# On qualitative properties of a system containing a singular parabolic functional equation

László Simon $^\ast$ 

L. Eötvös University of Budapest, Institute of Mathematics

#### Abstract

We consider a system consisting of a quasilinear parabolic equation and a first order ordinary differential equation containing functional dependence on the unknown functions. The existence and some properties of solutions in  $(0, \infty)$  will be proved.

### Introduction

In this work we consider initial-boundary value problems for the system

$$D_t u - \sum_{i=1}^n D_i[a_i(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x); u, w)] +$$
(0.1)  
$$a_0(t, x, u(t, x), Du(t, x); u, w) = f(t, x),$$
  
$$D_t w = F(t, x; u, w) \text{ in } Q_T = (0, T) \times \Omega, \quad T \in (0, \infty)$$
(0.2)

where the functions

$$a_i: Q_T \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(Q_T) \to \mathbb{R}$$

(with a closed linear subspace  $V_1$  of the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $2 \leq p < \infty$ ) satisfy conditions which are generalizations of the usual conditions for quasilinear parabolic differential equations, considered by using the theory of monotone type operators (see, e.g., [2], [7], [13]) but the equation (0.1) is not uniformly parabolic in a sense, analogous to the linear case. Further,

$$F: Q_T \times L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(Q_T) \to \mathbb{R}$$

satisfies a Lipschitz condition.

<sup>\*</sup>This work was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scienific Research under grant OTKA T 049819. This paper is in final form and no version of it is submitted for publication elsewhere.

In [12] the existence of weak solutions in  $Q_T$  was proved. In this present paper this result will be extended to  $Q_{\infty} = (0, \infty) \times \Omega$  and some properties (boundedness, asymptotic property as  $t \to \infty$ ) of the solutions will be shown.

Such problems arise, e.g., when considering diffusion and transport in porous media with variable porosity, see [5], [8]. In [8] J.D. Logan, M.R. Petersen, T.S. Shores considered and numerically studied a nonlinear system, consisting of a parabolic, an elliptic and an ODE which describes reaction-mineralogy-porosity changes in porous media. System (0.1), (0.2) was motivated by that system. In [3], [4] Á. Besenyei considered a more general system of a parabolic PDE, an elliptic PDE and an ODE.

#### 1 Existence of solutions

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain having the uniform  $C^1$  regularity property (see [1]) and  $p \geq 2$  be a real number. Denote by  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  the usual Sobolev space of real valued functions with the norm

$$|| u || = \left[ \int_{\Omega} (|Du|^p + |u|^p) \right]^{1/p}$$

Let  $V_1 \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  be a closed linear subspace containing  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  (the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ). Denote by  $L^p(0,T;V_1)$  the Banach space of the set of measurable functions  $u: (0,T) \to V_1$  such that  $|| u ||_{V_1}^p$  is integrable and define the norm by

$$\| u \|_{L^{p}(0,T;V_{1})}^{p} = \int_{0}^{T} \| u(t) \|_{V_{1}}^{p} dt$$

The dual space of  $L^p(0,T;V_1)$  is  $L^q(0,T;V_1^*)$  where 1/p + 1/q = 1 and  $V_1^*$  is the dual space of  $V_1$  (see, e.g., [7], [13]).

On functions  $a_i$  we assume:

 $(A_1)$ . The functions  $a_i : Q_T \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfy the Carathéodory conditions for arbitrary fixed  $(u,w) \in L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(\Omega)$  (i = 0, 1, ..., n).

 $(A_2)$ . There exist bounded (nonlinear) operators  $g_1 : L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $k_1 : L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(\Omega) \to L^q(\Omega)$  such that

$$|a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w)| \le g_1(u, w)[|\zeta_0|^{p-1} + |\zeta|^{p-1}] + [k_1(u, w)](x), \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n$$

for a.e.  $(t,x) \in Q_T$ , each  $(\zeta_0,\zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  and  $(u,w) \in L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(\Omega)$ .

 $(A_3). \sum_{i=1}^n [a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) - a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta^*; u, w)](\zeta_i - \zeta_i^*) > 0 \text{ if } \zeta \neq \zeta^*.$   $(A_4). \text{ There exist bounded operators } g_2 : L^p(0, T; V_1) \times L^2(\Omega) \to C[0, T],$   $k_2 : L^p(0, T; V_1) \times L^2(\Omega) \to L^1(Q_T) \text{ such that}$ 

