

## Stability in discrete equations with variable delays

ERNEST YANKSON

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

University of Cape Coast

Ghana.

email: [ernestoyank@yahoo.com](mailto:ernestoyank@yahoo.com)

### Abstract

In this paper we study the stability of the zero solution of difference equations with variable delays. In particular we consider the scalar delay equation

$$\Delta x(n) = -a(n)x(n - \tau(n))$$

and its generalization

$$\Delta x(n) = -\sum_{j=1}^N a_j(n)x(n - \tau_j(n)).$$

Fixed point theorems are used in the analysis.

*Key words:* Fixed point; Contraction mapping; Asymptotic stability

*Mathematics subject classifications:* 34K13, 34C25, 34G20.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the real numbers,  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$  the integers,  $\mathbb{Z}^-$  the negative integers, and  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid x \geq 0\}$ . In this paper we study the asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the scalar delay equation

$$\Delta x(n) = -a(n)x(n - \tau(n)) \tag{1.1}$$

and its generalization

$$\Delta x(n) = -\sum_{j=1}^N a_j(n)x(n - \tau_j(n)). \tag{1.2}$$

where  $a, a_j : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tau, \tau_j : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $n - \tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

For each  $n_0$ , define  $m_j(n_0) = \inf\{s - \tau_j(s) : s \geq n_0\}$ ,  $m(n_0) = \min\{m_j(n_0) : 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ . Note that (1.2) becomes (1.1) for  $N = 1$ .

Recently, in [11], Raffoul studied the stability of the zero solution of (1.1) when

$\tau(n) = r$ . Our objective in this research is to generalize the stability results in [11] to (1.2) for variable  $\tau_j(n)$ 's. For more on stability using fixed point theory we refer to [1],[7],[9],[11],[12] and for basic results on difference calculus we refer to [2] and [8]. We also refer to [3],[4],[5],[6] and [10] for other results on stability for difference equations.

**Remark 1.1** In [7], the author and Islam showed that the zero solution of the equation

$$x(n + 1) = b(n)x(n) + a(n)x(n - \tau(n))$$

is asymptotically stable with one of the assumptions being that

$$\prod_{s=0}^{n-1} b(s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

However, as pointed out in [11], condition (1.3) cannot hold for (1.2) since  $b(n) = 1$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The results we obtain in this paper overcome the requirement of (1.3).

Let  $D(n_0)$  denote the set of bounded sequences  $\psi : [m(n_0), n_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the maximum norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Also, let  $(B, \|\cdot\|)$  be the Banach space of bounded sequences  $\varphi : [m(n_0), \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the maximum norm. Define the inverse of  $n - \tau_i(n)$  by  $g_i(n)$  if it exists and set

$$Q(n) = \sum_{j=1}^N b(g_j(n)),$$

where

$$\sum_{j=1}^N b(g_j(n)) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^N a(g_j(n)).$$

For each  $(n_0, \psi) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times D(n_0)$ , a solution of (1.2) through  $(n_0, \psi)$  is a function  $x : [m(n_0), n_0 + \alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  for some positive constant  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $x(t)$  satisfies (1.2) on  $[n_0, n_0 + \alpha)$  and  $x(n) = \psi(n)$  for  $n \in [m(n_0), n_0]$ . We denote such a solution by  $x(n) = x(n, n_0, \psi)$ . For a fixed  $n_0$ , we define

$$\|\psi\| = \max\{|\psi(n)| : m(n_0) \leq n \leq n_0\}.$$

## 2 Stability

In this section we obtain conditions for the zero solution of (1.2) to be asymptotically stable.

We begin by rewriting (1.2) as

$$\Delta x(n) = - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(g_j(n))x(n) + \Delta_n \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} a_j(g_j(s))x(s) \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\Delta_n$  represents that the difference is with respect to  $n$ . If we let

$$\sum_{j=1}^N b_j(g_j(n)) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j(g_j(n)),$$

then (2.1) is equivalent to

$$x(n+1) = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j(g_j(n))x(n) + \Delta_n \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} a_j(g_j(s))x(s) \quad (2.2)$$

