# Multiplicity of positive solutions for critical singular elliptic systems with sign-changing weight function* 

Huixing Zhang ${ }^{\dagger}$<br>(Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221116, PR China)


#### Abstract

In this paper, the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for a critical singular elliptic system with concave and convex nonlinearity and sign-changing weight function, are established. With the help of the Nehari manifold, we prove that the system has at least two positive solutions via variational methods.
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## 1 Introduction and main result

In this paper, we are concerned with the following critical singular elliptic system

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u-t \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}=\lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2} u+\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\},  \tag{1.1}\\ -\Delta v-t \frac{v}{|x|^{2}}=\mu g(x)|v|^{q-2} v+\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v, & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}, \\ u, v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) & \end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ with $1<q<2, \alpha, \beta>1$ satisfying $\alpha+\beta=2^{*}, 2^{*}=\frac{2 N}{N-2}, N \geq 3$ and $0 \leq t<\bar{t}=\left(\frac{N-2}{2}\right)^{2}, \bar{t}$ is the best constant in the Hardy inequality. The weight functions $f, g$ satisfy the following assumptions:

[^0]$\left(H_{1}\right) f, g$ are measurable functions and locally bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$, with $0 \not \equiv$ $f_{+}, g_{+} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and
\[

f(x)=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{ll}
O\left(|x|^{b}\right), & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow 0, \\
O\left(|x|^{a}\right), & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty,
\end{array}
$$ \quad g(x)= $$
\begin{cases}O\left(|x|^{d}\right), & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow 0 \\
O\left(|x|^{c}\right), & \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty,\end{cases}
$$\right.
\]

for any $a, b, c, d$ verifying

$$
a, c \leq \frac{N}{2^{*}}\left(q-2^{*}\right)<b, d .
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right) \Sigma_{f} \cap \Sigma_{g} \neq \phi$, where $\Sigma_{f}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: f(x)>0\right\}, \Sigma_{g}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: g(x)>0\right\}$.
Similar assumptions have been mentioned in [1-3]. The role of such growth conditions is to get a compactness condition.

Let $E=\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \times \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a Hilbert space endowed with norm

$$
\|z\|=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where $z=(u, v) \in E$.
A pair of functions $(u, v) \in E$ is said to be a weak solution of problem (1.1), if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\nabla u \nabla \varphi_{1}+\nabla v \nabla \varphi_{2}-t \frac{u \varphi_{1}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{v \varphi_{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2} u \varphi_{1}+\mu g(x)|v|^{q-2} v \varphi_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta} \varphi_{1}+\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v \varphi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right) \in E$.
The corresponding energy functional of problem (1.1) is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda, \mu}(u, v)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}-t \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{v^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\lambda f(x)|u|^{q}+\mu g(x)|v|^{q}\right)-\frac{2}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from $(H 1)-(H 2)$ that the functional $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ is of class $C^{1}(E, \mathbb{R})$. Moreover, the critical points of $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ are the week solutions of problem (1.1).

Existence and multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with concave-convex nonlinearities in bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ are studied extensively.

Set $\alpha=\beta, \alpha+\beta=p, \lambda=\mu, u=v$, then in $\Omega$ the problem (1.1) reads as the scalar elliptic equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u-t \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}=\lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2} u+|u|^{p-2} u, \quad \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\},  \tag{1.2}\\
u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In [5], Ambrosetti, Brezis and Cerami considered the problem (1.2) for $t=0, f(x) \equiv$ $1,2<p \leq 2^{*}$ and proved that there exists $\Lambda>0$ such that problem (1.2) admits at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in(0, \Lambda)$, has a positive solution for $\lambda=\Lambda$ and no positive solution for $\lambda>\Lambda$. Chen [6] considered the problem (1.2) for $f(x) \equiv 1, p=2^{*}$ and proved that there exists $\Lambda>0$ such that the problem (1.2) admits at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in(0, \Lambda), 0 \leq t<\bar{t}$. Successively, Tsung-Fang Wu [7] investigated the problem (1.2) for $t=0, p=2^{*}$ with sign-changing weight function $f$ and proved that there exists $\Lambda>0$ such that the problem (1.2) admits at least two positive solutions for $\lambda \in(0, \Lambda)$.

In whole space, Ambrosetti, Garcia and Peral [4] considered the problem (1.2) for $t=0, p=2^{*}$ and proved the existence of $\Lambda>0$ such that problem (1.2) admits at least two non-negative solutions for $\lambda \in(0, \Lambda)$, provided that $f \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cup L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $f_{+} \not \equiv 0$. More recently, under the proper hypothesis, Miotto [3] studied the same problem above and obtained the similar results.

