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Abstract. We consider the following equation

$$
-y^{\prime \prime}+r(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=f(x),
$$

where the intermediate coefficient $r$ is not controlled by $q$ and it is can be strong oscillate. We give the conditions of well-posedness in $L_{p}(-\infty,+\infty)$ of this equation. For the solution $y$, we obtained the following maximal regularity estimate:

$$
\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|r y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|q y\|_{p} \leq C\|f\|_{p},
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the norm of $L_{p}(-\infty,+\infty)$.
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## 1 Introduction and main theorem

Let $C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$ be the set of all twice continuously differentiable functions with compact support. We study the following differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} y=-y^{\prime \prime}+r(x) y^{\prime}+q(x) y=f(x), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in R=(-\infty,+\infty)$ and $f \in L_{p}(R), 1<p<+\infty$. We assume that $r, q$ are, respectively, continuously differentiable and continuous functions. We denote by $L$ the closure in $L_{p}(R)$ of the differential operator $L_{0}$ defined on the set $C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$. We call that $y \in L_{p}(R)$ is a solution of the equation (1.1), if $y \in D(L)$ and $L y=f$.

Everywhere, in this paper, by $C, C_{-}, C_{+}, C_{j}, \tilde{C}_{j}(j=0,1,2, \ldots)$ etc., we will denote the positive constants, which, generally speaking, are different in the different places.

[^0]The purpose of this work is to find some conditions for the coefficients $r$ and $q$ such that for any $f \in L_{p}(R)$ there exists a unique solution $y$ of the equation (1.1) and the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|r y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|q y\|_{p} \leq C\|L y\|_{p}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{p}$ is the norm in $L_{p}(R)$.
As in [4] and [2], if the estimate (1.2) holds, then we call that the solution $y$ of the equation (1.1) is maximally $L_{p}$-regular, and call (1.2) is an maximal $L_{p}$-regularity estimate. If (1.2) holds, then the operator $L$ is said to be separable in $L_{p}(R)$ (see [7]).

The maximal regularity is an important tool in the theory of linear and nonlinear differential equations. For example, from the estimate (1.2) we obtain the following:
a) under mild assumptions on $r$ and $q$, we obtain the optimal smoothness of a solution and some information about the behavior of $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ at infinity;
b) we give the domain of the operator $L$, so that we can use the embedding theory of the weighted function spaces for study of spectrum of the operator $L$ and the approximate characteristics of a solution $y$ of the equation (1.1) (see [19, 20]);
c) we reduce the study of the singular nonlinear second order differential equations via a fixed point argument to the linear equation (1.1) (see [2,13,20]).

Moreover, the maximal $L_{p}$-regularity estimate (1.2) and the closed smoothness properties of $L$ are useful for the study of the following evolutionary problem:

$$
u_{t}=L u+F(x, t), \quad u(0, x)=\phi(x)
$$

(see $[4,16,18]$ and the references therein).
The equation (1.1) and its multidimensional generalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
l u=-\Delta u+\sum_{j=1}^{N} r_{j}(x) u_{x_{j}}+q(x) u=F(x) \quad\left(x \in R^{N}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with unbounded coefficients have used in stochastic analysis, biology and financial mathematics (see $[5,9,11]$ ). For this reason, interest in these equations has considerably grown in recent years. A number of researches of (1.3) were devoted to the case that the coefficients $r_{j}$ $(j=\overline{1, N})$ are controlled by $q$ (see $[3,6,17,24]$ ). Without the dominating potential $q$, the case that $r_{j}$ grow at most as $|x| \ln (1+|x|)$ were considered in [10,14, 15,23].

In the present work, we study the equation (1.1) in assumption that the coefficient $r$ can quickly grow and fluctuate, and it does not depend on $q$. We find conditions, which provides the correct solvability of (1.1) and the fulfillment of the maximal $L_{p}$-regularity estimate (1.2). In [20-22] the equation (1.1) was investigated in the case that $r$ is a weakly oscillating function.