**Lemma 2.1** *Suppose that  $Q(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and the inverse function  $g_j(n)$  of  $n - \tau_j(n)$  exists. Then  $x(n)$  is a solution of (2.2) if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} x(n) = & \left( x(n_0) - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0-\tau_j(n_0)}^{n_0-1} a_j(g_j(s))x(s) \right) \prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} Q(s) + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} a_j(g_j(s))x(s) \\ & - \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left( [1 - Q(s)] \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} a_j(g_j(u))x(u) \right), \quad n \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** By the variation of parameters formula we obtain

$$x(n) = x(n_0) \prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} Q(s) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} Q(s) \Delta_k \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=k-\tau_j(k)}^{k-1} a_j(g_j(s))x(s) \right). \quad (2.3)$$

Using the summation by parts formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{s=k}^{n-1} Q(s) \Delta_k \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=k-\tau_j(k)}^{k-1} a_j(g_j(s))x(s) \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} a_j(g_j(s))x(s) \\
 & \quad - \prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} Q(s) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0-\tau_j(n_0)}^{n_0-1} a_j(g_j(s))x(s) \\
 & \quad - \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left( [1 - Q(s)] \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} a_j(g_j(u))x(u) \right). \quad (2.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (2.5) into (2.3) gives the desired result. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

We next state and prove our main results.

**Theorem 2.1** *Suppose that the inverse function  $g_j(n)$  of  $n - \tau_j(n)$  exists, and assume there exists a constant  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |a_j(g_j(s))| + \\
 & \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left( |[1 - Q(s)]| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |a_j(g_j(u))| \right) \leq \alpha. \quad (2.5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, assume that there exists a positive constant  $M$  such that

$$\left| \prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} Q(s) \right| \leq M.$$

Then the zero solution of (1.2) is stable.

**Proof.** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Choose  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(M + M\alpha)\delta + \alpha\epsilon \leq \epsilon.$$

Let  $\psi \in D(n_0)$  such that  $|\psi(n)| \leq \delta$ . Define

$$\mathbb{S} = \{\varphi \in B : \varphi(n) = \psi(n) \text{ if } n \in [m(n_0), n_0], \|\varphi\| \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Then  $(\mathbb{S}, \|\cdot\|)$  is a complete metric space where,  $\|\cdot\|$  is the maximum norm.

Define the mapping  $P : S \rightarrow S$  by

$$(P\varphi)(n) = \psi(n) \text{ for } n \in [m(n_0), n_0]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (P\varphi)(n) &= \left( \psi(n_0) - \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n_0-\tau_j(n_0)}^{n_0-1} a_j(g_j(s))\psi(s) \right) \prod_{s=n_0}^{n-1} Q(s) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} a_j(g_j(s))\varphi(s) \\ &- \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left( [1 - Q(s)] \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} a_j(g_j(u))\varphi(u) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Clearly,  $P\varphi$  is continuous. We first show that  $P$  maps from  $S$  to  $S$ . By (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} |(P\varphi)(n)| &\leq M\delta + M\alpha\delta + \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} a_j(g_j(s)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left( [1 - Q(s)] \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} a_j(g_j(u))\varphi(u) \right) \right\} \|\varphi\| \\ &\leq (M + M\alpha)\delta + \alpha\epsilon \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P$  maps from  $S$  into itself. We next show that  $P$  is a contraction. Let  $\zeta, \eta \in S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(P\zeta)(t) - (P\eta)(t)| &\leq \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |a_j(g_j(s))| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left( |[1 - Q(s)]| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |a_j(g_j(u))| \right) \right\} \|\zeta - \eta\| \\ &\leq \alpha \|\zeta - \eta\| \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $P$  is a contraction. Thus, by the contraction mapping principle,  $P$  has a unique fixed point in  $S$  which solves (1.2) and for any  $\varphi \in S$ ,  $\|P\varphi\| \leq \epsilon$ . This proves that the zero solution of (1.2) is stable.

**Theorem 2.2** Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 hold. Also assume that

$$\prod_{k=n_0}^{n-1} Q(k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.7)$$

Then the zero solution of (1.2) is asymptotically stable.

**Proof.** We have already proved that the zero solution of (1.2) is stable. Let  $\psi \in D(n_0)$  such that  $|\psi(n)| \leq \delta$  and define

$$S^* = \{\varphi \in B : \varphi(n) = \psi(n) \text{ if } n \in [m(n_0), n_0], \|\varphi\| \leq \epsilon \text{ and } \varphi(n) \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Define  $P : S^* \rightarrow S^*$  by (2.6). From the proof of Theorem 2.2, the map  $P$  is a contraction and for every  $\varphi \in S^*$ ,  $\|(P\varphi)\| \leq \epsilon$ .

