

# Boundedness of solutions to a retarded Liénard equation\*

Wei Long<sup>†</sup>, Hong-Xia Zhang

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Jiangxi Normal University  
Nanchang, Jiangxi 330022, People's Republic of China

## Abstract

This paper is concerned with the following retarded Liénard equation

$$x''(t) + f_1(x(t))(x'(t))^2 + f_2(x(t))x'(t) + g_1(x(t)) + g_2(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t).$$

We prove a new theorem which ensures that all solutions of the above Liénard equation satisfying given initial conditions are bounded. As one will see, our results improve some earlier results even in the case of  $f_1(x) \equiv 0$ .

**Keywords:** Liénard equation, boundedness.

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 34K25.

## 1 Introduction

The study on boundedness of solutions to all kinds of Liénard equations has been of interest for many mathematicians (cf. [2, 3, 5–7, 9, 10] and references therein).

---

\*The work was supported by the NSF of China, the NSF of Jiangxi Province of China (2008GQS0057), the Youth Foundation of Jiangxi Provincial Education Department (GJJ09456), and the Youth Foundation of Jiangxi Normal University.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail address: hopelw@126.com

Recently, the authors in [7] studied the boundedness of solutions to the following Liénard equation with a deviating argument:

$$x''(t) + f(x(t))x'(t) + g_1(x(t)) + g_2(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f$ ,  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tau(t) \geq 0$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $e(t)$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$ . Under the condition

(A0) There exists a constant  $d > 1$  such that  $d|u| \leq \text{sgn}(u)\varphi(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$\varphi(u) = \int_0^u [f(x) - 1]dx.$$

and other assumptions, the authors in [7] established a theorem which ensures that all solutions of (1.1) are bounded. Very recently, in [8], the assumption (A0) is weakened into

(A1)  $|u| < \text{sgn}(u)\varphi(u)$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

In this paper, we will study the following more general equation:

$$x''(t) + f_1(x(t))(x'(t))^2 + f_2(x(t))x'(t) + g_1(x(t)) + g_2(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t), \quad (1.2)$$

where  $f_1$ ,  $f_2$ ,  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\tau(t) \geq 0$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $e(t)$  is a bounded continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$ . Under weaker assumption than (A0) and (A1) (see Remark 2.4), we prove that all solutions of (1.2) are bounded, and thus improve the results in [7, 8] even in the case of  $f_1(x) \equiv 0$ .

## 2 Main results

Throughout the rest of this paper, we denote

$$F(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^x f_1(u)du\right);$$

and assume that there is a constant  $\lambda > 0$  satisfying

$$\operatorname{sgn}(u) \int_0^u F^2(x) dx \leq \lambda |u|, \quad u \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, assume that there exist a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two nondecreasing functions  $G, \Phi$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\lambda \varepsilon < \liminf_{u \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(u) \int_0^u F(x) f_2(x) dx}{|u|} - 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$|g_1(u) - \varepsilon \phi(u)| \leq \Phi(|u|), \quad |g_2(u)| \leq G(|u|), \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi(x) + G(x)}{x} < \varepsilon, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^x F(u) [f_2(u) - \varepsilon F(u)] du.$$

Denote

$$y = F(x) \frac{dx}{dt} + \phi(x).$$

Then Eq. (1.2) is transformed into the following system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} = \frac{-\phi(x(t)) + y(t)}{F(x(t))}, \\ \frac{dy(t)}{dt} = F(x(t)) \{ -\varepsilon y(t) - [g_1(x(t)) - \varepsilon \phi(x(t))] - g_2(x(t) - \tau(t)) \} + e(t). \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

In addition, in this paper,  $C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of continuous functions  $\alpha : [-h, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the supremum norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , where  $h = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \tau(t) \geq 0$ . It is well known (cf. [1, 4]) that for any given continuous initial function  $\alpha \in C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R})$  and a number  $y_0$ , there exists a solution of (2.5) on an interval  $[0, T)$  satisfying the initial conditions and (2.5) on  $[0, T)$ . If the solution remains bounded, then  $T = +\infty$ . We denote such a solution by  $x(t) = x(t, \alpha, y_0), y(t) = y(t, \alpha, y_0)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [2, 7] *Solutions of (2.5) are called uniformly bounded if for each  $B_1 > 0$  there is a  $B_2 > 0$  such that  $(\alpha, y_0) \in C([-h, 0], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $\|\alpha\| + |y_0| \leq B_1$  implies that  $|x(t, \alpha, y_0)| + |y(t, \alpha, y_0)| \leq B_2$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .*

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that (2.1)–(2.4) hold. Then, solutions of (2.5) are uniformly bounded.*

*Proof.* Let  $x(t) = x(t, \alpha, y_0)$ ,  $y(t) = y(t, \alpha, y_0)$  be a solution of (2.5). Without loss of generality, one can assume that  $x(t), y(t)$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  since the following proof gives that  $x(t), y(t)$  are bounded.