Let $0 \leq \varepsilon<1,1<p<\infty$, and $p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$. For continuous functions $g$ and $h \neq 0$, we denote

$$
\alpha_{g, h, \varepsilon}(t)=\|g\|_{L_{p}(0, t)}\|1 / h\|_{L_{p^{\prime}}((1-\varepsilon) t,+\infty)} \quad(t>0)
$$

and

$$
\beta_{g, h, \varepsilon}(\tau)=\|g\|_{L_{p}(\tau, 0)}\|1 / h\|_{L_{p^{\prime}}(-\infty,(1+\varepsilon) \tau)} \quad(\tau<0) .
$$

Let

$$
\gamma_{g, h, \varepsilon}=\max \left(\sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h, \varepsilon}(t), \sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h, \varepsilon}(\tau)\right) .
$$

If $v(x)$ is a continuous function, we define

$$
v^{*}(x)=\inf _{d>0}\left\{d^{-1}: d^{-p+1} \geq \int_{\Delta_{d}(x)}|v(t)|^{p} d t\right\}, \quad x \in R,
$$

where $\Delta_{d}(x)=(x-d, x+d)$ (see [19]). The main result of this paper is the following.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $1<p<\infty$. Let $r$ be a continuously differentiable function, $q$ be a continuous function and the following conditions hold:
a) $r \geq 1$ and $\gamma_{1, ~ \sqrt[~]{r}, 0}<\infty$;
b) If $x, \eta \in R$ satisfy $|x-\eta| \leq \frac{k(\eta)}{r(\eta)}$, then

$$
C^{-1} \leq \frac{r(x)}{r(\eta)} \leq C
$$

where $k(\eta)$ is a continuous function satisfies $k(\eta) \geq 4$ and $\lim _{|\eta| \rightarrow+\infty} k(\eta)=+\infty$;
c) $\gamma_{q, r^{*}, 0}<\infty$.

Then for any $f \in L_{p}(R)$ there exists a unique solution $y$ of the equation (1.1). Moreover, for $y$ the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|r y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\|q y\|_{p} \leq C\|f\|_{p} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1.2. We will prove Theorem 1.1 in the assumption $r(x) \geq 1$. The case $r(x) \leq-1$ can easily be reduced to the case $r(x) \geq 1$ by replacing of the variable $x$.

Remark 1.3. Conditions of Theorem 1.1 are close to the necessary.
i) If $\gamma_{1, ~ 又 ~}^{|r|, 0}=\infty$ in the condition a) and $q=0$, then the equation (1.1) has not a solution from $L_{p}(R)$. Using the well-known weighted Hardy inequality (see Theorem 5 in Chapter 3 of [19]) one easily prove it;
ii) If performed a) and $b$ ), as well as the estimate (1.4), then for a wide class of coefficients $q$ and $r$ (for example, they may be power functions) holds the condition $c$ ). This fact follows from Theorem 6.3 in [1] (in the case $n=2$ and $k=1$ ).

Example 1.4. The following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{\prime \prime}-\left(15+9 x^{2}+e^{\sqrt{1+x^{2}}} \cos ^{2} x^{11}\right) y^{\prime}+x^{7} y=f(x), \quad f \in L_{p}(R) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.1, hence, the equation (1.5) is uniquely solvable, and for the solution $y$ of (1.5), the following maximal regularity estimate holds:

$$
\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|\left(15+9 x^{2}+e^{\sqrt{1+x^{2}}} \cos ^{2} x^{11}\right) y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|x^{7} y\right\|_{p} \leq C\|f\|_{p}
$$

## 2 Weighted integral inequalities

We denote by $C_{0}^{(2)}[0,+\infty)$ (resp. $\left.C_{0}^{(2)}(-\infty, 0]\right)$ the set of all twice continuously differentiable in $[0,+\infty)$ (resp. $(-\infty, 0]$ ) functions with compact support. The following Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are special cases of Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.3 in [1], respectively.

