# ON SINGULAR SOLUTIONS FOR SECOND ORDER DELAYED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

### MIROSLAV BARTUŠEK

ABSTRACT. Asymptotic properties and estimate of singular solutions (either defined on a finite interval only or trivial in a neighbourhood of  $\infty$ ) of the second order delay differential equation with *p*-Laplacian are investigated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the second order nonlinear delay differential equation

(1) 
$$(a(t)|y'|^{p-1}y')' + r(t)|y(\varphi(t))|^{\lambda}\operatorname{sgn} y(\varphi(t)) = 0$$

where p > 0,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $a \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $r \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\varphi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , a(t) > 0, r(t) > 0,  $\varphi(t) \le t$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$ . If  $p = \lambda$ , it is known as the half-linear equation, while if  $\lambda > p$ , we say that

If  $p = \lambda$ , it is known as the half-linear equation, while if  $\lambda > p$ , we say that equation (1) is of the super-half-linear type, and if  $\lambda < p$ , we will say that it is of the sub-half-linear type.

We begin by defining what is mean by a solution of equation (1) as well as some basic properties of solutions.

**Definition 1.** Let  $T \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $\varphi_0 = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \varphi(t)$ ,  $\phi \in C^0[\varphi_0, 0]$ , and  $y'_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . We say that a function y is a solution of (1) on [0, T) (with the initial conditions  $(\phi, y'_0)$ ) if  $y \in C^0[\varphi_0, T)$ ,  $y \in C^1[0, T)$ ,  $a|y'|^{p-1}y' \in C^1[0, T)$ , (1) holds on [0, T),  $y(t) = \phi(t)$  on  $[\varphi_0, 0]$ , and  $y'_+(0) = y'_0$ .

We assume that solutions are defined on their maximal interval of existence to the right.

Equation (1) can be written as the equivalent system

$$y_1' = a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t)|y_2|^{\frac{1}{p}}\operatorname{sgn} y_2,$$

(2)

$$y'_{2} = -r(t) |y(\varphi(t))|^{\lambda} \operatorname{sgn} y(\varphi(t)).$$

The relationship between a solution y of (1) and a solution  $(y_1, y_2)$  of the system (2) is

(3) 
$$y_1(t) = y(t)$$
 and  $y_2(t) = a(t) |y'(t)|^{p-1} y'(t)$ 

and when discussing a solution y of (1), we will often use (3) without mention.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 34C10, 34C15, 34D05.

Key words and phrases. singular solutions, noncontinuable solutions, second order equations, p-Laplacian, delay.

**Definition 2.** Let y be a solution of (1) defined on [0, T),  $T \leq \infty$ . It is called singular of the 1st kind if  $T = \infty$ ,  $\tau \in (0, \infty)$  exists such that  $y \equiv 0$  on  $[\tau, \infty)$  and y is nontrivial in any left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ . Solution y is called singular of the 2nd kind if  $T < \infty$  and put  $\tau = T$ . It is called proper if  $T = \infty$  and it is nontrivial in any neighbourhood of  $\infty$ . Singular solutions of either 1st or 2nd kind are called singular.

Note, that a solution of (1) is either proper, or singular or trivial on  $(\varphi_0, \infty)$ . Singular solutions of the second kind are sometimes called noncontinuable. When discussing singular solutions,  $\tau$  will be the number in Definition 2 in all the paper without mention.

**Remark 1.** If y is a singular solution of (1) of the 2nd kind, then it is defined on  $[0, \tau), \tau < \infty$  and it cannot be defined at  $t = \tau$ ; so,  $\limsup_{t \to \tau} (|y_1(t)| + |y_2(t)|) = \infty$ .

From this and from (2)

(4) 
$$\limsup_{t \to \tau} |y_2(t)| = \infty.$$

**Definition 3.** Let y be a singular solution of (1) of the 1st kind (of the 2nd kind). Then it is called oscillatory if there exists a sequence of its zeros tending to  $\tau$  and it is called nonoscillatory otherwise.

Singular solutions of (1) without delay, i.e. of

(5) 
$$(a(t)|y'|^{p-1}y')' + r(t)|y|^{\lambda} \operatorname{sgn} y = 0,$$

have been studied by many authors, see e.g. [1, 5], [9]-[16] and the references therein. Note, that the first existence results are obtained in [12] for p = 1, a = 1 and  $r \leq 0$ . In the monography of Kiguradze and Chanturia [13] it is a good overview of results for p = 1 and a = 1.

