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#### Abstract

We deal with the variational study of some type of nonlinear inhomogeneous elliptic problems arising in models of solar flares on the halfplane $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we study a boundary value problem of type

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-\Delta u+c(x) u=\lambda m(y) f(u) \quad \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}  \tag{1.1}\\
u(z, 0)=h(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x=(z, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+} \equiv \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ with $\mathbb{R}_{+}=\{y \in \mathbb{R}: y>0\}$ and $n \geq 2, f:]-\infty,+\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function satisfying:
(f-1) There exists $s_{0}>0$ such that $f(s)>0$ for all $\left.s \in\right] 0, s_{0}[$.
(f-2) $f(s)=0$ for $s \leq 0$ o $s \geq s_{0}$.
(f-3) $f(s) \leq a s^{\sigma}, a$ is a positive constant and $1<\sigma<\frac{n+2}{n-2}$ if $n>2$ or $\sigma>1$ if $n=2$.
(f-4) There exists $l>0$ such that $\left|f\left(s_{1}\right)-f\left(s_{2}\right)\right| \leq l\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right|$, for all $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
$h$ is a non-negative bounded smooth function, $h \neq 0, \min h<s_{0}, c \geq 0$, $c \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \bigcap C(\bar{\Omega})$ and mes $\{x \in \Omega: c(x)=0\}=0$.
The problem (1.1) is a generalization of an astrophysical gravity model of solar flares in the half plane $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}$, given in [1], namely:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
-\Delta u & =\lambda e^{-\beta y} f(u)  \tag{1.2}\\
u(x, 0) & =h(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

besides the above mentioned conditions for $f, h$ and $\beta>0$. See [1], [8] and [6] for a detailed description and related problems.
By this, we study the problem (1.1) with $m: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$a $C^{1}$ function such that

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} y m(y) d y<+\infty
$$

more general than $e^{-\beta y}$.
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We shall follow the ideas of F. Dobarro and E. Lami Dozo in [8]. The authors prove the existence of solutions of (1.1) in the special case $c(x)=0$. In fact, the result presented here follows from the one obtained by the authors.

First of all we note that problem (1.1) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\Delta \omega+c(x) \omega=\lambda m(y) f(\omega+\tau) & \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}  \tag{1.3}\\
\omega(z, 0)=0 & \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\omega=u-\tau$ and $\tau$ is solution of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
-\Delta \tau+c(x) \tau= & 0 \quad \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}  \tag{1.4}\\
\tau(z, 0)=h(z) & \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We will study (1.3) instead of (1.1).
The problem (1.1), or equivalently (1.3), is interesting not only on whole $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, but also in an arbitrary big but finite domain in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, for example for semidisks $D_{R}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}:|x|^{2}+y^{2}<R^{2}, y>0\right\}$, with R big enough.

Motivated by this observation in section 2, we will study the following approximate problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\Delta \omega+c(x) \omega=\lambda m(y) f(\omega+\tau) & D_{R}  \tag{1.5}\\
\omega=0 & \partial D_{R}
\end{array}\right.
$$

whose solutions are related to those of (1.3).
Using variational techniques we will prove the existence of an interval $\Lambda \subset R_{+}$such that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there exists at least three positive solutions of (1.5), with R large enough.

Finally in section 3 we prove the existence of solutions of (1.3) as limit of a special family of solutions of (1.5) obtained in theorem 5 and its uniqueness to $\lambda$ small enough.

## 2. Problem in $D_{R}$

Letting $\Omega$ be either $D_{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, we denote by $L_{m}^{p}(\Omega)$ the usual weighted $L^{p}$ space on $\Omega$ for a suitable weight $m$ and $1 \leq p<\infty$, and by $V_{m}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, $V_{c}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in the norm

$$
\|u\|_{V_{m}^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{2}=\int_{\Omega} u^{2}(z, y) m(y) d z d y+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d z d y
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}(\Omega)}^{2}=\int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x) c(x) d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

Let $m: R_{+} \rightarrow R_{+}$be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<M \equiv \int_{0}^{+\infty} y m(y) d y<+\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is easy to prove for all functions $u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ the following inequality holds, see [8].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} u^{2}(x, y) m(y) d x d y \leq M \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x d y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $V_{m}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right) \sim H_{0}^{1}\left(D_{R}\right) \sim V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$ and $V_{m}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \sim D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ where $H_{0}^{1}\left(D_{R}\right)$ is the usual Sobolev space with the norm $\|\nabla(.)\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{R}\right)}$ and $D^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ is the completion of $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ for the norm $\|\nabla(.)\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}$.
On the other hand if $R^{\prime} \geq R$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right) \subset V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right) \subset V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \subset V_{m}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists many results about immersion of weighted Sobolev spaces into weighted Lebesgue spaces. Here we will take into account one suitable result for our problem.

