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## Lattice-valued functions

Let $S$ be a nonempty set and $L$ a complete lattice. Every mapping $\mu: S \rightarrow L$ is called a lattice-valued ( $L$-valued) function on $S$.

## Cut set (p-cut)

## Let $p \in L$. A cut set of an $L$-valued function $\mu: S \rightarrow L$ (a $p$-cut) is a subset $\mu_{p} \subseteq S$ defined by:

$$
x \in \mu_{p} \text { if and only if } \mu(x) \geq p
$$

In other words, a $p$-cut of $\mu: S \rightarrow L$ is the inverse image of the principal filter $\uparrow p$, generated by $p \in L$ :

$$
\mu_{p}=\mu^{-1}(\uparrow p)
$$
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A required lattice $L$ is the collection $\mathcal{F}$ ordered by the reversed-inclusion, and that $\mu: S \rightarrow L$ can be defined as follows:
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( $\mu_{L}, \leq$ ) is a complete lattice and for every collection $\left\{\mu_{p} \mid p \in L_{1}\right\}, L_{1} \subseteq L$ of cuts of $\mu$, we have
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The quotient $L / \approx$ can be ordered by the relation $\leq_{L /} \approx$ defined as follows:
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The order $\leq_{L / \approx}$ of classes in $L / \approx$ corresponds to the order of suprema of classes in $L$ (we denote the order in $L$ by $\leq_{L}$ ):
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## Example

$$
S=\{a, b, c, d\}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a & b & c & d \\
p & s & r & t
\end{array}\right) \\
\widehat{\mu} & =\widehat{\nu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a & b & c & d \\
\{a\} & \{a, b\} & \{c\} & \{c, d\}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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A Boolean function is a mapping $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.
A lattice-valued Boolean function is a mapping

$$
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where $L$ is a complete lattice.
We also deal with lattice-valued $n$-variable functions on a finite domain $\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}$ :
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where $L$ is a complete lattice.
We use also $p$-cuts of lattice-valued functions as characteristic functions: for $f:\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}^{n} \rightarrow L$ and $p \in L$, we have

$$
f_{p}:\{0,1, \ldots, k-1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}
$$

such that $f_{p}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=1$ if and only if $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geq p$. Clearly, a cut of a lattice-valued Boolean function is (as a characteristic function) a Boolean function.
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If $f$ is invariant under all permutations in $G \leq S_{n}$ and not invariant under any permutation from $S_{n} \backslash G$, then $G$ is called the invariance group of $f$, and it is denoted by $G(f)$.
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In particular, a $(2, L)$-representable group is the invariance group of a lattice-valued Boolean function $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow L$.

The notion of $(2, L)$-representability is more general than $(2,2)$-representability. An example is the Klein 4-group: $\{$ id, $(12)(34),(13)(24),(14)(23)\}$, which is $(2, L)$ representable (for $L$ being a three element chain), but not $(2,2)$-representable.
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The assignment $G \mapsto \bar{G}^{(k)}$ is a closure operator on $S_{n}$, and it is easy to see that $\bar{G}^{(k)}$ is a subgroup of $S_{n}$ for every subset $G \subseteq S_{n}$ (even if $G$ is not a group). For $G \leq S_{n}$, we call $\bar{G}^{(k)}$ the Galois closure of $G$ over $\mathbf{k}$, and we say that $G$ is Galois closed over $\mathbf{k}$ if $\bar{G}^{(k)}=G$.
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Theorem Let $L$ be a complete lattice, let $A \neq \emptyset$ be a set and let $\sigma: A \rightarrow A, \mu: A \rightarrow L, \psi: L \rightarrow L$. Then, for every $p \in L$,

$$
(\sigma \circ \mu \circ \psi)_{p}=\sigma \circ \mu \circ \psi_{p} .
$$

Corollary Let $L$ be a complete lattice, let $A \neq \emptyset$ and let $\mu: A \rightarrow L$. Then the following holds.
(i) $\mu_{p}=\mu \circ\left(\mathcal{I}_{L}\right)_{p}$, where $\mathcal{I}_{L}$ is the identity mapping $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{L}}: L \rightarrow L$.
(ii) $(\sigma \circ \mu)_{p}=\sigma \circ \mu_{p}$, for $\sigma: A \rightarrow A$.
(iii) $(\mu \circ \psi)_{p}=\mu \circ \psi_{p}$, where $\psi$ is a map $\psi: L \rightarrow L$.
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The invariance group of a lattice-valued function $f$ depends only on the canonical representation of $f$.

