

- [8] MARČENKOV, S. S., *Homogeneous algebras*. Problemy Kibernetiki 39 (1982), 85–106.  
 [9] MARCZEWSKI, E., *Homogeneous algebras and homogeneous operations*. Fund. Math. 56 (1964), 81–103.  
 [10] MCKENZIE, R. N., McNULTY, G. F. and TAYLOR, W. F., *Algebras, lattices, varieties, Vol. I*. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Monterey, California, 1987.  
 [11] PIXLEY, A. F., *Functionally complete algebras generating distributive and permutable classes*. Math. Z. 114 (1970), 361–372.  
 [12] SZENDREI, Á., *Clones in universal algebra*. SMS, vol. 99. Univ. Montréal, 1986.

Teacher's Training College  
 Szeged  
 Hungary

Bolyai Institute  
 Szeged  
 Hungary

## Varieties generated by finite homogeneous algebras\*

B. CSÁKÁNY AND A. SZEDERKÉNYI

Given an algebra  $A$ , any subvariety of  $\mathcal{V}(A)$  is generated by the subdirectly irreducible algebras it contains. Knoebel [7] observed that this version of Birkhoff's subdirect representation theorem facilitates determining the lattice of subvarieties of  $\mathcal{V}(A)$ , and applied it to the description of the subvariety lattice of  $\mathcal{V}(A)$  for finite preprimal algebras  $A$ . Here we shall use it to determine the subvariety lattices of varieties generated by finite homogeneous algebras. We adopt the terminology and notations of [10].

An algebra  $A$  is called *homogeneous* if every permutation of  $A$  is an automorphism of  $A$ . This notion was introduced by Marczewski [9], and a complete description of homogeneous algebras up to equivalence was first given by Marčenkov [8]. For a survey of homogeneous algebras, including a streamlined proof of Marčenkov's theorem, see Ágnes Szendrei [12]. These results imply a simple classification of finite homogeneous algebras which will be stated here as it is necessary for our further considerations. As a preparation, we recall the most important *homogeneous operations*, i.e. operations of homogeneous algebras: the *dual discriminator*  $d$ , the *switching function*  $s$ , and the *k-ary near-projection*  $l_k$ , defined on an arbitrary set  $A$  by

$$\begin{aligned} d(a, b, c) &= c \quad \text{if } a \neq b, & d(a, b, c) &= a \quad \text{otherwise;} \\ s(a, b, c) &= c \quad \text{if } a = b, & s(a, b, c) &= b \quad \text{if } a = c, & s(a, b, c) &= a \quad \text{otherwise;} \\ l_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) &= a_1 \quad \text{if } |\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}| < k, & l_k(a_1, \dots, a_k) &= a_k \quad \text{otherwise;} \end{aligned}$$

further, the  $(k-1)$ -ary operation  $r_k$ , defined on  $A$  iff  $|A| \leq k$  by

$$\begin{aligned} r_k(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) &= a_k \quad \text{if } |A| = k \text{ and } A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k\}, \\ r_k(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) &= a_1 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Presented by A. F. Pixley.

Received September 9, 1991; accepted in final form January 8, 1992.

\*Research was supported by HNF SR (OTKA) grant No. 1903.

Notice that  $d$  and  $s$  are projections only if  $|A| = 1$ , while  $l_k$  and  $r_k$  are projections (namely they equal  $p_0^k$ ) iff  $|A| < k$ . We usually suppose  $A = \mathbf{n} = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ .

The classification of finite homogeneous algebras we shall need is the following (cf. [8], [12]):

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Any finite homogeneous algebra with universe  $\mathbf{n}$  is equivalent to a unique member of the following six disjoint families of homogeneous algebras:*

- (1) the dual discriminator algebras, i.e. those algebras in which  $d$  is a term operation;
- (2) the algebras  $\langle \mathbf{n}; s \rangle$  with  $n \geq 2$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{n}; s, r_n \rangle$  with  $n = 2$  or  $n \geq 4$ ; we call them switching algebras (note that there are also dual discriminator algebras with  $s$  as a term operation);
- (3) the near-trivial algebras  $\langle \mathbf{n}; l_k \rangle$  with  $n \geq 3$  and  $3 \leq k \leq n$ ;
- (4) the trivial algebras whose basic operations are projections; for simplicity, we denote them by  $\mathbf{n}$ ;
- (5) the algebras  $\langle \mathbf{n}; r_n \rangle$  with  $n \geq 2$ , and  $\langle \mathbf{n}; r_n, l_k \rangle$  with  $n \geq 5$  and  $3 \leq k \leq n-2$ ;
- (6)  $\langle \mathbf{2}; s \rangle^2$ .