In recent years, much attention has been paid to the investigation of the following elliptic system in bounded domain $\Omega$

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u-t \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}=\lambda f(x)|u|^{q-2} u+\frac{2 \alpha}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha-2} u|v|^{\beta}, & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\},  \tag{1.3}\\ -\Delta v-t \frac{v}{|x|^{2}}=\mu g(x)|v|^{q-2} v+\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha+\beta}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta-2} v, & \text { in } \Omega \backslash\{0\}, \\ u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\end{cases}
$$

Alves et [8] studied problem (1.3) with $f(x)=g(x) \equiv 1, t=0, q=2$ and proved the existence of least energy solutions for problem (1.3) for $\lambda, \mu \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$, where $\lambda_{1}$ denoting the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Liu-Han [9] also considered problem (1.3) with $f(x)=g(x) \equiv 1,0<t \leq \bar{t}-1, q=2$ in bounded domain $\Omega$, and proved that (1.3) admits one positive solution for $\lambda, \mu \in\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right)$. Subsequently, T.S.Hsu [10] considered problem (1.3) with $f(x)=g(x) \equiv 1,0 \leq t<\bar{t}, 1<q<2$, and proved the existence of $\Lambda>0$ such that problem (1.3) has at least two positive solutions, provided that $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda$.

However, up to now, there are few papers on problem (1.1) in whole space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. The purpose to this paper is to investigate the existence and multiplicity of positive solutions of problem (1.1) by using the decomposition of the Nehari manifold.

Inspired by [3] and [10], we have the following result.
Theorem 1 Assume (H1)-(H2) hold. Then there exists a positive constant $\Lambda$ such that problem (1.1) admits at least two positive solutions, provided that $\lambda, \mu>0$ and $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda$.

## 2 Notations and preliminaries

Set $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{2^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \mid \nabla u \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right\}$ with norm $\|u\|=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. For $t \in[0, \bar{t})$, we put

$$
S_{t}=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-t \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{2^{*}}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}} .
$$

Catrina and Wang [11] proved that $S_{t}$ is achieved by the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x)=\frac{1}{\left(|x|^{\frac{\gamma_{1}}{\sqrt{t}}}+|x|^{\frac{\gamma_{2}}{\sqrt{t}}}\right)^{\sqrt{t}}}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}=\sqrt{\bar{t}}-\sqrt{\bar{t}-t}, \gamma_{2}=\sqrt{\bar{t}}+\sqrt{\bar{t}-t}$.
Moreover, for $\varepsilon>0, U_{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\frac{4 N(\bar{t}-t)}{N-2}\right)^{\frac{N-2}{4}}$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u-t \frac{u}{|x|^{2}}=|u|^{2^{*}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\} \\
u \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

Denote

$$
S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}=\inf _{u, v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}-t \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{v^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{\alpha+\beta}}} .
$$

From [10], we have

$$
S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}=\left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}+\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}}\right) S_{t} .
$$

For $z=(u, v) \in E$, let

$$
\|z\|_{t}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}-t \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{v^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

By Hardy inequality

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\bar{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{2} \text { for all } u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

we can derive that $\|\cdot\|_{t}$ defines an equivalent norm in E .
We define the Palais-Smale (PS) sequence and (PS)-condition in $E$ for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ as follows. Definition 2.1 (i) $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence in $E$ for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$, if $J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z_{n}\right)=c+o(1), J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=$ $o(1)$ in $E^{-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(ii) $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ satisfies the $(P S)_{c}$-condition in $E$, if any $(P S)_{c}$-sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ has a convergent subsequence.

As consequence of the assumptions (H1)-(H2), we have

Lemma 2.1 [3] If $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|u|^{q} .
$$

The same conclusion still holds if $f$ is replaced by $g$ in Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.2 If $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset E$ is a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$, then $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$.
Proof. Let $z_{n}=\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$. On the contrary, assume that $\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t} \rightarrow \infty$.
Put

$$
\bar{z}_{n}=\left(\bar{u}_{n}, \bar{v}_{n}\right)=\frac{z_{n}}{\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t}},
$$

then $\left\{\bar{z}_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $E$. By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that $\bar{z}_{n} \rightharpoonup$ $\bar{z}=(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ in $E$. So $\bar{u}_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{u}, \bar{v}_{n} \rightharpoonup \bar{v}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\lambda f(x)\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{q}+\mu g(x)\left|\bar{v}_{n}\right|^{q}\right)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\lambda f(x)|\bar{u}|^{q}+\mu g(x)|\bar{v}|^{q}\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z_{n}\right)=c+o(1), J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=o(1)$ and $\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t} \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{2^{*}}<J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}> \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\lambda f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}+\mu g(x)\left|v_{n}\right|^{q}\right) \\
\leq & c+o(1)\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t}+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\left\|\bar{z}_{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}=\frac{2\left(2^{*}-q\right)}{q\left(2^{*}-2\right)}\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t}^{q-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\lambda f(x)\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|^{q}+\mu g(x)\left|\bar{v}_{n}\right|^{q}\right)+o(1) .
$$