Eq. (5) may have singular solutions. Heidel [11] (Coffman, Ulrych [9]) proved the existence of an equation of type (5),  $a \equiv 1$ , p = 1 with singular solutions of the 1st kind (of the 2nd kind) in case  $\lambda < p$  ( $\lambda > p$ ); in this case r is continuous but not of locally bounded variation. If a and r are smooth enough, then singular solutions of (5) do not exist (see Theorem A below). As concerns to Eq. (1), the existence of singular solutions of the second kind are investigated in [4] in case  $r \leq 0$ . The existence and properties of singular solutions of either the first kind or of the second kind in case  $r \geq 0$  seem not to be studied at all.

The following theorem sums up results concerning to Eq. (5).

**Theorem A.** Let  $r \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and r(t) > 0 on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

- (i) If  $\lambda \ge p$ , then there exists no singular solution of (5) of the 1st kind.
- (ii) If  $\lambda \leq p$ , then there exists no singular solution of (5) of the 2nd kind.
- (iii) If  $a^{\frac{1}{p}}r \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then all solutions of (5) are proper.

*Proof.* (i), (ii): See Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [15]. (iii): It follows from Theorem 2 in [5].  $\Box$ 

Note that estimates of such kind of solutions are proved by Kvinikadze, see references in [13]. In [1] (for  $p = 1, a = 1, r \le 0$ ) precise asymptotic formulas of all EJQTDE, 2012 No. 3, p. 2

solutions are obtained for differential equations of the third and fourth orders, see also [3]. About uniform estimates of solutions of quasi-linear ordinary differential equations see [2]. In [16] estimates of singular solutions of the second kind of a system of second order differential equations (of the form (5)) are derived.

**Theorem B** ([16], Theorem 2). Let  $r \in C^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$  and r(t) > 0 on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Let  $\lambda > p$ , y be a singular solution of (5) of the second kind,  $T \in [0, \tau)$ ,  $\tau - T \leq 1$ ,  $r_0 = \max_{T \leq s \leq \tau} r(s)$ ,  $C_0 = 2^{\lambda+2}$  in case p > 1 and  $C_0 = 2^{2\lambda+1}$  in case  $p \leq 1$ . Then a positive constant  $C = C(p, \lambda, \tau, r_0)$  exists such that

$$\left|y_2(t)\right| + C_0 r_0 \left|y(t)\right|^{\lambda} \ge C(\tau - t)^{-\frac{p(\lambda+1)}{\lambda - p}}, \qquad t \in [T, \tau).$$

It is important to study the existence of proper/singular solutions. When studying solutions of (1) and (5), some authors sometimes investigate properties of solutions that are defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  only without proving the existence of them. Moreover, sometimes, proper solutions have crucial role in a definition of some problems, see e.g. the limit-point/limit-circle problem in [6], [8]. Furthermore, noncontinuable solutions appear e.g. in water flow problems (flood waves, a flow in sewerage systems), see e.g. [4].

Our goal is to study properties of singular solutions and to extend Theorems A and B to (1).

For convenience, we define the constants and the function

$$\delta = \frac{p+1}{p}, \quad \gamma = \frac{p+1}{p(\lambda+1)}, \quad R(t) = a^{\frac{1}{p}}(t) r(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

If y is a solution of (1), then we set on its interval of existence

(6) 
$$F(t) = R^{-1}(t) |y_2(t)|^{\delta} + \gamma |y(t)|^{\lambda+1}.$$

Notice that  $F(t) \ge 0$  for every solution of (1) and

(7) 
$$F'(t) = -\frac{R'(t)}{R^2(t)} |y_2(t)|^{\delta} + \delta y'(t) e(t)$$

with

(8) 
$$e(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |y(t)|^{\lambda} \operatorname{sgn} y(t) - |y(\varphi(t))|^{\lambda} \operatorname{sgn} y(\varphi(t)).$$

From (6)

(9) 
$$|y(t)| \le \left(\gamma^{-1}F(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda+1}}, \qquad |y_2(t)| \le \left[R(t)F(t)\right]^{\frac{p}{p+1}}, \\ |y'(t)| \le a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t)R^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(t)F^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(t).$$

## 2. Singular solutions of the 2nd kind

The following theorem shows that such solutions do not exist in case  $\lambda \leq p$ .

**Theorem 1.** If  $\lambda \leq p$ , then all solutions of (1) are defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ 

*Proof.* It is proved in Lemma 7 in [6] for r < 0, for arbitrary r the proof is the same, it is necessary to replace r by |r|.  $\square$ 

The following theorem gives us basic properties.

**Theorem 2.** Let y be a singular solution of (1) of the second kind. Then it is oscillatory and  $\varphi(\tau) = \tau$ . If, moreover,  $R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then  $\varphi(t) \not\equiv t$  in any left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ .

Proof. Suppose, contrarily, that  $\varphi(\tau) < \tau$ . Then an interval  $I = [\tau_1, \tau)$  exists such that  $\tau_1 < \tau$  and  $\sup_{t \in I} \varphi(t) < \tau$ . From this and from (1) we have  $|y'_2(t)| = r(t)|y(\varphi(t))|^{\lambda} \leq \sup_{t \in I} r(t)|y(\varphi(t))|^{\lambda} < \infty$ . Hence,  $y_2$  is bounded on I that contradicts (4). Hence,  $\varphi(\tau) = \tau$ .

Let y be nonoscillatory. Suppose, for the simplicity, that y is positive in a left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ . Then, with respect to  $\varphi(\tau) = \tau$ ,  $\tau_1 < \tau$  exists such that

(10) 
$$y(\varphi(t)) > 0 \text{ on } I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\tau_1, \tau)$$

As according to (2) and (10),  $y_2$  is decreasing on I and (4) implies

(11) 
$$\lim_{t \to \tau_{-}} y_2(t) = -\infty$$

From this  $\tau_2 \in I$  exists such that

(12) 
$$y'(t) < 0$$
 on  $[\tau_2, \tau)$ 

and the integration of (1) and (11)

$$\int_{\tau_2}^{\tau} r(t) y^{\lambda} (\varphi(t)) dt = y_2(\tau_2) - \lim_{t \to \tau^-} y_2(t) = \infty \, .$$

Hence,  $\limsup y(t) = \infty$  that contradicts (12) and y is oscillatory.

Let y be a singular solution of (1) and  $\varphi(t) \equiv t$  on a left neighbourhood J on  $\tau$ . Then y is a singular solution of (5) on J. A contradiction with Theorem A(iii) proves that  $\varphi(t) \not\equiv t$  in any left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ .

**Remark 2.** According to Theorem 1 there exists no singular solution of (1) of the second kind in case  $\varphi(t) < t$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ ; all solutions are defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . This fact was used by many authors for special types of (1), see e.g. [10], [4] (r < 0).

The following two lemmas serve us for estimate of solutions.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\omega > 1$ ,  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , K > 0, Q be a continuous nonnegative function on  $[t_0, \infty)$  and u be continuous and nonnegative on  $[t_0, \infty)$  satisfying

(13) 
$$u(t) \le K + \int_{t_0}^t Q(s) \, u^{\omega}(s) \, ds \quad on \quad [t_0, T), T \le \infty.$$

If

(14) 
$$(\omega-1)K^{\omega-1}\int_{t_0}^{\infty}Q(s)\,ds<1$$

then

(15) 
$$u(t) \le K \left[ 1 - (\omega - 1) K^{\omega - 1} \int_{t_0}^t Q(s) \, ds \right]^{1/(1-\omega)}, \quad t \in [t_0, T).$$

*Proof.* It is proved in Lemma 2.1 in [14] for  $m = \omega$  and p = 1.

**Lemma 2.** Let  $\lambda > p$ ,  $\int_0^\infty r(s) \left( \int_0^s a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right)^\lambda ds < \infty$ , y be a solution of (1) defined on [0,T),  $T \le \infty$  and let  $t_0 \in [0,T)$ . If  $y_* = \max_{\varphi(t_0) \le s \le t_0} |y(s)|$  and

$$(16) \left[ |y_2(t_0)| + 2^{\lambda} y_*^{\lambda} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} r(s) \, ds \right]^{\frac{\lambda}{p} - 1} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} r(s) \left( \int_{t_0}^{s} a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right)^{\lambda} ds < 2^{-\lambda} \frac{p}{\lambda - p}.$$

$$Then T = \infty and x is defined on \mathbb{P}$$

Then  $T = \infty$  and y is defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

*Proof.* Suppose, contrarily, that y is singular of the 2nd kind. Then  $T = \tau < \infty$  and denote by

$$v(t) = \sup_{t_0 \le s \le t} |y_2(s)|$$
 for  $t \in I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [t_0, T)$ .