We shall also use the following fact (Ganter, Płonka, Werner [5]):

**PROPOSITION 2.** *All homogeneous algebras but  $\langle \mathbf{2}; s \rangle^2$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  ( $n > 2$ ) are simple.*

Our purpose is to prove the following:

**THEOREM.** *The subvarieties of a variety generated by a finite homogeneous algebra form a chain under inclusion.*

After Clark and Krauss [2], an arbitrary finite algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a *direct Stone generator* if every finite member of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A})$ ; an algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is a *subdirect Stone generator* if  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A}) (= \mathcal{S}\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{A}))$ . Recall that a direct Stone generator is always a subdirect Stone generator (see Astromoff [1] and Pixley [11]).

The key of the proof of our theorem is the following.

**LEMMA.** *Every finite homogeneous algebra but  $\langle \mathbf{2}; r_2 \rangle$  is a subdirect Stone generator.*

We prove this lemma for the families (1)–(6) in Proposition 1.

**CASE (1).** If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a finite dual discriminator algebra, then  $d$  is a majority term on  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$ , hence  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$  is congruence distributive and  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A})$  ([6], [4]). By Proposition 2, we can omit  $\mathcal{H}$  here; hence  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A})$ , as asserted.

**CASE (2).** In switching algebras,  $s$  is a Maltsev term operation. Observe that subalgebras of switching algebras are switching algebras, too; hence by Proposition 2 they are simple. Thus, switching algebras are para primal, and taking into account that they have one-element subalgebras, they are (direct, and hence) subdirect Stone generators ([2], Theorem 2.3.), which was needed.

**CASE (3).** Let  $\mathbf{L}_{n,k}$  stand for  $\langle \mathbf{n}; l_k \rangle$ . The subalgebras of  $\mathbf{L}_{n,k}$  are, up to isomorphism,  $\mathbf{L}_{n,k}, \mathbf{L}_{n-1,k}, \dots, \mathbf{L}_{k,k}, \mathbf{k}-1, \dots, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{n,k}$  the class of finite algebras isomorphic to direct products whose factors are non-trivial subalgebras (i.e. subalgebras being non-trivial algebras) of  $\mathbf{L}_{n,k}$  and write  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,k}$  for the class of algebras isomorphic to direct products of form  $\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{P}$  with  $m \geq 1$  and  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k}$ . We shall prove that every finite member of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L}_{n,k})$  is in  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,k}$ . As  $\mathbf{m}$  is a subdirect power of  $\mathbf{2}$ , this implies that all finite algebras of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L}_{n,k})$  are in  $\mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{L}_{n,k})$ . Taking into account that finitely generated homogeneous algebras are finite, we can apply Pixley's result ([11], Theorem 2.3.) asserting that, for any finite  $\mathbf{A}$ , if all finite algebras of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A})$  are in  $\mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A})$ , then  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{A})$ . Now  $\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{L}_{n,k}) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{L}_{n,k})$  by Proposition 2. Hence  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{L}_{n,k}) = \mathcal{P}_s\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{L}_{n,k})$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{L}_{n,k}$  is a subdirect Stone generator.

We split the assertion to be proved into three claims:

**CLAIM 1.** *If  $\mathbf{P}$  is a finite subdirect product whose factors are non-trivial subalgebras of  $\mathbf{L}_{n,k}$ , then  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k}$ .*

Let  $\mathbf{P}$  be a subdirect product of  $\langle \mathbf{r}_i; l_k \rangle$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t; k \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_t \leq n$ ). Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $\mathbf{P}$  is *irredundant*, i.e., for  $1 \leq i < j \leq t$ ,  $pr_{i,j}\mathbf{P}$  is not a bijection between  $\langle \mathbf{r}_i; l_k \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbf{r}_j; l_k \rangle$ , else we can omit the subdirect factor  $\langle \mathbf{r}_j; l_k \rangle$ , obtaining a subdirect product  $\mathbf{P}'$  with  $\mathbf{P}' \simeq \mathbf{P}$ . First put  $t = 2$ . Fix  $a_1 \in \mathbf{r}_1$ , let  $\mathbf{r}_2 = \{b_1, \dots, b_{r_2}\}$ , and suppose  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \in \mathbf{P}$ . It is enough to show that  $\langle a_1, b_i \rangle \in \mathbf{P}$  for  $i = 2, \dots, r_2$ . Choose  $a_2, \dots, a_{r_2} \in \mathbf{r}_1$  with

$$\langle a_2, b_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a_{r_2}, b_{r_2} \rangle \in \mathbf{P}. \quad (*)$$

