Dynamic programming

Peter Hajnal

Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, Hungary

2023 Fall

ullet We are given a problem P. We introduce a multitude of problems: \mathcal{P} .

- ullet We are given a problem P. We introduce a multitude of problems: \mathcal{P} .
- We assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

- We are given a problem P. We introduce a multitude of problems: \mathcal{P} .
- ullet We assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}$. First, it seems that we are making our life harder. We introduces extra problems in addition to the initial one.

- We are given a problem P. We introduce a multitude of problems: \mathcal{P} .
- ullet We assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}$. First, it seems that we are making our life harder. We introduces extra problems in addition to the initial one.
- ullet We will have very easy problems in ${\cal P}.$

- We are given a problem P. We introduce a multitude of problems: \mathcal{P} .
- ullet We assume that $P \in \mathcal{P}$. First, it seems that we are making our life harder. We introduces extra problems in addition to the initial one.
- ullet We will have very easy problems in \mathcal{P} .
- ullet We can order the elements of ${\mathcal P}$ a way, that solving the actual problem (following the order) will be always easy, based on the answers given so far.

ullet We need some intuition to choose a good ${\cal P}.$

ullet We need some intuition to choose a good \mathcal{P} . With a good choice of a suitable (often very natural) ordering of our problems.

- We need some intuition to choose a good \mathcal{P} . With a good choice of a suitable (often very natural) ordering of our problems.
- \bullet Solving problems of ${\mathcal P}$ is very similar then proving a multitude of claims by induction.

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987,



Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597, 2584,

Definition: Fibonacci numbers

$$F_1 = F_2 = 1$$
,

If n > 2, then

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
.

Example: the few first Fibonacci numbers

 $1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, 233, 377, 610, 987, 1597, 2584, \dots$

• The following "program" computes F(18):

• The following "program" computes F(18):

```
var F(1..18): natural;
for i=1 to 18 do
    if n = 1 or 2 then F(i) = 1
    if i > 2 then F(i) = F(i-1) + F(i-2);
print F(18)
```

• The following "program" computes F(18):

```
var F(1..18): natural;
for i=1 to 18 do
    if n = 1 or 2 then F(i) = 1
    if i > 2 then F(i) = F(i-1) + F(i-2);
print F(18)
```

• It follows the logic of dynamic programming.

• The following "program" computes F(18):

```
var F(1..18): natural;
for i=1 to 18 do
    if n = 1 or 2 then F(i) = 1
    if i > 2 then F(i) = F(i-1) + F(i-2);
print F(18)
```

• It follows the logic of dynamic programming. It introduce an array of length 18.

• The following "program" computes F(18):

```
var F(1..18): natural;
for i=1 to 18 do
    if n = 1 or 2 then F(i) = 1
    if i > 2 then F(i) = F(i-1) + F(i-2);
print F(18)
```

• It follows the logic of dynamic programming. It introduce an array of length 18. At the end of the run the memory will contain 18 numbers,

• The following "program" computes F(18):

```
var F(1..18): natural;
for i=1 to 18 do
    if n = 1 or 2 then F(i) = 1
    if i > 2 then F(i) = F(i-1) + F(i-2);
print F(18)
```

• It follows the logic of dynamic programming. It introduce an array of length 18. At the end of the run the memory will contain 18 numbers, although only the last one, F_{18} is important for us.

• The following "program" computes F(18):

```
var F(1..18): natural;
for i=1 to 18 do
    if n = 1 or 2 then F(i) = 1
    if i > 2 then F(i) = F(i-1) + F(i-2);
print F(18)
```

- It follows the logic of dynamic programming. It introduce an array of length 18. At the end of the run the memory will contain 18 numbers, although only the last one, F_{18} is important for us.
- The speed strongly depends on how many subproblems we have.

• The following "program" computes F(18):

```
var F(1..18): natural;
for i=1 to 18 do
    if n = 1 or 2 then F(i) = 1
    if i > 2 then F(i) = F(i-1) + F(i-2);
print F(18)
```

- It follows the logic of dynamic programming. It introduce an array of length 18. At the end of the run the memory will contain 18 numbers, although only the last one, F_{18} is important for us.
- The speed strongly depends on how many subproblems we have.
- The dynamical programming solution of a problem very often looks like just filling a sequence, or an array with numbers, and announcing the last number as the output.