It follows from (2) that

$$|y_2(t)| \le |y_2(t_0)| + \int_{t_0}^t r(s) |y(\varphi(s))|^{\lambda} ds$$

and

$$|y(t)| \le |y(t_0)| + \int_{t_0}^t a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(s)|y_2(s)|^{\frac{1}{p}} ds, \qquad t \in I.$$

Hence, for  $t_0 \leq s \leq t < T$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |y_2(s)| &\leq |y_2(t_0)| + \int_{t_0}^s r(z) \Big[ y_* + v^{\frac{1}{p}}(z) \int_{t_0}^z a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \Big]^{\lambda} \, dz \\ &\leq |y_2(t)| + 2^{\lambda} y_*^{\lambda} \int_{t_0}^\infty r(\sigma) \, d\sigma + 2^{\lambda} \int_{t_0}^t r(z) \Big( \int_{t_0}^z a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \Big)^{\lambda} v^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}(z) \, dz \end{aligned}$$

From this

(17) 
$$v(t) \le |y_2(t_0)| + 2^{\lambda} y_*^{\lambda} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} r(\sigma) \, d\sigma + 2^{\lambda} \int_{t_0}^{t} r(z) \Big( \int_{t_0}^{z} a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \Big)^{\lambda} v^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}(z) \, dz \, .$$

Put 
$$\omega = \frac{\lambda}{p} > 1$$
,  $u = v$ ,  $K = |y_2(t_0)| + 2^{\lambda} y_*^{\lambda} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} r(s) ds$ 

and  $Q(t) = 2^{\lambda} r(t) \Big( \int_{t_0}^t a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \Big)^{\lambda}.$ 

Then (16) and (17) imply (13) and (14), and according to Lemma 1, (15) is valid. As  $T < \infty$ ,  $y_2$  is bounded on J. A contradiction with (4) proves the statement.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.** Note that Lemma 2 is valid even if we suppose  $r \ge 0$  instead of r > 0 on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Remark 4.** The idea of the proof is due to Medved and Pekárková [14] (with  $\varphi(t) \equiv t$ ); it is used also in [7] for (1) with  $t - \varphi(t) \leq \text{const. on } \mathbb{R}_+$ .

The next theorem derives an estimate from below of a singular solution of the second kind.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\lambda > p$  and let y be a singular solution of (1) of the 2nd kind. Let  $T \in [0,\tau), \ a_* = \min_{T \le s \le \tau} a(s), \ r_* = \max_{T \le s \le \tau} r(s) \ and \ y_*(t) = \max_{\varphi(t) \le s \le t} |y(s)| \ on \ [T,\tau).$ 

Then

(18) 
$$|y_2(t)| + 2^{\lambda+1} y_*^{\lambda}(t) r_*(\tau - t) \ge K(\tau - t)^{\frac{-p(\lambda+1)}{\lambda-p}}$$

on  $[T, \tau)$  with  $K = \left(2^{-2\lambda-1} \frac{(\lambda+1)p}{\lambda-p} a_*^{\frac{\lambda}{p}} r_*^{-1}\right)^{\frac{p}{\lambda-p}}$ . Especially, a left neighbourhood I of  $\tau$  exists such that

(19) 
$$a(\tau)|y'(t)|^p + 2^{\lambda+1}y_*^{\lambda}(t)r(\tau)(\tau-t) \ge K_1(\tau-t)^{\frac{-p(\lambda+1)}{\lambda-p}}$$

on I with  $K_1 = \left[2^{-2\lambda - 3 - \frac{\lambda}{p}} \frac{(\lambda+1)p}{\lambda-p} a^{\frac{\lambda}{p}}(\tau)r^{-1}(\tau)\right]^{\frac{p}{\lambda-p}}$ .

*Proof.* Let y be a singular solution of (1) of the 2nd kind defined on  $[0, \tau)$ . Let  $\bar{t} \in [T, \tau)$  be fixed. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}(t) &= r(t) & \bar{a}(t) = a(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, \tau] ,\\ \bar{r}(t) &= \frac{r(\tau)}{\tau - \bar{t}} \left( -t + 2\tau - \bar{t} \right) , \quad \bar{a}(t) = \frac{a(\tau)}{\tau - \bar{t}} \left( -t + 2\tau - \bar{t} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in (\tau, 2\tau - \bar{t}] \\ \bar{r}(t) &= 0 , & \bar{a}(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t > 2\tau - \bar{t} ; \end{aligned}$$

note that  $\bar{r}$  and  $\bar{a}$  are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and are linear on  $[\tau, 2\tau - \bar{t}]$ . Furthermore, we have

(20)  
$$\int_{\bar{t}}^{\infty} \bar{r}(s) \left( \int_{\bar{t}}^{s} \bar{a}^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right)^{\lambda} ds \leq r_{*} a_{*}^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} \int_{\bar{t}}^{2\tau - \bar{t}} (s - \bar{t})^{\lambda} \, ds$$
$$\leq \frac{2^{\lambda + 1}}{\lambda + 1} r_{*} \, a_{*}^{-\frac{\lambda}{p}} (\tau - \bar{t})^{\lambda + 1}$$

and

(21) 
$$\int_{\bar{t}}^{\infty} r(s) \, ds \leq \int_{\bar{t}}^{2\tau - \bar{t}} r_* \, ds = 2r_*(\tau - \bar{t}) \, .$$

Consider an auxilliary equation

(22) 
$$(\bar{a}(t)|z'|^{p-1}z') + \bar{r}(t)|z(\varphi)|^{\lambda}\operatorname{sgn} z(\varphi) = 0.$$

Then z = y is the singular solution of (22) of the second kind defined on  $[0, \tau)$ . Suppose that (18) is not valid for  $t = \bar{t}$ , i.e.