Let $m: R_{+} \rightarrow R_{+}$be a bounded $C^{1}$ function such that there exists $k>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|(\log m)^{\prime}\right| \leq k \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the identity map is an immersion from $V_{m}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ into $L_{m^{\frac{p}{2}}}^{p}(\Omega)$ for

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1<p<\frac{2 n}{n-2} & \text { if } n \geq 3 \\
1<p & \text { if } \mathrm{n}=2
\end{array}
$$

More precisely, there exists a constant $K=K(k, \sup m)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p}{ }^{\frac{p}{2}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{s} K\|u\|_{V_{m}^{1,2}(\Omega)} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{s}$ is the usual Sobolev immersion constant. The immersion is compact if $\Omega=D_{R}$.

Now we will begin to study (1.3) by variational methods. For this purpose, for all $\lambda \geq 0$ and for all non negative function $\tau$ such that $\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}}<+\infty$ we associate the functional $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}: V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \rightarrow R$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left\{|\nabla u|^{2}+c(x) u^{2}\right\}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m F(u+\tau) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s, m \in C^{1}\left(R_{+}\right)$and $\widehat{m} \equiv m^{\frac{2}{\sigma+1}}$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.4).
$\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}$ is a $C^{1}$ functional, so if $u \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ is a critical point of $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}$ then $u$ is a weak, and by regularity a classical solution of (1.3).

Remark 1. i. If we consider $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}: V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right) \rightarrow R$,

$$
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{R}}\left\{|\nabla u|^{2}+c(x) u^{2}\right\}-\lambda \int_{D_{R}} m F(u+\tau)
$$

its critical points are weak, and by regularity, strong solutions of (1.5). Furthermore if $R \leq R^{\prime} \leq+\infty$, then for all $u \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$

$$
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R^{\prime}}(u) \leq \Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u) \leq \Psi_{\lambda, 0, R}(u)
$$

more precisely

$$
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R^{\prime}}(u)=\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)-\lambda \int_{D_{R^{\prime}}-D_{R}} m F(\tau) \leq \Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)
$$

Here $D_{R^{\prime}}$ with $R^{\prime}=+\infty$ means $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.
ii. Since $f$ is bounded, $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}$ is coercive, bounded from below and verifies Palais-Smale condition for all $\lambda$ non negative.

Lemma 2. For each $R>0$ denote $\theta_{R}: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}$ the map

$$
\theta_{R}(z, y) \equiv\left(\frac{z}{R}, y\right)
$$

and $\Theta_{R}$ the scaling $\eta \rightarrow \eta_{R} \equiv \eta o \theta_{R}$. Then
i. $\forall r>0, \Theta_{R}\left(V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{r}\right)\right) \subset V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\theta_{R}^{-1} D_{r}\right)$ and if $R \geq 1, V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\theta_{R}^{-1} D_{r}\right) \subset$ $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R r}\right)$.
ii. If $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, is non identically 0 , then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla \eta_{R}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad R \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii. Let $f$ be defined before and $m$ such that verifies (2.1). Then there exists $0<\underline{\lambda}<\infty$ such that if $\lambda>\underline{\lambda}, \eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right), \eta \geq 0$, non identically 0 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\lambda} \leq Q(\eta) \equiv \frac{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left\{|\nabla \eta|^{2}+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta^{2}\right\}}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m(y) F(\eta)}<\lambda \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $r_{n}>0: \eta_{R} \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right), \forall R^{\prime}, R: R^{\prime} \geq R r_{n} \geq r_{n}$ and for all non negative function $\tau$.
a. $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R^{\prime}}\left(\eta_{R}\right)<0, \forall R^{\prime}, R: R^{\prime} \geq R r_{n} \geq r_{n}$.
b. $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R r_{n}}\left(\eta_{R}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $R \rightarrow+\infty$

