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#### Abstract

In this paper, we shall study unilateral global bifurcation phenomenon for the following homogeneous Kirchhoff type problem


$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right) u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda u^{3}+h(x, u, \lambda) \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

As application of bifurcation result, we shall determine the interval of $\lambda$ in which there exist nodal solutions for the following homogeneous Kirchhoff type problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right) u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda f(x, u) \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f$ is asymptotically cubic at zero and infinity. To do this, we also establish a complete characterization of the spectrum of a homogeneous nonlocal eigenvalue problem.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right) u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda u^{3}+h(x, u, \lambda) \quad \text { in }(0,1)  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda$ is a nonnegative parameter and $h:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(x, s, \lambda)}{s^{3}}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]uniformly for all $x \in(0,1)$ and $\lambda$ on bounded sets.
The problem (1.1) is related to the stationary problem of a model introduced by Kirchhoff in 1883 to describe the transversal oscillations of a stretched string [16]. Some important and interesting results can be found, for example, in [1, 4, 12, 13, 15, 19, 25]. Recently, there are many mathematicians studying the problem (1.1), see $[5,6,8,17,20,21,22,24,26]$ and the references therein. A distinguishing feature of problem (1.1) is that the first equation contains a nonlocal coefficient $\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x$, and hence the equation is no longer a pointwise identity, which raises some essential difficulties to the study of this kind of problems. In particular, the bifurcation theory of [11,23] does not work on it.

As shown in [3], the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right) u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda u^{3} \quad \text { in }(0,1),  \tag{1.3}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

possesses infinitely many eigenvalues $0<\mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$, all of which are simple. The eigenfunction $\varphi_{k}$ corresponding to $\mu_{k}$ has exactly $k-1$ simple zeros in ( 0,1 ). Let $S_{k}^{+}$ denote the set of functions in $E:=C_{0}^{1}[0,1]$ which have exactly $k-1$ interior nodal (i.e. nondegenerate) zeros in ( 0,1 ) and are positive near $x=0$, and set $S_{k}^{-}=-S_{k}^{+}$, and $S_{k}=S_{k}^{+} \cup S_{k}^{-}$. It is clear that $S_{k}^{+}$and $S_{k}^{-}$are disjoint and open in $E$. Finally, let $\Phi_{k}^{ \pm}=\mathbb{R} \times S_{k}^{ \pm}$and $\Phi_{k}=\mathbb{R} \times S_{k}$ under the product topology. The first main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. The pair $\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)$ is a bifurcation point of (1.1). Moreover, there are two distinct unbounded continua in $\mathbb{R} \times H_{0}^{1}(0,1), \mathscr{C}_{k}^{+}$and $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{-}$, consisting of the bifurcation branch $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ emanating from $\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)$, such that $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \subseteq\left(\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\} \cup \Phi_{k}^{v}\right), v \in\{+,-\}$.

It is well known that the index formula of an isolated zero is very important in the study of bifurcation phenomena for semi-linear differential equations. However, problem (1.1) is nonlinear. In order to overcome this difficulty, we study the following auxiliary homogeneous eigenvalue problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{p / 2} u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda|u|^{p} u \quad \text { in }(0,1),  \tag{1.4}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p \in[0,2]$. We study the spectral structure, and establish an index formula via a suitable homotopic deformation from a general $p \in[0,2]$ to $p=0$ for problem (1.4). Let $\lambda_{1}(p)$ denote the first eigenvalue of (1.4). As shown in [9], $\lambda_{1}(p)>0$ is simple, isolated, the unique principal eigenvalue of (1.4), and is continuous with respect to $p$. Our second main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. The set of all eigenvalues of (1.4) is formed by a sequence

$$
0<\lambda_{1}(p)<\lambda_{2}(p)<\cdots<\lambda_{k}(p) \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Every $\lambda_{k}(p)$ is simple, continuous with respect to $p$ and the corresponding one dimensional space of solutions of (1.4) with $\lambda=\lambda_{k}(p)$ is spanned by a function having precisely $k$ bumps in ( 0,1 ). Each $k$-bump solution is constructed by the reflection and compression of the eigenfunction $\varphi_{1}$ associated with $\lambda_{1}(p)$.