If  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r_2}$  are not pairwise distinct, we have two possibilities:

- (1) There is a  $j$  ( $\neq i$ ) such that  $a_j = a_i$ . If  $j = 1$ , we are done: otherwise, choose  $b'_2, \dots, b'_{k-2} \in \mathbf{r}_2$  and  $a'_2, \dots, a'_{k-2} \in \mathbf{r}_1$  so that  $b_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_{k-2}, b_i, b_j$  are distinct, and  $\langle a'_2, b'_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a'_{k-2}, b'_{k-2} \rangle \in \mathbf{P}$ . Then

$$l_k(\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle, \langle a'_2, b'_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a'_{k-2}, b'_{k-2} \rangle, \langle a_j, b_j \rangle, \langle a_i, b_i \rangle) = \langle a_1, b_i \rangle \in P.$$

(2) Such a  $j$  does not exist. Then there are  $u, v (\neq i)$  with  $1 \leq u < v \leq r_2$  such that  $a_u = a_v$ . Choose again  $b'_2, \dots, b'_{k-2} \in r_2$  and  $a'_2, \dots, a'_{k-2} \in r_1$  so that  $b_i, b'_2, \dots, b'_{k-2}, b_u, b_v$  are distinct and  $\langle a'_2, b'_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a'_{k-2}, b'_{k-2} \rangle \in P$ . Then

$$l_k(\langle a_u, b_u \rangle, \langle a_v, b_v \rangle, \langle a'_2, b'_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a'_{k-2}, b'_{k-2} \rangle, \langle a_i, b_i \rangle) = \langle a_u, b_i \rangle \in P.$$

This means that if we replace  $a_i$  by  $a_u$  in (\*) then (1) holds and thus (2) can be avoided.

Now let (\*) imply that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r_2}$  are distinct. Then there exists  $a_j \in r_1$  with  $a_j \neq a_1$  and  $\langle a_j, b_1 \rangle \in P$  otherwise  $pr_{1,2}P$  is a bijection, contradicting the assumption. If  $j \neq i$ , take, for  $a_1, a_i, a_j$ , the elements  $a'_2, \dots, a'_{k-2}, b'_2, \dots, b'_{k-2}$  as in the case (1); then  $l_k(\langle a_i, b_i \rangle, \langle a'_2, b'_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a'_{k-2}, b'_{k-2} \rangle, \langle a_j, b_1 \rangle, \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle) = \langle a_1, b_i \rangle \in P$ , and, finally,

$$\langle a_1, b_j \rangle = l_k(\langle a_j, b_j \rangle, \langle a'_2, b'_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle a'_{k-2}, b'_{k-2} \rangle, \langle a_i, b_i \rangle, \langle a_1, b_i \rangle) \in P.$$

Let  $t > 2$  and suppose that subdirect products of less than  $t$  factors of form  $\langle r_i; l_k \rangle$  are direct. For  $j = 1, \dots, t - 1$ , let  $a_j \in r_j$ . As  $pr_{1, \dots, t-1}P$  is a direct product, there exists  $b_1 \in r_t$  with  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}, b_1 \rangle \in P$ . We have to prove  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}, b \rangle \in P$ , whenever  $b \in r_t, b \neq b_1$ . Let  $b_1, b'_2, \dots, b'_{k-2}, b$  be  $k$  distinct elements of  $r_t$ . As we have seen,  $pr_{t-1,t}P$  is a direct product, hence there are  $b'_1 \in r_1$  and  $b_i \in r_i (i = 1, \dots, t - 2)$  such that  $\langle b'_1, b_2, \dots, b_{t-2}, a_{t-1}, b \rangle \in P$ . Again by induction,  $pr_{1, \dots, t-2,t}P$  is also a direct product, which implies, for  $j = 2, \dots, k - 1$ , the existence of  $a'_j \in r_{t-1}$  with  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_{t-2}, a'_j, b'_j \rangle \in P$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a_1, \dots, a_{t-2}, a_{t-1}, b \rangle &= l_k(\langle a_1, \dots, a_{t-2}, a_{t-1}, b_1 \rangle, \\ &\langle a_1, \dots, a_{t-2}, a'_2, b'_2 \rangle, \dots, \\ &\langle a_1, \dots, a_{t-2}, a'_{k-1}, b'_{k-1} \rangle, \\ &\langle b'_1, b_2, \dots, b_{t-2}, a_{t-1}, b \rangle) \in P, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

CLAIM 2. A subdirect product of  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k}$  is a direct product.

Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be a subdirect product of  $\mathbf{m}$  and  $\mathbf{P} = \prod_{i=1}^t \langle r_i; l_k \rangle (\in \mathcal{P}_{n,k})$ . Suppose  $\langle a, \langle a_1, \dots, a_t \rangle \rangle \in \mathbf{Q} (a \in \mathbf{m}, \langle a_1, \dots, a_t \rangle \in P)$ . Take an arbitrary  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_t \rangle \in P$ ; we have to show  $\langle a, \langle b_1, \dots, b_t \rangle \rangle \in \mathbf{Q}$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, k - 2$ , we can choose  $\langle c_{i1}, \dots, c_{it} \rangle \in P$  in such a way that, for  $j = 1, \dots, t, c_{1j}, \dots, c_{k-2,j}$  are distinct from each other as well as from  $a_j$  and  $b_j$ . Now there are  $b, c_1, \dots, c_{k-2} \in \mathbf{m}$  such that  $\langle b, \langle b_1, \dots, b_t \rangle \rangle, \langle c_i, \langle c_{i1}, \dots, c_{it} \rangle \rangle \in \mathbf{Q} (i = 1, \dots, k - 2)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} l_k(\langle a, \langle a_1, \dots, a_t \rangle \rangle, \langle c_1, \langle c_{11}, \dots, c_{1t} \rangle \rangle, \dots, \langle c_{k-2}, \langle c_{k-2,1}, \dots, c_{k-2,t} \rangle \rangle, \\ \langle b, \langle b_1, \dots, b_t \rangle \rangle) \\ = \langle a, \langle b_1, \dots, b_t \rangle \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

as  $l_k$  is the first projection on  $\mathbf{m}$ . Hence  $\langle a, \langle b_1, \dots, b_t \rangle \rangle \in \mathbf{Q}$ , which was needed.

CLAIM 3. The class  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,k}$  is closed under forming homomorphic images.

It is enough to prove that quotient algebras of members of  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,k}$  under principal congruences are in  $\mathcal{Q}_{n,k}$ , too. Let  $\mathbf{Q}$  be the same as in Claim 2, and let  $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}, a = \langle a', a_1, \dots, a_t \rangle, b = \langle b', b_1, \dots, b_t \rangle; a', b' \in \mathbf{m}, a_i, b_i \in r_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . We examine  $\theta = Cg^{\mathbf{Q}}(a, b)$ , in particular, we shall establish when, for an arbitrary  $c \in \mathbf{Q}, c \in a/\theta$  does hold.

It may happen  $a_i = b_i$ ; we can assume that  $a_i = b_i$  for  $i \leq q$ , where  $0 \leq q \leq t$ , and  $a_i \neq b_i$  for  $i > q$ . For  $c = \langle c', c_1, \dots, c_q, \dots, c_t \rangle$  from  $c \in a/\theta$  we infer  $c_i = a_i$  whenever  $i \leq q$ , and we can admit  $c_i = a_i$  for  $q < i \leq r, c_i = b_i$  for  $r < i \leq s$ , and  $c_i \neq a_i, b_i$  for  $s < i \leq t$  with  $q \leq r \leq s \leq t$ . Also, as the direct factors of  $\mathbf{Q}$  are homogeneous, we do not violate generality by supposing  $a' = a_1 = \dots = a_t = 0$  and  $b_{q+1} = \dots = b_t = 1$ . Further, by the same reason, we can put  $c = \langle c', 0, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, 2 \rangle$  with  $w - r$  1's and  $t - s$  2's. Finally, in order to simplify our notations, we can suppose  $q = 1, r = 2, s = 3, t = 4$ . Thus,  $a = \langle 0, 0, 0, 0 \rangle, b = \langle b', 0, 1, 1, 1 \rangle, c = \langle c', 0, 0, 1, 2 \rangle$ . We show that  $c \in a/\theta$  if and only if  $c' = 0$  or  $c' = b'$ . As  $l_k$  is trivial on  $\mathbf{m}$ , the only if part is clear. For the converse, put  $d_i = \langle 0, 0, 0, i, i \rangle (i = 3, \dots, k - 1), d'_i = \langle 0, 0, 0, i, 0 \rangle (i = 1, 3, \dots, k - 1), d''_i = \langle 0, 0, i, 0, 0 \rangle (i = 2, \dots, k - 1)$ , and  $d_2 = \langle c', 0, 0, 2, 2 \rangle, d'_2 = \langle c', 0, 1, 2, 2 \rangle, d''_2 = \langle c', 0, 1, 1, 2 \rangle$ . Now, if  $c' = 0$ , then