By (2.2) and (2.4), there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(u) \int_0^u F(x) f_2(x) dx}{|u|} > 1 + \lambda \varepsilon, \quad |u| \geq M, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\frac{\Phi(x) + G(x) + \bar{e}}{x} < \varepsilon, \quad x \geq M, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\bar{e} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} |e(t)|$ . It follows from (2.6) and (2.1) that

$$\frac{\operatorname{sgn}(u) \phi(u)}{|u|} = \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(u) \int_0^u F(x) f_2(x) dx}{|u|} - \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(u) \int_0^u \varepsilon F^2(x) dx}{|u|} > 1, \quad |u| \geq M. \quad (2.8)$$

We denote

$$V(t) = \max_{-h \leq s \leq t} \{\max\{|x(s)|, |y(s)|\}\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

For any given  $t_0 \geq 0$ , we consider five cases.

Case (i):  $V(t_0) > \max\{|x(t_0)|, |y(t_0)|\}$ .

By the continuity of  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$ , there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that

$$\max\{|x(t)|, |y(t)|\} < V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_1).$$

Thus, one can conclude

$$V(t) = V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_1).$$

Case (ii):  $V(t_0) = \max\{|x(t_0)|, |y(t_0)|\} < M$ .

Also, by the continuity of  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$ , there exists  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that

$$\max\{|x(t)|, |y(t)|\} < M, \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_2).$$

Therefore,

$$V(t) < M, \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_2).$$

Case (iii):  $V(t_0) = \max\{|x(t_0)|, |y(t_0)|\} = |x(t_0)| \geq M$ , and  $|x(t_0)| > |y(t_0)|$ .

Noticing that  $x(t), y(t)$  is a solution to (2.5), it follows from (2.8) that

$$D^+(|x(s)|)|_{s=t_0} = \operatorname{sgn}(x(t_0)) \cdot \frac{-\phi(x(t_0)) + y(t_0)}{F(x(t_0))}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \frac{-|x(t_0)| + |y(t_0)|}{F(x(t_0))} \\
&< \frac{-|x(t_0)| + |x(t_0)|}{F(x(t_0))} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, there exists  $\delta'_3 > 0$  such that

$$|x(t)| < |x(t_0)| = V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta'_3).$$

On the other hand, by the continuity of  $y(t)$ , there exists  $\delta''_3 > 0$  such that

$$|y(t)| < |x(t_0)| = V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta''_3).$$

Let  $\delta_3 = \min\{\delta'_3, \delta''_3\}$ . Then

$$\max\{|x(t)|, |y(t)|\} < V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_3),$$

which means that

$$V(t) = V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_3).$$

Case (iv):  $V(t_0) = \max\{|x(t_0)|, |y(t_0)|\} = |y(t_0)| \geq M$ , and  $|x(t_0)| < |y(t_0)|$ .

In view of (2.3), (2.7) and  $x(t), y(t)$  being a solution to (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^+(|y(s)|)|_{s=t_0} \\
&= F(x(t_0))\text{sgn}(y(t_0))\{-\varepsilon y(t_0) - [g_1(x(t_0)) - \varepsilon\phi(x(t_0))] - g_2(x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))) + e(t_0)\} \\
&\leq F(x(t_0))\{-\varepsilon|y(t_0)| + \Phi(|x(t_0)|) + G(|x(t_0 - \tau(t_0))|) + \bar{e}\} \\
&\leq F(x(t_0))\{-\varepsilon V(t_0) + \Phi(V(t_0)) + G(V(t_0)) + \bar{e}\} < 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields that there exists  $\delta_4 > 0$  such that

$$|y(t)| < |y(t_0)| = V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_4).$$

On the other hand, without loss, by the continuity of  $x(t)$ , one can assume that

$$|x(t)| < |y(t_0)| = V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_4).$$

So one can conclude

$$\max\{|x(t)|, |y(t)|\} < V(t_0), \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_4).$$

Thus  $V(t) = V(t_0)$  for all  $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_4)$ .

Case (v):  $V(t_0) = \max\{|x(t_0)|, |y(t_0)|\} = |x(t_0)| = |y(t_0)| \geq M$ .

Similar to the proof of Case (iii) and Case (iv), one can show that

$$D^+(|x(s)|)|_{s=t_0} < 0, \quad D^+(|y(s)|)|_{s=t_0} < 0.$$

Then, there exists  $\delta_5 > 0$  such that

$$|x(t)| < |x(t_0)| = V(t_0), \quad |y(t)| < |y(t_0)| = V(t_0) \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_5).$$

Therefore,  $V(t) = V(t_0)$  for all  $t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta_5)$ .