Based on Theorem 1.1, we study the existence of nodal solutions for the following problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right) u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda f(x, u) \quad \text { in }(0,1)  \tag{1.5}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We assume that $f$ satisfies the following conditions
(f1) $f:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that $f(x, s) s>0$ for all $x \in(0,1)$ and any $s \neq 0$.
(f2) there exist $f_{0}, f_{\infty} \in(0,+\infty)$ such that

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{f(x, s)}{s^{3}}=f_{0}, \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(x, s)}{s^{3}}=f_{\infty}
$$

uniformly with respect to all $x \in(0,1)$.
The last main theorem of this paper is the following result.
Theorem 1.3. Assume that $f$ satisfies (f1)-(f2). Then the pair $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{0}, 0\right)$ is a bifurcation point of (1.5) and there are two distinct unbounded continua in $\mathbb{R} \times H_{0}^{1}(0,1), \mathscr{C}_{k}^{+}$and $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{-}$, emanating from $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{0}, 0\right)$, such that $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \subseteq\left(\left\{\left(\mu_{k} / f_{0}, 0\right)\right\} \cup \Phi_{k}^{v}\right)$ and links $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{0}, 0\right)$ to $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{\infty}, \infty\right)$.

The rest of this paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we establish the spectrum of problem (1.4). In Section 3 and 4, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.3, respectively.

## 2 Spectrum of (1.4)

Let $X$ be the usual Sobolev space $H_{0}^{1}(0,1)$ with the norm $\|u\|=\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}$. For any $\alpha \in(0,1]$, we use $C^{\alpha}[0,1]$ to denote all the real functions such that

$$
\|u\|_{\alpha}:=\sup _{x, y \in[0,1], x \neq y} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}<+\infty
$$

Firstly, we have the following regularity result.
Proposition 2.1. Any weak solution $u \in X$ of problem (1.4) is also a classical solution, i.e., $u \in$ $C^{2}[0,1]$ satisfying (1.4).

Proof. Let $u$ be a nontrivial weak solution of problem (1.4) and

$$
f(x)=\frac{\lambda|u(x)|^{p} u(x)}{\|u\|^{p}}
$$

Note that

$$
H_{0}^{1}(0,1)=\left\{u \in \mathrm{AC}[0,1]: u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0,1) \text { and } u(0)=u(1)=0\right\}
$$

Then it is obvious that $f \in L^{2}(0,1)$, in fact continuous by the compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow$ $C^{1 / 2}[0,1]$. According to the definition of weak solution, we have

$$
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda|u|^{p} u
$$

in the sense of distribution. It follows that

$$
u^{\prime}(x)=u^{\prime}(0)-\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d t
$$

Note that

$$
u(x)=\int_{0}^{x} u^{\prime}(t) d t
$$

So, we have that

$$
u(x)=\int_{0}^{x}\left(u^{\prime}(0)-\int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) d \tau\right) d t=u^{\prime}(0) x-\int_{0}^{x} \int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) d \tau d t .
$$

Then, in view of $f \in C[0,1]$, we get that $u \in C^{2}[0,1]$ and satisfies (1.4).
Lemma 2.2. If $(\lambda, u)$ is a solution of (1.4) and $u$ has a double zero, then $u \equiv 0$.
Proof. Let $u$ be a solution of (1.4) and $x^{*} \in[0,1]$ be a double zero. If $\|u\|=0$, the conclusion is obvious. Next, we assume that $\|u\| \neq 0$. We note that

$$
u(x)=-\frac{\lambda}{\|u\|^{p}} \int_{x^{*}}^{x} \int_{x^{*}}^{s}|u|^{p} u d \tau d s .
$$

Firstly, we consider $x \in\left[0, x^{*}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x)| & \left.=\left.\left|-\frac{\lambda}{\|u\|^{p}} \int_{x^{*}}^{x} \int_{x^{*}}^{s}\right| u\right|^{p} u d \tau d s\left|\leq\left|\frac{\lambda}{\|u\|^{p}} \int_{x^{*}}^{x} \int_{x^{*}}^{x}\right| u\right|^{p} u d \tau d s \right\rvert\, \\
& \left.=\left.\left|\frac{\lambda}{\|u\|^{p}}\left(x-x^{*}\right) \int_{x^{*}}^{x}\right| u\right|^{p} u d \tau \right\rvert\, \\
& \leq \frac{\lambda}{\|u\|^{p}} \int_{x}^{x^{*}}|u|^{p+1} d \tau \leq \frac{\lambda\|u\|_{\infty}^{p}}{\|u\|^{p}} \int_{x}^{x^{*}}|u| d \tau \leq \lambda \int_{x}^{x^{*}}|u| d \tau .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Gronwall-Bellman inequality [7, Lemma 2.2], we get $u \equiv 0$ on $\left[0, x^{*}\right]$. Similarly, we can get $u \equiv 0$ on $\left[x^{*}, 1\right]$ and the proof is completed.