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) \zeta_i \ge [g_2(u, w)](t)[|\zeta_0|^p + |\zeta|^p] - [k_2(u, w)](t, x)$$

for a.e.  $(t, x) \in Q_T$ , all  $(\zeta_0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ,  $(u, w) \in L^p(0, T; V_1) \times L^2(\Omega)$  and (with some positive constants)

$$[g_2(u,w)]t) \ge \operatorname{const}(1+ \| u \|_{L^p(0,t;V_1)})^{-\sigma^*} (1+ \| w \|_{L^2(Q_t)})^{-\beta^*}$$
(1.3)

$$\|k_{2}(u,w)\|_{L^{1}(Q_{t})} \leq \operatorname{const}(1+\|u\|_{L^{p}(0,t;V_{1})})^{\sigma}(1+\|w\|_{L^{2}(Q_{t})})^{\beta}$$
(1.4)

where

$$0 < \sigma^{\star} < p - 1, \quad 0 \le \sigma < p - \sigma^{\star}$$

and  $\beta, \beta^{\star} \geq 0$  satisfy

$$\beta^{\star} + \sigma^{\star}$$

(A<sub>5</sub>). There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $(u_k) \to u$  weakly in  $L^p(0,T;V_1)$ , strongly in  $L^p(0,T;W^{1-\delta,p}(\Omega))$  and  $(w_k) \to w$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  then for i = 0, 1, ..., n

$$a_i(t, x, u_k(t, x), Du_k(t, x); u_k, w_k) - a_i(t, x, u_k(t, x), Du_k(t, x); u, w) \to 0$$

in  $L^q(Q_T)$ .

**Definition** Assuming  $(A_1)$ - $(A_5)$  we define operator  $A : L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(Q_T) \to L^q(0,T;V_1^*)$  by

$$[A(u,w),v] = \int_0^T \langle A(u,w)(t),v(t) \rangle dt = \\ \int_{Q_T} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t,x,u(t,x),Du(t,x);u,w)D_iv + a_0(t,x,u(t,x),Du(t,x);u,w)v \right\} dtdx, \\ (u,w) \in L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(Q_T), \quad v \in L^p(0,T;V_1) \end{cases}$$

where the brackets  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ,  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  mean the dualities in spaces  $V_1^{\star}, V_1$  and  $L^q(0, T; V_1^{\star})$ ,  $L^p(0, T; V_1)$ , respectively.

On function  $F: Q_T \times L^p(0,T;V_1) \times L^2(Q_T) \to \mathbb{R}$  assume

 $(F_1)$ . For each fixed  $(u, w) \in L^p(0, T; V_1) \times L^2(Q_T), F(\cdot; u, w) \in L^2(Q_T).$ 

(F<sub>2</sub>). F satisfies the following (global) Lipschitz condition: for each  $t \in (0,T], (u,w), (u^*, w^*) \in X$  we have

$$\begin{split} \| \ F(\cdot; u, w) - F(\cdot; u^{\star}, w^{\star}) \ \|_{L^{2}(Q_{t})}^{2} \leq \\ K \left[ \| \ u - u^{\star} \ \|_{L^{p}(0, t; W^{1-\delta, p}(\Omega))}^{2} + \| \ w - w^{\star} \ \|_{L^{2}(Q_{t})}^{2} \right] \end{split}$$

In [12] the following theorem was proved.

**Theorem 1.1** Assume  $(A_1) - (A_5)$  and  $(F_1)$ ,  $(F_2)$ . Then for any  $f \in L^q(0,T;V_1^*)$ and  $w_0 \in L^2(Q_T)$  there exists  $u \in L^p(0,T;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^2(Q_T)$  such that  $D_t u \in L^q(0,T;V_1^*)$ ,  $D_t w \in L^2(Q_T)$  and

$$D_t u + A(u, w) = f, \quad u(0) = 0,.$$
 (1.5)

•

$$D_t w = F(t, x; u, w) \text{ for a.e. } (t, x) \in Q_T, \quad w(0) = w_0.$$
 (1.6)

Now assume

 $(F'_1)$  F has the form  $F(t, x; u, w) = \hat{F}(t, x, w(t, x); u, w)$  and  $\hat{F} : Q_T \times \mathbb{R} \times X \to R$  satisfies: for each fixed  $(u, w) \in L^p(0, T; V_1) \times L^2(Q_T), \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \hat{F}(\cdot, \xi; u, w) \in L^2(Q_T).$ 

 $(F'_2)$  There exist constants K,  $K_1(c_1)$  such that if  $|\xi|, |\xi^{\star}| \leq c_1$  then for each  $t \in (0,T], (u,w), (u^{\star}, w^{\star}) \in L^p(0,T; V_1) \times L^2(Q_T \text{ with the property } |w|, |w^{\star}| \leq c_1$  in  $Q_T$ 

$$|F(t, x, \xi; u, w) - F(t, x, \xi^{*}; u^{*}, w^{*})|^{2} \leq K \| u - u^{*} \|_{L^{p}(0,t;W^{1-\delta,p}(\Omega))}^{2} + K_{1}(c_{1}) \left[ \| w - w^{*} \|_{L^{2}(Q_{t})}^{2} + |\xi - \xi^{*}|^{2} \right].$$

