



A new regularity criterion for the Navier–Stokes equations in terms of the two components of the velocity

Sadek Gala^{✉1,2} and Maria Alessandra Ragusa²

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Mostaganem, Box 227, Mostaganem 27000, Algeria

²Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania,
Viale Andrea Doria, 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

Received 29 October 2015, appeared 5 May 2016

Communicated by Dimitri Mugnai

Abstract. This paper establishes a new regularity criterion for the Navier–Stokes equations in terms of two velocity components. We show that if the two velocity components $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, 0)$ satisfy

$$\int_0^T \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{B_{\infty,\infty}^0}^2 ds < \infty,$$

then the solution can be smoothly extended after $t = T$. This gives an answer to an open problem in [B. Q. Dong, Z. Zhang, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **11**(2010), 2415–2421].

Keywords: Navier–Stokes equations, regularity criterion, Besov space.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35Q30, 35K15, 76D05.

1 Introduction

Consider the Navier–Stokes equations for the viscous incompressible fluid flow in the whole space \mathbb{R}^3 :

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u - \Delta u + \nabla p &= 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, & (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T), \\ u(x, 0) &= u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $u = u(x, t)$ is the velocity field, $p = p(x, t)$ is the scalar pressure and $u_0(x)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ in the sense of distribution is the initial velocity field. For simplicity, we assume that the external force has a scalar potential and is included in the pressure gradient.

It is well-known that Navier–Stokes equations are an important mathematical model in fluid dynamics (see [12, 22]). The question of global regularity for smooth solutions in the 3D case remains generally open. Therefore, it is interesting to consider the regularity criterion for solutions under some additional growth conditions. The research on this direction started

[✉]Corresponding author. Email: gala.sadek@gmail.com

from Serrin in the 1960's and attracted more and more attention over the last few decades (see e.g. [1, 6, 10, 26, 31, 32] and the references therein).

Introducing the class $L^\alpha(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$, it is shown that if we have a Leray–Hopf weak solution u belonging to $L^\alpha((0, T); L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with the exponents α and q satisfying $\frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{q} \leq 1$, $2 \leq \alpha < \infty$, $3 < q \leq \infty$, then the solution $u(x, t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T])$ [9, 11, 23–25, 29, 30], while the limit case $\alpha = \infty, q = 3$ was covered much later by Iskauriaza, Serëgin and Shverak in [8]. One may also refer to the interesting results devoted to finding sufficient conditions to ensure the smoothness of the solutions; see [13–18] and the references therein.

Another approach is to consider the regularity condition in terms of the two velocity components $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, 0)$. In [4] (see also [2]), Bae and Choe proved that if

$$\tilde{u} \in L^\alpha(0, T; L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{3}{q} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 3 < q \leq \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

then the solution is smooth on $(0, T)$. Later on, Zhang et al. [33] extended the condition (1.2) into BMO space in the marginal case when $q = \infty$, i.e.

$$\tilde{u} \in L^2(0, T; BMO(\mathbb{R}^3)). \quad (1.3)$$

Here BMO denotes the space of the bounded mean oscillation defined by

$$f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \sup_{x, R} \frac{1}{|B(x, R)|} \int_{B(x, R)} |f(y) - \bar{f}_{B(x, R)}| dy < \infty,$$

with $\bar{f}_{B(x, R)}$ is the average of f over $B(x, R) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - y| < R\}$ (cf. Stein [27]).

Based on the Littlewood–Paley decomposition of equations (1.1), Dong and Zhang [7] extended the regularity criterion by means of horizontal derivatives of the two velocity components $\nabla_h \tilde{u} = (\partial_1 \tilde{u}, \partial_2 \tilde{u}, 0)$ in the homogeneous Besov space $\dot{B}^0_{\infty, \infty}$:

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla_h \tilde{u}(s)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty, \infty}}^2 ds < \infty. \quad (1.4)$$

It is still an open question, asked by Dong and Zhang [7, p. 2417], whether (1.4) can be replaced by the following condition

$$\int_0^T \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty, \infty}}^2 ds < \infty. \quad (1.5)$$

The purpose of this paper is to give an answer to this problem posed in [7] and we claim that we obtain the regularity for weak solutions if the two components are in the sharp critical space

$$\int_0^T \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{\dot{B}^0_{\infty, \infty}}^2 ds < \infty.$$

Before giving the main result, we recall the following definition of Leray–Hopf weak solution.