We next show that  $(P\varphi)(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The first term on the right side of (2.6) goes to zero because of condition (2.7). It is clear from (2.5) and the fact that  $\varphi(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that  $\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{s=n-\tau_j(n)}^{n-1} |a_j(g_j(s))| |\varphi(s)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we show that the last term on the right side of (2.6) goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\varphi(n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $n - \tau_j(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for each  $\epsilon_1 > 0$ , there exists a  $N_1 > n_0$  such that  $s \geq N_1$  implies  $|\varphi(s - \tau_j(s))| < \epsilon_1$  for  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$ . Thus for  $n \geq N_1$ , the last term,  $I_3$  in (2.6) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &= \left| \sum_{s=n_0}^{n-1} \left( [1 - Q(s)] \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} a_j(g_j(u)) \varphi(u) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{s=n_0}^{N_1-1} \left( |[1 - Q(s)]| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |a_j(g_j(u))| |\varphi(u)| \right| \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} \left( |[1 - Q(s)]| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |a_j(g_j(u))| |\varphi(u)| \right| \right) \\ &\leq \max_{\sigma \geq m(n_0)} |\varphi(\sigma)| \sum_{s=n_0}^{N_1-1} \left( |[1 - Q(s)]| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |a_j(g_j(u))| \right| \right) \\ &\quad + \epsilon_1 \sum_{s=N_1}^{n-1} \left( |[1 - Q(s)]| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |a_j(g_j(u))| \right| \right) \end{aligned}$$

By (2.7), there exists  $N_2 > N_1$  such that  $n \geq N_2$  implies

$$\max_{\sigma \geq m(n_0)} |\varphi(\sigma)| \sum_{s=n_0}^{N_1-1} \left( |[1 - Q(s)]| \prod_{k=s+1}^{n-1} Q(k) \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{u=s-\tau_j(s)}^{s-1} |a_j(g_j(u))| \right| \right) < \epsilon_1.$$

Apply (2.5) to obtain  $|I_3| \leq \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_1\alpha < 2\epsilon_1$ . Thus,  $I_3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $(P\varphi)(n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and so  $P\varphi \in S^*$ .

By the contraction mapping principle,  $P$  has a unique fixed point that solves (1.2) and goes to zero as  $n$  goes to infinity. Therefore, the zero solution of (1.2) is asymptotically stable.

## References

- [1] T. Burton and T. Furumochi, Fixed points and problems in stability theory, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* **10** (2001), 89-116.
- [2] S. Elaydi, *An Introduction to Difference Equations*, Springer, New York, 1999.
- [3] S. Elaydi, Periodicity and stability of linear Volterra difference systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **181** (1994), 483-492.
- [4] S. Elaydi and S. Murakami, Uniform asymptotic stability in linear Volterra difference equations, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **3** (1998), 203-218.
- [5] P. Eloe, M. Islam and Y. Raffoul, Uniform asymptotic stability in nonlinear Volterra discrete systems, Special Issue on Advances in Difference Equations IV, *Computers Math. Appl.* **45** (2003), 1033-1039.
- [6] M. Islam and Y. Raffoul, Exponential stability in nonlinear difference equations, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **9** (2003), 819-825.
- [7] M. Islam and E. Yankson, Boundedness and Stability in nonlinear delay difference equations employing fixed point theory, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ* **26** (2005).
- [8] W. Kelley and A. Peterson, *Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, Harcourt Academic Press, San Diego, 2001.
- [9] Y. Raffoul, Stability in neutral nonlinear differential equations with functional delays using fixed point theory, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **40** (2004), 691-700.
- [10] Y. Raffoul, General theorems for stability and boundedness for nonlinear functional discrete systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **279** (2003), 639-650.
- [11] Y. N. Raffoul, Stability and periodicity in discrete delay equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **324** (2006) 1356-1362.
- [12] B. Zhang, Fixed points and stability in differential equations with variable delays, *Nonlinear Analysis* **63** (2005), 233-242.

(Received November 14, 2008)