 $(F'_3)$  There exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  such that for a.e. (t, x) and all u, w

$$F(t, x, \xi; u, w) \xi \le 0$$
 if  $|\xi| \ge c_0$ 

**Theorem 1.2** Assume  $(A_1) - (A_5)$  and  $(F'_1) - (F'_3)$  such that operators  $g_2, k_2$  in  $(A_4)$  satisfy the following modified inequalities instead of (1.3) and (1.4):

$$[g_2(u,w)](t) \ge const(1+ \| u \|_{L^p(0,t;V_1)})^{-\sigma^*} (1+g_3(\| w \|_{L^2(Q_t)})^{-1}, \\ \| k_2(u,w) \|_{L^1(Q_t)} \le const(1+ \| u \|_{L^p(0,t;V_1)})^{\sigma} (1+ \| w \|_{L^2(Q_t)})$$

where  $g_3, g_4$  are monotone nondecreasing positive functions,  $0 < \sigma^* < p - 1$ ,  $0 \le \sigma .$ 

Then for any  $f \in L^q(0,T;V_1^*)$  and  $w_0 \in L^2(Q_T)$  there exists  $u \in L^p(0,T;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^2(Q_T)$  such that  $D_t u \in L^q(0,T;V_1^*)$ ,  $D_t w \in L^2(Q_T)$  and (1.5), (1.6) hold.

This theorem is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 (see also [12]): set

$$c_0^{\star} = \max\{c_0, \| w_0 \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\}$$

and let  $\chi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  be such that  $\chi(\xi) = \xi$  for  $|\xi| \leq c_0^*$  and define functions  $\tilde{F}$ ,  $\tilde{a}_i$  by

$$\begin{split} F(t,x;u,w) &= F(t,x,\chi(w(t,x));u,\chi(w)),\\ \tilde{a}_i(t,x,\zeta_0,\zeta;u,w) &= a_i(t,x,\zeta_0,\zeta;u,\chi(w)), \end{split}$$

Then by Theorem 1.1 there exists a solution (u, w) of (1.5), (1.6) with  $\tilde{F}$ ,  $\tilde{a}_i$  (instead of F,  $a_i$ , respectively). It is not difficult to show that for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $|w(t, x)| \leq c_0^*$  by  $(F'_3)$  and so (u, w) satisfies the original problem, too.

Now we formulate existence theorems in  $(0, \infty)$ . Denote by  $L^p_{loc}(0, \infty; V_1)$ the set of functions  $v : (0, \infty) \to V_1$  such that for each fixed finite T > 0,  $v|_{(0,T)} \in L^p(0,T;V_1)$  and let  $Q_{\infty} = (0,\infty) \times \Omega$ ,  $L^{\alpha}_{loc}(Q_{\infty})$  the set of functions  $v : Q_{\infty} \to R$  such that  $v|_{Q_T} \in L^{\alpha}(Q_T)$  for any finite T > 0.

**Theorem 1.3** Assume that the functions

$$a_i: Q_\infty \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1) \times L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty \to \mathbb{R})$$

satisfy the assumptions  $(A_1) - (A_5)$  for any finite T and that  $a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w)|_{Q_T}$ depend only on  $u|_{(0,T)}$  and  $w|_{Q_T}$  (Volterra property). Further, the function

$$F: Q_{\infty} \times L^{p}_{loc}(0,\infty;V_{1}) \times L^{2}_{loc}(Q_{\infty} \to \mathbb{R})$$

satisfies  $(F_1)$ ,  $(F_2)$  for arbitrary fixed T and has the Volterra property.

Then for each  $f \in L^q_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1^*)$ ,  $w_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  there exist  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  which satisfy (1.5), (1.6) for any finite T.

The idea of the proof. The Volterra property implies that if u, w are solutions in  $Q_T$  then for arbitrary  $\tilde{T} < T$ , their restriction to  $Q_{\tilde{T}}$  are solutions in  $Q_{\tilde{T}}$ . Therefore, if  $\lim(T_j) = +\infty$ ,  $T_1 < T_2 < ... < T_j < ...$  and  $u_j, w_j$  are solutions in  $Q_{T_j}$  then, by using a 'diagonal process', we can select a subsequence of  $(u_j, w_j)$ which converges in  $Q_T$  for arbitrary finite T to (u, w), a solution of (1.5), (1.6) in  $Q_{\infty}$ . (For more details see, e.g., [10].) Similarly can be proved

**Theorem 1.4** Assume that the functions

$$a_i: Q_\infty \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1) \times L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty \to \mathbb{R})$$

satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 for any finite T and they have the Volterra property; the function

$$\hat{F}: Q_{\infty} \times \mathbb{R} \times L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1) \times L^2_{loc}(Q_{\infty} \to \mathbb{R})$$

satisfies  $(F'_1)$  -  $(F'_3)$  for arbitrary fixed T and has the Volterra property.