Proof.- This proof follows almost directly from lemma 6 in [8]. However, by completeness we present all the proof.
i. It is immediate from the definition of $\Theta_{R}$.
ii. We observe

$$
\left|\nabla \eta_{R}\right|^{2}(z, y)=\frac{1}{R^{2}}|\nabla \eta|_{\theta_{R}}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right)\left|\partial_{y} \eta\right|_{\theta_{R}(z, y)}^{2}
$$

thus, changing variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla \eta_{R}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}=R^{n-1}\left[\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \eta|^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\partial_{y} \eta\right|^{2}\right] \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, since $\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\partial_{y} \eta\right|^{2}>0$, (2.9) implies (2.7).
iii. Set
(2.10) $\quad \underline{\lambda} \equiv \inf \left\{Q(\eta): \eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right), \eta \geq 0, \eta \neq 0\right\}$
by ( $\mathrm{f}-3$ ) and since F is bounded

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{b}{2} \equiv \sup _{s>0} \frac{F(s)}{s^{2}}<+\infty \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, by (2.2) and since $c(x) \geq 0$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m(y) F(\eta) \leq \frac{b M}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \eta|^{2}+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta^{2}
$$

hence

$$
0<\frac{1}{b M} \leq \underline{\lambda}<\infty
$$

Let $\lambda>Q(\eta)$ be, since $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, there exists $r_{n}>0$ such that supp $\eta \subset D_{R r_{n}}$, for all $R \geq 1$. Then by i. and (2.3) $\eta_{R} \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\theta_{R}^{-1} D_{r_{n}}\right) \subset$ $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R r_{n}}\right) \subset V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right)$ for all $R^{\prime} \geq R r_{n} \geq r_{n}$.
For simplicity from now on we call $R r_{n} \equiv R_{n}$, where $R \geq 1$.
Then, by remark 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R^{\prime}}\left(\eta_{R}\right) \leq \Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R_{n}}\left(\eta_{R}\right) \leq \Psi_{\lambda, 0, R_{n}}\left(\eta_{R}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, if we define the function $\xi: R_{+} \rightarrow R$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi(R) \equiv \frac{1}{R^{n-1}}\left\|\nabla \eta_{R}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2} & =\frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \eta|^{2}+\left(1-\frac{1}{R^{2}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\partial_{y} \eta\right|^{2} \\
& =\left[\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla_{z} \eta\right|^{2}}{R^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\partial_{y} \eta\right|^{2}}+1\right] \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\partial_{y} \eta\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

is non increasing. So applying $\xi(R) \leq \xi(1)$ to (2.9)

$$
\int_{D_{R_{n}}}\left|\nabla \eta_{R}\right|^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla \eta_{R}\right|^{2} \leq R^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \eta|^{2}
$$

furthermore

$$
\int_{D_{R_{n}}} c(x) \eta_{R}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} c(x) \eta_{R}^{2} \leq R^{n-1}\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \eta^{2}
$$

and

$$
\int_{D_{R_{n}}} m(y) F\left(\eta_{R}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m(y) F\left(\eta_{R}\right)=R^{n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m(y) F(\eta)
$$

so

$$
\Psi_{\lambda, 0, R_{n}} \leq R^{n-1}\left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \eta|^{2}+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta^{2}-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m(y) F(\eta)\right]
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda, 0, R_{n}} \leq \frac{R^{n-1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}|\nabla \eta|^{2}+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}} \eta^{2}\left(1-\frac{\lambda}{Q(\eta)}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus, from (2.12) and (2.13) we obtain immediately a and b.

Remark 3. i. Let $m: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a bounded $C^{1}$ function and let $\widehat{m} \equiv m^{\frac{2}{\sigma+1}}$. It is easy to prove that $m$ verifies (2.4) if and only if $\widehat{m}$ does it. Furthermore, given a positive constant $k,\left|(\log m)^{\prime}\right| \leq k$ if and only if $\left|(\log \widehat{m})^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\sigma+1} k$.
ii. If there exists a non negative value $m_{1} \geq 0$ such that $\{m>1\} \subset$ $\left[0, m_{1}\right]$ and

$$
0<\widehat{M} \equiv \int_{0}^{+\infty} y \widehat{m}(y) d y<+\infty
$$

then

$$
0<M \equiv \int_{0}^{+\infty} y m(y) d y<+\infty
$$

Indeed, since $\widehat{m}>1$ if and only if $m>1$ and $0<\frac{2}{\sigma+1}<1$

$$
M=\int_{\widehat{m}>1} y m(y) d y+\int_{\widehat{m} \leq 1} y m(y) d y \leq\left(\sup m \frac{m_{1}}{2}\right)+\widehat{M}<+\infty
$$

