

# Electronic Journal of Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations

2017, No. 24, 1–13; doi:10.14232/ejqtde.2017.1.24 http://www.math.u-szeged.hu/ejqtde/

# Positive solutions for a class of singular elliptic systems

## Ling Mi<sup>™</sup>

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Linyi University, Linyi, Shandong, 276005, P.R.China

Received 21 December 2016, appeared 13 April 2017 Communicated by Dimitri Mugnai

**Abstract.** In this paper, we mainly study the existence, boundary behavior and uniqueness of solutions for the following singular elliptic systems involving weights  $-\triangle u = w(x)u^{-p}v^{-q}, -\triangle v = \lambda(x)u^{-r}v^{-s}, u>0, v>0, x\in\Omega, u|_{\partial\Omega}=v|_{\partial\Omega}=0$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^N$   $(N\geq 2)$ ,  $p,s\geq 0$ , q,r>0 and the weight functions w(x),  $\lambda(x)\in C^\alpha(\bar\Omega)$  which are positive in  $\Omega$  and may be blow-up on the boundary.

**Keywords:** singular elliptic systems, Dirichlet problems, existence, boundary behavior, uniqueness.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J60, 35J65, 35J57.

#### 1 Introduction

In this paper, we mainly consider the existence, boundary behavior and uniqueness of solutions for the following singular elliptic systems involving weights

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u = w(x)u^{-p}v^{-q}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta v = \lambda(x)u^{-r}v^{-s}, & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u > 0, v > 0, u|_{\partial\Omega} = v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^N$   $(N \ge 2)$ ,  $p,s \ge 0$  q,r > 0. Assume  $w, \lambda$  satisfies

(**H**<sub>0</sub>) w,  $λ ∈ C^α(Ω)$  for some α ∈ (0,1), are positive in Ω, and there exist  $γ_1, γ_2 ∈ \mathbb{R}$  and positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$\lim_{d(x)\to 0}\frac{w(x)}{d(x)^{\gamma_1}}=c_1,\qquad \lim_{d(x)\to 0}\frac{\lambda(x)}{d(x)^{\gamma_2}}=c_2.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>™</sup>Email: mi-ling@163.com

The first motivation for the study of problem (1.1) comes from the so-called Lane–Emden equation (see [4,5])

$$-\Delta u = u^p \quad \text{in } B_R(0), \ R > 0.$$

Systems of type (1.1) with  $p, s \le 0$  and q, s < 0 have received considerably attention in the last decade (see, e.g., [1,3,15–18,20,23] and the references therein). It has been shown that for such range of exponents system (1.1) has a rich mathematical structure. Various techniques such as moving plane method, Pohozaev-type identities, rescaling arguments have been developed and suitably adapted to deal with (1.1) in this case.

Recently, there has been some interest in systems of type (1.1) where not all the exponents are negative. Ghergu [8] first established the existence, non-existence,  $C^1$ -regularity and uniqueness of classical solutions (in  $C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ ) in terms of p,q,r and s.

Later, Zhang [21] also study the existence, boundary behavior and uniqueness of solutions for problem (1.1), which results are obtained in a range of p, q, r, s different from those in [8]. In [13,14], Lee et al. studied the existence of solutions for the singular systems

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta_{p}u = \lambda(f_{1}(u,v) - u^{-\gamma_{1}}), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta_{q}u = \lambda(f_{2}(u,v) - u^{-\gamma_{2}}), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u > 0, v > 0, u|_{\partial\Omega} = v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where  $\gamma_i \in (0,1)$ ,  $f_i \in C([0,\infty) \times [0,\infty))$ ,  $f_i$  is non-decreasing for both u and v,  $i=1,2, \lambda > 0$ , and  $\Delta_r u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{r-2}\nabla u)$ , r=p(>1), q(>1).

Inspired by the above works, in this paper, we wish to further deal with the existence, boundary behavior and uniqueness of solutions to problem (1.1) under appropriate conditions on weight function w(x) and  $\lambda(x)$ , which have a precise asymptotic behavior near  $\partial\Omega$ .

Our main results are summarized as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (Existence). Let  $-2 < \gamma_1 < p-1, -2 < \gamma_2 < s-1$  and p,q,r,s be such that one of the following conditions hold:

$$(H_1) \qquad (1+p)(1+s) - qr > 0, \qquad \frac{2+\gamma_1}{2+\gamma_2} > \max\left\{\frac{q}{1+s}, \frac{r}{1+p}\right\},$$
 
$$p + \frac{q(2+\gamma_2-r)}{1+s} > 1+\gamma_1, \quad \text{and} \quad s + \frac{r(2+\gamma_2-q)}{1+p} > 1+\gamma_1.$$

$$(H_2) \qquad (1+p)(1+s) - qr < 0, \qquad \frac{2+\gamma_1}{2+\gamma_2} < \min\left\{\frac{q}{1+s}, \frac{r}{1+p}\right\},$$
 
$$p + \frac{q(2+\gamma_2-r)}{1+s} < 1+\gamma_1, \quad \text{and} \quad s + \frac{r(2+\gamma_2-q)}{1+p} < 1+\gamma_1.$$