(23) 
$$\left[ |y_2(\bar{t}) + 2^{\lambda+1} y_*^{\lambda}(\bar{t}) r_*(\tau - \bar{t}) \right]^{\frac{\lambda}{p} - 1} < 2^{-2\lambda - 1} \frac{(\lambda + 1)p}{\lambda - p} a_*^{\frac{\lambda}{p}} r_*^{-1} (\tau - \bar{t})^{-\lambda - 1}$$

holds. We apply Lemma 2 and Remark 3 with  $T = \tau$  and  $t_0 = \bar{t}$ . Then it follows from (20), (21) and (23) that all assumptions of Lemma 2 are valid. Hence, z is defined on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and the contradiction with z to be singular proves that (18) is valid. Furthermore, a left neighbourhood I of  $t = \tau$  exists such that

$$r_* \le 2r(\tau)$$
 and  $\frac{a(\tau)}{2} \le a_* \le 2a(\tau)$ 

and (20) follows from this and from (18).

EJQTDE, 2012 No. 3, p. 6

**Remark 5.** The used method of the proof of Theorem 2 is due to Pekárková [16] (for  $\varphi(t) \equiv t$ ).

**Corollary 1.** Every singular solution of (1) of the second kind is unbounded.

**Remark 6.** In case  $\varphi(t) \equiv t$ , Theorem 3 gives us similar estimate than Theorem B but it can be used also for  $\tau - t > 1$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let y be a singular solution of (1) of the second kind. Then a sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of local extremes and constant M > 0 exist such that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} t_k = \tau$  and

$$\left|y(t_k)\right| \ge M(\tau - t_k)^{\frac{-p(\lambda+1)}{\lambda(\lambda - p)}}, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* Let y be a singular solution of the 2nd kind. Then according to Lemma 2 and Corollary 2 it is oscillatory and unbounded. Hence, an increasing sequence  $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  exists such that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} t_k = \tau$ , y has the local extreme at  $t_k$  and

$$|y(t_k)| \ge |y(t)|$$
 for  $t \in [\varphi_0, t_k], k = 1, 2, ...$ 

Then  $y'(t_k) = 0$ ,  $\max_{\varphi(t_k) \le s \le t_k} |y(s)| = |y(t_k)|$ , and the statement follows from (19).  $\Box$ 

3. Singular solution of the 1st kind

This paragraph begins with some basic properties

**Theorem 4.** Let y be a singular solution of (1) of the first kind. Then it is oscillatory and  $\varphi(\tau) = \tau$ . Moreover,

(i) if  $R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ , then  $\varphi(t) \not\equiv t$  in any left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ ;

(ii) if  $R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\lambda \ge p$  and  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing in a left neighbourhood J of  $\tau$ , then a left neighbourhood  $J_1$  of  $\tau$  exists such that  $\varphi(t) < t$  on  $J_1$ .

*Proof.* Let y be a singular solution of (1) of the first kind. Then

(24) 
$$y(t) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge \tau$$

and

(25) 
$$y(t) \not\equiv 0$$
 in any left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ .

Suppose, contrarily, that  $\varphi(\tau) < \tau$ . Then  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty$  implies the existence of  $\tau_1$  such that  $\tau_1 > \tau$  and  $\varphi(t) > \tau$  for  $t \ge \tau_1$ . Denote  $I = [\tau, \tau_1]$ . Then according to (1) and (24)

(26) 
$$y(\varphi(t)) = -r^{-\frac{1}{\lambda}}(t) \left| \left( a(t) | y'(t) |^{p-1} y'(t) \right)' \right|^{1/\lambda} \operatorname{sgn} \left( a(t) | y'(t) |^{p-1} y'(t) \right)' = 0$$

for  $t \in I$ . As  $\varphi(\tau_1) > \tau$  we have

$$[\varphi(\tau),\tau] \subset [\varphi(\tau),\varphi(\tau_1)] \subset \{\varphi(t) \colon t \in I\}$$

From this and from (26), y(t) = 0 on  $[\varphi(\tau), \tau]$  that contradicts (25). Hence,  $\varphi(\tau) = \tau$ .