• The following "program" computes the Fibonacci numbers too:

• The following "program" computes the Fibonacci numbers too:

```
Fibonacci(n):
    if n>2
        return Fibonacci(n-1)+ Fibonacci(n-2)
    else
        return 1;
print Fibonacci(18).
```

• The following "program" computes the Fibonacci numbers too:

```
Fibonacci(n):
    if n>2
        return Fibonacci(n-1)+ Fibonacci(n-2)
    else
        return 1;
print Fibonacci(18).
```

• It is a procedure, that for large parameter refers to itself.

• The following "program" computes the Fibonacci numbers too:

```
Fibonacci(n):
    if n>2
        return Fibonacci(n-1)+ Fibonacci(n-2)
    else
        return 1;
print Fibonacci(18).
```

• It is a procedure, that for large parameter refers to itself. What does a machine do, when running this code?

• The following "program" computes the Fibonacci numbers too:

```
Fibonacci(n):
    if n>2
        return Fibonacci(n-1)+ Fibonacci(n-2)
    else
        return 1;
print Fibonacci(18).
```

• It is a procedure, that for large parameter refers to itself. What does a machine do, when running this code? That is a hard question.

• The following "program" computes the Fibonacci numbers too:

- It is a procedure, that for large parameter refers to itself. What does a machine do, when running this code? That is a hard question.
- This program is much slower than the one, based on dynamic programming.

Break



Maximum independent sets in trees

Given a tree T. Find the size of its largest independent vertex set.

Maximum independent sets in trees

Given a tree T. Find the size of its largest independent vertex set.

Tree

A tree graph is a connected graph without cycle.

Maximum independent sets in trees

Given a tree T. Find the size of its largest independent vertex set.

Tree

A tree graph is a connected graph without cycle.

Independent vertex set

 $F \subset V(G)$ is an independent set iff there is no uv edge, with $u, v \in F$.

• (T, r) is a rooted tree, it T is a tree, an r is special vertex, called root.

• (T, r) is a rooted tree, it T is a tree, an r is special vertex, called root. This innocent notion enrich our language.

- (T, r) is a rooted tree, it T is a tree, an r is special vertex, called root. This innocent notion enrich our language.
- *V*, the set of vertices can be classified into "generations" depending the distance from the root.

- (T, r) is a rooted tree, it T is a tree, an r is special vertex, called root. This innocent notion enrich our language.
- V, the set of vertices can be classified into "generations" depending the distance from the root. Let G_i be the set of vertices, of distance i from r.

- (T, r) is a rooted tree, it T is a tree, an r is special vertex, called root. This innocent notion enrich our language.
- V, the set of vertices can be classified into "generations" depending the distance from the root. Let G_i be the set of vertices, of distance i from r. $G_0 = \{r\}$,

- (T, r) is a rooted tree, it T is a tree, an r is special vertex, called root. This innocent notion enrich our language.
- V, the set of vertices can be classified into "generations" depending the distance from the root. Let G_i be the set of vertices, of distance i from r. $G_0 = \{r\}$, G_1 is the vertex set containing exactly the neighbors of the root.

- (T, r) is a rooted tree, it T is a tree, an r is special vertex, called root. This innocent notion enrich our language.
- V, the set of vertices can be classified into "generations" depending the distance from the root. Let G_i be the set of vertices, of distance i from r. $G_0 = \{r\}$, G_1 is the vertex set containing exactly the neighbors of the root.

For each edge, e there is an index/generation $i \in \mathbb{N}$, that e connects $x \in G_i$ and $y \in G_{i+1}$.

- (T, r) is a rooted tree, it T is a tree, an r is special vertex, called root. This innocent notion enrich our language.
- V, the set of vertices can be classified into "generations" depending the distance from the root. Let G_i be the set of vertices, of distance i from r. $G_0 = \{r\}$, G_1 is the vertex set containing exactly the neighbors of the root.

For each edge, e there is an index/generation $i \in \mathbb{N}$, that e connects $x \in G_i$ and $y \in G_{i+1}$. In this case we say that x is the parent vertex, and y is the child vertex (according to e).

• If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root.