Then for each  $f \in L^q_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1^*)$ ,  $w_0 \in L^2(\Omega)$  there exist  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  which satisfy (1.5), (1.6) for any finite T.

#### 2 Boundedness and stabilization

**Theorem 2.1** Assume that the functions  $a_i$ ,  $\hat{F}$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1.4 such that for all  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^\infty_{loc}(Q_\infty)$ ,  $t \in (0,\infty)$  the operators  $g_2, k_2$  in  $(A_4)$  satisfy

$$[g_2(u,w)](t) \ge const \left[ 1 + \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau) \right]^{-\sigma^*/2} \cdot \left[ 1 + g_3(\sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} z(\tau)) \right]^{-1}$$
(2.7)

$$\int_{\Omega} [k_2(u,w)](t,x)dx \le$$
(2.8)

$$const \left[ 1 + \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau)^{\sigma/2} + \varphi(t) \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau)^{(p-\sigma^{\star})/2} \right] \cdot \left[ 1 + g_4(\sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} z(\tau)) \right]$$

where  $0 < \sigma^* < p - 1$ ,  $0 < \sigma < p - \sigma^*$ ,  $\lim_{\infty} \varphi = 0$ ,  $g_3$ ,  $g_4$  are monotone nondecreasing positive functions,

$$y(\tau) = \int_{\Omega} u(\tau, x)^2 dx, \quad z(\tau) = \parallel w(\tau, \cdot) \parallel_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} .$$

Further, the constant  $c_0$  in  $(F'_3)$  is independent of T,  $|| f(t) ||_{V_1^*}$  is bounded for  $t \in (0, \infty)$ .

Then for a solution  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^\infty_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  of (1.5), (1.6) with  $w_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and arbitrary initial condition on u, y and z are bounded in  $(0,\infty)$ .

**Proof** Since the constant  $c_0$  in  $(F'_3)$  is independent of T, it is easy to show that

$$|w(t,x)| \le \max \{c_0, \| w_0 \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}\}$$

for a.e.  $x\in\Omega,$  all t>0 (see the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2, i.e. z is bounded.

Further, applying (1.5) to  $u(t) \in V_1$ , by  $(A_4)$  we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}y'(t) + [g_2(u,w)](t) \parallel u(t) \parallel_{V_1}^p - \int_{\Omega} [k_2(u,w)](t,x)dx \le (2.9)$$

$$\langle f(t), u(t) \rangle \le \| f(t) \|_{V_1^*} \| u(t) \|_{V_1} \le \text{const} \| u(t) \|_{V_1}$$

since  $|| f(t) ||_{V_1^*}$  is bounded. Young's inequality implies

$$\| u(t) \|_{V_1} \leq \varepsilon [g_2(u,w)](t)^{1/p} \| u(t) \|_{V_1} \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon [g_2(u,w)](t)^{1/p}} \leq$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} [g_2(u,w)](t) \| u(t) \|_{V_1}^p + \frac{1}{q\varepsilon^q [g_2(u,w)](t)^{q/p}}.$$

$$(2.10)$$

Choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon < 0$ , one obtains from (2.9), (2.10)

$$\frac{1}{2}y'(t) + \frac{1}{2}[g_2(u,w)](t) \parallel u(t) \parallel_{V_1}^p \le \int_{\Omega} [k_2(u,w)](t,x)dx + \operatorname{const}[g_2(u,w)](t)^{-q/p}$$
(2.11)

Since by Hölder's inequality ,  $p \ge 2$ ,

$$\parallel u(t) \parallel_{V_1}^p \ge \operatorname{const} y(t)^{p/2}.$$

(2.7), (2.8), (2.11) and the boundedness of z imply (with some positive constant  $c^*$ )

$$y'(t) + c^* y(t)^{p/2} \left[ 1 + \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau) \right]^{-\sigma^*/2} \le$$
(2.12)

 $\operatorname{const}\left[1 + \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau)^{\sigma/2} + \varphi(t) \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau)^{(p-\sigma^{\star})/2} + \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau)^{(q/p)(\sigma^{\star}/2)}\right].$ 

Since  $0 \leq \sigma , <math>(q/p)\sigma^* , <math>\lim_{\infty} \varphi = 0$ , it is not difficult to show that (2.12) implies the boundedness of y(t) (see [11]).

Now we formulate an attractivity result.

**Theorem 2.2** Assume that the functions  $a_i$ ,  $\hat{F}$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1 such that for all  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^\infty_{loc}(Q_\infty)$ ,  $t \in (0,\infty)$ 

$$\int_{\Omega} [k_2(u,w)](t,x) dx \le \varphi(t) \left[ \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau)^{(p-\sigma^*)/2} \right] \cdot \left[ 1 + g_4(\sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} z(\tau)) \right].$$
(2.13)

Further,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| f(t) \|_{V_1^*} = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

$$\xi \hat{F}(t, x, \xi; u, w) \le -g(\xi)\xi \tag{2.15}$$

with a strictly monotone increasing continuous function g satisfying g(0) = 0.