We prove that y is oscillatory. Suppose, contrarily, that y(t) > 0 in a left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ ; case y(t) < 0 can be studied similarly. From this and from  $\varphi(\tau) = \tau$  an interval  $I_1 = [\tau_2, \tau), \tau_2 < \tau$  exists such

(27) 
$$y(\varphi(t)) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \in I_1.$$

As, according to (2),  $y_2$  is decreasing on  $I_1$  and (24) implies  $y_2(\tau) = 0$  we have  $y_2 > 0$  on  $I_1$ ; hence, y' > 0 on  $I_1$ . The contradiction with (27) and (24) proves that y is oscillatory.

Case (i). The proof follows from Theorem A(iii) by the same way as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Case (ii). Let  $\lambda \geq p$  and  $R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Then (i) implies  $\varphi$  is nontrivial in any left neighbourhood of  $\tau$ . Suppose that an increasing sequence  $\{\tau_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  exists such that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \tau_k = \tau$  and  $\varphi(\tau_k) = \tau_k$ . As  $\varphi$  is nondecreasing in J,  $\{\tau_k\}$  may be choosen such that

(28) 
$$\varphi(t) \in [\tau_k, \tau] \text{ for } t \in [\tau_k, \tau].$$

It follows from (24) and (25) that  $y_2(\tau) = 0$  and  $F(\tau) = 0$ . Denote  $\overline{F}_k = \max_{\tau_k \leq s \leq \tau} F(s)$ . Then (28), (7) and (9) imply

$$\begin{split} F(s) &= -\int_{s}^{\tau} F'(\sigma) \, d\sigma \leq \bar{F}_{k} \int_{\tau_{k}}^{\tau} \frac{|R'(\sigma)|}{R(\sigma)} \, d\sigma \\ &+ 2\delta \gamma^{-\lambda} \bar{F}_{k}^{\omega} \int_{\tau_{k}}^{\tau} a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) R^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma \end{split}$$

for  $s \in [\tau_k, \tau]$  where  $\omega = \frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} \ge 1$  due to  $\lambda \ge p$ . Hence,

(29) 
$$\bar{F}_k \leq \bar{F}_k \int_{\tau_k}^{\tau} \frac{|R'(\sigma)|}{R(\sigma)} d\sigma + 2\delta\gamma^{-\lambda}\bar{F}_k^{\omega} \int_{\tau_k}^{\tau} a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) R^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(\sigma) d\sigma$$

 $k = 1, 2, \ldots$  As  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \overline{F}_k = F(\tau) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\tau_k}^{\tau} \frac{|R'(\sigma)|}{R(\sigma)} \, d\sigma = 0, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\tau_k}^{\tau} a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) R^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(\sigma) \, d\sigma = 0$$

we obtain the contradiction in (29) for large k. Hence,  $\{\tau_k\}$  does not exists and the statement holds in this case.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Theorem 4.

**Theorem 5.** If  $\varphi(t) < t$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , then all solutions of (1) are proper.

**Lemma 3.** Let y be a singular solution of the 1st kind, let  $T \in [0, \tau)$  be such that

(30) 
$$\int_{T}^{\tau} R^{-1}(t) |R'(t)| \, dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \,,$$

$$\begin{split} I = [T,\tau], \ K > 0, \ \omega \geq 0 \ and \ |e(t)| \leq K(\tau-t)^{\omega} \ on \ I. \ Then \\ F(t) \leq K_1(\tau-t)^{\delta(\omega+1)}, \qquad t \in I \end{split}$$

where  $K_1 = \left[2\delta(\omega+1)^{-1}K\max_{0\le\sigma\le\tau}a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma)R^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(\sigma)\right]^{\delta}$ .

*Proof.* Let y be a singular solution of the 1st kind. Then (9) implies

$$|y^{-1}(t)|y_2(t)|^{\delta} \le F(t), \qquad |y'(t)| \le C F^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(t)$$

on *I* with  $C = \max_{t \in I} a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t) R^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(t) > 0$ . Define  $\bar{F}(t) = \max_{s \in [t,\tau]} F(s)$  for  $t \in I$ . From this and from (7), (8) and (30)

$$\begin{split} F(s) &= -\int_{t}^{\tau} F'(\sigma) \, d\sigma \leq \int_{t}^{\tau} R^{-1}(\sigma) |R'(\sigma)| F(\sigma) \, ds + \delta \int_{t}^{\tau} |y'(\sigma)e(\sigma)| \, ds \\ &\leq \bar{F}(t) \int_{T}^{\tau} R^{-1}(\sigma) |R'(\sigma)| \, ds + C_{1} \int_{t}^{\tau} F^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(\sigma)(\tau - \sigma)^{\omega} ds \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{F}(t)}{2} + \frac{C_{1}}{\omega + 1} \bar{F}^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(t)(\tau - t)^{\omega + 1} \end{split}$$

