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#### Abstract

Using a fixed point theorem in ordered Banach spaces with lattice structure founded by Liu and Sun, this paper investigates the multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for fourth order $m$-point boundary value problems with sign-changing nonlinearity. Our results are new and improve on those in the literature.
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## 1 Introduction

Consider the following fourth order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(4)}(t)=f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

subject to one of the following two classes of $m$-point boundary value conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(0)=0, \quad x(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
x^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime \prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad x(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x\left(\eta_{i}\right)  \tag{1.3}\\
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime \prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} x^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]where $f: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a given sign-changing continuous function, $m \geq 3, \eta_{i} \in(0,1)$ and $\alpha_{i}>0$ for $i=1, \cdots, m-2$ with
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}<1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The existence of nontrivial or positive solutions of nonlinear multi-point boundary value problems (BVP, for short) for fourth order differential equations has been extensively studied and lots of excellent results have been established by using fixed point index for cone mappings, standard upper and lower solution arguments, fixed point theorems for cone mappings and so on (see $[2,8-10]$ and the references therein). For example, in [9], Wei and Pang studied the following fourth order differential equation

$$
x^{(4)}(t)=f\left(x(t),-x^{\prime \prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

with the boundary condition (1.2).
By means of fixed point index theory in a cone and the Leray-Schauder degree, the existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions are obtained.

Recently Professor Jingxian Sun advanced a new approach to compute the topological degree when the concerned operators are not cone mappings in ordered Banach spaces with lattice structure. He established some interesting results for such nonlinear operators (for details, see $[3,6,7])$. To our best knowledge, there is no paper to use this new method to study fourth order $m$-point boundary value problems. We try to fill this gap in the present paper.

Suppose the following conditions are satisfied throughout.
(H0) the sequence of positive solutions of the equation

$$
\sin \sqrt{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \sin \eta_{i} \sqrt{s}
$$

is $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\cdots<\lambda_{n}<\lambda_{n+1}<\cdots$.
$\left(\mathrm{H} 0^{\prime}\right)$ the sequence of positive solutions of the equation

$$
\cos \sqrt{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \cos \eta_{i} \sqrt{s}
$$

is $0<s_{1}<s_{2}<\cdots<s_{n}<s_{n+1}<\cdots$.
(H1) $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(t, x)}{x}=\alpha$ uniformly on $t \in[0,1]$.
(H2) $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \frac{f(t, x)}{x}=\beta$ uniformly on $t \in[0,1]$.
(H3) $f(t, 0) \equiv 0, \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, x)}{x}=\gamma$ uniformly on $t \in[0,1]$.
This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some basic definitions of the lattice and some lemmas that will be used to prove the main results. In Section 3, we shall give our main results and their proofs.

## 2 Preliminaries

We first recall some properties of a lattice and some operators (see [3, 7]).
Let $E$ be an ordered Banach space in which the partial ordering $\leq$ is induced by a cone $P \subseteq E . \quad P$ is called normal if there exists a constant $N>0$ such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq N\|y\|$.

Definition 2.1. ${ }^{[7]}$ We call $E$ a lattice under the partial ordering $\leq, \operatorname{if} \sup \{x, y\}$ and $\inf \{x, y\}$ exist for arbitrary $x, y \in E$.

Definition 2.2. ${ }^{[3]}$ Let $E$ be a Banach space with a cone $P$ and $A: E \rightarrow E$ be a nonlinear operator. We say that $A$ is a unilaterally asymptotically linear operator along $P_{w}=\{x \in E$ : $x \geq w, w \in E\}$, if there exists a bounded linear operator $L$ such that

$$
\lim _{x \in P_{w},\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|A x-L x\|}{\|x\|}=0 .
$$

$L$ is said to be the derived operator of $A$ along $P_{w}$ and will be denoted by $A_{P_{w}}^{\prime}$. Similarly, we can also define a unilaterally asymptotically linear operator along $P^{w}=\{x \in E: x \leq w, w \in E\}$.

Remark 2.1. If $w=0$ in Definition 2.2, $A$ is a unilaterally asymptotically linear operator along $P$ and $(-P)$. It is remarkable that $A$ is not assumed to be a cone mapping.