Then system (1.1) has at least one classical solution (u,v) satisfying

$$m_0 d(x) \le u(x) \le M_0 (d(x))^{\alpha}, \qquad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$
 (1.3)

$$m_0 d(x) \le v(x) \le M_0 (d(x))^{\beta}, \qquad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$
 (1.4)

where  $m_0$  and  $M_0$  are positive constants,  $d(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$  and

$$\alpha = \frac{(2+\gamma_1)(1+s) - q(2+\gamma_2)}{(1+p)(1+s) - ar}, \qquad \beta = \frac{(2+\gamma_1)(1+p) - r(2+\gamma_2)}{(1+p)(1+s) - ar}.$$
 (1.5)

**Theorem 1.2** (Exact boundary behavior). Let p, q, r, s satisfy  $(H_1)$  and the following conditions:

(H<sub>3</sub>) 
$$p > 0$$
,  $p + q > 1 + \gamma_1$  and  $q < 2 + \gamma_1$ ;

(H<sub>4</sub>) 
$$s > 0$$
,  $s + r > 1 + \gamma_2$  and  $r < 2 + \gamma_2$ .

Then for any classical solution (u, v) of system (1.1)

$$\begin{split} \lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{u(x)}{(d(x))^{\alpha}} &= \left(c_1^{1+s}c_2^{-q}\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^q}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+s}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)},\\ \lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{v(x)}{(d(x))^{\beta}} &= \left(c_1^{-r}c_2^{1+q}\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^r}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+p}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)},\\ \lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{\nabla u(x)\nu(x)}{(d(x))^{\alpha-1}} &= -\alpha\left(c_1^{1+s}c_2^{-q}\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^q}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+s}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)},\\ \lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{\nabla v(x)\nu(x)}{(d(x))^{\beta-1}} &= -\beta\left(c_1^{-r}c_2^{1+q}\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^r}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+p}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)},\\ \end{split}$$

where v(x) is the outer unit normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  at x.

**Theorem 1.3** (Uniqueness). *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2, system* (1.1) *has a unique classical solution* (u, v).

**Corollary 1.4** (Existence). Let  $p = q = r = s = \text{constant} =: \mathcal{C}$  and  $-2 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 < \mathcal{C} - 1$ . If the following conditions holds:

(H<sub>5</sub>) 
$$(\gamma_2 - \gamma_1)C < 2 + \gamma_1$$
, and  $(2 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_1)C > 1 + \gamma_1$ ,

then system (1.1) has at least one classical solution (u, v) satisfying

$$m_0 d(x) < u(x) < M_0 (d(x))^{\alpha}, \qquad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$
 (1.6)

$$m_0 d(x) \le v(x) \le M_0 (d(x))^{\alpha}, \qquad x \in \bar{\Omega},$$
 (1.7)

where  $m_0$  and  $M_0$  are positive constants,  $d(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$  and

$$\alpha = \frac{2 + \gamma_1 + \mathcal{C}(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)}{1 + 2\mathcal{C}}.\tag{1.8}$$

**Corollary 1.5** (Exact boundary behavior). *Let* p, q, r, s *satisfy the assumption in Corollary 1.4 and the following conditions:* 

$$(H_6) \qquad \mathcal{C} > 0, \qquad \mathcal{C} > \max\left\{\frac{1+\gamma_1}{2}, \frac{1+\gamma_2}{2}\right\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{C} < \max\left\{2+\gamma_1, 2+\gamma_2\right\}.$$

Then for any classical solution (u, v) of system (1.1)

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{u(x)}{(d(x))^\alpha} = \left(\frac{c_1^{1+\mathcal{C}}c_2^{-\mathcal{C}}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right)^{1/(1+2\mathcal{C})},\\ &\lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{v(x)}{(d(x))^\alpha} = \left(\frac{c_1^{-\mathcal{C}}c_2^{1+\mathcal{C}}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right)^{1/(1+2\mathcal{C})}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{\nabla u(x)\nu(x)}{(d(x))^{\alpha-1}} = -\alpha \left(\frac{c_1^{1+\mathcal{C}}c_2^{-\mathcal{C}}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right)^{1/(1+2\mathcal{C})},\\ &\lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{\nabla v(x)\nu(x)}{(d(x))^{\alpha-1}} = -\alpha \left(\frac{c_1^{-\mathcal{C}}c_2^{1+\mathcal{C}}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right)^{1/(1+2\mathcal{C})}, \end{split}$$

where v(x) is the outer unit normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  at x.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminary results that will be used in the following sections. Theorems 1.1–1.3 are proved in next sections.

## 2 Some preliminary results

In this section, we collect some useful results about the following singular Dirichlet problem

$$-\triangle w = (d(x))^{-\sigma} w^{-\gamma}, \quad w > 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\gamma > 0$ .

Problem (2.1) arises in the study of non-Newtonian fluids, boundary layer phenomena for viscous fluids, chemical heterogeneous catalysts, as well as in the theory of heat conduction in electrical materials, and was discussed and extended in a number of works; see, for instance, [2, 6, 9, 11, 12, 19, 22] and the references therein.