Definition 1.1. Let $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\nabla \cdot u_0 = 0$. A measurable vector field $u(x, t)$ is called a Leray–Hopf weak solution to the Navier–Stokes equations (1.1) on $(0, T)$, if u satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $u \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$;
- (ii) $\partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla \pi = \Delta u$ in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$;

(iii) $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$;

(iv) u satisfy the energy inequality

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u(x, s)|^2 dx ds \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (1.6)$$

Next, we recall the definition of the space that we are going to use (see e.g. [3, 28]).

Definition 1.2. Let $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the Littlewood–Paley dyadic decomposition of unity that satisfies $\widehat{\varphi} \in C_0^\infty(B_2 \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}})$, $\widehat{\varphi}_j(\xi) = \widehat{\varphi}(2^{-j}\xi)$ and

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}_j(\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for any } \xi \neq 0,$$

where B_R is the ball in \mathbb{R}^3 centered at the origin with radius $R > 0$. The homogeneous Besov spaces $\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ are defined to be

$$\dot{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^3) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3) / \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} < \infty \right\}$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| 2^{js} \varphi_j * f \right\|_{L^p}^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } 1 < q < \infty, \\ \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} \|\varphi_j * f\|_{L^p} & \text{if } q = \infty, \end{cases}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, where \mathcal{S}' is the space of tempered distributions and \mathcal{P} is the space of polynomials.

This definition ensures the following homogeneous property

$$\|f(\lambda \cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \lambda^{s - \frac{3}{p}} \|f(\cdot)\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s}.$$

Throughout this paper, C will denote a generic positive constant which can vary from line to line. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $u_0 \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} u_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Assume that $u(x, t)$ is a Leray–Hopf weak solution of (1.1) on $(0, T)$. If u satisfies*

$$\int_0^T \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0}^2 ds < \infty,$$

then the solution can be extended after $t = T$. In other words, if the solution blows up at $t = T$, then

$$\int_0^T \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0}^2 ds = \infty.$$

Duality relation $(\mathcal{H}^1)^* = BMO$ and the fact that $\omega \cdot \nabla \omega \in \mathcal{H}^1$ due to [5] play an important role of our apriori estimate, where \mathcal{H}^1 denotes the Hardy space. For the proof of our result, we recall the following logarithmic Sobolev inequality in Besov spaces due to Kozono–Ogawa–Taniuchi [20, Theorem 2.1].

Lemma 1.4. *Let $s > \frac{3}{2}$. There exists a constant C such that the estimate*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^0} \leq C \left(1 + \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0} \ln^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \|f\|_{H^s})\right) \quad (1.7)$$

holds for all $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

In order to prove our main result, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.5. *Assume that $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ is a smooth and divergence-free ($\nabla \cdot u = 0$) vector field, let $\tilde{u} = (u_1, u_2, 0)$. Then we have, for the generic constant C*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u dx \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\tilde{u}| |\nabla u| |\Delta u| dx.$$

Proof. Due to the divergence-free condition $\nabla \cdot u = \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i u_i = 0$ and integration by parts, observe first that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u dx &= \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i \partial_i u_j \partial_{kk} u_j dx = - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k (u_i \partial_i u_j) \partial_k u_j dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k u_i \partial_i u_j \partial_k u_j dx - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i \partial_i (\partial_k u_j \partial_k u_j) dx \\ &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k u_i \partial_i u_j \partial_k u_j dx = RHS, \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