Definition 2.3. ${ }^{[7]}$ Let $D \subseteq E$ and $A: D \rightarrow E$ be a nonlinear operator. $A$ is said to be quasi-additive on a lattice, if there exists $v^{*} \in E$ such that

$$
A x=A x_{+}+A x_{-}+v^{*}, \forall x \in D
$$

where $x_{+}=x^{+}=\sup \{x, \theta\}, x_{-}=-x^{-}=-\sup \{-x, \theta\}$.
The following lemma is important for us to obtain the main results.
Lemma 2.1. ${ }^{[3]}$ Suppose $E$ is an ordered Banach space with a lattice structure, $P$ is a normal cone of $E$, and the nonlinear operator $A$ is quasi-additive on the lattice. Assume that
(i) $A$ is strongly increasing on $P$ and $(-P)$;
(ii) both $A_{P}^{\prime}$ and $A_{(-P)}^{\prime}$ exist with $r\left(A_{P}^{\prime}\right)>1$ and $r\left(A_{-P}^{\prime}\right)>1$, and 1 is not an eigenvalue of $A_{P}^{\prime}$ and $A_{(-P)}^{\prime}$ corresponding a positive eigenvector;
(iii) $A \theta=\theta$; the Frechet derivative $A_{\theta}^{\prime}$ of $A$ at $\theta$ is strongly positive and $r\left(A_{\theta}^{\prime}\right)<1$;
(iv) the Frechet derivative $A_{\infty}^{\prime}$ of $A$ at $\infty$ exists; 1 is not an eigenvalue of $A_{\infty}^{\prime}$; the sum $\beta$ of the algebraic multiplicities for all eigenvalues of $A_{\infty}^{\prime}$ lying in the interval $(1, \infty)$ is an even number.

Then A has at least three nontrivial fixed points containing one sign-changing fixed point.
Let $E=C[0,1]$ with the norm $\|x\|=\max _{t \in[0,1]}|x(t)|$ and $P=\{x \in E: x(t) \geq 0, t \in$ $[0,1]\}$. Then $E$ is a Banach space and $P$ is a normal cone of $E$. It is easy to see that $E$ is a lattice under the partial ordering $\leq$ that is deduced by $P$.

Using the same method as in [9], we can easily convert BVP (1.1) and (1.2) into the following operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\left(L_{1}^{2} F x\right)(t), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators $F$ and $L_{1}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
(F x)(t)=f(t, x(t)), \forall t \in[0,1], x \in E  \tag{2.2}\\
\left(L_{1} x\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{1}(t, s) x(s) d s, \forall t \in[0,1], x \in E \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{1}(t, s)=G_{1}(t, s)+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} G\left(\eta_{i}, s\right)}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}} t, \\
G_{1}(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
(1-t) s, & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
(1-s) t, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly, we can convert BVP (1.1) and (1.3) into the following operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\left(L_{2}^{2} F x\right)(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(L_{2} x\right)(t)=\int_{0}^{1} H_{2}(t, s) x(s) d s, \forall t \in[0,1], x \in E  \tag{2.5}\\
H_{2}(t, s)=G_{2}(t, s)+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} G\left(\eta_{i}, s\right)}{1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i}} \\
G_{2}(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1-t, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
1-s, 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
\end{gather*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=L_{1}^{2} F, A_{2}=L_{2}^{2} F, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the following lemma is obvious.
Lemma 2.2. $x(t)$ is a solution of the $B V P$ (1.1) and (1.2) ( $B V P$ (1.1) and (1.3)) if and only if $x(t)$ is a solution of the operator equation

$$
x(t)=\left(A_{1} x\right)(t)\left(x(t)=\left(A_{2} x\right)(t)\right)
$$

Lemma 2.3. (i) $L_{i}^{2}: E \rightarrow E(i=1,2)$ is a completely continuous linear operator;
(ii) $F: E \rightarrow E$ is quasi-additive on the lattice;
(iii) $A_{i}=L_{i}^{2} F(i=1,2)$ is quasi-additive on the lattice;
(iv) the sequences of all eigenvalues of the operators $L_{1}^{2}$ and $L_{2}^{2}$ are $\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}}, n=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ and $\left\{\frac{1}{s_{n}^{2}}, n=1,2, \cdots\right\}$, respectively, where $\lambda_{n}$ and $s_{n}$ are respectively defined by (HO) and (H0'), and the algebraic multiplicities of $\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{s_{n}^{2}}$ are 1.
(v) $r\left(L_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}, r\left(L_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{s_{1}^{2}}$, where $r\left(L_{i}\right)$ is the spectral radius of the operator $L_{i}(i=1,2)$.