Lemma 2.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}(t)<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$. Then for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}[0,+\infty)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}|g(t) y(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_{+}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|h(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right] d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C_{+} \leq C_{1} \sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}(t)$. Conversely, if (2.2) holds with some $C_{+}$, then $\sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, 0}(t)<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{+} \geq C_{0} \sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, 0}(t) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let for some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ the condition (2.1) and at least one of the following relationships (2.4) and (2.5):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{x>0} \int_{(1-\varepsilon) x}^{x}\left|h^{*}(t)\right|^{-p^{\prime}} d t\left(\int_{x}^{+\infty}\left|h^{*}(\eta)\right|^{-p^{\prime}} d \eta\right)^{-1}<\infty,  \tag{2.4}\\
\sup _{x>0}\left(\int_{0}^{x}|g(\eta)|^{p} d \eta\right)^{-1} \int_{x}^{(1+\varepsilon) x}|g(t)|^{p} d t<\infty, \quad g(t) \neq 0 \quad(t \in[0,+\infty)) \tag{2.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

be fulfilled. Then the inequality (2.2) holds for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}[0,+\infty)$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, 0}(t)<\infty,
$$

and for a constant $C_{+}$in (2.2) the following estimates hold:

$$
C_{2} \sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, 0}(t) \leq C_{+} \leq C_{3} \sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, 0}(t) .
$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we prove the following Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, respectively.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that for some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}(\tau)<\infty . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(-\infty, 0]$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{-\infty}^{0}|g(t) y(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_{-}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left[\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|h(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right] d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{-} \leq \tilde{C}_{1} \sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}(\tau)$. Conversely, if (2.7) holds for some $C_{-}$, then $\sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, 0}(\tau)<$ $\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{-} \geq \tilde{C}_{0} \sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, 0}(\tau) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. Let for some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ the condition (2.6) be fulfilled and at least one of the following relationships (2.9) and (2.10) holds:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{x<0} \int_{(1+\varepsilon) x}^{x}\left|h^{*}(t)\right|^{-p^{\prime}} d t\left(\int_{-\infty}^{x}\left|h^{*}(\eta)\right|^{-p^{\prime}} d \eta\right)^{-1}<\infty,  \tag{2.9}\\
\sup _{x<0} \int_{(1+\varepsilon) x}^{x}|g(t)|^{p} d t\left(\int_{x}^{0}|g(\eta)|^{p} d \eta\right)^{-1}<\infty, \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g(\eta) \neq 0$ for each $\eta \in(-\infty, 0]$. Then the inequality (2.7) holds for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(-\infty, 0]$ if and only if

$$
\sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, 0}(\tau)<\infty,
$$

and for a constant $C_{-}$in (2.7) the following estimates hold:

$$
\tilde{C}_{2} \sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, 0}(\tau) \leq C_{-} \leq \tilde{C}_{3} \sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, 0}(\tau) .
$$

Lemma 2.5. Assume that for some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$,

$$
\gamma_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}<\infty .
$$

Then for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|g(t) y(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \leq C\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|h(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right] d t\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{4} \min \left[\alpha_{g, h^{*}, 0}, \beta_{g, h^{*}, 0}\right] \leq C \leq C_{5} \gamma_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$. By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 and estimates (2.2) and (2.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|g(t) y(t)\|_{p}= & \|g(t) y(t)\|_{L_{p}(-\infty, 0)}+\|g(t) y(t)\|_{L_{p}(0,+\infty)} \\
\leq & C_{-}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left[\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|h(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right] d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& +C_{+}\left(\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|h(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right] d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
\leq & \tilde{C}_{1}(\varepsilon) \sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}(\tau)\left(\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(-\infty, 0)}+\left\|h y^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(-\infty, 0)}\right) \\
& +C_{1}(\varepsilon) \sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}(t)\left(\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(0,+\infty)}+\left\|h y^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(0,+\infty)}\right) \\
\leq & C\left(\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|h y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=\max \left\{\tilde{C}_{1}(\varepsilon) \sup _{\tau<0} \beta_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}(\tau), C_{1}(\varepsilon) \sup _{t>0} \alpha_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}(t)\right\}$. This implies the right-hand side of (2.11). Left-hand side of these inequalities follows from (2.3) and (2.8).