Lemma 2.3. Each nontrivial solution $(\lambda, u)$ of (1.4) has a finite number of zeros.
Proof. Suppose, on the contrary, that $u$ has a sequence zeros $x_{n}$. Since $[0,1]$ is compact, up to a subsequence, there exists $x_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} x_{n}=x_{0}$. By the continuity of $u$, we have that $u\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} u\left(x_{n}\right)=0$. So, we have that

$$
u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{u\left(x_{n}\right)-u\left(x_{0}\right)}{x_{n}-x_{0}}=0 .
$$

Thus, $x_{0}$ is a double zero of $u$. By Lemma 2.2, we get that $u \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction.
Let $J$ be a strict sub-interval of $I$. Let $\lambda_{1}(J)$ denote the first eigenvalue

$$
\begin{cases}-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{p / 2} u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda|u|^{p} u & \text { in } J, \\ u(x)=0 & \text { on } \partial J,\end{cases}
$$

where $p \in[0,2]$.
Lemma 2.4. $\lambda_{1}(I)$ verifies the strict monotonicity property with respect to the domain I, i.e. if $J$ is a strict subinterval of $I$, then $\lambda_{1}(I)<\lambda_{1}(J)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi_{1}$ with $\left\|\varphi_{1}\right\|=1$ be the eigenfunction of (1.4) on $J$ corresponding to $\lambda_{1}(J)$, and denote by $\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}$ the extension by zero on $I$. Then we have that

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}(J)}=\int_{I}\left|\varphi_{1}\right|^{p+2} d x=\int_{I}\left|\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}\right|^{p+2} d x<\sup _{u \in X,\|u\|=1} \int_{0}^{1}|u|^{p+2} d x=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}(I)}
$$

The last strict inequality holds from the fact that $\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}$ vanishes in $I \backslash J$ so cannot be an eigenfunction corresponding to the principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}(I)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\varphi_{1}$ be a positive eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{1}(p)$. It follows from the symmetry of (1.4) and Theorem 3.1 of [9] (or Theorem 2.4 of [18]) that $\varphi_{1}(x)=\varphi_{1}(1-x)$ for $x \in[0,1]$, i.e. $\varphi_{1}$ is even with respect to $1 / 2$. For any $k \geq 2$, set

$$
\varphi_{k}(x)= \begin{cases}\varphi_{1}(k x), & x \in\left[0, \frac{1}{k}\right], \\ -\varphi_{1}(k x-1), & x \in\left[\frac{1}{k}, \frac{2}{k}\right], \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ (-)^{k} \varphi_{1}(k x-k+1), & x \in\left[\frac{k-1}{k}, 1\right] .\end{cases}
$$

Then $\varphi_{k}$ is an eigenfunction of (1.4) associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_{k}(p)=k^{p+2} \lambda_{1}(p)$. Clearly, the continuity of $\lambda_{1}(p)$ implies that $\lambda_{k}(p)$ is continuous with respect to $p$.

On the other hand, let $u=u(x)$ be an eigenfunction of (1.4) associated with some eigenvalue $\lambda_{*}>\lambda_{1}(p)$. According to Theorem 3.1 of [9], $u$ changes sign in ( 0,1 ). Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 imply that $u \in S_{k}$ for some $k \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $u^{\prime}(0)>0$. Let

$$
0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\cdots<\tau_{k-1}<1
$$

denote the zeros of $u$ in $(0,1)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\tau_{1} \leq 1 / k$. Applying Lemma 2.4 on $[0,1 / k]$, we have that $\lambda_{*} \geq \lambda_{k}$. By Lemma 2 of [2], there exist integers $p$ and $q, 1 \leq p \leq k-1,1 \leq q \leq k-1$, such that

$$
\tau_{p} \leq \frac{1}{q+1}<\frac{1}{q} \leq \tau_{p+1} .
$$

Applying Lemma 2.4 on $\left[\tau_{p}, \tau_{p+1}\right]$, we have that $\lambda_{*} \leq \lambda_{k}$. So we have that $\lambda_{*}=\lambda_{k}$. Furthermore, if $\tau_{1}<1 / k$, we have that $\lambda_{*}>\lambda_{k}$; if $\tau_{1}>1 / k$, we have that $\lambda_{*}<\lambda_{k}$. Thus we have $\tau_{1}=1 / k$ and $u=c_{1} \varphi_{k}(x)$ for $x \in[0,1 / k]$. Similarly, we can obtain that $\tau_{i}=i / k$ and $u=c_{i} \varphi_{k}(x)$ for $x \in[(i-1) / k, i / k], 2 \leq i \leq k-1$. Let us normalize $u$ as $u^{\prime}(0)=\varphi_{k}^{\prime}(0)$. It follows that $c_{1}=1$. Hence $\varphi_{k}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)=c_{2} \varphi_{k}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$. So we have $c_{2}=1$. Similarly, one has $c_{i}=1$ for all $3 \leq i \leq k-1$. Therefore, we have that $u(x)=\varphi_{k}(x), x \in[0,1]$.