Proof. The proof of (i)-(iii) is obvious. Now we start to prove the conclusions (iv) and (v).
Let $\mu$ be a positive eigenvalue of the linear operator $L_{1}^{2}$, and $y \in E \backslash\{\theta\}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mu$. Then we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mu y^{(4)}=y, \quad t \in[0,1]  \tag{2.7}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} y\left(\eta_{i}\right) \\
y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad y^{\prime \prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} y^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Define $D=\frac{d}{d t}, L=\mu D^{4}-1$; then there exist two constants $r_{1}, r_{2}$ such that

$$
L y=\mu\left(D^{2}+r_{1}\right)\left(D^{2}+r_{2}\right) y
$$

By properties of differential operators, if (2.7) has a nonzero solution, then there exists $r_{s}, s \in\{1,2\}$ such that $r_{s}=\lambda_{k}, k \in N$. In this case, $\sin t \sqrt{\lambda_{k}}$ is a nonzero solution of (2.7). Substituting this solution into (2.7), we have

$$
\mu \lambda_{k}^{2}-1=0
$$

Hence, $\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{2}}, k=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ is the sequence of all eigenvalues of the operator $L_{1}^{2}$. Then $\mu$ is one of the values

$$
\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}>\frac{1}{\lambda_{2}^{2}}>\cdots>\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}} \cdots
$$

and the eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}}$ is

$$
y_{n}(t)=C \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}, t \in[0,1]
$$

where $C$ is a nonzero constant. By the ordinary method, we can show that any two eigenfunctions corresponding to the same eigenvalue $\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}}$ are merely nonzero constant multiples of each other. Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}} I-L_{1}^{2}\right)=1 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}} I-L_{1}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}} I-L_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, we need only show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}} I-L_{1}^{2}\right)^{2} \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}} I-L_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $y \in \operatorname{ker}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}} I-L_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}, \quad\left(I-\lambda_{n}^{2} L_{1}^{2}\right) y$ is an eigenfunction of the linear operator $L_{1}^{2}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}}$ if $\left(I-\lambda_{n}^{2} L_{1}^{2}\right) y \neq \theta$. Then there exists a nonzero constant $\gamma$ such that

$$
\left(I-\lambda_{n}^{2} L_{1}^{2}\right) y=\gamma \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}, t \in[0,1]
$$

By direct computation, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{(4)}=\lambda_{n}^{2} y+\gamma \lambda_{n}^{2} \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}, \quad t \in[0,1]  \tag{2.11}\\
y(0)=0, \quad y(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} y\left(\eta_{i}\right) \\
y^{\prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad y^{\prime \prime}(1)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} y^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that the general solution of $(2.11)$ is of the form
$y(t)=C_{1} \cos t \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}+C_{2} \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}+C_{3} \exp \left(t \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}\right)+C_{4} \exp \left(\sqrt{-t \lambda_{n}}\right)+\frac{\gamma \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}{4} t \cos t \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}, t \in[0,1]$ where $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, C_{4}$ are nonzero constants.

Applying the boundary conditions, we obtain that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
C_{1}=0,  \tag{2.12}\\
C_{3}+C_{4}=0, \\
C_{3} F+\frac{\gamma \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}{4} G=0, \\
C_{3} \lambda_{n} F-\lambda_{n} \frac{\gamma \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}{4} G=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
F=e^{\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}-e^{-\sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\left(\exp \left(\sqrt{\lambda_{n} \eta_{i}}\right)-\exp \left(-\sqrt{\lambda_{n} \eta_{i}}\right)\right)>0,  \tag{2.13}\\
G=\cos \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \cos \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}} . \tag{2.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $G \neq 0$, then $C_{3}=\frac{\gamma \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}}{4}=0$, which is a contradiction to $\gamma \neq 0$, and $y(t)=C_{2} \sin t \sqrt{\lambda_{n}} \in$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}} I-L_{1}^{2}\right)$. So (2.10) holds, which means (2.9) also holds.

If $G=0$, then

$$
\cos \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \cos \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}
$$

By the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\sin ^{2} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}} & =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \eta_{i} \cos \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2} \cos ^{2} \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2} \sin ^{2} \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the condition $\sin \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \sin \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 \leq & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i} \sin \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2} \sin ^{2} \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}\right) \\
= & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)+\left[1-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\right]\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2} \sin ^{2} \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}\right) \\
& +\sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \sin \eta_{i} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}} \sin \eta_{j} \sqrt{\lambda_{n}} \\
\leq & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)+\left[1-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \eta_{i}^{2}\right)\right]\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}^{2}\right)+\sum_{i \neq j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} \\
= & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction to $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \alpha_{i}<1$. Thus, (2.9) holds. It follows from (2.8) and (2.9) that the algebraic multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}}$ is 1 .