Lemma 2.6. Assume that for some $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ either relations (2.4) and (2.9), or (2.5) and (2.10) are fulfilled. Then the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|g(t) y(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right|^{p}+\left|h(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p}\right] d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$ if and only if $\gamma_{g, h^{*}, 0}<\infty$. Furthermore, for a constant $C$ in (2.12) the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{6} \gamma_{g, h^{*}, 0} \leq C \leq C_{7} \gamma_{g, h^{*}, 0} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to Lemma 2.5, using Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.4 and the fact that the quantities $\gamma_{g, h^{*}, \varepsilon}$ and $\gamma_{g, h^{*}, 0}$ are equivalent to each other under the conditions of this lemma, we can prove this lemma.

## 3 Auxiliary estimates for two-term differential operator

In this section, we will study the following two-term equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{0} y=-y^{\prime \prime}+r(x) y^{\prime}=F(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F \in L_{p}(R)(1<p<+\infty)$. We denote by $l$ the closure in $L_{p}(R)$ of the differential operator $l_{0}$ defined on the set $C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$. If $y \in D(l)$ and $l y=F$, then we call that $y$ is a solution of the equation (3.1).

Lemma 3.1. Let $r$ be continuously differentiable and

$$
r(x) \geq 1, \quad \gamma_{1, \sqrt[p]{r}, 0}<\infty .
$$

Then for any $F \in L_{p}(R)(1<p<+\infty)$ there exists a unique solution $y$ of the equation (3.1) and for $y$ the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sqrt[p]{r} y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\|y\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left(1+C^{p} \gamma_{1, \sqrt[p]{r}, 0}^{p}\right)\|F\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\beta>-1$, and $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$. Integrating by parts, we have

$$
\left(l_{0} y, y^{\prime}\left[\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\beta / 2}\right)=\int_{R} r\left[\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\beta / 2+1} d x
$$

We take a number $\alpha>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R} r\left[\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]^{\beta / 2+1} d x \leq\left(\int_{R} r^{-\alpha p}\left|l_{0} y\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}\left(\int_{R} r^{\alpha p^{\prime}}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{(\beta+1) p^{\prime}} d x\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $(\beta+1) p^{\prime}=\beta+2$ and $\alpha p^{\prime}=1$, where $p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}$. Then $-\alpha p=-\frac{p}{p^{\prime}}$ and (3.3) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sqrt[p]{r} y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt[p^{\prime}]{r}} l_{0} y\right\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known (see Theorem 5 in Chapter 3 of [19]) that for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}[0, \infty)$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\|y\|_{L_{p}(0, \infty)}^{p} \leq C_{0}^{p} \alpha_{1, \sqrt[p]{r}, 0}^{p}\left\|\sqrt[p]{r} y^{\prime}\right\|_{L_{p}(0, \infty)}^{p}
$$

moreover $1 \leq C_{0} \leq p^{1 / p}\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}$. From this, as in [21], we obtain for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$

$$
\|y\|_{p}^{p} \leq C^{p} \gamma_{1, \sqrt[p]{r}, 0}^{p}\left\|\sqrt[p]{r} y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

This inequality and (3.4) imply (3.2).