Then for a solution  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^\infty_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  of (1.5), (1.6) with  $w_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and arbitrary initial condition on u, for the functions defined in Theorem 2.1 we have

$$\lim_{\infty} y = 0, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\lim_{\infty} z = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

**Proof** By (1.6) and (2.15) for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $t \mapsto w(t,x)$  is continuous and monotone decreasing and for a.e. (t,x)

$$D_t w(t, x) \le -g(w(t, x)) \text{ if } w(t, x) > 0$$

thus for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  satisfying  $w_0(x) > 0$ ,

$$w(t,x) \le w_0(x) - tg(w(t,x))$$
 for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  until  $w(t,x) > 0$ 

(g is monotone increasing,  $t \mapsto w(t, x)$  is monotone decreasing). Consequently,

$$tg(w(t,x)) \le w_0(x)$$
, thus  $w(t,x) \le g^{-1}\left(\frac{\parallel w_0 \parallel_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{t}\right)$ 

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  with  $w_0(x) > 0$  until w(t, x) > 0. In the case  $w_0(x) < 0$  we obtain

$$w(t,x) \ge -g^{-1}\left(\frac{\parallel w_0 \parallel_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}}{t}\right)$$

for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$  until w(t, x) < 0. If for some  $t_1$ ,  $w(t_1, x) = 0$  then w(t, x) = 0 for  $t > t_1$ . Hence we obtain (2.17).

In order to prove (2.16), we use (2.13) and so we obtain (similarly to (2.12))

$$y'(t) + c^{\star} y(t)^{p/2} \left[ 1 + \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau) \right]^{-\sigma^{\star}/2} \leq (2.18)$$
  
const  $\varphi(t) \left[ 1 + \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau)^{(p-\sigma^{\star})/2} \right] + \text{const} \parallel f(t) \parallel_{V_1^{\star}} \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} y(\tau)^{(q/p)(\sigma^{\star}/2)}.$ 

Since y is bounded and  $\lim \varphi_{\infty} = 0$ , by using (2.14) one can derive from (2.18) the equality (2.16) (see, e.g., [9]). **Remark** In the case  $g(\xi) = -\alpha_1 \xi$  (where  $\alpha_1$  is a positive constant)

$$|w(t,x)| \le |w_0(x)| \exp(-\alpha_1 t)$$
 for a.e.  $(t,x)$ 

and the inequality

$$-\alpha_2 \xi^2 \le \xi \hat{F}(t, x, \xi; u, w) \le 0 \quad (\alpha_2 > 0)$$

implies

$$|w(t,x)| \ge |w_0(x)| \exp(-\alpha_2 t)$$
 for a.e.  $(t,x)$ .

Now we formulate a stabilization result.

Theorem 2.3 Assume that conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied such that  $(A_2), (A_4)$  hold for all T > 0 with operators

$$g_1, g_2: L^p_{loc}(0, \infty; V_1) \times L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+,$$
 (2.19)

$$k_1: L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1) \times L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty) \to L^q(\Omega);$$
(2.20)

for arbitrary fixed  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} u(t,x)^2 dx, \quad \| w(t,\cdot) \|_{L^{\infty}}, \quad t \in (0,\infty) \text{ are bounded}$$
(2.21)

and for every  $(\zeta_0, \zeta) \in \mathbb{R}$ , a.a.  $x \in \Omega$ 

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) = a_{i,\infty}(x, \zeta_0, \zeta), \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n$$
(2.22)

exist and are finite where  $a_{i,\infty}$  satisfy the Carathéodory conditions. Further, for every fixed  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1), w \in L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty)$ 

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} [a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) - a_i(t, x, \zeta_0^{\star}, \zeta^{\star}; u, w)](\zeta_i - \zeta_i^{\star}) \ge$$
(2.23)

$$[g_2(u,w)](t)[|\zeta_0 - \zeta_0^*|^p + |\zeta - \zeta^*|^p] - [k_3(u,w)](t,x)$$

with some operator

$$k_3: L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1) \times L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty) \to L^1(Q_\infty)$$
(2.24)

satisfying

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} [k_3(u, w)](t, x) dx = 0$$
(2.25)

for all fixed u, w satisfying (2.21).  $On \hat{F}$  assume

$$\hat{F}(t, x, \xi; u, w)[\xi - w_{\infty}(x)] \le -g(\xi - w_{\infty}(x))[\xi - w_{\infty}(x)]$$
(2.26)

with some  $w_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  where g is a strictly monotone increasing function with g(0) = 0.