## 3 Global bifurcation

Consider the following auxiliary problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{p / 2} u^{\prime \prime}=f(x) \quad \text { in }(0,1)  \tag{3.1}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for any $p \in[0,2]$ and a given $f \in X^{*}$. We have shown in [9] that problem (3.1) has a unique weak solution. Let us denote by $R_{p}(f)$ the unique weak solution of (3.1). Then $R_{p}: X^{*} \rightarrow X$
is a continuous operator. Since the embedding of $X \hookrightarrow L^{\infty}(0,1)$ is compact, the restriction of $R_{p}$ to $L^{1}(0,1)$ is a completely continuous (i.e., continuous and compact) operator. From the obvious modification of Lemma 4.2 of [9], we can get the following compactness and continuity of the operator $R_{p}$ with respect to $p$ and $f$.

Lemma 3.1. The operator $R:[0,2] \times L^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(0,1)$ defined by $R(p, f)=R_{p}(f)$ is completely continuous.

Now, we consider (1.4) again. Clearly, $u$ is a weak solution of (1.4) if and only if $u \in X$, $\lambda \in[0,+\infty)$ satisfy

$$
u=R_{p}\left(\lambda|u|^{p} u\right)=\lambda^{\frac{1}{p+1}} R_{p}\left(|u|^{p} u\right):=T_{p}^{\lambda}(u)
$$

For any $u \in X$, we define

$$
K_{p}(u)=|u|^{p} u
$$

Then we see that $K_{p}(u) \in L^{1}(0,1)$. We claim that $K_{p}: X \hookrightarrow L^{1}(0,1)$ is continuous. Assume that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$. Since embedding $X \hookrightarrow C[0,1]$ is compact, we have $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $C[0,1]$. It follows that $u_{n}(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ for any $x \in[0,1]$. So, we have that $K_{p}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow K_{p}(u)$ in $L^{1}(0,1)$. Since $R_{p}: L^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow X$ is a compact, we have that $T_{p}^{\lambda}=\lambda^{\frac{1}{p+1}} R_{p} \circ K_{p}: X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous. Thus the Leray-Schauder degree

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{X}\left(I-T_{p}^{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)
$$

is well-defined for arbitrary $r$-ball $B_{r}(0)$ and $\lambda \neq \lambda_{k}(p)$. It is well known that

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{X}\left(I-T_{0}^{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)=(-1)^{\beta}
$$

where $\beta$ is the number of eigenvalues of problem (1.4) with $p=0$ less than $\lambda$. As far as the general $p$, we can compute it through the deformation along $p$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $r>0$ and $\bar{p} \in[0,2]$. Then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{X}\left(I-T_{\bar{p}}^{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}(\bar{p})\right) \\ (-1)^{k}, & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k}(\bar{p}), \lambda_{k+1}(\bar{p})\right)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. If $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}(\bar{p})\right)$, the conclusion has done in [9]. So we only need to prove the case $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k}(\bar{p}), \lambda_{k+1}(\bar{p})\right)$. Since $p \rightarrow \lambda_{k}(p)$ is continuous, we can define a continuous function $\chi:[0,2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\lambda_{k}(p)<\chi(p)<\lambda_{k+1}(p)$ and $\lambda=\chi(\bar{p})$. Set

$$
d(p)=\operatorname{deg}_{X}\left(I-T_{p}^{\chi(p)}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)
$$