**Definition 2.1.** A function  $\bar{w}$  is called a super-solution of problem (2.1) if  $\bar{w} \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  and

$$-\triangle \bar{w} \ge (d(x))^{-\sigma} \bar{w}^{-\gamma}, \quad \bar{w} > 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ \bar{w}|_{\partial\Omega} \ge 0. \tag{2.2}$$

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $\underline{w}$  is called a sub-solution of problem (2.1) if  $\underline{w} \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  and

$$-\Delta w < (d(x))^{-\sigma} w^{-\gamma}, \quad w > 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ w|_{\partial\Omega} < 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Since  $\Omega$  is  $C^2$ , we see by Lemma 14.16 in [10] that d is  $C^2$  in a neighborhood of  $\partial\Omega$ . Redefining d(x) outside this neighborhood if necessary, we can always assume that  $d \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ . Let  $(\lambda_1, \varphi_1)$  be the first eigenvalue/eigenfunction of

$$-\triangle \varphi = \lambda \varphi, \quad \varphi > 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

It is well known that  $\lambda_1 > 0$  and  $\varphi_1 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ . Furthermore, using the smoothness of  $\Omega$  and normalizing  $\varphi_1$  with a suitable constant, we can assume

$$c_0 d(x) \le \varphi_1(x) \le d(x), \qquad x \in \Omega$$
 (2.5)

for some  $0 < c_0 < 1$ .

By Hopf's boundary point lemma, we have  $\frac{\partial \varphi_1(x)}{\partial \nu} > 0$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega$ . Hence,

$$|\nabla \varphi_1| > 0$$
 near  $\partial \Omega$ 

and

$$C_{\mu} = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} (\lambda_1 \varphi_1^2(x) + (1 - \mu) |\nabla \varphi_1|^2), \tag{2.6}$$

$$c_{\mu} = \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} (\lambda_1 \varphi_1^2(x) + (1 - \mu) |\nabla \varphi_1|^2), \tag{2.7}$$

are well defined with  $c_{\mu} > 0$  for  $\mu \in (0,1)$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (Lemma 3 in [2] and Proposition 2.1 in [8]). *If problem* (2.1) *has a super-solution*  $\bar{w}_{\gamma,\sigma}$  *and a sub-solution*  $\underline{w}_{\gamma,\sigma}$ *, then* 

- (i)  $\underline{w}_{\gamma,\sigma} \leq \bar{w}_{\gamma,\sigma}$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ;
- (ii) problem (2.1) have a unique solution  $W_{\gamma,\sigma} \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfying

$$\underline{w}_{\gamma,\sigma} \leq W_{\gamma,\sigma} \leq \bar{w}_{\gamma,\sigma}$$
 in  $\Omega$ .

Lemma 2.4 (Theorem 1.2 in [22]).

- (i) If  $\sigma \geq 2$ , then problem (2.1) has no classical solution;
- (ii) If  $\sigma \in (1 \gamma, 2)$ , then problem (2.1) has a unique classical solution  $W_{\gamma,\sigma}$  satisfying

$$c_{\tau} \varphi_1^{\tau}(x) \leq W_{\gamma,\sigma} \leq C_{\tau} \varphi_1^{\tau}(x), \qquad x \in \Omega,$$

where  $C_{\tau}$  and  $c_{\tau}$  are as in (2.6) and (2.7),

$$\tau = \frac{2 - \sigma}{1 + \gamma}.\tag{2.8}$$

**Lemma 2.5** (Lemma 2.3 in [21]). Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\sigma < 2$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  and let  $\bar{w}_{\lambda} \in C^2(\Omega)$  verify

$$-\triangle \bar{w}_{\lambda} \ge \lambda (d(x))^{-\sigma} \bar{w}_{\lambda}^{-\gamma}, \quad \bar{w}_{\lambda} > 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ \bar{w}_{\lambda}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$

then

$$\bar{w}_{\lambda}(x) \geq \lambda^{1/(1+\gamma)} W_{\gamma,\sigma}, \qquad x \in \Omega.$$

Similarly, if  $\underline{w}_{\lambda} \in C^2(\Omega)$  satisfies

$$-\triangle \underline{w}_{\lambda} \leq \lambda (d(x))^{-\sigma} \underline{w}_{\lambda}^{-\gamma}, \quad \underline{w}_{\lambda} > 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ \underline{w}_{\lambda}|_{\partial \Omega} = 0,$$

then

$$\underline{w}_{\lambda}(x) \leq \lambda^{1/(1+\gamma)} W_{\gamma,\sigma}, \qquad x \in \Omega.$$

The following lemma is an extension of Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 to the case where  $\Omega$  is a half-space  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : x_1 > 0\}$  (for a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  we write  $x = (x_1, x')$ , with  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ ). This result is useful when dealing with the boundary estimates for solutions to system (1.1).