Lemma 4. There exists a positive constant $C=C(a, \sigma, k, \sup m, \widehat{M})$ such that for all $\lambda<\bar{\lambda}\left(\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right)$ and for all $u:\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}=$ $\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}, \Psi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$ where $\bar{\lambda}\left(\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right) \equiv C\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{1-\sigma}$. Moreover $\bar{\lambda}\left(\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)} \rightarrow 0$

Proof.- Let $u \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ be, using ( $\mathrm{f}-3$ ) and Minkowsky inequality with respect to measure $m(y) d x d y$ and (2.2), (2.5) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
0 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m F(u+\tau) & =\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m \int_{0}^{u+\tau} f(t) d t \leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m(u+\tau)^{\sigma+1} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1}\left(\|u\|_{L^{\sigma+1}}+\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}}\right)^{\sigma+1} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1}\left(C_{s} K(1+\widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}+\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}}\right)^{\sigma+1} \\
& \leq \frac{a}{\sigma+1}\left(C_{s} K(1+\widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}+\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}}\right)^{\sigma+1} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

then
$\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}-\lambda \frac{a}{\sigma+1}\left(C_{s} K(1+\widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}+\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}}\right)^{\sigma+1}$
then, if we define

$$
C \equiv \frac{\sigma+1}{2 a}\left(C_{s} K(k, \sup m)(1+\widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}}+1\right)^{-\sigma-1}
$$

then $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}(u)>0$ for all $\lambda<\bar{\lambda} \equiv C\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{1-\sigma}$, and since $\sigma>1$. The lemma is proved.

Theorem 5. Let us assume (f-1-2-3-4), let m: $\mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a $C^{1}$ function such that $m$ and $\widehat{m} \equiv m^{\frac{2}{\sigma+1}}$ verify (2.1) and (2.4), and let $\tau: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a $C^{1}$ function, non identically 0 . So there exists positive constants $C=C(a, \sigma, k, \sup m, \widehat{M})$ and $\underline{\lambda}$ such that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}<\left(\frac{c}{\underline{\lambda}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma-1}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\forall \lambda: \underline{\lambda}<\lambda<\bar{\lambda} \equiv C\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{1-\sigma}
$$

there exists a positive $R_{0}=R_{0}(\lambda)$ such that for all $R \geq R_{0}$, (1.5) has at least three strictly positive solutions.
Proof.- Let $C=C(a, \sigma, k, \sup m, \widehat{M})$ and $\underline{\lambda}$ be the positive constant defined in lemmas 4 and 2 respectively . Since $\tau$ verifies (2.15), by lemma 4 and remark 1 , for all $\lambda \in], \bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}$ and for all $R \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)>0 \quad \forall u \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right):\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)}=\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, fixed $\lambda \in] \underline{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda}\left[, \eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)\right.$, and letting $r_{n}>0$, the radius of any semidisk $D_{r_{n}}$ such that supp $\eta \subset D_{r_{n}}$, by lemma 2 there EJQTDE, 2005 No. 19, p. 7
exists $R_{1} \geq 1$ such that for all $R \geq R_{1} r_{n}$, we have $\eta_{R_{1}} \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$, furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}<\left\|\nabla \eta_{R_{1}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{R}\right)}=\left\|\nabla \eta_{R_{1}}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}<\left\|\eta_{R_{1}}\right\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(\eta_{R_{1}}\right)<\mu<0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \in R$ defined as

$$
\mu \equiv \min _{0 \leq t \leq\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}} \frac{1}{2} t^{2}-\lambda \frac{a}{\sigma+1}\left(C_{s} K(1+\widehat{M})^{\frac{1}{2}} t+\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}}\right)^{\sigma+1}
$$

Let $R \geq R_{1}$, we divide the proof in three steps.