We shall show that $d(p)$ is constant in $[0,2]$.
Define $S_{p}: L^{\infty}(0,1) \rightarrow X$ by $S_{p}(u)=R_{p}\left(\chi(p)|u|^{p} u\right)$. We see that $S_{p}(u)=\chi^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(p) R_{p} \circ$ $K_{p}(u)$, where $K_{p}(u)=|u|^{p} u$. By the definition of $K_{p}$, we can easily verify that $K_{p}: L^{\infty}(0,1) \rightarrow$ $L^{1}(0,1)$ is continuous. Since $R_{p}: L^{1}(0,1) \rightarrow X$ is a compact, we get that $S_{p}: L^{\infty}(0,1) \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous. Also we have that $T_{p}^{\chi(p)}=S_{p} \circ i$ where $i: X \rightarrow L^{\infty}(0,1)$ is the usual inclusion. From Lemma 2.4 of [14], we obtain that

$$
d(p)=\operatorname{deg}_{L^{\infty}}\left(I-i \circ S_{p}, \Omega_{s}, 0\right) \quad \text { for } p \in[0,2]
$$

where $\Omega_{s}$ is any open bounded set in $L^{\infty}(0,1)$ containing 0 . It is not difficult to verify that the operator $\varphi:[0,2] \times L^{\infty}(0,1) \rightarrow L^{1}(0,1)$ defined by $\varphi(p, u)=|u|^{p} u$ is continuous. This fact, the continuity of $\chi(p)$ and Lemma 3.1 imply that $(p, u) \mapsto R_{p}\left(\chi(p)|u|^{p} u\right)=\left(i \circ S_{p}\right)(u)$ : $[0,2] \times L^{\infty}(0,1) \rightarrow L^{\infty}(0,1)$ is completely continuous. Since $\lambda_{k}(p)<\chi(p)<\lambda_{k+1}(p)$ for any $p \in[0,2]$, we have that $u-R_{p}\left(\chi(p)|u|^{p} u\right) \neq 0$ on $\partial \Omega_{s}$. The invariance of the LeraySchauder degree under a compact homotopy follows that $d(p) \equiv$ constant for $p \in[0,2]$. So, $d(\bar{p})=d(0)=(-1)^{k}$, as desired.

In particular, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 3.3. Let $r>0$. Then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{X}\left(I-T_{2}^{\lambda}, B_{r}(0), 0\right)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(0, \mu_{1}\right) \\ (-1)^{k}, & \text { if } \lambda \in\left(\mu_{k}, \mu_{k+1}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $\mu_{k}$ is the $k$-th eigenvalue of (1.3).
Clearly, the pair $(\lambda, u)$ is a solution of (1.1) if and only if $(\lambda, u)$ satisfies

$$
u=R_{2}\left(\lambda u^{3}+h(x, u, \lambda)\right):=G_{\lambda}(u) .
$$

It is easy to see that $G_{\lambda}: X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous and $G_{\lambda}(0)=0, \forall \lambda \in[0,+\infty) . \mu_{k}$ is the $\lambda_{k}$. Let $X_{0}$ be any complement of span $\left\{\varphi_{k}\right\}$ in $X$.

Theorem 3.4. The pair $\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)$ is a bifurcation point of (1.1). Moreover, there are two distinct continua in $\mathbb{R} \times X, \mathscr{C}_{k}^{+}$and $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{-}$, consisting of the bifurcation branch $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ emanating from $\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)$, which contain $\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\}$ and each of them satisfies one of the following non-excluding alternatives:

1. it is unbounded in $\mathbb{R} \times X$;
2. it contains a pair $\left(\mu_{j}, 0\right)$ with $j \neq k$;
3. it contains a point $(\lambda, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times\left(X_{0} \backslash\{0\}\right)$.

Proof. We use the abstract bifurcation result of [10] to prove this theorem. An operator $L$ defined on $X$ is called homogeneous if $L(c u)=c L(u)$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in X$. It is not difficult to verify that $L(\lambda):=T_{2}^{\lambda}: X \rightarrow X$ is homogeneous and completely continuous. Let $\widetilde{h}(x, u, \lambda)=\max _{0 \leq|s| \leq u}|h(x, s, \lambda)|$ for all $x \in(0,1)$ and $\lambda$ on bounded sets, then $\widetilde{h}$ is nondecreasing with respect to $u$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\widetilde{h}(x, u, \lambda)}{u^{3}}=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further it follows from (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h(x, u, \lambda)}{\|u\|^{3}} \leq \frac{\widetilde{h}(x,|u|, \lambda)}{\|u\|_{\infty}^{3}} \leq \frac{\widetilde{h}\left(x,\|u\|_{\infty}, \lambda\right)}{\|u\|_{\infty}^{3}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }\|u\| \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $x \in(0,1)$ and $\lambda$ on bounded sets. Let

$$
H(\lambda, u)=G_{\lambda}(u)-L(\lambda) u .
$$

By (3.3), we can easily verify that $H: \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ is completely continuous with $H=o(\|u\|)$ near $u=0$ uniformly on bounded $\lambda$ intervals. Noting Corollary 3.3, the desired conclusions can be obtained by applying Theorem 1 of [10].