for  $t \in I$  and  $t \leq s \leq \tau$  where  $C_1 = \delta KC$ . Hence,

R

$$\bar{F}(t) \le \frac{\bar{F}(t)}{2} + \frac{C_1}{\omega + 1} \bar{F}^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(t)(\tau - t)^{\omega + 1}$$

or

$$F(t) \le \overline{F}(t) \le K_1(\tau - t)^{\delta(\omega+1)}$$
 on  $I$ .

The following theorem gives us an estimate from above of singular solutions of the 1st kind.

**Theorem 6.** Let y be a singular solution of (1) of the 1st kind and M > 0 be such that  $\varphi'(t) \leq M$  in a left neighbourhood S of  $\tau$ .

(i) Let  $\lambda \ge p$  and m > 0. Then a positive constant K and a left neighbourhood J of  $\tau$  exist such that

$$|y(t)| \le K(\tau - t)^m$$
,  $|y_2(t)| \le K(\tau - t)^{\frac{(\lambda+1)m}{p+1}}$  on J.

(ii) Let λ 0. Then a positive constant K and a left neighbourhood J of τ exist such that

$$|y(t)| \le K(\tau-t)^{\frac{p+1}{p-\lambda}-\varepsilon}, \qquad |y_2(t)| \le K(\tau-t)^{\frac{p(\lambda+1)}{p-\lambda}-\varepsilon} \quad on \ J$$

*Proof.* Let y be a singular solution of the 1st kind. According to Theorem  $4 \varphi(\tau) = \tau$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{t \to \tau^-} y(t) = \lim_{t \to \tau^-} y_2(t) = 0$  and an interval  $I = [T, \tau] \subset S, 0 \leq T_1 < T$  exists such that (30) and

$$|y(t)|^{\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad |y(\varphi(t))|^{\lambda} \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for} \quad t \in I.$$

Hence, (8) implies  $|e(t)| \leq 1$  on I and it follows from Lemma 3 (with  $I=I,\,K=1,\,\omega=0)$ 

- (31)  $F(t) \le K(T-t)^{\delta}, \qquad t \in I$
- with
- (32)  $K = \left[2\delta \max_{0 \le \sigma \le T} a^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\sigma) R^{\frac{1}{p+1}}(\sigma)\right]^{\delta}.$

Let  $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be such that  $I_1 = I$ ,  $I_n = [T_n, \tau]$ ,  $T_n < T_{n+1} < \tau$  and  $\varphi(t) \in I_n$  for  $t \in I_{n+1}, n = 1, 2, \ldots$ ; this sequence exists due to  $\varphi(t) \leq t$  and  $\varphi(\tau) = \tau$ .

We prove the estimate

(33) 
$$F(t) \le K_n (\tau - t)^{\omega_n} \quad \text{on} \quad I_n$$

by the mathematical induction, where

(34) 
$$\omega_1 = \delta, \quad \omega_{n+1} = \delta \left[ \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} \omega_n + 1 \right], \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$K_1 = K, \quad K_{n+1} = K \left[ \gamma^{-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1} \omega_n \right)^{-1} \left( 1 + M^{\omega_n \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \right) K_n^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \right]^{\delta}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

For n = 1 (33) follows from (31) and (32). Suppose the validity of (33) for n. Then (6) and (33) imply

$$|y(t)|^{\lambda} \le \left(\gamma^{-1}F(t)\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \le \gamma^{-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} K_n^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} (\tau-t)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\omega_n}, \quad t \in I_n$$

and

$$|y(\varphi(t))|^{\lambda} \leq \gamma^{-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} K_n^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} M^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\omega_n} (\tau-t)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\omega_n}, \quad t \in I_{n+1}$$

 $\mathbf{as}$ 

$$0 \le \tau - \varphi(t) = \varphi(\tau) - \varphi(t) = \varphi'(\xi)(\tau - t) \le M(\tau - t), \quad \xi \in [t, \tau].$$

From this and from (8)

$$|e(t)| \leq \gamma^{-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} K_n^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} \left[1 + M^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\omega_n}\right] (\tau - t)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\omega_n} = L_n(\tau - t)^{w_n},$$

where

$$w_n = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}\omega_n$$
 and  $L_n = \gamma^{-\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} K_n^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+1}} [1+M^{w_n}]$ 