Now, if $y \in D(l)$, then there exists the sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$ such that $\left\|y_{n}-y\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0,\left\|l_{0} y_{n}-l y\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $y_{n}(n \in N)$ the inequality (3.2) holds, so the sequence $\left\{\sqrt[p]{r}\left(y_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_{p}(R)$. By virtue of completeness of $L_{p}(R)$ and closedness of the differentiation operation, it converges to $\sqrt[p]{r} y^{\prime} \in L_{p}(R)$. So, (3.2) holds for any solution of (3.1).
(3.2) implies the uniqueness of solution of the equation (3.1). Let us prove the existence of solution. By inequality (3.2), the range $R(l)$ of $l$ is closed. Therefore, it is enough to prove that $R(l)=L_{p}(R)$. Indeed, let $R(l) \neq L_{p}(R)$. Then there exists the non-zero element $z \in L_{p^{\prime}}(R)$ such that $(l y, z)=0$ for any $y \in C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$ (see [25]). Taking into account the equality

$$
(l y, z)=\int_{R} y\left(-[\bar{z}]^{\prime \prime}-[r(x) \bar{z}]^{\prime}\right) d x
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-z^{\prime \prime}-r z=C_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $z$ is a twice differentiable function. Let $C_{1} \neq 0$. By properties of $L_{p}(R)$-norm, without loss of generality we can assume that $C_{1}=1$. Hence,

$$
z^{\prime}+r(x) z=-1, \quad x \in R
$$

Then

$$
\left[z(x) \exp \int_{x_{0}}^{x} r(t) d t\right]^{\prime}=-\exp \int_{x_{0}}^{x} r(t) d t,
$$

where $x_{0} \in R$. Consequently, $z(x) \exp \int_{x_{0}}^{x} r(t) d t$ on $\left(x_{0}, \infty\right)$ is monotonously decreases function and

$$
z(x-k)>\exp k \cdot z(x) \quad\left(x \in\left(x_{0},+\infty\right)\right)
$$

for each $k>0$. Therefore there exists $x_{1} \in R$ such, that $z(x) \leq \theta<0$ for any $x \in\left(x_{1},+\infty\right)$. So $z \notin L_{p^{\prime}}(R)$.

If $C_{1}=0$, then by (3.5),

$$
z(x)=\exp \left[-\int_{a}^{x} r(t) d t\right],
$$

therefore $z \notin L_{p^{\prime}}(R)$. This is a contradiction.
Remark 3.2. Lemma 3.1 remains valid, if $|r(x)| \geq \delta>0$.
Remark 3.3. Lemma 3.1 remains valid, if (3.1) is replaced by

$$
l_{0, \lambda} y=-y^{\prime \prime}+(1+\lambda) r(x) y^{\prime}=F
$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$. In this case, instead of (3.2) we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(1+\lambda) r y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\|y\|_{p}^{p} \leq c_{0}\left\|l_{0, \lambda} y\right\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ depends on $\lambda$.
Lemma 3.4. Assume that $\lambda \geq 0$ and $r$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1. Let $k(\eta)$ be a continuous function such that $k(\eta) \geq 4$ and $\lim _{|\eta| \rightarrow+\infty} k(\eta)=+\infty$. If for any $(x, \eta) \in\{(x, \eta): x, \eta \in R$, $\left.|x-\eta| \leq \frac{k(\eta)}{r(\eta)}\right\}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{-1} \leq \frac{r(x)}{r(\eta)} \leq c \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for the solution $y$ of the equation (3.1), the following estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|r y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\|y\|_{p}^{p} \leq C\|l y\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Proof. We consider the minimal closed operator $l_{\lambda} y=-y^{\prime \prime}+(r+\lambda) y^{\prime}(\lambda \geq 0)$ corresponding to the equation (3.1). By Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.3 we know that $D\left(l_{\lambda}\right) \subseteq W_{p}^{1}(R)$, where $W_{p}^{1}(R)$ is the Sobolev space with norm $\|y\|_{W_{p}^{1}(R)}=\left(\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\|y\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$. If we denote $y^{\prime}=z$, then $l_{\lambda} y$ become the following form

$$
\theta_{\lambda} z=-z^{\prime}+[r(x)+\lambda] z \quad\left(z \in L_{p}(R)\right)
$$