 \bullet If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root. This step leads to the only parent of x (often this parent is called father).

- If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root. This step leads to the only parent of x (often this parent is called father).
- The root is the only vertex without a father.

- If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root. This step leads to the only parent of x (often this parent is called father).
- The root is the only vertex without a father.
- A vertex of a tree is called leaf if it has no children.

- If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root. This step leads to the only parent of x (often this parent is called father).
- The root is the only vertex without a father.
- A vertex of a tree is called leaf if it has no children.
- The ancestors of a vertex (other than the root) are the vertices in the path from the root to this vertex, excluding the vertex itself and including the root.

- If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root. This step leads to the only parent of x (often this parent is called father).
- The root is the only vertex without a father.
- A vertex of a tree is called leaf if it has no children.
- The ancestors of a vertex (other than the root) are the vertices in the path from the root to this vertex, excluding the vertex itself and including the root. Descendants The descendants of a vertex v are those vertices that have v as an ancestor.

- If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root. This step leads to the only parent of x (often this parent is called father).
- The root is the only vertex without a father.
- A vertex of a tree is called leaf if it has no children.
- The ancestors of a vertex (other than the root) are the vertices in the path from the root to this vertex, excluding the vertex itself and including the root. Descendants The descendants of a vertex v are those vertices that have v as an ancestor.
- The root is an ancestor of any non-leaf vertex.

- If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root. This step leads to the only parent of x (often this parent is called father).
- The root is the only vertex without a father.
- A vertex of a tree is called leaf if it has no children.
- ullet The ancestors of a vertex (other than the root) are the vertices in the path from the root to this vertex, excluding the vertex itself and including the root. Descendants The descendants of a vertex v are those vertices that have v as an ancestor.
- The root is an ancestor of any non-leaf vertex. Any non-root vertex is a descendant of the root.

- If x is not the root then there is unique step towards the root. This step leads to the only parent of x (often this parent is called father).
- The root is the only vertex without a father.
- A vertex of a tree is called leaf if it has no children.
- ullet The ancestors of a vertex (other than the root) are the vertices in the path from the root to this vertex, excluding the vertex itself and including the root. Descendants The descendants of a vertex v are those vertices that have v as an ancestor.
- ullet The root is an ancestor of any non-leaf vertex. Any non-root vertex is a descendant of the root. ℓ is leaf iff it has no descendant.

• Any vertex x determines/generates a rooted subtree, T_x : Its vertices are the vertex x and its descendants, its root is x.

- Any vertex x determines/generates a rooted subtree, T_x : Its vertices are the vertex x and its descendants, its root is x.
- A rooted tree has |V| subtrees.

- Any vertex x determines/generates a rooted subtree, T_x : Its vertices are the vertex x and its descendants, its root is x.
- A rooted tree has |V| subtrees. T_r is the original tree.

- Any vertex x determines/generates a rooted subtree, T_x : Its vertices are the vertex x and its descendants, its root is x.
- A rooted tree has |V| subtrees. T_r is the original tree. ℓ is a leaf iff T_ℓ has only one vertex (ℓ) .

- Any vertex x determines/generates a rooted subtree, T_x : Its vertices are the vertex x and its descendants, its root is x.
- A rooted tree has |V| subtrees. T_r is the original tree. ℓ is a leaf iff T_ℓ has only one vertex (ℓ) .
- The depth of a rooted tree is the length of the longest root-leaf path.

- Any vertex x determines/generates a rooted subtree, T_x : Its vertices are the vertex x and its descendants, its root is x.
- A rooted tree has |V| subtrees. T_r is the original tree. ℓ is a leaf iff T_ℓ has only one vertex (ℓ) .
- The depth of a rooted tree is the length of the longest root-leaf path. The depth is 0 if and only if the tree has only one vertex, that vertex is a root and a leaf at the same time.

- Any vertex x determines/generates a rooted subtree, T_x : Its vertices are the vertex x and its descendants, its root is x.
- A rooted tree has |V| subtrees. T_r is the original tree. ℓ is a leaf iff T_ℓ has only one vertex (ℓ) .
- The depth of a rooted tree is the length of the longest root-leaf path. The depth is 0 if and only if the tree has only one vertex, that vertex is a root and a leaf at the same time.
- Many of the graph theoretical slang, introduced above, are originated in the language of family trees.

ullet We are given a tree T.