Finally, there exists  $f_{\infty} \in V_1^{\star}$  such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| f(t) - f_{\infty} \|_{V_1^*} = 0.$$
(2.27)

Then for a solution  $u \in L^p_{loc}(0,\infty;V_1)$ ,  $w \in L^\infty_{loc}(Q_\infty)$  of (1.5), (1.6) in  $(0,\infty)$  with  $w_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , any initial condition on u we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| u(t) - u_{\infty} \|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} = 0, \qquad (2.28)$$

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{T-a}^{T+a} \| u(t) - u_{\infty} \|_{V_{1}}^{p} dt = 0 \text{ for arbitrary fixed } a > 0, \qquad (2.29)$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| w(t, \cdot) - w_{\infty} \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} = 0, \qquad (2.30)$$

where  $u_{\infty} \in V_1$  is the unique solution to

$$A_{\infty}(u_{\infty}) = f_{\infty} \tag{2.31}$$

and the operator  $A_{\infty}: V_1 \to V_1^{\star}$  is defined by

$$\langle A_{\infty}(z), v \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} a_{i,\infty}(x, z(x), Dz(x)) D_{i}v(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} a_{0,\infty}(x, z(x), Dz(x))v(x) dx, \quad z, v \in V_{1}.$$

**Proof** Equality (2.30) follows from (2.26) similarly as it was proved in Theorem 2.2. By Theorem 2.1 (2.21) holds. Applying  $(A_2)$  (by using (2.19), (2.20)) to  $u(t) = \tilde{u}, w(t) = \tilde{w}$  where  $\tilde{u} \in V_1, \tilde{w} \in L^2(\Omega)$ , we obtain from (2.22)

$$|a_{i,\infty}(x,\zeta_0,\zeta)| \le c_1(|\zeta_0|^{p-1} + |\zeta|^{p-1}) + \tilde{k}_1(x)$$

with some constant  $c_1$  and  $\tilde{k}_1 \in L^q(\Omega)$ . Similarly, Vitali's theorem, (2.19), (2.20), (2.22) - (2.25) imply

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega} [a_{i,\infty}(x, z(x), Dz(x)) - a_{i,\infty}(x, z^{\star}(x), Dz^{\star}(x))] [D_{i}z(x) - D_{i}z^{\star}(x)] dx + \int_{\Omega} [a_{0,\infty}(x, z(x), Dz(x)) - a_{0,\infty}(x, z^{\star}(x), Dz^{\star}(x))] [z(x) - z^{\star}(x)] dx \ge c_{2} \int_{\Omega} [|z(x) - z^{\star}(x)|^{p} + |Dz(x) - Dz^{\star}(x)|^{p}] dx \text{ for any } z, z^{\star} \in V_{1}.$$

Consequently,  $A_{\infty} : V_1 \to V_1^{\star}$  is bounded, hemicontinuous, strictly monotone and coercive which implies the existence of a unique solution of (2.31) (see, e.g., [13]).

If u, w are solutions of (1.5), (1.6) in  $(0, \infty)$  then by (2.31) we obtain

$$\langle D_t[u(t) - u_{\infty}], u(t) - u_{\infty} \rangle + \langle A(u, w)(t) - A_{\infty}(u_{\infty}), u(t) - u_{\infty} \rangle = (2.32)$$

$$\langle f(t) - f_{\infty}, u(t) - u_{\infty} \rangle.$$

It is well known (see [13]) that

$$y(t) = \langle u(t) - u_{\infty}, u(t) - u_{\infty} \rangle = \int_{\Omega} [u(t) - u_{\infty}]^2 dx$$

is absolutely continuous and the first term in (2.32) equals to 1/2y'(t) for a.e. t. Further, for the second term in (2.32) we have by (2.23) and Young's inequality

$$\langle [A(u,w)](t) - A_{\infty}(u_{\infty}), u(t) - u_{\infty} \rangle =$$

$$\langle [A(u,w)](t) - [A_{u,w}(u_{\infty})](t), u(t) - u_{\infty} \rangle +$$

$$\langle [A_{u,w}(u_{\infty})](t) - A_{\infty}(u_{\infty}), u(t) - u_{\infty} \rangle \geq$$

$$g_{2}(u,w) \parallel u(t) - u_{\infty} \parallel_{V_{1}}^{p} - \int_{\Omega} [k_{3}(u,w)](t,x) dx -$$

$$\frac{\varepsilon^{p}}{p} \parallel u(t) - u_{\infty} \parallel_{V_{1}}^{p} - \frac{1}{q\varepsilon^{q}} \parallel [A_{u,w}(u_{\infty})](t) - A_{\infty}(u_{\infty}) \parallel_{V_{1}}^{q}$$
reary  $\varepsilon > 0$  where we used the notation

with arbitrary  $\varepsilon>0$  where we used the notation

$$\langle [A_{u,w}(u_{\infty})](t), z \rangle =$$
$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(t, x, u_{\infty}(x), Du_{\infty}(x); u, w) D_i z + a_0(t, x, u_{\infty}(x), Du_{\infty}(x); u, w) z \right\}.$$