We choose two systems of concentric intervals $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and $\left\{\Delta_{j}\right\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ with centers at the points $x_{j}$, and radius of $\Delta_{j}$ does not exceed $\frac{k\left(x_{j}\right)}{10 r\left(x_{j}\right)}$, as well as the sequence $\left\{\phi_{j}(x)\right\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ satisfying the following conditions a) and $b$ ):
a) $\Delta_{j}=\left(a_{j}, b_{j}\right), a_{j}<b_{j}, \overline{\Delta_{j}} \subset \Omega_{j} \subset \overline{\Delta_{j-1}} \cup \overline{\Delta_{j}} \cup \overline{\Delta_{j+1}},\left|\Omega_{j}\right|=2\left(b_{j}-a_{j}\right)(j \in Z)$, $\lim _{j \rightarrow+\infty} a_{j}=+\infty, \lim _{j \rightarrow-\infty} b_{j}=-\infty, \Delta_{j} \cap \Delta_{k}=\varnothing(j \neq k), \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{\Delta_{j}}=R$;
b) $\phi_{j} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{j}\right), 0 \leq \phi_{j}(x) \leq 1, \phi_{j}(x)=1 \forall x \in \Delta_{j}(j \in Z), \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_{j}(x)=1$, $\sup _{j \in Z} \max _{x \in \Delta_{j}}\left|\phi_{j}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq M$.

Sequences $\left\{\Omega_{j}\right\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty},\left\{\Delta_{j}\right\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ and $\left\{\phi_{j}(x)\right\}_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}$ with such properties exist by virtue of our assumptions with respect to $r$ and results of [8].

We extend $r(x)$ from $\Delta_{j}$ to all of $R$ so that it extensions $r_{j}(x)$ are continuously differentiable and satisfy the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \inf _{t \in \Omega_{j}} r(t) \leq r_{j}(x) \leq 2 \sup _{t \in \Omega_{j}} r(t) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By properties of $r(x)$, this extension exists. We denote by $\theta_{j, \lambda}(j \in Z)$ the closure in $L_{p}(R)$ of the differential expression $\theta_{j, \lambda} z=-z^{\prime}+\left[r_{j}(x)+\lambda\right] z$ defined on $C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$. It is easy to see that $r_{j}(x) \geq 1 / 2(j \in Z)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1. By Remark 3.2, the operators $\theta_{j, \lambda}$ are boundedly invertible and for any $z \in D\left(\theta_{j, \lambda}\right)$ the following estimate is valid:

$$
\left\|\sqrt[p]{r_{j}+\lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt[p^{\prime}]{r_{j}+\lambda}} \theta_{j, \lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p}
$$

By (3.9), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(r_{j}+\lambda\right) z\right\|_{p}^{p} & \leq 2^{p} \sup _{j \in Z} \sup _{t \in \Omega_{j}}\left[r_{j}(t)+\lambda\right]^{p / p^{\prime}}\left\|\sqrt[p]{r_{j}+\lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leq 2^{p} \sup _{j \in Z}\left[\sup _{t \in \Omega_{j}}\left[r_{j}(t)+\lambda\right]^{p / p^{\prime}}\left(\frac{2^{p}}{\inf _{t \in \Omega_{j}}\left[r_{j}(t)+\lambda\right]^{p / p^{\prime}}}\right)\right]\left\|\theta_{j, \lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