 \bullet We are given a tree T. Take any vertex as a root.

• We are given a tree *T*. Take any vertex as a root. Note that distinguishing a vertex doesn't change the problem.

 \bullet We are given a tree T. Take any vertex as a root. Note that distinguishing a vertex doesn't change the problem.

The multitude of problems

$$\{\mathcal{F}_x\}_{x\in V(T)},$$

where \mathcal{F}_{x} is the largest independent set problem for (T_{x}, x) .

 \bullet We are given a tree T. Take any vertex as a root. Note that distinguishing a vertex doesn't change the problem.

The multitude of problems

$$\{\mathcal{F}_x\}_{x\in V(T)},$$

where \mathcal{F}_x is the largest independent set problem for (T_x, x) .

• We have |V(T)| problems in our collection of problems.

 \bullet We are given a tree T. Take any vertex as a root. Note that distinguishing a vertex doesn't change the problem.

The multitude of problems

$$\{\mathcal{F}_x\}_{x\in V(T)},$$

where \mathcal{F}_x is the largest independent set problem for (T_x, x) .

• We have |V(T)| problems in our collection of problems. One of them is the original problem (when x is the original root, r).

Roll up the problems

We order the problems \mathcal{F}_x by the depth of (T_x, x) .



Algorithm

(0) We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf.

Algorithm

(0) We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf.

// Then we have 1 vertex in the tree, and 1 is the size of the largest independent set.

Algorithm

(0) We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf.

// Then we have 1 vertex in the tree, and 1 is the size of the largest independent set.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

Algorithm

(0) We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf.

// Then we have 1 vertex in the tree, and 1 is the size of the largest independent set.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

(Loop) For $i = 1, 2, \dots, |V|$ do the same.

Algorithm

(0) We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf.

// Then we have 1 vertex in the tree, and 1 is the size of the largest independent set.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

(Loop) For i = 1, 2, ..., |V| do the same. Assume that (T_i, r_i) is the actual problem.

Algorithm

(0) We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf.

// Then we have 1 vertex in the tree, and 1 is the size of the largest independent set.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

(Loop) For i = 1, 2, ..., |V| do the same. Assume that (T_i, r_i) is the actual problem.

(Computation) We solve the the actual problem assuming that the previous problems are already solved.

Algorithm

(0) We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf.

// Then we have 1 vertex in the tree, and 1 is the size of the largest independent set.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

(Loop) For i = 1, 2, ..., |V| do the same. Assume that (T_i, r_i) is the actual problem.

(Computation) We solve the the actual problem assuming that the previous problems are already solved.

(Output) Announce the answer for (T_r, r) as the output.



• We classify the independent sets of the actual (T_i, r_i) :

- We classify the independent sets of the actual (T_i, r_i) :
 - (a) The independent sets not containing r_i , the root.
- (b) The independent sets containing r_i , the root.

- We classify the independent sets of the actual (T_i, r_i) :
 - (a) The independent sets not containing r_i , the root.
- (b) The independent sets containing r_i , the root.

We determine the largest size among the two types.

• Let s_1, \ldots, s_d be the children of r_i .

• Let s_1, \ldots, s_d be the children of r_i .

Observation

To obtain an independent set of type (a) in T_{r_i} take an arbitrary independent set for each $T_{s_1}, T_{s_2}, T_{s_d}$ and take their union.

• Let s_1, \ldots, s_d be the children of r_i .

Observation

To obtain an independent set of type (a) in T_{r_i} take an arbitrary independent set for each $T_{s_1}, T_{s_2}, \dots, T_{s_d}$ and take their union.

• We can maximize the size of the independent set by taking a maximum size independent set from each of the T_{s_i} 's.

• Let s_1, \ldots, s_d be the children of r_i .

Observation

To obtain an independent set of type (a) in T_{r_i} take an arbitrary independent set for each $T_{s_1}, T_{s_2}, T_{s_d}$ and take their union.

• We can maximize the size of the independent set by taking a maximum size independent set from each of the T_{s_i} 's. Let M_i be the maximum size of independent sets in T_{s_i} . The value of M_i is known when solving the actual problem.

