} = (n-+) \cdot \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{n-s} du/s$ X_{t} $g(X_{1},Y_{1}) = X_{1},Y_{1} = X_{0},Y_{0} + \int_{0}^{t} Y_{s} dX_{s} + \int_{0}^{t} X_{s} dY_{s} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} 2.10 dx_{s}$ $\begin{aligned} f(x,y) &= x, y & \overline{\partial_x} f = y & \overline{\partial_y} f = x \\ \partial^2 & \partial^2 f = 0 & \partial^2 f = 1 \\ \overline{\partial x^2} f^2 &= 0 & \overline{\partial x^2} f = 1 \end{aligned}$

= $-S_0^{\dagger}Y_s ds + \int_0^{\dagger} (1-s) \frac{1}{1-s} dN_s$ $= -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{B_s}{A_s} ds + W_{+}.$ $T_{B_{t}} = -\int_{0}^{t} \frac{B_{s}}{n-s} ds + W_{t} N$ $dB_{1} = -\frac{B_{1}}{1-1}dH + dW_{1}.$ $B_{4} = (1 - t) \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - c} dV_{5}$ $dR_{+} = -1.dt \int_{1-s}^{t} dv_{s} + (1-t) \int_{1-t}^{1} dv_{t}$

By= (1-1). So 1-s duly -> Gauxian process $E(\mathcal{B}_{1}) = E(\mathcal{B}_{0}) = 0$. Standing d Ws (M) $Cor(B_t, J_s) = E(B_s B_t) =$ $= (n-t)(1-s)E\left(S_{n-u}^{s}dd_{u}, S_{n-u}^{t}dd_{u}\right)$ integendance $\frac{y}{=(1-t)(1-s)\left[E\left[\left(\frac{s}{s-1} dd'_{n}\right)^{2}\right] + \frac{s}{s+s}^{t}\right]}$ $+ E\left(S_{0,1-11} dW_{n}\right) E\left(S_{1,1} dW_{n}\right)\right)$ =0 $= (1 - 1)(1 - 5) E \left[\left(\int_{0}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - u} d u \right)^{2} \right]$ $= (1 - 1) (1 - s) E \int_{0}^{5} \frac{1}{(1 - w)^{2}} du = (1 - t)(1 - s) \left(\frac{1}{1 - s} - 1\right) =$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -u \end{bmatrix}_{0}^{5} = \frac{1}{1-5} - 1 = \frac{1-5}{1-5} - \frac{1-5}{1-5} = \frac{1-5}{1-5} - \frac{1-5}{1-5} = \frac{1-5}{1-5} - \frac{1-5}{1-5} = \frac{1-5}{1-5} - \frac{1-5}{1-5} = \frac{1-5}{1-5}$

= 13-st. Cor(Bg, By) = (5, 1+) - 3t. $E(\mathcal{B}_1^2) = C_{SV}(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_1) = O$ $B_1 = O \alpha \cdot s$. B4 Mr Mm My + Brownan bridge Silat.: Un, Uz,... ild Uniform(9,1) (n1(Fn(+)-t) -> Brownian bindge.

36. $\int dX_{t} = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2X_{t}}dt + e^{-X_{t}}dW_{t}$ $\int X_{0} = 0$ $\chi_{f} = u(w_{h}), \quad v \in C^{2}$ $X_{t} = u(W_{t}), \quad u \in C$ $X_{t} = u(W_{t}) = u(W_{0}) + \int_{D} u'(W_{s}) dW_{s} +$ $+55 u''(W_{4}) ds$ $v'(v_g) = e^{-\chi_g} = u(w_g)$ $\Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} u(x) = e^{-u(x)} \\ x = u(0) = 0 \end{array} \right],$ and $\frac{1}{2}u''(x) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2u(x)}$ then X= u(Vy) is indeed a solution. $\int \mathcal{U} = e^{-\mathcal{U}}$

 $(e^{u})^{1} = e^{v} u^{1} = 1$ eu(+) = ++C $\mu(t) = lp(t+c)$ 4(0)=) =) =1 u(+) = Cp(1++) the the q. $X_{t} = lgr(1+N_{t})$ the sautorn explodes when Wy cits _1 $T = un \{ : V_r = -1 \}$