where we have used the fact

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i \partial_i (\partial_k u_j \partial_k u_j) dx &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_i u_i (\partial_k u_j \partial_k u_j) dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i u_i \right) \sum_{j,k=1}^3 (\partial_k u_j)^2 dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate *RHS*. When $i = 1, 2$ or $j = 1, 2$, by integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k u_i \partial_k u_j \partial_i u_j dx \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k u_i \partial_k u_j \partial_i u_j dx - \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k u_3 \partial_k u_j \partial_3 u_j dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i \partial_k (\partial_k u_j \partial_i u_j) dx + \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_j \partial_3 (\partial_k u_3 \partial_k u_j) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_1| + |u_2|) |\nabla u| |\Delta u| dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\tilde{u}| |\nabla u| |\Delta u| dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $i = j = 3$, by using the fact $-\partial_3 u_3 = \partial_1 u_1 + \partial_2 u_2$ and integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_i \partial_i u_j \partial_{kk} u_j dx &= - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k (u_i \partial_i u_j) \partial_k u_j dx \\
 &= - \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k (u_3 \partial_3 u_3) \partial_k u_3 dx \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k u_3 (-\partial_3 u_3) \partial_k u_3 dx \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k u_3 (\partial_1 u_1 + \partial_2 u_2) \partial_k u_3 dx \\
 &= - \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_1 \partial_1 \partial_k u_3 \partial_k u_3 dx - \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_2 \partial_k u_3 \partial_2 \partial_k u_3 dx \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|u_1| + |u_2|) |\nabla u| |\Delta u| dx \\
 &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\tilde{u}| |\nabla u| |\Delta u| dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two inequalities with (1.8) yields

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u dx \right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\tilde{u}| |\nabla u| |\Delta u| dx.$$

The proof of Lemma 1.5 is complete. \square

2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Now we are in a position to prove our main result.

Proof. We take L^2 -inner products on (1.1) with u , integrate by parts and use incompressibility to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = 0.$$

This identity allows us to get

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Next, multiplying the first equation in (1.1) by Δu , after integration by parts and taking the divergence free property into account, we have by Lemma 1.5

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u \cdot \nabla u) \cdot \Delta u dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\tilde{u}| |\nabla u| |\Delta u| dx. \quad (2.1)$$

We recall the following property of Hardy spaces and BMOs

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} fgh dx \leq \|fg\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \|h\|_{BMO} \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2} \|h\|_{BMO}, \quad (2.2)$$

for any $\nabla \cdot f = 0$ and $\nabla \times g = 0$. According to above inequality (2.2) and Young's inequality, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\tilde{u}| |\nabla u| |\Delta u| dx &\leq \|\nabla u \cdot \Delta u\|_{\mathcal{H}^1} \|\tilde{u}\|_{BMO} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2} \|\tilde{u}\|_{BMO} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \|\tilde{u}\|_{BMO}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Due to a fact that $\dot{B}_{\infty,2}^0 \subset BMO$, inserting the above estimates into (2.1), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{BMO}^2 \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0}^2 \ln(e + \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{H^3})) \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C(1 + \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0}^2 \ln(e + \|u(t)\|_{H^3})) \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For any $T_0 < t \leq T$, we set

$$z(t) := \sup_{T_0 \leq s \leq t} \|\Lambda^3 u(s)\|_{L^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

By the Gronwall inequality on (2.4) for the interval $[T_0, t]$, one has

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\nabla u(T_0)\|_{L^2}^2 \exp\left(C \int_{T_0}^t (1 + \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0}^2 \ln(e + z(s))) ds\right).$$

Hence, we obtain from the above estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C_0 \exp(C\epsilon(1 + \ln(e + z(t)))) \\ &\leq C_0 \exp(2C\epsilon \ln(e + z(t))) \\ &\leq C_0 (e + z(t))^{2C\epsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

provided that for any small constant $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $T_0 < T$ such that

$$\int_{T_0}^T \|\tilde{u}(s)\|_{\dot{B}_{\infty,\infty}^0}^2 ds < \epsilon \ll 1, \quad (2.6)$$

here C_0 means a constant depending on T_0 .