**Lemma 2.6** (Lemma 2.4 in [21]). Let  $C_0 > 0, \gamma > 0, \sigma \in (1 - \gamma, 2)$  and  $\bar{w}, \underline{w} \in C^2(D)$  verify

$$-\triangle \bar{w} \geq C_0 x_0^{-\sigma} \bar{w}^{-\gamma}, \quad (\textit{resp.} - \triangle \underline{w} \leq C_0 x_0^{-\sigma} \underline{w}^{-\gamma}) \quad \textit{in D,}$$

and

$$\bar{w}(x) \ge Cx_1^{\tau}$$
  $(\underline{w}(x) \le Cx_1^{\tau})$ ,

where C is positive constants and  $\tau$  is in (2.8). Then

$$\bar{w}(x) \ge Ax_1^{\mathsf{T}} \quad (resp.\ w(x) \le Ax_1^{\mathsf{T}}), \qquad x \in D,$$
 (2.9)

where

$$A = \left(\frac{C_0}{\tau(1-\tau)}\right)^{1/(1+\gamma)}.$$

#### 3 Existence and estimates of solutions

In this section, we quote the sub-supersolution method in [13]. Consider the more general systems

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta u = h_1(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta v = h_2(x, u, v), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u > 0, v > 0, u|_{\partial\Omega} = v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(3.1)

where  $h_i: \Omega \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous for i = 1, 2

**Definition 3.1.** A pair of function  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) : \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is called a super-solution of system (3.2) if  $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  and

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta \bar{u} \ge h_1(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta \bar{v} \ge h_2(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\bar{u} > 0, \ \bar{v} > 0, \ \bar{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \bar{v}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(3.2)

**Definition 3.2.** A pair of function  $(\underline{u},\underline{v}): \bar{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}^2$  is called a sub-solution of system (3.2) if  $\underline{u},\underline{v} \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  and

$$\begin{cases}
-\Delta \underline{u} \leq h_1(x, \underline{u}, \underline{v}), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
-\Delta \underline{v} \leq h_2(x, \underline{u}, \underline{v}), & \text{in } \Omega, \\
\underline{u} > 0, \ \underline{v} > 0, \ \underline{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = \underline{v}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(3.3)

**Lemma 3.3** (The extension of Lemma 1.8 in [13]). If  $\underline{u} \leq \overline{u}$  and  $\underline{v} \leq \overline{v}$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , then the system (3.2) has at least one solution (u,v) satisfying  $u,v \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $\underline{u} \leq u \leq \overline{u}$  and  $\underline{v} \leq v \leq \overline{v}$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By  $(H_0)$ , we deduce that there exist positive constants  $w_i$ ,  $\Lambda_i$  (i=1,2) such that  $w_1d(x)^{\gamma_1} \leq w(x) \leq w_2d(x)^{\gamma_1}$  and  $\Lambda_1d(x)^{\gamma_2} \leq \Lambda(x) \leq \Lambda_2d(x)^{\gamma_2}$  in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\underline{u} = \underline{v} = m_0 \varphi_1$ , where

$$m_0 = \min \left\{ (\lambda_1^{-1} w_1)^{\frac{1}{1+p+q}} \Big( \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \varphi_1(x) \Big)^{-\frac{1+p+q-\gamma_1}{1+p+q}}, (\lambda_1^{-1} \Lambda_1)^{\frac{1}{1+p+q}} \Big( \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \varphi_1(x) \Big)^{-\frac{1+p+q-\gamma_2}{1+p+q}} \right\}.$$

By a direct calculation, one can see that (u, v) is a sub-solution of system (1.1). By  $(H_1)$  or  $(H_2)$  and the definitions of  $\alpha, \beta$ , we see that  $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ . Let

$$\underline{u} = M_0 \varphi_1^{\alpha}, \qquad \underline{v} = M_0 \varphi_1^{\beta},$$

where

$$M_0 = \max \left\{ (w_2^{-1} \alpha c_\alpha)^{-1/(1+p+q)}, (\Lambda_2^{-1} \beta c_\beta)^{-1/(1+r+s)}, m_0 \left( \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \varphi_1(x) \right)^{1-\alpha}, m_0 \left( \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \varphi_1(x) \right)^{1-\beta} \right\}$$

and  $c_{\alpha}$  and  $c_{\beta}$  are as in (2.7).

By a direct calculation, one can see that

$$\begin{split} -\Delta \bar{u} &= M_0 \alpha \varphi_1^{\alpha - 2} \left( \lambda_1 \varphi_1^2 + (1 - \alpha) |\nabla \varphi_1|^2 \right) \\ &\geq w(x) M_0^{-(p+q)} \varphi_1^{-(p\alpha + q\beta)} = w(x) \underline{u}^{-p} \underline{v}^{-q} \quad \text{in } \Omega \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} -\Delta \bar{v} &= M_0 \beta \varphi_1^{\beta-2} \left( \lambda_1 \varphi_1^2 + (1-\beta) |\nabla \varphi_1|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \lambda(x) M_0^{-(r+s)} \varphi_1^{-(r\alpha+s\beta)} = \lambda(x) \underline{u}^{-r} \underline{v}^{-s} \quad \text{in } \Omega \end{split}$$

and

$$\bar{u} \ge \underline{u}$$
 and  $\bar{v} \ge \underline{v}$  in  $\Omega$ 

Thus the result follows by Lemma 3.3.