Similarly, we can show that the sequence of all eigenvalues of the operator $L_{2}^{2}$ is $\left\{\frac{1}{s_{n}^{2}}, n=\right.$ $1,2, \cdots\}$, and the algebraic multiplicity of $\frac{1}{s_{n}^{2}}$ is 1 .

By the definition of the spectral radius, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r\left(L_{1}^{2}\right)=\sup _{\lambda \in\left\{\frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{2}}, n=1,2, \cdots\right\}}|\lambda|=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}, \\
& r\left(L_{2}^{2}\right)=\sup _{\lambda \in\left\{\frac{1}{s_{n}^{2}}, n=1,2, \cdots\right\}}|\lambda|=\frac{1}{s_{1}^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of this Lemma is complete.
Lemma 2.4. Let $A_{i}$ and $L_{i}^{2}(i=1,2)$ be defined by (2.1) - (2.6). Then
(i) $\left(A_{i}\right)_{P}^{\prime}=\alpha L_{i}^{2}(i=1,2)$ if $f$ satisfies (H1);
(ii) $\left(A_{i}\right)_{(-P)}^{\prime}=\beta L_{i}^{2}(i=1,2)$ if $f$ satisfies (H2);
(iii) $\left(A_{i}\right)_{\theta}^{\prime}=\gamma L_{i}^{2}(i=1,2)$ if $f$ satisfies (H3).

Proof. We only prove the conclusion (i), the proofs of conclusions (ii) and (iii) are similar. Suppose that $f$ satisfies (H1). Then there exists $R>0$ such that for a given $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
|f(t, x)-\alpha x| \leq \varepsilon x, t \in[0,1], x>R
$$

Set $M_{R}=\max _{t \in[0,1], 0 \leq x \leq R}|f(t, x)|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|(F x)(t)-\alpha x(t)| & =|f(t, x(t))-\alpha x(t)| \\
& \leq M_{R}+\alpha R+\varepsilon\|x\|, \forall x \in P,\|x\| \geq R,
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|A_{i} x-\alpha L_{i}^{2} x\right\| & =\left\|L_{i}^{2}(F x)-\alpha L_{i}^{2} x\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|L_{i}^{2}\right\|\left(M_{R}+\alpha R+\varepsilon\|x\|\right),(i=1,2)
\end{aligned}
$$

which means

$$
\liminf _{x \in P,\|x\| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|A_{i} x-\alpha L_{i}^{2} x\right\|}{\|x\|} \leq \varepsilon\left\|L_{i}^{2}\right\|,(i=1,2) .
$$

Therefore, $\left(A_{i}\right)_{P}^{\prime}=\alpha L_{i}^{2}(i=1,2)$.

## 3 Main Results

In order to consider the existence of multiple solutions for the BVP (1.1) and (1.2) and BVP (1.1) and (1.3), let us introduce another ordered Banach space.

Let $e_{1, i}$ be the first normalized eigenfunction of $L_{i}^{2}$ corresponding to its first eigenvalue; then $e_{1, i}(t)>0, \forall t \in(0,1)$ and $\left\|e_{1, i}\right\|=1, \quad(i=1,2)$.

Let

$$
E_{e, i}=\left\{x \in E: \exists \mu>0,-\mu e_{1, i}(t) \leq x(t) \leq \mu e_{1, i}(t), t \in[0,1]\right\},(i=1,2)
$$

By [1] and [3], we know that $E_{e, i}$ is an ordered Banach space, $P_{e, i}=P \bigcap E_{e, i}(i=1,2)$ is a normal solid cone in $E_{e, i}$, and $L_{i}^{2}: E \rightarrow E_{e, i}$ is a linear completely continuous operator satisfying $L_{i}^{2}(P \backslash\{\theta\}) \subseteq \operatorname{int} P_{e, i}=\left\{x \in P_{e, i}: \exists \alpha>0, \beta>0, \alpha e_{1, i}(t) \leq x(t) \leq \beta e_{1, i}(t), t \in[0,1]\right\}, \quad(i=$ 1, 2).

Now we are ready to give our main results.
Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $f$ satisfies (H1) -(H3). In addition, suppose
(i) $f(t, x)$ is strictly increasing in $x$;
(ii) there exist an even number $n_{1}$ and a positive integer $n_{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n_{1}}^{2}<\alpha<\lambda_{n_{1}+1}^{2}, \quad \lambda_{n_{2}}^{2}<\beta<\lambda_{n_{2}+1}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) $0<\gamma<\lambda_{1}^{2}$.