By Vitali's theorem we obtain from  $(A_2)$ , (2.19), (2.20), (2.22)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \| [A_{u,w}(u_{\infty})](t) - A_{\infty}(u_{\infty}) \|_{V_{1}^{*}} = 0.$$
(2.34)

Finally, by Young's inequality, for the right hand side of (2.32) we have

$$|\langle f(t) - f_{\infty}, u(t) - u_{\infty} \rangle| \le \frac{\varepsilon^p}{p} \| u(t) - u_{\infty} \|_{V_1}^p + \frac{1}{q\varepsilon^q} \| f(t) - f_{\infty} \|_{V_1^{\star}}^q .$$
(2.35)

Thus, choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon>0,$  (2.21), (2.25), (2.27), (2.32) - (2.35) yield

$$y'(t) + c^* \parallel u(t) - u_{\infty} \parallel_{V_1}^p \le \psi(t), \qquad (2.36)$$

thus by Hölder's inequality

$$y'(t) + c^{\star \star} y(t)^{p/2} \le \psi(t),$$
 (2.37)

where  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \psi(t) = 0$  and  $c^*, c^{**}$  are positive constants. Similarly to (2.16), one obtains (2.28) from (2.37). Combining (2.28) and (2.36) one obtains (2.29).

**Examples** Now we consider examples satisfying the conditions of Theorems 1.4 - 2.3. (Examples for Theorems 1.1, 1.3 see in [12].) Let  $a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w)$  have the form

$$a_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) = b_1([H_1(u)])b_2([H_2(w)])\alpha_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta), \quad i = 1, ..., n, \quad (2.38)$$

$$a_0(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta; u, w) = b_1([H_1(u)])b_2([H_2(w)])\alpha_0(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta) + 
\hat{b}_0([F_0(u)](t, x))\tilde{b}_0(G_0(w))\hat{\alpha}_0(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta)$$
(2.39)

where  $\alpha_i$  satisfy the usual conditions: they are Carathéodory functions;

$$|\alpha_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta)| \le c_1(|\zeta_0|^{p-1} + |\zeta|^{p-1}) + k_1(x)$$

with some constant  $c_1, k_1 \in L^q(\Omega), i = 0, 1, ..., n;$ 

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} [\alpha_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta) - \alpha_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta^*)](\zeta_i - \zeta_i^*) > 0 \text{ if } \zeta \neq \zeta^*;$$
$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta)\zeta_i \ge c_2(|\zeta_0|^p + |\zeta|^p)$$

with some constant  $c_2 > 0$ . E.g. functions

$$\alpha_i = \zeta_i |\zeta|^{p-2}, \quad i = 1, ..., n, \quad \alpha_0 = \zeta_0 |\zeta_0|^{p-2}$$

satisfy the above conditions. The function  $\hat{\alpha}_0$  satisfies the Carathéodory condition and

$$|\hat{\alpha}_0(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta)| \le c_1(|\zeta_0|^{\hat{\rho}} + |\zeta|^{\hat{\rho}}), \quad 0 \le \hat{\rho} 
(2.40)$$

Further,  $b_1, b_2, \hat{b}_0, \tilde{b}_0$  are continuous functions, satisfying (with some positive constants)

$$b_1(\Theta) \ge \frac{\text{const}}{1+|\Theta|^{\sigma^{\star}}}, \quad |\hat{b}_0(\Theta)| \le \text{const}|\Theta|^{p-1-\rho^{\star}}$$

with  $0 < \sigma^{\star} < p - 1, \, \sigma^{\star} + \hat{\rho} < \rho^{\star} < p - 1,$ 

$$b_2(\Theta) \ge \frac{\text{const}}{1+g_3(\Theta)}, \quad |\tilde{b}_0(\Theta)| \le \text{const}[1+g_4(\Theta)].$$

 $(g_3, g_4 \text{ are monotone nondecreasing positive functions.})$ Finally,

$$H_1: L^p_{loc}(0,\infty; W^{1-\delta,p}(\Omega))) \to C(\overline{Q_\infty}), \quad H_2: L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty) \to C(\overline{Q_\infty}),$$

$$F_0: L^p_{loc}(0,\infty; W^{1-\delta,p}(\Omega))) \to L^p_{loc}(Q_\infty), \quad G_0: L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty) \to L^2_{loc}(Q_\infty)$$

are linear operators of Volterra type such that for any fixed finite T>0 their restrictions

$$H_1: L^p(0,T; W^{1-\delta,p}(\Omega))) \to C(\overline{Q_T}), \quad H_2: L^2(Q_T) \to C(\overline{Q_T}),$$

$$F_0: L^p(0,T; W^{1-\delta,p}(\Omega))) \to L^p(Q_T), \quad G_0: L^2(Q_T) \to L^2(Q_T)$$

are uniformly bounded with respect to  $T \in (0, \infty)$ .  $[H_1(u)](t, x)$  may have e.g. one of the forms:

$$\int_{Q_t} d(t, x, \tau, \xi) u(\tau, \xi) d\tau d\xi \text{ where } \sup_{(t, x) \in Q_T} \int_{Q_T} |d(t, x, \tau, \xi)|^q d\tau d\xi < \infty,$$
$$\int_{\Gamma_t} d(t, x, \tau, \xi) v(\tau, \xi) d\tau d\sigma_\xi \text{ where } \sup_{(t, x) \in Q_T} \int_{\Gamma_T} |d(t, x, \tau, \xi)|^q d\tau d\sigma_\xi < \infty.$$