In the following, by using an iteration method, we consider the global estimates of solutions.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let (u,v) be any classical solution of system (1.1),  $-2 < \gamma_1 < p-1$  and  $-2 < \gamma_2 < s-1$ . Then there exists a constant  $\tilde{c}_0 > 0$  such that

$$u(x) > \tilde{c}_0 d(x)$$
 and  $v(x) > \tilde{c}_0 d(x)$  in  $\Omega$ .

*Proof.* Since  $-\triangle u \ge C(d(x))^{\gamma_1}u^{-p}$  for some constant C>0, combined with Lemma 2.5, we can find a suitable constant  $\tilde{c}_0>0$  such that  $u(x)>\tilde{c}_0d(x)$  and similarly  $v(x)\ge \tilde{c}_0d(x)$  in  $\Omega$ , where  $\tilde{c}_0$  is a positive constant.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Under the conditions of Theorem 1.2, for any classical solution* (u, v)

$$A(d(x))^{\alpha} \le u(x) \le B(d(x))^{\alpha}$$
 and  $A(d(x))^{\beta} \le u(x) \le B(d(x))^{\beta}$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ , (3.4)

where A and B are positive constants,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are in Theorem 1.1.

*Proof.* Let  $(H_3)$  hold. By (2.5) and Lemma 3.4,  $v(x) \ge C_0 d(x)$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ , where  $C_0 = \min\{c_0, c_1\}$ . Then

$$-\triangle u \le w_2(d(x))^{\gamma_1} C_0^{-q} (d(x))^{-q} u^{-p}, \quad u > 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

By  $(H_3)$ , Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we see that

$$u \leq a_0 C_{\alpha_0}(d(x))^{\alpha_0}, \qquad x \in \Omega,$$

where  $C_{\alpha_0}$  is in (2.7) and

$$a_0 = \left(w_2 C_0^{-q}\right)^{1/(1+p)}, \qquad \alpha_0 = \frac{(2+\gamma_1)-q}{1+p} \in (0,1).$$

Inserting this into the second equation in system (1.1), we have

$$-\triangle v \ge \Lambda_1(d(x))^{\gamma_2} (a_0 C_{\alpha_0})^{-r} (d(x))^{-r\alpha_0} v^{-s}, \quad v > 0, \ x \in \Omega, \ v|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

By  $(H_1)$ ,  $(H_3)$  and  $\alpha_0 \in (0,1)$ , we have

$$r\alpha_0 < 2 + \gamma_2$$
,  $s + r\alpha_0 = s + r\frac{(2 + \gamma_1) - q}{1 + p} > 1 + \gamma_2$ .

Then Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 give that

$$v \geq C_0^{\beta_0} c_{\beta_0} b_0(d(x))^{\beta_0}, \qquad x \in \Omega,$$

where  $C_{\beta_0}$  is in (2.7) and

$$b_0 = \left(\Lambda_1 \left(a_0 C_{\beta_0}\right)^{-r}\right)^{1/(1+s)}, \qquad \beta_0 = \frac{(2+\gamma_2) - r\alpha_0}{1+s} \in (0,1).$$

Proceeding inductively, we obtain

$$u \leq a_n C_{\alpha_n}(d(x))^{\alpha_n}, \qquad v \geq C_0^{\beta_n} c_{\beta_n} b_n(d(x))^{\beta_n}, \qquad x \in \Omega, \tag{3.5}$$

where n = 0, 1, ...,

$$\alpha_{n} = \frac{(2+\gamma_{1}) - q\beta_{n-1}}{1+p}$$

$$= \frac{(2+\gamma_{1})(1+s) - q(2+\gamma_{2})}{(1+p)(1+s)} + \frac{qr}{(1+p)(1+s)}\alpha_{n-1} \in (0,1),$$
(3.6)

$$\beta_{n} = \frac{(2+\gamma_{2}) - r\alpha_{n}}{1+s}$$

$$= \frac{(2+\gamma_{1})(1+p) - r(2+\gamma_{2})}{(1+p)(1+s)} + \frac{qr}{(1+p)(1+s)}\beta_{n-1} \in (0,1),$$
(3.7)

$$a_{n} = w_{2}^{1/(1+p)} \left( C_{0}^{\beta_{n-1}} C_{\beta_{n-1}} b_{n-1} \right)^{-q/(1+p)}$$

$$= w_{2}^{1/(1+p)} \Lambda_{1}^{-q/(1+p)(1+s)} \left( C_{0}^{\beta_{n-1}} C_{\beta_{n-1}} C_{\alpha_{n-1}}^{-r/(1+s)} \right)^{-q/(1+p)} a_{n-1}^{qr/(1+s)(1+p)}$$
(3.8)

and

$$b_{n} = \Lambda_{1}^{1/(1+s)} (C_{\alpha_{n}} a_{n})^{-r/(1+s)}$$

$$= \Lambda_{1}^{1/(1+s)} w_{2}^{-r/(1+s)(1+p)} \left( C_{\alpha_{n}} (C_{0}^{\beta_{n-1}} C_{\beta_{n-1}})^{-q/(1+p)} \right)^{-r/(1+s)} b_{n-1}^{qr/(1+s)(1+p)}. \tag{3.9}$$