Then BVP (1.1) and (1.2) has at least three nontrivial solutions containing a sign-changing solution.

Proof. From Lemma 2.4 we know that

$$
\left(A_{1}\right)_{\theta}^{\prime}=\gamma L_{1}^{2}, \quad\left(A_{1}\right)_{P}^{\prime}=\alpha L_{1}^{2}, \quad\left(A_{1}\right)_{(-P)}^{\prime}=\beta L_{1}^{2}
$$

Notice that $P_{e, 1}=P \bigcap E_{e, 1} \subseteq P$ implies $\left(A_{1}\right)_{P_{e, 1}}^{\prime}=\alpha L_{1}^{2}$ and $\left(A_{1}\right)_{\left(-P_{e, 1}\right)}^{\prime}=\beta L_{1}^{2}$.
Using a method similar to the one used in the proof of Lemma 2.4, it is not difficult to prove that $\left(A_{1}\right)_{\infty}^{\prime}=\alpha L_{1}^{2}$.

By condition (i) and the fact that $L_{1}^{2}(P \backslash\{\theta\}) \subseteq \operatorname{int} P_{e, 1}$, we know that $A_{1}$ is strongly increasing and hence the condition (i) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied.

The condition (ii) shows that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $\left(A_{1}\right)_{P}^{\prime}$ and $\left(A_{1}\right)_{(-P)}^{\prime}$, and by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
r\left(\left(A_{1}\right)_{P}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}>1, r\left(\left(A_{1}\right)_{(-P)}^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\beta}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}>1
$$

Hence, condition (ii) of Lemma 2.1 is also satisfied.

Similarly, we can show that $\left(A_{1}\right)_{\theta}^{\prime}$ is strongly positive, so condition (iii) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied.

Since $f$ satisfies (H1) and (H2), by condition (iii) we find that condition (iv) of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied.

Consequently, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 guarantee that the conclusion is valid.
By the method used in the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is easy to show the following theorem.
Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $f$ satisfies (H1) -(H3). In addition, suppose
(i) $f(t, x)$ is strictly increasing in $x$;
(ii) there exist an even number $n_{1}$ and a positive integer $n_{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{n_{1}}^{2}<\alpha<s_{n_{1}+1}^{2}, s_{n_{2}}^{2}<\beta<s_{n_{2}+1}^{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) $0<\gamma<s_{1}^{2}$.

Then BVP (1.1) and (1.3) has at least three nontrivial solutions containing a sign-changing solution.

Example 3.1 Consider the following fourth order differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{(4)}(t)=f(t, x(t)), \quad t \in(0,1)  \tag{3.3}\\
x^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad x(1)=\alpha_{1} x\left(\eta_{1}\right) \\
x^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)=0, \quad x^{\prime \prime}(1)=\alpha_{1} x^{\prime \prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
f(t, x)= \begin{cases}\inf _{t \in[0,1]}[400 x(1+t)], & x \geq 10 ; \\ \frac{7998}{20-\pi}\left(x-\frac{\pi}{2}\right)+1, & \frac{\pi}{2} \leq x<10 ; \\ \sin x, & -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2} ; \\ \frac{38}{20+\pi}\left(x+\frac{\pi}{2}\right)-1, & -10 \leq x<-\frac{\pi}{2} ; \\ \sup _{t \in[0,1]}[2 t x], & x<-10,\end{cases}
$$

and $\alpha_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}, \eta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}$. It is easy to see $f(t, x)$ is strictly increasing in $x$. By simple calculations, we can show $s_{1}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{9}, s_{2}=\left(2 \pi-2 \arccos \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)^{2}, s_{3}=\left(2 \pi+2 \arccos \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)^{2}, s_{4}=\frac{121 \pi^{2}}{9}, \cdots, s_{4 k+1}=$ $\left(4 k \pi+\frac{\pi}{3}\right)^{2}, s_{4 k+2}=\left(4 k \pi+2 \pi-2 \arccos \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)^{2}, s_{4 k+3}=\left(4 k \pi+2 \pi+2 \arccos \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)^{2}, s_{4 k+4}=$ $\left(4 k \pi+\frac{11 \pi}{3}\right)^{2}, k \in N$. And $\alpha=400, \beta=2, \gamma=1$, so $s_{2}^{2}<\alpha<s_{3}^{2}, s_{1}^{2}<\beta<s_{2}^{2}, 0<\gamma<s_{1}^{2}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, BVP (3.3) has at least three nontrivial solutions containing a signchanging solution.
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