If $f_{1}:\{0, \ldots, k-1\}^{n} \rightarrow L_{1}$ and $f_{2}:\{0, \ldots, k-1\}^{n} \rightarrow L_{2}$ are two $n$-variable lattice-valued functions on the same domain, then $\widehat{f}_{1}=\widehat{f}_{2}$ implies $G\left(f_{1}\right)=G\left(f_{2}\right)$.

## Representation theorem

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a lattice $L$ and a lattice valued Boolean function $F:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow L$ satisfying the following: If $G \leq S_{n}$ and $G=G(f)$ for a Boolean function $f$, then $G=G\left(F_{p}\right)$, for a cut $F_{p}$.
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If $k \geq n$, then for every subgroup $G$ of $S_{n}$ there exists a function $f:\{0, \ldots, k-1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ such that the invariance group of $f$ is exactly $G$.

For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq n$, there is a lattice $L$ and a lattice valued function $F:\{0, \ldots, k-1\}^{n} \rightarrow L$ such that the following holds: If $G \leq S_{n}$, then $G=G\left(F_{p}\right)$ for a cut $F_{p}$ of of $F$.
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## Linear combination

A lattice-valued Boolean function is a map $\mu:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow L$ where $L$ is a bounded lattice and $n \in\langle 1,2,3, \ldots\rangle$.
We say that $\mu$ can be given by a linear combination (in $L$ ) if there are $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in L$ such that, for all $x=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} \in\{0,1\}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(x)=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} x_{i}, \quad \text { that is, } \quad \mu(x)=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left(w_{i} \wedge x_{i}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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For $p \in L$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{p}:=\left\{x \in\{0,1\}^{n}: \mu(x) \geq p\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a cut of $\mu$.
A closure system $\mathcal{F}$ over $B_{n}$ is a $\cap$-subsemilattice of the powerset lattice $P\left(B_{n}\right)=\left\langle P\left(B_{n}\right) ; \cup \cap\right\rangle$ such that $B_{n} \in \mathcal{F}$. By finiteness, $\mathcal{F}$ is necessarily a complete $\cap$-semilattice.
A closure system $\mathcal{F}$ determines a closure operator in the standard way. We only need the closures of singleton sets, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { for } x \in B_{n} \text {, we have } \bar{x}:=\bigcap\{f \in \mathcal{F}: x \in f\} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $\{\mathrm{V}, 0\}$-homomorphism

If $\mu: B_{n} \rightarrow L$ such that $\mu(0)=0$ and, for all $x, y \in B_{n}$, $\mu(x \vee y)=\mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$, then $\mu$ is called a $\{\vee, 0\}$-homomorphism.
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If $\mu: B_{n} \rightarrow L$ such that $\mu(0)=0$ and, for all $x, y \in B_{n}$, $\mu(x \vee y)=\mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$, then $\mu$ is called a $\{\vee, 0\}$-homomorphism.

A lattice-valued function $B_{n} \rightarrow L$ can be given by a linear combination in $L$ iff it is a $\{\vee, 0\}$-homomorphism.
$\mu(x \vee y)=\bigvee_{i} w_{i}\left(x_{i} \vee y_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i}\left(w_{i} x_{i} \vee w_{i} y_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i} w_{i} x_{i} \vee \bigvee_{i} w_{i} y_{i}=$ $\mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$.