The length of the interval $\Omega_{j}$ does not exceed $\frac{k\left(x_{j}\right)}{2 r\left(x_{j}\right)}$, so, by condition (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(r_{j}+\lambda\right) z\right\|_{p}^{p} & \leq 4^{p} \sup _{j \in Z} \sup _{t, \eta \in \Omega_{j}}\left[\frac{r_{j}(t)+\lambda}{r_{j}(\eta)+\lambda}\right]^{p / p^{\prime}}\left\|\theta_{j, \lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq 4^{p}(c+1)^{p / p^{\prime}}\left\|\theta_{j, \lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p} \quad\left(z \in D\left(\theta_{j, \lambda}\right), j \in Z\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\chi_{j}$ be the characteristic function of $\Delta_{j}$. We introduce the following operators $M_{\lambda}$ and $B_{\lambda}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{\lambda} f & =\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{j} \theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right), \\
B_{\lambda} f & =-\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{j}^{\prime} \theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right), \quad f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(R) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the support of $f$ is compact, the sums in these expressions contain only finitely many terms. In $\Delta_{j}$ the coefficients of $\theta_{\lambda}$ and $\theta_{j, \lambda}$ coincide. Consequently, by properties of $\varphi_{j}(j \in Z)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{\lambda}\left(M_{\lambda} f\right) & =\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \theta_{\lambda}\left(\phi_{j} \theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right)\right)=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty}\left(-\phi_{j}\right)^{\prime} \theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right)+\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{j} l_{\lambda} \theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right) \\
& =f-\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi_{j}^{\prime} \theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right)=\left(E+B_{\lambda}\right) f, \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E$ is the identity operator. Now, we estimate the norm $\left\|B_{\lambda} f\right\|_{p}$. Since the interval $\Omega_{j}(j \in Z)$ intersects only with $\Omega_{j-1}$ and $\Omega_{j+1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|B_{\lambda} f\right\|_{p}^{p} & =\sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{j}}\left|B_{\lambda} f\right|^{p} d x \leq \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{j}}\left[\sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1}\left|\phi_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right|\left|\theta_{k, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{k} f\right)\right|\right]^{p} d x \\
& \leq 3^{p} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{j}} \sum_{k=j-1}^{j+1}\left|\phi_{k}^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}\left|\theta_{k, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq 9^{p} M^{p} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{R}\left|\theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right)\right|^{p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.10),

$$
\left\|\theta_{k, \lambda}^{-1} f\right\|_{p} \leq \frac{4(c+1)^{1 / p^{\prime}}}{\inf _{x \in \Delta_{k}}\left(r_{k}(x)+\lambda\right)}\|f\|_{p},
$$

consequently

$$
\left\|B_{\lambda} f\right\|_{p} \leq \frac{72 M(c+1)^{1 / p^{\prime}}}{1+2 \lambda}\|f\|_{p}
$$

We choose $\lambda_{0}=72 M(c+1)^{1 / p^{\prime}}$. Then for any $\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}$ there exists the inverse operator $\left(E+B_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}$, and the inequalities $2 / 3 \leq\left\|\left(E+B_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}\right\|_{L_{p} \rightarrow L_{p}} \leq 2$ fulfilled. By (3.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{\lambda}^{-1}=M_{\lambda}\left(E+B_{\lambda}\right)^{-1}, \quad \lambda \geq \lambda_{0} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove the estimate (3.8). By (3.12), $\left\|(r+\lambda) \theta_{\lambda}^{-1}\right\|_{p} \leq 2\left\|(r+\lambda) M_{\lambda}\right\|_{p}\left(\lambda \geq \lambda_{0}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(r+\lambda) M_{\lambda} f\right\|_{p}^{p} & =\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{k}}\left|\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left(r_{j}+\lambda\right) \phi_{j} \theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq 3^{p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\Delta_{k}} \sum_{j=k-1}^{k+1}\left|\left(r_{j}+\lambda\right) \phi_{j} \theta_{j, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{j} f\right)\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq 9^{p} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{R}\left|\left(r_{k}+\lambda\right) \phi_{k} \theta_{k, \lambda}^{-1}\left(\chi_{k} f\right)\right|^{p} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(r+\lambda) \theta_{\lambda}^{-1} f\right\|_{p}^{p} & \leq 2^{p} 9^{p} 4^{p}(c+1)^{p / p^{\prime}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{R}\left|\chi_{k} f\right|^{p} d x \\
& =72^{p}(c+1)^{p / p^{\prime}}\|f\|_{p}^{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for any $z \in D\left(\theta_{\lambda}\right)$