By an argument similar to that of Proposition 2.1, we can get the following regularity result.

Proposition 3.5. Any weak solution $u \in X$ of problem (1.1) is also a classical solution, i.e., $u \in$ $C^{2}(0,1) \cap C^{1, \alpha}[0,1]$ satisfying (1.1) and $u(0)=u(1)=0$.

Lemma 3.6. If $(\lambda, u)$ is a solution of (1.1) and $u$ has a double zero, then $u \equiv 0$.
Proof. Let $u$ be a solution of (1.1) and $x^{*} \in[0,1]$ be a double zero. If $\|u\|=0$, the conclusion is done. Next, we assume that $\|u\| \neq 0$. We note that

$$
u(x)=\frac{-1}{\|u\|^{2}} \int_{x^{*}}^{x} \int_{x^{*}}^{s}\left(\lambda u^{3}+h(x, u, \lambda)\right) d \tau d s
$$

Firstly, we consider $x \in\left[0, x^{*}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|u(x)| & \leq \frac{1}{\|u\|^{2}} \int_{x}^{x^{*}}\left|\lambda u^{3}+h(x, u, \lambda)\right| d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{\|u\|_{\infty}^{2}}{\|u\|^{2}} \int_{x}^{x^{*}}\left(|\lambda|+\left|\frac{h(\tau, u(\tau), \lambda)}{u(\tau)}\right|\right)|u(\tau)| d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of (1.2), for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a constant $\delta>0$ such that

$$
|h(x, s, \lambda)| \leq \varepsilon|s|
$$

uniformly with respect to all $x \in(0,1)$ and fixed $\lambda$ when $|s| \in[0, \delta]$. Hence,

$$
|u(x)| \leq \int_{x}^{x^{*}}\left(|\lambda|+\varepsilon+\max _{s \in\left[\delta,\|u\|_{\infty}\right]}\left|\frac{h(\tau, s, \lambda)}{s^{3}}\right|\right)|u(\tau)| d \tau
$$

By the Gronwall-Bellman inequality [7], we get $u \equiv 0$ on $\left[0, x^{*}\right]$. Similarly, we can get $u \equiv 0$ on $\left[x^{*}, 1\right]$ and the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Lemma 3.1 of [10] implies that there exists a bounded open neighborhood $\mathscr{O}_{k}$ of $\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)$ such that $\left(\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \cap \mathscr{O}_{k}\right) \subseteq\left(\Phi_{k}^{v} \cup\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\}\right)$ or $\left(\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \cap \mathscr{O}_{k}\right) \subseteq\left(\Phi_{k}^{-v} \cup\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\}\right)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\left(\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \cap \mathscr{O}_{k}\right) \subseteq\left(\Phi_{k}^{v} \cup\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\}\right)$.

Next, we show that $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \subseteq\left(\Phi_{k}^{v} \cup\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\}\right)$. Suppose $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \nsubseteq\left(\Phi_{k}^{v} \cup\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\}\right)$. Then there exists $(\mu, u) \in \mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \partial S_{k}^{v}\right)$ such that $(\mu, u) \neq\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow(\mu, u)$ with $\left(\lambda_{n}, u_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times S_{k}^{v}\right)$. Since $u \in \partial S_{k}^{v}$, by Lemma $3.6, u \equiv 0$. Let $v_{n}:=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, then $v_{n}$ should be a solution of the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=R_{2}\left(\lambda_{n} v^{3}+\frac{h\left(x, u_{n}, \lambda_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}(x)\right\|^{3}}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.3), (3.4) and the compactness of $R_{2}$ we obtain that for some convenient subsequence $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0} \neq 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Now $v_{0}$ verifies the equation

$$
-\int_{0}^{1}\left|v^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x v^{\prime \prime}=\mu v^{3}
$$

and $\left\|v_{0}\right\|=1$. Hence $\mu=\mu_{j}$, for some $j \neq k$. Hence $v_{0} \in S_{j}$ which is an open set in $X$, and as a consequence for some $n$ large enough, $u_{n} \in S_{j}$, and this is a contradiction. Thus, we have that

$$
\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \subseteq\left(\Phi_{k}^{v} \cup\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\}\right)
$$

Furthermore, by an argument similar to the above, we can easily show that $\mathscr{C}_{k} \cap(\mathbb{R} \times\{0\})=$ $\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\}$. So Theorem 1 of [10] implies that $\mathscr{C}_{k}$ is unbounded.