Let $e^{(i)}=\langle 0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0\rangle \in B_{n}$ where 1 stands in the $i$-th place. Define $w_{i}:=\mu\left(e^{(i)}\right)$. Observe that $\mu\left(e^{(i)} \cdot 1\right)=w_{i}=w_{i} \cdot 1$ and $\mu\left(e^{(i)} \cdot 0\right)=0=w_{i} \cdot 0$, that is, $\mu\left(e^{(i)} \cdot x_{i}\right)=w_{i} \cdot x_{i}$. Therefore, for $x \in B_{n}$, we obtain $\mu(x)=\mu\left(\bigvee_{i} e^{(i)} x_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i} \mu\left(e^{(i)} x_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i} w_{i} \cdot x_{i}$.
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If $\varnothing \neq X \subseteq B_{n}$ such that $(\forall x \in X)\left(\forall y \in B_{n}\right)(x \leq y$ then $y \in X)$, then $X$ is an up-set of $B_{n}$.
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If $\varnothing \neq X \subseteq B_{n}$ such that $(\forall x \in X)\left(\forall y \in B_{n}\right)(x \leq y$ then $y \in X)$, then $X$ is an up-set of $B_{n}$.

The lattice-valued function $\mu: B_{n} \rightarrow L$ is isotone iff all the cuts of $\mu$ are up-sets.
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## Closure systems of up-sets, linear combinations

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a set consisting of some up-sets of $B_{n}$. Then, the following three conditions are equivalent.
(i) $\mathcal{F}$ is a closure system over $B_{n}$, and for all $x, y \in B_{n}, \bar{x} \subseteq \bar{y}$ implies $\overline{x \vee y}=\bar{x}$.
(ii) $\mathcal{F}$ is a closure system over $B_{n}$, and for all $x, y \in B_{n}$, $\overline{x \vee y}=\bar{x} \cap \bar{y}$.
(iii) There exist a bounded lattice $L$ and a lattice-valued function $\mu: B_{n} \rightarrow L$ given by a linear combination such that $\mathcal{F}$ is the family of cuts of $\mu$.

## Threshold functions

A classical threshold function is a Boolean function $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ such that there exist real numbers $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}, t$, fulfilling

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=1 \text { if and only if } \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \cdot x_{i} \geq t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i}$ is called the weight of $x_{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $t$ is a constant called the threshold value.

## Properties of threshold functions

Threshold functions are not closed under superposition, so they do not constitute a clone.

It is easy to see that threshold functions with positive weights and a threshold value are isotone. However, an isotone Boolean function is not necessarily threshold, e.g. it is enough to consider its invariance group, which is the following. D8 homever the invariance group of any threshold function is a direct product of symmetric groups.
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## Properties of threshold functions

Threshold functions are not closed under superposition, so they do not constitute a clone.

It is easy to see that threshold functions with positive weights and a threshold value are isotone.

However, an isotone Boolean function is not necessarily threshold, e.g. $f=x \cdot y \vee w \cdot z$ is isotone, but not a threshold function. To see this, it is enough to consider its invariance group, which is the following: $D 8=\{(),(1324),(12)(34),(1423),(12),(34),(13)(24),(14)(23)\}$, however the invariance group of any threshold function is a direct product of symmetric groups.

## Lattice-induced threshold function

For $x \in\{0,1\}$, and $w \in L$, we define a mapping $L \times\{0,1\}$ into $L$ denoted by ".", as follows:

$$
w \cdot x:=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
w, & \text { if } & x=1  \tag{13}\\
0, & \text { if } & x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

A function $f:\{0,1\}^{n} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ is a lattice induced threshold function, if there is a complete lattice $L$ and $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}, t \in L$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=1 \text { if and only if } \bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left(w_{i} \cdot x_{i}\right) \geq t \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Main representative of isotone functions

Let $B=\left(\{0,1\}^{n}, \leq\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L_{D}$ a free distributive lattice with $n$ generators $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ and $\bar{\beta}: B \rightarrow L_{D}$, an $L_{D}$-valued function on $B$ defined in the following way: for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in B$

$$
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\bar{\beta}(x)=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left(w_{i} \cdot x_{i}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Main representative of isotone functions

Let $B=\left(\{0,1\}^{n}, \leq\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L_{D}$ a free distributive lattice with $n$ generators $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ and $\bar{\beta}: B \rightarrow L_{D}$, an $L_{D}$-valued function on $B$ defined in the following way: for $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in B$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\beta}(x)=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n}\left(w_{i} \cdot x_{i}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every up-set of a finite Boolean lattice $B=\left(\{0,1\}^{n}, \leq\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a cut of $\bar{\beta}$.

## Thank you for your attention!

Thank you for your attention!