1. Local minimum.- Let

$$
\nu_{R} \equiv \inf _{B_{\Gamma}} \Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)
$$

where $B_{\Gamma}=\left\{u \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right):\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)}<\Gamma \equiv\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right\}$.
Since $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(0)<0, \nu_{R}<0$. Furthermore $\mu \leq \nu_{R}<0$, by (2.14) and remark 1. Therefore $\inf _{\partial B_{\Gamma}} \Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}>\nu_{R}$.
Now we will prove that $\nu_{R}$ is achieved in $B_{\Gamma}$. Using a modification in the proof of proposition 5 and corollaries 6 and 7 in [3], we can obtain a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ in $B_{\Gamma}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow \nu_{R} \\
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

since $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}$ verifies Palais-Smale condition, there exists a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{k}$ such that $u_{n_{k}} \rightarrow u_{1, R}$ in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$ and $u_{1, R} \neq 0$ because 0 it is not a critical point of $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}$.
2. Absolute minimum.- Let

$$
u_{R} \equiv \inf _{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)} \Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}
$$

Then $u_{R}<\mu$, by (2.17). Now using similar arguments to local minimum, but without any modification, we have that $u_{R}$ is achieved in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$ at a function $u_{2, R}$.
3.Mountain pass.- Let

$$
c_{R} \equiv \inf _{\delta \in \Lambda_{R}} \sup _{u \in \delta} \Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(u)
$$

where $\Lambda_{R}$ is the set of paths

$$
\Lambda_{R}=\left\{\gamma: \gamma \in C\left([0,1], V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)\right), \gamma(0)=0, \gamma(1)=\eta_{R_{1}}\right\}
$$

Since $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}(0)<0$, by (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), $c_{R}>0$.
Then by the mountain pass theorem, see [4], $c_{R}$ is achieved in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$ at a function $u_{3, R}$.

On the other hand it is clear that $u_{1, R}, u_{2, R}$ and $u_{3, R}$ are different, indeed

$$
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(u_{2, R}\right)=u_{R}<\mu \leq \nu_{R}=\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(u_{1, R}\right)<0<c_{R}=\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(u_{3, R}\right)
$$

Remark 6. When $\lambda$ is small enough it is easy to prove uniqueness for (1.5), so $u_{1, R}=u_{2, R}$, and the local minimum in $B_{\Gamma}$ od $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}$ is the absolute in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$.

## 3. Problem in $R_{+}^{n}$

$\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}$ does not verifies Palais-Samale condition, furthermore by lemma 2 and remark $1 \Psi_{\lambda, \tau}$ is not coercive and not bounded from below. However for $\lambda$ small enough:

Proposition 7. Let $f$ be as above, let $b$ be given by (2.11) and suppose $m$ verifies (2.1). Then
i. For all $\lambda<\frac{1}{b M}, \Psi_{\lambda, \tau}$ is coercive and bounded from below.
ii. For all $\lambda<\frac{1}{l M}$, (1.3) has at most one solution in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$.
$\lambda<\underline{\lambda}$ holds in both cases.
Proof.- i. By (2.11), (2.2) and Cauchy-Schwartz for the measure $m d x d y$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}(u) \geq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}-\frac{\lambda b}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m(u+\tau)^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}-\frac{\lambda b}{2}\left(M^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}+\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right)^{2} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda b M)\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}-\left(\lambda b M^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}\right)\|u\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}- \\
& -\left(\frac{\lambda b}{2}\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so, i. is proved.
ii. Uniqueness is proved as in [1] using the inequality (2.2) and (f-4). Indeed: if $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are two solutions of (1.3) then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2} m \leq M \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)\right|^{2}+c(x)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2} \leq M l \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)^{2} m
$$

Now we will prove a sufficient condition to approximate solutions of (1.3) with solutions of (1.5) with R large enough.

Lemma 8. Let $f$ and $\tau$ be as above and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. Suppose $\left(R_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a sequence $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $R_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a sequence of positive solutions of (1.5) with $R_{n}$ instead of $R$, such that for all $n$, $u_{n} \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R n}\right)$ and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, i.e. there exists $\Gamma^{\prime}>0$ such that for all $n,\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R n}\right)}<\Gamma^{\prime}$. Then, there exists a subsequence (called again $\left.\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}\right)$ ) and a function $u \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ such that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ weakly in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ and $u$ is a classical solution (1.3).