Now we do the estimate for $z(t)$ defined by (2.5). Taking the operation $\Lambda^3 = (-\Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ on both sides of (1.1), then multiplying them by $\Lambda^3 u$, after integrating over \mathbb{R}^3 , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 \nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Lambda^3 (u \cdot \nabla u) \Lambda^3 u dx. \quad (2.7)$$

Noting that $\nabla \cdot u = 0$ and integrating by parts, we write (2.7) as

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Lambda^3 \nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [\Lambda^3 (u \cdot \nabla u) - u \cdot \Lambda^3 \nabla u] \Lambda^3 u dx = \Pi. \quad (2.8)$$

In what follows, we will use the following inequality due to Kenig, Ponce and Vega [19]:

$$\|\Lambda^\alpha (fg) - f \Lambda^\alpha g\|_{L^p} \leq C \left(\|\Lambda^{\alpha-1} g\|_{L^{q_1}} \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_1}} + \|\Lambda^\alpha f\|_{L^{p_2}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}} \right), \quad (2.9)$$

for $\alpha > 1$, and $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2}$. Hence Π can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi &\leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \|\Lambda^3 u\|_{L^3}^2 \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{13}{2}} \|\Lambda^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\Lambda^4 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{3}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{6} \|\Lambda^4 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{13}{2}} \|\Lambda^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}},\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

where we used (2.9) with $\alpha = 3$, $p = \frac{3}{2}$, $p_1 = q_1 = p_2 = q_2 = 3$, and the following Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\Lambda^3 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}},$$

and

$$\|\Lambda^3 u\|_{L^3} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{6}} \|\Lambda^4 u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}}.$$

If we use the existing estimate (2.6) for $T_0 < t < T$, (2.10) reduces to

$$\Pi \leq \frac{1}{6} \|\Lambda^4 u\|_{L^2}^2 + C_0 C (e + z(t))^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{13}{2} C \epsilon}.$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.7), we easily get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Lambda^3 u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C_0 C (e + z(t))^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{13}{2} C \epsilon}.$$

Gronwall’s inequality implies the boundedness of H^3 -norm of u provided that $\epsilon < \frac{1}{13C}$, which can be achieved by the absolute continuous property of integral (1.5). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. \square

3 Acknowledgment

The authors want to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the referee for their invaluable comments and suggestions. Part of the work was carried out while the first author was a long-term visitor at the University of Catania. The hospitality and support of the University of Catania are graciously acknowledged.

References

- [1] H. BEIRÃO DA VEIGA, A new regularity class for the Navier–Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n , *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B.* **16**(1995), 407–412. [MR1380578](#)
- [2] H. BEIRÃO DA VEIGA, On the smoothness of a class of weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **2**(2000), 315–323. [MR1814220](#); [url](#)
- [3] J. BERGH, J. LÖFSTRÖM, *Interpolation spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1976. [MR0482275](#)
- [4] H. O. BAE, H. J. CHOE, A regularity criterion for the Navier–Stokes equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **32**(2007), 1173–1187. [MR2354489](#); [url](#)
- [5] R. COIFMAN, P.-L. LIONS, Y. MEYER, S. SEMMES, Compensated compactness and Hardy spaces, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **72**(1993), 247–286. [MR1225511](#)