$$
\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\|(r+\lambda) z\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|\theta_{\lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left[72^{p}(c+1)^{p / p^{\prime}+1}\right]\left\|\theta_{\lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p},
$$

that implies

$$
\left\|z^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}+\|(r+\lambda) z\|_{p}^{p} \leq\left[2 \cdot 72^{p}(c+1)^{p / p^{\prime}}+1\right]\left\|\theta_{\lambda} z\right\|_{p}^{p}, \quad z \in D\left(\theta_{\lambda}\right) .
$$

By (3.6), we obtain the desired estimate (3.8).

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In the equation (1.1) we assume that $x=a t$, where $a>0$. If we introduce the notations

$$
\tilde{y}(t)=y(a t), \quad \tilde{r}(t)=r(a t), \quad \tilde{q}(t)=q(a t), \quad \tilde{f}(t)=a^{2} f(a t) \quad(t \in R),
$$

then (1.1) become the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{L} \tilde{y}=-\tilde{y}^{\prime \prime}+a \tilde{r} \tilde{y}^{\prime}+a^{2} \tilde{q} \tilde{y}=\tilde{f}(t) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $l_{a}$ the closure of $l_{0, a}$ in $L_{p}(R)$, where $l_{0, a}$ is the differential expression

$$
l_{0, a} \tilde{y}=-\tilde{y}^{\prime \prime}+a \tilde{r}(t) \tilde{y}^{\prime}
$$

defined on the set $C_{0}^{(2)}(R)$. Note that $a|\tilde{r}(t)| \geq a>0$. By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.4 and Remark 3.2, the operator $l_{a}$ is continuously invertible, moreover the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{y}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|a \tilde{a} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \leq C_{l_{a}}\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p}, \quad \forall \tilde{y} \in D\left(l_{a}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 6.3 in [1], taking into account the condition c) of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a^{2} \tilde{q} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq a^{2} \gamma_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r^{*}}, 0} C_{l_{a}}\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose

$$
a=\left[2 \gamma_{\tilde{q}, \tilde{r}, 0} C_{l_{a}}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}},
$$

then, by (4.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a^{2} \tilde{q} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq \theta\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $\theta \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$. From this inequality, and the well-known perturbation theorem (for example, see Theorem 1.16 in Chapter 4 of [12]), it follows that there exists the inverse operator $\left(l_{a}+a^{2} \tilde{q} E\right)^{-1}$, as well as the equality $R\left(l_{a}+a^{2} \tilde{q} E\right)=L_{p}(R)$ fulfilled. So, denoting $t=a^{-1} x$, we obtain that for any $f \in L_{p}(R)$ there exists a solution $y$ of the equation (4.1) and it is unique.

By estimates (4.2) and (4.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tilde{y}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|a \tilde{r} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|a^{2} \tilde{q} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+C_{l_{a}}\right)\left\|l_{a_{a}} \tilde{\|}\right\|_{p} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (4.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq\left\|\left(l_{a}+a^{2} \tilde{q} E\right) \tilde{y}\right\|_{p}+\frac{1}{2}\left\|l_{a} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimates (4.5) and (4.6) imply

$$
\left\|\tilde{y}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|a \tilde{a} \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}+\left\|a^{2} \tilde{q} \tilde{y}\right\|_{p} \leq C\|\tilde{f}\|_{p}, \quad C=2\left(\frac{1}{2}+C_{l_{a}}\right) .
$$

By replacing $t=a^{-1} x$, we get the estimate (1.2).
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