Proof.- By the Calderón-Zygmund ${ }^{1}$ inequality for all $\mathrm{n}, u_{n} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right) \bigcap$ $H^{2, p}\left(D_{R_{n}}\right)$ and fixed $R^{\prime}>0$, for any $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset D_{R^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{2, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right)}+\left\|\lambda m(y) f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{R^{\prime}}\right)}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$ such that $R_{n}>R^{\prime}$. The constant $C$ depends on $D_{R^{\prime}}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}$ and $\Omega^{\prime}$. Since $m$ is decreasing and strictly positive, and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, by $(2.2),(2.5),(3.1)$ and the hypothesis of $f$ and $m$, we obtain

$$
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{H^{2, p}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left(m\left(R^{\prime}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} C_{s} K(1+M)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma^{\prime}+\lambda \sup m \sup f\left|D_{R^{\prime}}\right|^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)
$$

for $p$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1<p<\frac{2 n}{n-2} & \text { if } n \geq 3 \\
1<p & \text { if } \mathrm{n}=2
\end{array}
$$

and for all $n$ such that $R_{n}>R^{\prime}$.
For this and the Sobolev embedding theorem for $\Omega^{\prime}$, there exists a subsequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ such that if $\mathrm{n}=2,3 u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $C^{1, \alpha}\left(\overline{\Omega^{\prime}}\right)$ and if $n \geq 4$ and $1<p<\min \left(\frac{n}{2}, \frac{2 n}{n-2}\right)$ is fixed, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right), 1 \leq q<\frac{n p}{n-2 p}$. Since $\Omega^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary and relatively compact such that $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset D_{R_{n}}$ and $R_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain that the above convergences are in $C_{l o c}^{1, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ and $L_{l o c}^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ respectively. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u \quad \text { en } \quad L_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, by (2.3), (2.5) and reflexivity

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
u_{n} \rightarrow u & \text { weakly } & \text { in } & V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \\
u_{n} \rightarrow u & \text { weakly } & \text { in } & \left.L_{m}^{p} \frac{p}{2} \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
1<p<\frac{2 n}{n-2} & \text { if } n \geq 3 \\
1<p & \text { if } \mathrm{n}=2
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{1}$ see theorems 9.9 y 9.11 in [9]

So, if we prove that for all $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) v \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m f(u+\tau) v
$$

our lemma will follow. Based on this and for a fixed $v \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(R_{+}^{n}\right)$ we consider the function

$$
w=v \frac{f(u+\tau)}{u+\tau} m^{\frac{2-p}{2}}
$$

It is easy to prove that $w \in L_{m^{\frac{p}{2}}}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, where $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Now

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) v= & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m\left[f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right)-\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) \frac{f(u+\tau)}{u+\tau}\right] v+ \\
& +\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) w \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

by (3.4), the last term of right hand side of (3.5) tends to $\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m f(u+$ $\tau) v$. On the other hand, by (f-4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} m\left[f\left(u_{n}+\tau\right)-\left(u_{n}+\tau\right) \frac{f(u+\tau)}{u+\tau}\right] v\right| \leq 2 l \int_{\text {supp }(v)} m\left|u-u_{n}\right||v| \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, by (3.2) the last term of the right hand side of (3.5) tends to 0 .

Theorem 9. Let $f$, m, and $\tau$ as in lemma 8 and let $\Gamma \equiv\|\tau\|_{L_{m}^{\sigma+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)}$. Then for all $\lambda, 0<\lambda<\bar{\lambda}$ the local minima $u_{1, R}$ of $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}$, approximate the local minima of $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}$ on the ball $B_{\Gamma}$ of center 0 and radius $\Gamma$ in $V_{c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$.
As a consequence $\nu_{\infty} \equiv \inf _{B_{\Gamma}} \Psi_{\lambda, \tau}$, is a minimum and by proposition 7 it is the unique critical point of $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}$, if $\lambda$ small enough(i.e. $0<\lambda<$ $\left.\frac{1}{l M}\right)$.

Proof.- We only need to prove that $\nu_{R} \rightarrow \nu_{\infty}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. With this aim we consider $\left(u_{R}\right)_{R}$ in $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ such that $u_{R} \in V_{c}^{1,2}\left(D_{R}\right)$ and $\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(u_{R}\right) \rightarrow \nu_{\infty}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. By remark $1 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}-D_{R}} m F(\tau) d x \rightarrow 0$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, because $\Gamma<+\infty$.
Since

$$
\nu_{\infty} \leq \nu_{R}=\Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(u_{1, R}\right) \leq \Psi_{\lambda, \tau, R}\left(u_{R}\right)=\Psi_{\lambda, \tau}\left(u_{R}\right)-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}-D_{R}} m F(\tau)
$$

then $\nu_{R} \rightarrow \nu_{\infty}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.
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