$$d_2 = l_k(a, b, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_3, d_2) \equiv l_k(a, a, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_3, d_2) = a \quad (\theta)$$

and

$$c = l_k(d_2, a, d'_{k-1}, \dots, d'_3, d'_1) \equiv l_k(a, a, d'_{k-1}, \dots, d'_3, d'_1) = a \quad (\theta),$$

i.e.  $c \in a/\theta$ . In the case  $c' = b' \neq 0$  we have

$$d'_2 = l_k(b, a, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_3, d_2) \equiv l_k(a, a, d_{k-1}, \dots, d_3, d_2) = a \quad (\theta),$$

$$d_2^* = l_k(d'_2, a, d'_{k-1}, \dots, d'_3, d'_1) = l_k(a, a, d'_{k-1}, \dots, d'_3, d'_1) = a \quad (\theta),$$

and

$$c = l_k(d_2^*, d''_{k-1}, \dots, d''_2, a) = l_k(a, d''_{k-1}, \dots, d''_2, a) = a \quad (\theta),$$

whence  $c \in a/\theta$ .

It follows  $\mathbf{Q}/\theta \simeq \mathbf{m} \times \prod_{i=1}^q \langle \mathbf{r}_i; l_k \rangle$  or  $\mathbf{Q}/\theta \simeq \mathbf{m} - 1 \times \prod_{i=1}^q \langle \mathbf{r}_i; l_k \rangle$  according to  $c_1$  equals 0 or  $b_1$ .

Consequently,  $\mathbf{Q}/\theta \in \mathcal{L}_{n,k}$ . Case (3) is settled.

CASE (4) is trivial.

CASE (5).  $\mathbf{2} \in \mathcal{V}(\langle \mathbf{2}; r_2 \rangle)$  but  $\mathbf{2} \notin \mathcal{SP}(\langle \mathbf{2}; r_2 \rangle)$ , hence  $\langle \mathbf{2}; r_2 \rangle$  is not a subdirect Stone generator.  $\langle \mathbf{3}; r_3 \rangle$  is the one-dimensional (free) affine space over  $GF(3)$ , and all finite members of  $\mathcal{V}(\langle \mathbf{3}; r_3 \rangle)$  are direct powers of  $\langle \mathbf{3}; r_3 \rangle$ .

For  $n \geq 4$ , first consider an algebra of form  $\mathbf{A}_{n,k} = \langle \mathbf{n}; r_n, l_k \rangle$  ( $n \geq 5, 3 \leq k \leq n-2$ ). It has the following non-isomorphic subalgebras:  $\mathbf{A}_{n,k} = \langle \mathbf{n}; r_n, l_k \rangle$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{n-2,k} = \langle \mathbf{n}-2; p_0^n, l_k \rangle, \dots, \mathbf{A}_{k,k} = \langle \mathbf{k}; p_0^n, l_k \rangle, \mathbf{k}-1, \dots, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}$ . Thus, for  $k \leq j \leq n$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{j}; l_k \rangle$  is a reduct of  $\mathbf{A}_{j,k}$  in the sense that the clone of term operations of  $\mathbf{A}_{j,k}$  contains that of  $\langle \mathbf{j}; l_k \rangle$ . Take into account the following fact: if, for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ ,  $\langle \mathbf{A}_i; G \rangle$  is a reduct of  $\langle \mathbf{A}_i; F \rangle$ , and any irredundant subdirect product  $\langle \mathbf{B}; G \rangle$  of  $\langle \langle \mathbf{A}_i; G \rangle : 1 \leq i \leq t \rangle$  is a direct product, then any irredundant subdirect product  $\langle \mathbf{B}; F \rangle$  of  $\langle \langle \mathbf{A}_i; F \rangle : 1 \leq i \leq t \rangle$  is direct, too. Together with Claim 1 of Case (3), this implies: if  $\mathbf{P}$  is a finite subdirect product whose factors are non-trivial subalgebras of  $\mathbf{A}_{n,k}$ , then  $\mathbf{P}$  is a direct product of non-trivial subalgebras of  $\mathbf{A}_{n,k}$ . Also, from Claim 2 we infer that a subdirect product of a trivial algebra and a direct product of non-trivial subalgebras of  $\mathbf{A}_{n,k}$  is always a direct product. Further, a congruence of an algebra is a congruence of any reduct of that algebra, implying together with Claim 3 that the class of all finite direct product of a trivial algebra and non-trivial subalgebras of  $\mathbf{A}_{n,k}$  is closed under forming homomorphic images. These versions of Claims 1–3 enable us to repeat the consideration concerning Case (3) in order to prove that  $\mathbf{A}_{n,k}$  is a subdirect Stone generator.