Examples for  $F_0, G_0$  see in [11].

By using Young's inequality, one can prove that the assumptions on  $a_i$  in Theorem 1.4 are fulfilled for the above example (see [11]). The assumptions on  $a_i$  in Theorem 2.1 are satisfied for the above example if

$$|| H_1(u) ||_{C(\overline{Q_T})} \leq \operatorname{const} \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u(\tau, x)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2},$$
 (2.41)

$$|| F_0(u) ||_{L^p(Q_T)} \le \operatorname{const} \sup_{\tau \in [0,T]} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} u(\tau, x)^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}$$
 (2.42)

with constants not depending on T. (2.41) is satisfied if e.g.

$$[H_1(u)](t,x) = \int_{Q_t} d(t,x,\tau,\xi) u(\tau,\xi) d\tau d\xi \text{ where}$$
$$\sup_{(t,x)\in Q_\infty} \int_0^\infty \left[ \int_{\Omega} |d(t,x,\tau,\xi)|^2 d\xi \right]^{1/2} d\tau < \infty.$$

The assumptions on  $a_i$  in Theorem 2.2 are satisfied if (2.41), (2.42) hold and (instead of (2.40))

$$|\hat{\alpha}_0(t, x, \zeta_0, \zeta)| \le \varphi_1(t)(|\zeta_0|^{\hat{\rho}} + |\zeta|^{\hat{\rho}}), \quad 0 \le \hat{\rho} 
(2.43)$$

where  $\lim_{\infty} \varphi_1 = 0$ .

Finally, the following modification of functions (2.38), (2.39) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.3: for simplicity e.g.

$$\alpha_i = \zeta_i |\zeta|^{p-2}$$
 for  $i = 1, ..., n$ ,  $\alpha_0 = \zeta_0 |\zeta_0|^{p-2}$ ,

(2.43) is valid and instead of  $b_1(H_1(u)), b_2(H_2(w))$  we have  $b_1(t, H_1(u)), b_2(t, H_2(w))$ , respectively, where (with some positive constants)

$$b_1(t,\Theta) = \frac{\text{const}}{1+\psi_1(t)|\Theta|^{\sigma^*}}, \quad b_2(t,\Theta) = \frac{\text{const}}{1+\psi_2(t)g_3(\Theta)}, \quad \lim_{\infty} \psi_j = 0.$$

## References

- R.A Adams, Sobolev spaces, Academic Press, New York San Francisco - London, 1975.
- [2] J. Berkovits, V. Mustonen, Topological degreee for perturbations of linear maximal monotone mappings and applications to a class of parabolic problems, *Rend. Mat. Ser. VII*, **12**, Roma (1992), 597-621.
- [3] A. Besenyei, Existence of solutions of a nonlinear system modelling fluid flow in porous media, *Electronic J. of Diff. Equatsn.* 153 (2006), 1-19.
- [4] Á. Besenyei, Stabilization of solutions to a nonlinear system modelling fluid flow in porous media, submitted.
- [5] S. Cinca, Diffusion und Transport in porösen Medien bei veränderlichen Porosität. Diplomawork, Univ. Heidelberg, 2000.
- [6] W. Jäger, L. Simon, On a system of quasilinear parabolic functional differential equations, Acta Math. Hung. 112, (2006), 39-55.
- [7] J.L. Lions, Quelques métodes de résolution des problémes aux limites non linéaires. Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [8] J.D. Logan, M.R. Petersen, T.S. Shores, Numerical study of reaction-mineralogy-porosity changes in porous media. *Appl. Math. Comput.* 127, (2002), 149-164.
- [9] L. Simon, On different types of nonlinear parabolic functional differential equations, *Pure Math. Appl.* 9 (1998), 181-192.
- [10] L. Simon, On nonlinear hyperbolic functional differential equations, Math. Nachr. 217 (2000), 175-186.
- [11] L. Simon, W Jäger, On non-uniformly parabolic functional differential equations. *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, to appear.
- [12] L. Simon, On a system with a singular parabolic equation, Folia FSN Univ. Masarykianae Brunensis, Math., to appear.
- [13] E. Zeidler, Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications II A and II B. Springer, 1990.

(Received September 1, 2007)