Since

$$\frac{qr}{(1+s)(1+p)} \in (0,1),$$

we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \beta_n = \frac{(2+\gamma_1)(1+p) - r(2+\gamma_2)}{(1+p)(1+s) - qr}$$
(3.10)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = \frac{(2+\gamma_1)(1+s) - q(2+\gamma_2)}{(1+p)(1+s) - qr}.$$
(3.11)

Then, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = a = \left( w_2^{1+s} \Lambda_1^{-q} \right)^{\frac{1}{(1+p)(1+s)-rs}} \left( C_0^{\beta} C_{\beta} C_{\alpha}^{-r/(1+s)} \right)^{-\frac{q(1+s)}{(1+p)(1+s)-qr}}, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = b = (w_2^{-r} \Lambda_1^{1+p})^{\frac{1}{(1+p)(1+s)-qr}} \left( C_{\alpha} (C_0^{\beta} C_{\beta})^{-q/(1+p)} \right)^{-\frac{r(1+p)}{(1+p)(1+s)-qr}}$$
(3.13)

and

$$u \leq aC_{\alpha}(d(x))^{\alpha}, \qquad v \geq bc_{\beta}C_0^{\beta}(d(x))^{\beta}.$$

The symmetric argument and  $(H_4)$  prove the reversed inequalities and thus the results are established

## 4 Boundary behavior

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.2. The proof is an adaptation of the arguments used in [7].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let (u,v) be a classical solution of system (1.1). Taking  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  and  $x_n \in \Omega$  such that  $x_n \to x_0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Choose an open neighborhood U of  $x_0$  so that  $\partial\Omega$  admits  $C^{2,\mu}$  local coordinates  $\xi: U \to \mathbb{R}^N$ , and  $x \in U \cap \Omega$  if and only if  $\xi_1(x) > 0$  ( $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N)$ ). We can moreover assume  $\xi(x_0) = 0$ . If  $u(x) = \bar{u}(\xi(x)), v(x) = \bar{v}(\xi(x))$  then we have the systems

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{i,j}(\xi) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i(\xi) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \xi_i} = -w(x) \bar{u}^{-p} \bar{v}^{-q}, \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{i,j}(\xi) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i(\xi) \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \xi_i} = -\lambda(x) \bar{u}^{-r} \bar{v}^{-s}, \end{cases}$$

in  $\xi(U \cap \Omega)$ , where  $a_{ij}$ ,  $b_i$  are  $C^{\mu}$ , and  $a_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$ .

Denote by  $t_n$  the projections onto  $\xi(U \cap \Omega)$  of  $\xi(x_n)$ , and introduce the functions

$$u_n(y) = d^{\alpha}\bar{u}(t_n + d_n y), \qquad v_n(y) = d^{\beta}\bar{u}(t_n + d_n y),$$

where  $d_n = d(\xi(x_n))$ , and  $\alpha, \beta$  are given in (1.5). Then the functions  $(u_n, v_n)$  verify

$$\begin{cases} \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{i,j}(t_n+d_ny) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{u}}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_i} + d_n \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} b_i(t_n+d_ny) \frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial \xi_i} = -c_1(d_n(x))^{\gamma_1} \bar{u}^{-p} \bar{v}^{-q}, \\ \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{N} a_{i,j}(t_n+d_ny) \frac{\partial^2 \bar{v}}{\partial \xi_i \partial \xi_i} + d_n \sum\limits_{i=1}^{N} b_i(t_n+d_ny) \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial \xi_i} = -c_2(d_n(x))^{\gamma_2} \bar{u}^{-r} \bar{v}^{-s}. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, estimates (3.4) imply that

$$Ay_1^{\alpha} \le u_n(y) \le By_1^{\alpha}$$
 and  $Ay_1^{\beta} \le v_n(y) \le By_1^{\beta}$ ,

for y in compact subsets K of  $D := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : y_1 > 0\}$ . These estimates, together with the system, a bootstrap argument and a diagonal procedure, allow us to obtain a subsequence (still labeled by  $u_n$ ) such that  $u_n \to u_0, v_n \to v_0$  in  $C^2_{loc}(D)$ . In particular, we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_0 = c_1 y_1^{\gamma_1} u_0^{-p} v_0^{-q} & \text{in } D, \\ -\Delta v_0 = c_2 y_1^{\gamma_2} u_0^{-r} v_0^{-s} & \text{in } D, \end{cases}$$

which verifies

$$Ay_1^{\alpha} \le u_0(y) \le By_1^{\alpha}$$
 and  $Ay_1^{\beta} \le v_0(y) \le By_1^{\beta}$ ,  $y \in D$ .

We claim

$$u_0(y) = C_1 y_1^{\alpha}$$
 and  $v_0(y) = C_2 y_1^{\beta}$ ,  $y \in D$ ,

where

$$C_1 = \left(c_1^{1+s} c_2^{-q} \frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^q}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+s}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)}$$
(4.1)

and

$$C_2 = \left(c_1^{-r} c_2^{1+q} \frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^r}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+p}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)}.$$
(4.2)

Let us prove the claim by an iteration method.