By the above proof,  $\forall t_0 \geq 0$ , there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$V(t) \leq \max\{V(t_0), M\}, \quad \forall t \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta).$$

Now, we claim that

$$V(t) \leq \max\{V(0), M\}, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

In fact, if this is not true, then

$$\alpha := \inf\{t \geq 0 : V(t) > \max\{V(0), M\}\} < +\infty.$$

By the definition of  $\alpha$  and the continuity of  $V(t)$ , we have

$$V(t) \leq \max\{V(0), M\}, \quad \forall t \in [0, \alpha]. \tag{2.10}$$

In addition, it follows from the above proof that there is a constants  $\delta' > 0$  such that

$$V(t) \leq \max\{V(\alpha), M\}, \quad \forall t \in (\alpha, \alpha + \delta'). \tag{2.11}$$

Combing (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$V(t) \leq \max\{V(0), M\}, \quad \forall t \in [0, \alpha + \delta'],$$

which contradicts with the definition of  $\alpha$ . Thus, (2.9) holds. Then, it follows that solutions of (2.5) are uniformly bounded.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** Theorem 2.2 yields that all solutions to (1.2) with any given initial conditions are uniformly bounded, i.e., for any given initial conditions  $(\phi, y_0)$ , there is a constant  $B > 0$  such that any solution  $x(t)$  to (1.2) with initial conditions  $(\phi, y_0)$  satisfies

$$|x(t)| \leq B, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

**Remark 2.4.** In the case of  $f_1(x) \equiv 0$ , the assumption (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(u)\varphi(u)}{|u|} > \lambda\varepsilon,$$

where

$$\varphi(u) = \int_0^u [f_2(x) - 1]dx.$$

This means that (2.2) is weaker than (A0) and (A1) to some extent.

Next, we give two example to illustrate our results.

**Example 2.5.** Consider the following Liénard equation:

$$x''(t) + f(x(t))x'(t) + g(x(t)) = e(t), \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{e^{-x} - xe^{-x} + 3}{2}, \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{6}xe^{-x} + \frac{1}{3}x, \quad e(t) = \cos t.$$

Noticing that  $F(x) \equiv 1$ , one can easily verify that (2.1)–(2.4) hold with  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $\Phi(x) = \frac{x}{18}$  and  $G(x) \equiv 0$ . Then, Theorem 2.2 yields that all solutions to (2.12) with any given initial conditions are uniformly bounded.

**Remark 2.6.** In the above example,

$$\varphi(x) = \int_0^x [f(u) - 1]du = \frac{1}{2}xe^{-x} + \frac{1}{2}x,$$

Obviously, neither (A0) nor (A1) hold. Thus, the results in [7, 8] can not be applied to the above example.

**Example 2.7.** Consider the following Liénard equation:

$$x''(t) + f_1(x(t))(x'(t))^2 + f_2(x(t))x'(t) + g_1(x(t)) + g_2(x(t - \tau(t))) = e(t), \quad (2.13)$$

where

$$f_1(x) = \frac{\cos x}{2 + \sin x}, \quad f_2(x) = 8e^{x^2}, \quad g_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x, \quad \tau(t) = 1 + \cos t, \quad e(t) = \sin t,$$

and

$$g_1(x) = \int_0^x (1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin u)(8e^{u^2} - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin u)du.$$

In view of

$$F(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^x f_1(u)du\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\sin x,$$

it is not difficult to verify that (2.1)–(2.4) hold with  $\varepsilon = 1$ ,  $\lambda = \frac{9}{4}$ ,  $\Phi(x) \equiv 0$  and  $G(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ . Then, by Theorem 2.2, all solutions to (2.13) with any given initial conditions are uniformly bounded.

### 3 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the referee for valuable suggestions and comments, which improved greatly the quality of this paper.

### References

- [1] T. A. Burton, *Stability and Periodic Solutions of Ordinary and Functional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, Orland, FL, 1985.
- [2] T.A. Burton, B. Zhang, Boundedness, periodicity, and convergence of solutions in a retarded Liénard equation, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* CLXV (1993), 351–368.
- [3] A. Fonda, F. Zanolin, Bounded solutions of nonlinear second order ordinary differential equations, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 4 (1998), 91–98.
- [4] J. K. Hale, *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [5] L. Huang, Y. Cheng, J. Wu, Boundedness of solutions for a class of nonlinear planar systems, *Tohoku Math. J.* 54 (2002), 393–419.
- [6] B. Liu, L. Huang, Boundedness for a class of retarded Liénard equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 286 (2003), 422–434.

- [7] B. Liu, L. Huang, Boundedness of solutions for a class of Liénard equations with a deviating argument, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 21 (2008), 109–112.
- [8] G. Ye, H. Ding, X. Wu, Uniform boundedness of solutions for a class of Liénard equations, *Electron. J. Diff. Eqns.*, Vol. 2009(2009), No. 97, pp. 1–5.
- [9] B. Zhang, Boundedness and stability of solutions of the retarded Liénard equation with negative damping, *Nonlinear Anal.* 20 (1993), 303–313.
- [10] B. Zhang, Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness and oscillation in the retarded Liénard equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 200 (1996), 453–473.

(Received September 14, 2009)