Now, we use Lemma 3 with  $I = I_{n+1}$ ,  $K = L_n$  and  $\omega = w_n$  and we obtain  $F(t) \leq K_{n+1}(\tau - t)^{\omega_{n+1}}$ . Hence, (33) holds for all n = 1, 2, ... Denote by

(35) 
$$z = \frac{\lambda(p+1)}{(\lambda+1)p}$$

We prove that

(36) 
$$\omega_n \le \delta \frac{1-z^n}{1-z}, \quad n = 1, 2 \dots \quad \text{for} \quad z \ne 1$$
$$\omega_n = \delta n \quad \text{for} \quad z = 1.$$

If  $v_n = \frac{\omega_n}{\delta}$ , then (34) implies  $v_1 = 1$ ,  $v_{n+1} = zv_n + 1$ ,  $n = 1, \ldots$  Hence,  $v_n = 1 + z + z^2 + \ldots z^{n-1} = \frac{1-z^n}{1-z}$  in case  $z \neq 1$  and  $v_n = n$  in case z = 1. Now, (36) follows from this.

We have from (35) that

$$z>1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda>p\,, \quad z=1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda=p\,, \quad z<1 \Leftrightarrow \lambda< p\,.$$

Furthermore, from this and from (36)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \omega_n = \infty$  in case  $\lambda \ge p$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \omega_n = \frac{\delta}{1-z} = \frac{(p+1)(\lambda+1)}{p-\lambda}$  in case  $\lambda < p$ . Hence, the statement follows from (33) and (6). EJQTDE, 2012 No. 3, p. 10 Acknowledgement. The research was supported by the Grant 201/11/0768 of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

## References

- I. V. Astashova, Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of some nonlinear differential equations, Dokl. Raz. Zas. Sem. Inst. Prikl. Mat. Tbilisi Univ., 1 (1985), 1–11.
- [2] V. I. Astashova, Uniform estimates for positive solutions of quasi-linear ordinary differential equations (English. Russian original) Izv. Math. 72 No. 6, 1141–1160 (2008); translation from Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat. 72, No. 6, 85–104 (2008).
- [3] V. I. Astashova, Application of dynamical systems to the study of solutions to nonlinear higher-order differential equations, J. Math. Sci. (New York) 126, No. 5, 1361–1391 (2005); translation from Sovrem. Mat. Prilozh. 8 (2003), 3–33.
- M. Bartušek, On noncontinuable solutions of differential equations with delay, EJQTDE, Spec. Ed. I, 2009, No. 6 (2009), 1-16.
- [5] M. Bartušek, Singular solutions for the differential equations with p-Laplacian, Arch. Math. (Brno) 41 (2005), 123–128.
- [6] M. Bartušek, J. R. Graef, Strong nonlinear limit-point/limit-circle properties for second order differential equations with delay, PanAmer. Math. J. 20 (2010), 31–49.
- [7] M. Bartušek, M. Medved, Existence of global solutions for systems of second-order functional-differential equations with p-Laplacian, EJDE 2008, No. 40 (2008), 1–8.
- [8] M. Bartušek, J. R. Graef, *Limit-point/limit-circle problem II*, PanAmer. Math. J., to appear.
- [9] C. V. Cofmann, D. F. Ulrich, On the continuation of solutions of a certain non-linear differential equations, Monatsh. Math. B71 (1967), 385–392.
- [10] J. Hale, Theory of functional differential equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1977.
- [11] J. W. Heidel, Uniqueness, continuation and nonoscillation for a second order differential equation, Pacific J. Math. 32 (1970), 715–721.
- [12] I. T. Kiguradze, Asymptotic properties of solutions of a nonlinear differential equation of Emden-Fowler type, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 29 (1965), 965–986.
- [13] I. Kiguradze, T. Chanturia, Asymptotic properties of solutions of nonautonomous ordinary differential equations, Kluwer, Dordrecht–Boston–London 1993.
- [14] M. Medved, E. Pekárková, Existence of noncontinuable solutions of second order differential equations with p-Laplacian, EJDE 2007, No. 136 (2007), 1–9.
- [15] D. Mirzov, Asymptotic properties of solutions of systems of nonlinear nonautonomous ordinary differential equations, Folia Fac. Sci. Natur. Univ. Masaryk. Brun., Math 14, 2004.
- [16] E. Pekárková, Estimations of noncontinuable solutions of second order differential equations with p-Laplacian, Arch. Math. (Brno) 46 (2010), No. 2, 135–144.

## (Received September 20, 2011)

Faculty of Science, Masaryk University Brno, Kotlářská 2, 611 37 Brno, The Czech Republic

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{bartusek@math.muni.cz}$