As for  $\langle \mathbf{n}; r_n \rangle$  ( $n \geq 4$ ), it has no non-trivial proper subalgebras and  $\langle \mathbf{n}; b_{k-1} \rangle$  is a reduct of  $\langle \mathbf{n}; r_n \rangle$  because the equation

$$r_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2}, r_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})) = l_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$$

holds identically (cf. [3], Lemma 1, (3)). Thus, the above consideration works again, showing that  $\langle \mathbf{n}; r_n \rangle$  is a subdirect Stone generator.

CASE (6).  $\langle \mathbf{2}; s \rangle$  is the one-dimensional affine space over  $GF(2)$ ; it is embedded into  $\langle \mathbf{2}; s \rangle^2$ . Thus,  $\langle \mathbf{2}; s \rangle^2$  is a direct (and hence a subdirect) Stone generator. This concludes the proof of the Lemma.

*Proof of the Theorem.* First observe that the subvarieties of  $\mathcal{V}(\langle \mathbf{2}; r_2 \rangle)$  form a chain of length 2, as it has a unique non-trivial subvariety, namely the variety of trivial algebras. By the Lemma, any other finite homogeneous algebra  $\mathbf{A}$  is a subdirect Stone generator, and the subalgebras of  $\mathbf{A}$  are subdirect Stone generators, too, they are homogeneous and not isomorphic with  $\langle \mathbf{2}; r_2 \rangle$ . Note that subalgebras of  $\mathbf{A}$  with universes of the same power are isomorphic by the homogeneity of  $\mathbf{A}$ , hence we can let  $\mathbf{A}_i$  stand for any subalgebra of  $\mathbf{A}$  with an  $i$ -element universe. Further, if  $\mathbf{A}_i$  and  $\mathbf{A}_j$  are subalgebras of  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $i \leq j$ , then  $\mathbf{A}_i$  can be embedded in  $\mathbf{A}_j$ , and thus we have  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}_i) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}_j)$ .

Now, Birkhoff's subdirect representation theorem and our lemma together imply that  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}_i)$  is a proper subvariety of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}_j)$  if and only if  $i < j$  and  $\mathbf{A}_j$  is subdirectly irreducible. Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be an arbitrary subvariety of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}_n)$ ; we have  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}_j)$  where  $\mathbf{A}_j$  is the largest subdirectly irreducible subalgebra of  $\mathbf{A}$  with  $\mathbf{A}_j \in \mathcal{W}$ . Hence the subvarieties of  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{A}_n)$  form a chain, which is isomorphic with the chain of all subdirectly irreducible subalgebras of  $\mathbf{A}$  under embeddability. Theorem is proved.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ASTROMOFF, A., *Some structure theorems for primal and categorical algebras*. Math. Z. 87 (1965), 365–377.
- [2] CLARK, D. M. and KRAUSS, P. H., *Para primal algebras*. Algebra Universalis 6 (1967), 165–192.
- [3] CSÁKÁNY, B. and GAVALCOVÁ, T., *Finite homogeneous algebras*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 42 (1980), 57–65.
- [4] FRIED, E. and PIXLEY, A. F., *The dual discriminator function in universal algebra*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 41 (1979), 83–100.
- [5] GANTER, B., PLONKA, J. and WERNER, H., *Homogeneous algebras are simple*. Fund. Math. 79 (1973), 217–220.
- [6] JÓNSSON, B., *Algebras whose congruence lattices are distributive*. Math. Scand. 21 (1967), 110–121.
- [7] KNOEBEL, A., *The equational classes generated by single functionally precomplete algebras*. Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 332 (1985), 1–83.