We claim that both $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{+}$and $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{-}$are unbounded. Introduce the following auxiliary problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{2} d x\right) u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda u^{3}+\widetilde{h}(x, u, \lambda) \quad \text { in }(0,1) \\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\widetilde{h}$ is defined by

$$
\widetilde{h}(x, u, \lambda)= \begin{cases}h(x, u, \lambda), & \text { if } u^{\prime}(0)>0 \\ -h(x,-u, \lambda), & \text { if } u^{\prime}(0)<0\end{cases}
$$

The previous argument shows that an unbounded continuum $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}$ bifurcates from $\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)$ and can be split into $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{+}$and $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{-}$with $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{v}$ connected, $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{v} \subseteq\left(\left\{\left(\mu_{k}, 0\right)\right\} \cup\left(\mathbb{R} \times S_{k}^{v}\right)\right)$. It is easy to see that $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{-}=-\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{+}$. It follows that both $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{+}$and $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{-}$are unbounded. It is clear that $\widetilde{\mathscr{C}}_{k}^{+} \subseteq \mathscr{C}_{k}^{+}$. Therefore $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{+}$must be unbounded. A symmetric argument shows that $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{-}$is also unbounded.

## 4 Nodal solutions

In this section, we apply Theorem 1.1 to study the existence of nodal solutions for (1.5).
Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $g:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that

$$
f(x, s)=f_{0} s^{3}+g(x, s)
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(x, s)}{s^{3}}=0 \quad \text { uniformly with respect to all } x \in(0,1) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.1), we can see that $\lambda g$ satisfies the assumptions of (1.2). Now, using Theorem 1.1, we have that there are two distinct unbounded continua, $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{+}$and $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{-}$emanating from $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{0}, 0\right)$, such that

$$
\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \subset\left(\left\{\left(\mu_{k} / f_{0}, 0\right)\right\} \cup \Phi_{k}^{v}\right)
$$

It is sufficient to show that $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v}$ joins $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{0}, 0\right)$ to $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{\infty}, \infty\right)$. Let $\left(\xi_{n}, u_{n}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{k}^{v}$ where $u_{n} \not \equiv 0$ satisfies $\left|\xi_{n}\right|+\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty$. Proposition 5.1 of [8] implies that $(0,0)$ is the only solution of (1.5) for $\lambda=0$, we have $\mathscr{C}_{k}^{v} \cap(\{0\} \times X)=\varnothing$. It follows that $\xi_{n}>0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Next we show that $u_{n}$ is one-signed in some interval $(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq(0,1)$ with $\alpha<\beta$. Let

$$
0<\tau(1, n)<\tau(2, n)<\cdots<\tau(k-1, n)<1
$$

denote the zeros of $u_{n}$ in $(0,1)$. Let $\tau(0, n)=0$ and $\tau(k, n)=1$. Then, after taking a subsequence if necessary,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \tau(l, n)=\tau(l, \infty), \quad l \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}
$$

We claim that there exists $l_{0} \in\{0,1, \ldots, k\}$ such that

$$
\tau\left(l_{0}, \infty\right)<\tau\left(l_{0}+1, \infty\right)
$$

Otherwise, we have that

$$
1=\Sigma_{l=0}^{k-1}(\tau(l+1, n)-\tau(l, n)) \rightarrow \Sigma_{l=0}^{k-1}(\tau(l+1, \infty)-\tau(l, \infty))=0 .
$$

This is a contradiction. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \subset\left(\tau\left(l_{0}, \infty\right), \tau\left(l_{0}+1, \infty\right)\right)$ with $\alpha<\beta$. For all $n$ sufficiently large, we have $(\alpha, \beta) \subset\left(\tau\left(l_{0}, n\right), \tau\left(l_{0}+1, n\right)\right)$. So $u_{n}$ does not change its sign in $(\alpha, \beta)$.