- [6] D. CHAE, H. J. CHOE, Regularity of solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **1999**, No. 5, 1–7. [MR1673067](#)
- [7] B. Q. DONG, Z. ZHANG, The BKM criterion for the 3D Navier–Stokes equations via two velocity components, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **11**(2010), 2415–2421. [MR2661910](#); [url](#)
- [8] L. ISKAURIAZA, G. SERĚGIN, V. SHVERAK, $L^{3,\infty}$ -solutions of Navier–Stokes equations and backward uniqueness, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **58**(2003), No. 2, 211–250. [MR1992563](#); [url](#)
- [9] E. FABES, B. JONES, N. RIVIÈRE, The initial value problem for the Navier–Stokes equations with data in L^p , *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **45**(1972), 222–248. [MR0316915](#)
- [10] J. FAN, H. GAO, Two component regularity for the Navier–Stokes equations, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* **2009**, No. 121, 1–6. [MR2550083](#)
- [11] S. GALA, Regularity criterion on weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **45**(2008), 537–558. [MR2389554](#); [url](#)
- [12] E. HOPF, Über die Anfangswertaufgabe für die hydrodynamischen Grundgleichungen, *Math. Nachr.* **4**(1951), 213–231. [MR0050423](#)
- [13] X. JIA, Y. ZHOU, Regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations involving partial components, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **13**(2012), No. 1, 410–418. [MR2846851](#); [url](#)
- [14] X. JIA, Y. ZHOU, Regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations via partial derivatives, *Kinet. Relat. Models* **5**(2012), No. 3, 505–516. [MR2972449](#); [url](#)
- [15] X. JIA, Y. ZHOU, Remarks on regularity criteria for the Navier–Stokes equations via one velocity component, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **15**(2014), 239–245. [MR3110568](#); [url](#)
- [16] X. JIA, Y. ZHOU, Regularity criteria for the 3D MHD equations via partial derivatives. II., *Kinet. Relat. Models* **7**(2014), No. 2, 291–304. [MR3195076](#); [url](#)
- [17] X. JIA, Y. ZHOU, Ladyzhenskaya–Prodi–Serrin type regularity criteria for the 3D incompressible MHD equations in terms of 3×3 mixture matrices, *Nonlinearity* **28**(2015), No. 9, 3289–3307. [MR3403399](#); [url](#)
- [18] X. JIA, Y. ZHOU, On regularity criteria for the 3D incompressible MHD equations involving one velocity component, *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **18**(2016), 187–206. [MR3461931](#); [url](#)
- [19] T. KATO, G. PONCE, Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier–Stokes equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **41**(1988), 891–907. [MR951744](#); [url](#)
- [20] H. KOZONO, T. OGAWA, Y. TANIUCHI, The critical Sobolev inequalities in Besov spaces and regularity criterion to some semi-linear evolution equations, *Math. Z.* **242**(2002), 251–278. [MR1980623](#); [url](#)
- [21] P. G. LEMARIÉ-RIEUSSET, *Recent developments in the Navier–Stokes problem*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 431, Chapman & Hall, CRC, 2002. [MR1938147](#); [url](#)
- [22] J. LERAY, Sur le mouvement d’un liquide visqueux emplissant l’espace (in French), *Acta. Math.* **63**(1934), 183–248. [MR1555394](#); [url](#)

- [23] T. OHYAMA, Interior regularity of weak solutions to the time-dependent Navier–Stokes equation, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **36**(1960), 273–277. [MR0139856](#)
- [24] G. PRODI, Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier–Stokes (in Italian), *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* (4) **48**(1959), 173–182. [MR0126088](#)
- [25] J. SERRIN, On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **9**(1962), 187–191. [MR0136885](#)
- [26] H. SOHR, *The Navier–Stokes equations. An elementary functional analytic approach*, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001. [MR1928881](#); [url](#)
- [27] E. M. STEIN, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. [MR1232192](#)
- [28] H. TRIEBEL, *Theory of function spaces. II.*, Monographs in Mathematics, Vol. 84, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1992. [MR1163193](#); [url](#)
- [29] Y. ZHOU, A new regularity criterion for the Navier–Stokes equations in terms of the gradient of one velocity component, *Methods Appl. Anal.* **9**(2002), 563–578. [MR2006605](#); [url](#)
- [30] Y. ZHOU, A new regularity criterion for weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **84**(2005), 1496–1514. [MR2181458](#); [url](#)
- [31] Y. ZHOU, M. POKORNY, On the regularity of the solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations via one velocity component, *Nonlinearity* **23**(2010), 1097–1107. [MR2630092](#); [url](#)
- [32] Y. ZHOU, M. POKORNY, On a regularity criterion for the Navier–Stokes equations involving gradient of one velocity component, *J. Math. Phys.* **50**(2009), No. 12, 123514, 11 pp. [MR2582610](#); [url](#)
- [33] X. ZHANG, W. ZHANG, B. Q. DONG, Remarks on the regularity criteria of three dimensional Navier–Stokes equations in margin case, *J. Partial Differ. Equ.* **24**(2011), 70–82. [MR2830085](#)