Notice that

$$-\triangle u_0(y) \ge c_1 y_1^{\gamma_1} B^{-q} y_1^{-q\beta} u_0^{-p}(y), \qquad y \in D.$$

Lemma 2.6 implies

$$u_0(y) \ge A_1 y_1^{\alpha}, \quad y \in D,$$

where

$$A_1 = \left(\frac{c_1}{B^q \alpha (1-\alpha)}\right)^{1/(1+p)}.$$

Similarly, since

$$-\triangle v_0(y) \le c_2 y_1^{\gamma_2} A_1^{-r} y_1^{-r\alpha} v_0^{-s}(y), \quad y \in D,$$

Lemma 2.6 again gives

$$v_0(y) \leq B_1 y_1^{\beta}, \quad y \in D,$$

where

$$B_1 = \left(\frac{c_2}{A_1^r \beta (1-\beta)}\right)^{1/(1+s)}.$$

Iterating this procedure, we obtain that

$$u_0(y) \geq A_n y_1^{\alpha}, \quad v_0(y) \leq B_n y_1^{\beta}, \quad y \in D,$$

where

$$\begin{split} A_{n+1} &= \left(\frac{c_1}{B_n^q \alpha (1-\alpha)}\right)^{1/(1+p)} \\ &= \left(c_1 c_2^{-q/(1+s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+p}} \left(\frac{(\beta (1-\beta))^{q/(1+s)}}{\alpha (1-\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+p}} A_n^{\frac{qr}{(1+s)(1+p)}} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} B_{n+1} &= \left(\frac{c_2}{A_{n+1}^r \beta (1-\beta)}\right)^{1/(1+s)} \\ &= \left(c_2 c_1^{-r/(1+p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \left(\frac{(\alpha (1-\alpha))^{r/(1+p)}}{\beta (1-\beta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+s}} B_n^{\frac{qr}{(1+s)(1+p)}}. \end{split}$$

Consequently,

$$\ln A_{n+1} = \ln C_3 + \theta \ln A_n$$

and

$$ln B_{n+1} = ln C_4 + \theta ln B_n$$

where

$$\theta = \frac{qr}{(1+s)(1+p)} \in (0,1),$$

$$C_3 = \left(c_1 c_2^{-q/(1+s)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+p}} \left(\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^{q/(1+s)}}{\alpha(1-\alpha)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+p}}$$

and

$$C_4 = \left(c_2 c_1^{-r/(1+p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \left(\frac{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{r/(1+p)}}{\beta(1-\beta)}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+s}}.$$

By the iteration, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \ln A_n = \frac{\ln C_3}{1-\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} \ln B_n = \frac{\ln C_4}{1-\theta},$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n = C_3^{1/(1-\theta)} = C_1$$
 and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n = C_4^{1/(1-\theta)} = C_2$ ,

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are given in (4.1) and (4.2).

Thus

$$u_0(y) \ge C_1 y_1^{\alpha}$$
 and  $v_0(y) \le C_2 y_1^{\beta}$ ,  $y \in D$ .

The symmetric argument provides with the reversed inequality, and the claim is proved.

To summarize, we have shown that  $u_n \to C_1 y_1^{\alpha}$  and  $v_n \to C_2 y_1^{\beta}$  in  $C_{loc}^2(D)$ . Thus, taking  $y = e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$  and recalling that  $\xi(x_n) = t_n + d_n e_1$ , we arrive at

$$\begin{split} \frac{u(x_n)}{(d_n(x))^{\alpha}} &\to \left(c_1^{1+s}c_2^{-q}\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^q}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+s}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)},\\ \frac{v(x_n)}{(d_n(x))^{\beta}} &\to \left(c_1^{-r}c_2^{1+q}\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^r}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+p}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)},\\ \frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \xi_1}(x_n)}{(d_n(x))^{\alpha-1}} &\to -\alpha \left(c_1^{1+s}c_2^{-q}\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^q}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+s}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)},\\ \frac{\frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi_1}v(x_n)}{(d_n(x))^{\beta-1}} &\to -\beta \left(c_1^{-r}c_2^{1+q}\frac{(\beta(1-\beta))^r}{(\alpha(1-\alpha))^{1+p}}\right)^{1/((1+p)(1+s)-qr)}. \end{split}$$

Then Theorem 1.2 follows by the arbitrariness of the sequence  $x_n$ .

# 5 Uniqueness of solutions

In this section, we prove the uniqueness of solutions.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  be positive solutions to system (1.1). Let

$$\omega = \frac{u_1}{u_2}$$

and assume  $k = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \omega(x) > 1$ .