We claim that there exists a constant $M$ such that $\xi_{n} \in(0, M]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. On the contrary, we suppose that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \xi_{n}=+\infty$. Since $\left(\xi_{n}, u_{n}\right) \in \mathscr{C}_{k}^{v}$, it follows that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} u_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\xi_{n} a_{n}(x) u_{n}^{3}=0 \quad \text { in }(0,1),
$$

where

$$
a_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}^{3}}, & \text { if } u_{n}(x) \neq 0 \\ f_{0}, & \text { if } u_{n}(x)=0\end{cases}
$$

From (f1)-(f2), we can see that $\frac{f\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{u_{n}} \geq \sigma$ for some $\sigma>0$ and all $x \in(0,1), n \in \mathbb{N}$. So, we have that $\xi_{n} a_{n}(x)=+\infty$ for all $x \in(0,1)$. Applying Theorem 4.1 of $[3]$ on $[\alpha, \beta]$ with $g(x) \equiv \mu_{1}$, we have that $u_{n}$ must change its sign in $(\alpha, \beta)$ for $n$ large enough. This is a contradiction.

Therefore, we get that

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Let $h:(0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function such that

$$
f(x, s)=f_{\infty} s^{3}+h(x, s)
$$

with

$$
\lim _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{h(x, s)}{s^{3}}=0, \quad \lim _{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(x, s)}{s^{3}}=f_{0}-f_{\infty} \quad \text { uniformly with respect to all } x \in(0,1) .
$$

Then $\left(\xi_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ satisfies

$$
u_{n}=R_{2}\left(\xi_{n} f_{\infty} u_{n}^{3}+h\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

Dividing the above equation by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ and letting $\bar{u}_{n}=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$, we get that

$$
\bar{u}_{n}=R_{2}\left(\xi_{n} f_{\infty} \bar{u}_{n}^{3}+\frac{h\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3}}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
\widetilde{h}(x, u)=\max _{0 \leq|s| \leq u}|h(x, s)| \quad \text { for any } x \in(0,1),
$$

then $\widetilde{h}$ is nondecreasing with respect to $u$. Define

$$
\bar{h}(x, u)=\max _{u / 2 \leq|s| \leq u}|h(x, s)| \quad \text { for any } x \in(0,1) .
$$

Then we can see that

$$
\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\bar{h}(x, u)}{u^{3}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \widetilde{h}(x, u) \leq \widetilde{h}\left(x, \frac{u}{2}\right)+\bar{h}(x, u) .
$$

It follows that

$$
\limsup _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\widetilde{h}(x, u)}{u^{3}} \leq \limsup _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\widetilde{h}\left(x, \frac{u}{2}\right)}{u^{3}}=\limsup _{u / 2 \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\widetilde{h}\left(x, \frac{u}{2}\right)}{8\left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{3}} .
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\widetilde{h}(x, u)}{u^{3}}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further it follows from (4.2) that

$$
\frac{h\left(x, u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3}} \leq \frac{\widetilde{h}\left(x,\left|u_{n}\right|\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3}} \leq \frac{\widetilde{h}\left(x,\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\infty}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3}} \leq c^{3} \frac{\widetilde{h}\left(x, c\left\|u_{n}\right\|\right)}{c^{3}\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{3}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

uniformly for $x \in(0,1)$.
By the compactness of $R_{2}$ we obtain that

$$
-\|\bar{u}\|^{2} \bar{u}^{\prime \prime}=\bar{\mu} f_{\infty} \bar{u}^{3}
$$

where $\bar{u}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \bar{u}_{n}$ and $\bar{\mu}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \xi_{n}$, again choosing a subsequence and relabeling it if necessary. It follows from $\bar{u}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \bar{u}_{n}$ and the triangle inequality that $\|\bar{u}\|=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\bar{u}_{n}\right\|$. Since $\left\|\bar{u}_{n}\right\| \equiv 1$, we obtain that $\|\bar{u}\|=1$. It is clear that $\bar{u} \in \mathscr{C}_{k}^{v}$. Theorem 1.2 of [3] shows that $\bar{\mu}=\mu_{k} / f_{\infty}$. Therefore, $\mathscr{C}$ joins $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{0}, 0\right)$ to $\left(\mu_{k} / f_{\infty}, \infty\right)$.

From Theorem 1.3, we can easily get the following corollary.
Corollary 4.1. Assume that $f$ satisfies (f1)-(f2). Then for

$$
\lambda \in\left(\frac{\mu_{k}}{f_{0}}, \frac{\mu_{k}}{f_{\infty}}\right) \cup\left(\frac{\mu_{k}}{f_{\infty}}, \frac{\mu_{k}}{f_{0}}\right)
$$

problem (1.5) possesses at least two solutions $u_{k}^{+}$and $u_{k}^{-}$such that $u_{k}^{+}$has exactly $k-1$ simple zeros in $(0,1)$ and is positive near 0 , and $u_{k}^{-}$has exactly $k-1$ simple zeros in $(0,1)$ and is negative near 0 .
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