• Let s_1, \ldots, s_d be the children of r_i .

Observation

To obtain an independent set of type (a) in T_{r_i} take an arbitrary independent set for each $T_{s_1}, T_{s_2}, T_{s_d}$ and take their union.

- We can maximize the size of the independent set by taking a maximum size independent set from each of the T_{s_i} 's. Let M_i be the maximum size of independent sets in T_{s_i} . The value of M_i is known when solving the actual problem.
- The answer for the actual problem is $\sum_i M_i$.

• Let g_1, \ldots, g_D be the grandchildren of r_i , the root of the actual tree.

• Let g_1, \ldots, g_D be the grandchildren of r_i , the root of the actual tree.

Observation

To obtain an independent set of type (b) in T_{r_i} take an arbitrary independent set for each (T_{g_i}, g_i) , take their union, and add to the union the vertex r_i .

• Let g_1, \ldots, g_D be the grandchildren of r_i , the root of the actual tree.

Observation

To obtain an independent set of type (b) in T_{r_i} take an arbitrary independent set for each (T_{g_i}, g_i) , take their union, and add to the union the vertex r_i .

• We can maximize the size of the independent set by taking a maximum size independent set from each of the T_{g_i} 's.

Independent sets of type (b)

• Let g_1, \ldots, g_D be the grandchildren of r_i , the root of the actual tree.

Observation

To obtain an independent set of type (b) in T_{r_i} take an arbitrary independent set for each (T_{g_i}, g_i) , take their union, and add to the union the vertex r_i .

• We can maximize the size of the independent set by taking a maximum size independent set from each of the T_{g_i} 's. Let μ_i be the maximum size of independent sets in T_{s_i} . The value of μ_i is known when solving the actual problem.

Independent sets of type (b)

• Let g_1, \ldots, g_D be the grandchildren of r_i , the root of the actual tree.

Observation

To obtain an independent set of type (b) in T_{r_i} take an arbitrary independent set for each (T_{g_i}, g_i) , take their union, and add to the union the vertex r_i .

- We can maximize the size of the independent set by taking a maximum size independent set from each of the T_{g_i} 's. Let μ_i be the maximum size of independent sets in T_{s_i} . The value of μ_i is known when solving the actual problem.
- The answer for the actual problem is $1 + \sum_{i} \mu_{i}$.



Algorithm for finding the maximal size of independent sets

Algorithm for finding the maximal size of independent sets

(0) Fix a root r, introduce the rooted subtrees.

Algorithm for finding the maximal size of independent sets

(0) Fix a root r, introduce the rooted subtrees. We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf.

Algorithm for finding the maximal size of independent sets

(0) Fix a root r, introduce the rooted subtrees. We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf. Output 1.

Algorithm for finding the maximal size of independent sets

(0) Fix a root r, introduce the rooted subtrees. We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf. Output 1.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

Algorithm for finding the maximal size of independent sets

(0) Fix a root r, introduce the rooted subtrees. We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf. Output 1.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

Solve the problems following the order. Assume that (T_i, r_i) is the actual "problem".

Algorithm for finding the maximal size of independent sets

(0) Fix a root r, introduce the rooted subtrees. We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf. Output 1.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

Solve the problems following the order. Assume that (T_i, r_i) is the actual "problem".

(Computation) From the solutions of the previous problems compute $\max\{\sum_i M_i, 1 + \sum_i \mu_i\}$.

Algorithm for finding the maximal size of independent sets

(0) Fix a root r, introduce the rooted subtrees. We start with the problems (T_x, x) , where the depth is 0, i.e. x is leaf. Output 1.

(Ordering) We order our problems (T_i, r_i) . In our order depth (T_i, r_i) is increasing.

Solve the problems following the order. Assume that (T_i, r_i) is the actual "problem".

(Computation) From the solutions of the previous problems compute $\max\{\sum_i M_i, 1 + \sum_i \mu_i\}$.

(Output) Print the solution for the rooted subtree (T_r, r) $(T_r = T)$.



Final remark

For the depth 0 initial case we know an optimal independent set too.

Final remark

For the depth 0 initial case we know an optimal independent set too. Using the above ideas we can compute not only the maximal size, but one of the optimal independent set too. This is the end!

Thank you for your attention!