It follows by Theorem 1.2 that

$$\lim_{d(x)\to 0} \frac{u_1(x)}{u_2(x)} = 1.$$

Then, there exists  $x_0$  such that  $\omega(x_0) = k$ , and hence

$$\omega(x_0) = 0, \qquad \nabla \omega(x_0) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$u_2 \triangle u_1 - u_1 \triangle u_2 \leq 0$$

at  $x_0$ . This leads to

$$v_2(x_0) \ge k^{(p+1)/q} v_1(x_0).$$

We now claim that  $v_2 \le k^{r/(s+1)}v_1$  in  $\Omega$ . Assume on the contrary that  $\Omega_0 := \{v_2 \ge k^{r/(s+1)}v_1\}$  is nonempty. Notice that  $\partial\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ , since k > 1 and  $v_1/v_2 = 1$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , thus  $v_2 = k^{r/(s+1)}v_1$  on  $\Omega_0$ . Then

$$-\triangle v_2 = \lambda(x)u_2^{-r}v_2^{-s} < \lambda(x)k^{r/(s+1)}u_1^{-r}v_1^{-s} = -\triangle(k^{r/(s+1)}v_1)$$

on in  $\Omega_0$  and the maximum principle implies  $v_2 \leq k^{r/(s+1)}v_1$  in  $\Omega_0$  which is impossible. Hence  $v_2 \leq k^{r/(s+1)}v_1$  in  $\Omega$  and by the strong maximum principle it follows that  $v_2 \leq k^{r/(s+1)}v_1$  in  $\Omega$ . Combining the two assertions we have

$$k^{(1+p)/q}v_1(x_0) < k^{r/(s+1)}v_1(x_0),$$

i.e.

$$k^{\frac{(1+p)(s+1)-qr}{q(1+s)}} < 1.$$

By (1+s)(1+p) > qr, we obtain k < 1, which is also a contradiction. Thus we conclude  $k \le 1$ , i.e.,  $u_1 \le u_2$ . The symmetric argument proves  $u_1 \ge u_2$ , and using the equation for  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , we deduce  $v_1 = v_2$ . The result is proved.

## Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to the honorable reviewers for their valuable suggestions and comments, which improved the paper. This work was partially supported by NSF of China (Grant no. 11301250) and PhD research startup foundation of Linyi University (Grant no. LYDX2013BS049).

#### References

- [1] J. Busca, R. Manasevich, A Liouville-type theorem for Lane–Emden system, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **51**(2002), 37–51. MR1896155
- [2] S. Cui, Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for singular semilinear elliptic boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **41**(2000), 149–176. MR1759144
- [3] D. DE FIGUEIREDO, B. SIRAKOV, Liouville type theorems, monotonicity results and a priori bounds for positive solutions of elliptic systems, *Math. Ann.* **333**(2005), 231–260. MR2195114
- [4] V. Emden, Gaskugeln. Anwendungen der mechanischen Warmetheorie auf kosmologische und meteorologische Probleme (in German), Teubner-Verlag, Leipzig, 1907.
- [5] R. H. Fowler, Further studies of Emden's and similar differential equations, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **2**(1931), 259–288. url
- [6] W. Fulks, J. Maybee, A singular nonlinear elliptic equation, *Osaka J. Math.* **12** (1960) 1–19. MR0123095
- [7] J. García-Melián, J. Rossi, Boundary blow-up solutions to elliptic systems of competitive type, *J. Differential Equations* **206**(2004), 156–181. MR2093922

- [8] M. Ghergu, Lane–Emden systems with negative exponents, J. Funct. Anal. 258(2010), 3295–3318. MR2601617
- [9] M. Ghergu, V. Rădulescu, Singular elliptic problems: bifurcation and asymptotic analysis, Oxford University Press, 2008. MR2488149
- [10] D. GILBARG, N. S. TRUDINGER, Elliptic partial differential equations of second order, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR0473443
- [11] C. Gui, F. Lin, Regularity of an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **123**(1993), 1021–1029. MR1263903
- [12] A. Lazer, P. McKenna, On a singular elliptic boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **111**(1991) 721–730. MR1037213
- [13] E. Lee, R. Shivaji, J. Ye, Classes of infinite semipositone systems, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **139**(2009), 853–865. MR2520559
- [14] E. Lee, R. Shivaji, J. Ye, Classes of singular *pq*-Laplacian semipositone systems, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **27**(2010), 1123–1132. MR2629578
- [15] P. QUITTNER, P. SOUPLET, A priori estimates and existence for elliptic systems via bootstrap in weighted Lebesgue spaces, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **174**(2004), 49–81. MR2092996
- [16] W. REICHEL, H. ZOU, Non-existence results for semilinear cooperative elliptic systems via moving spheres, *J. Differential Equations* **161**(2000), 219–243. MR1740363
- [17] J. Serrin, H. Zou, Non-existence of positive solutions of Lane–Emden systems, *Differential Integral Equations* **9**(1996), 635–653. MR1401429
- [18] J. Serrin, H. Zou, Existence of positive solutions of the Lane–Emden system, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena* **46**(1998) suppl., 369–380. MR1645728
- [19] J. Shi, M. Yao, On a singular semilinear elliptic problem, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **128**(1998), 1389–1401. MR1663988
- [20] P. SOUPLET, The proof of the Lane–Emden conjecture in four space dimensions, *Adv. Math.* **221**(2009), 1409–1427. MR2522424
- [21] Z. Zhang, Positive solutions of Lane-Emden systems with negative exponents: Existence, boundary behavior and uniqueness, *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(2011), 5544–5553. MR2819295
- [22] Z. Zhang, J. Cheng, Existence and optimal estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear Dirichlet problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* **57**(2004), 473–484. MR2064102
- [23] H. Zou, A priori estimates for a semilinear elliptic system without variational structure and their applications, *Math. Ann.* **323**(2002), 713–735. MR1924277