It easily follows from (2.2) and $1<q<2$, that $\left\|\bar{z}_{n}\right\|_{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 2.3 If $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset E$ is a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ with $z_{n} \rightharpoonup z$ in $E$, then $J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z)=0$ and $J_{\lambda, \mu}(z) \geq-C_{0}\left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\right)$ for some positive constant $C_{0}$ only depending on $f, g, N, q, t$.

Proof. If $J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z_{n}\right)=c+o(1), J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=o(1)$ in $E^{-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $z_{n} \rightharpoonup z$ in $E$, it is standard that $J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z)=0$. Let $z=(u, v)$, we have

$$
J_{\lambda, \mu}(z)=\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\|z\|_{t}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda f(x)|u|^{q}+\mu g(x)|v|^{q} .
$$

From the assumptions (H1)-(H2), there exist $C_{f}, C_{g}>0$ such that

$$
\left.\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\right| u\right|^{q}\left|\leq C_{f}\|u\|^{q},\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\right| v\right|^{q} \mid \leq C_{g}\|v\|^{q}
$$

By the Young inequality, Hardy inequality and $1<q<2$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda, \mu}(z) & =\frac{1}{N}\|z\|_{t}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda f(x)|u|^{q}+\mu g(x)|v|^{q} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N}\|z\|_{t}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\left(\lambda C_{f}\|u\|^{q}+\mu C_{g}\|v\|^{q}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N}\|z\|_{t}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\left(\lambda C_{f}+\mu C_{g}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{t}-t}{\bar{t}}\right)^{-\frac{q}{2}}\|z\|_{t}^{q} \\
& \geq-C_{0}\left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3 Nehari manifold

For any $\lambda, \mu>0$, we consider the Nehari manifold

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}=\left\{z \in E \backslash\{0\} \mid<J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z), z>=0\right\}
$$

We recall that any nonzero solution of (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$. Moreover, $z=(u, v) \in$ $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ if and only if

$$
\|z\|_{t} \neq 0, \quad\|z\|_{t}^{2}=K_{\lambda, \mu}(z)+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}
$$

where $K_{\lambda, \mu}(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\lambda f(x)|u|^{q}+\mu g(x)|v|^{q}\right)$.
Denote

$$
\theta_{\lambda, \mu}=\inf _{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}} J_{\lambda, \mu}(z)
$$

We will see that $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}>-\infty$. In fact, let $z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$, then from the proof the Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
J_{\lambda, \mu}(z) \geq \frac{1}{N}\|z\|_{t}^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2^{*}}\right)\left(\lambda C_{f}+\mu C_{g}\right)\left(\frac{\bar{t}-t}{\bar{t}}\right)^{-\frac{q}{2}}\|z\|_{t}^{q}
$$

It follows from $1<q<2$ that $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$. So $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}>-\infty$.
Define $\Phi_{\lambda, \mu}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, by $\Phi_{\lambda, \mu}(z)=<J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z), z>$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
<\Phi_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z), z> & =(2-q)\|z\|_{t}^{2}-2\left(2^{*}-q\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta} \\
& =\left(2^{*}-q\right) K_{\lambda, \mu}(z)-\left(2^{*}-2\right)\|z\|_{t}^{2} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

As in Tarantello [12], we divide $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ in three parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}=\left\{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}:<\Phi_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z), z \gg 0\right\} \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{0}=\left\{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}:<\Phi_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z), z>=0\right\} \\
& \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}=\left\{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}:<\Phi_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z), z><0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consider

$$
\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}=\inf _{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}} J_{\lambda, \mu}(z), \theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{0}=\inf _{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{0}} J_{\lambda, \mu}(z), \theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}=\inf _{z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}} J_{\lambda, \mu}(z) .
$$

Let

$$
\Lambda_{1}=\left(\frac{2-q}{2\left(2^{*}-q\right)}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}-2}}\left(\frac{2^{*}-2}{2^{*}-q}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\left(\frac{\bar{t}-t}{\bar{t}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2-q}}\left(S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}\left(C_{f}^{\frac{2}{q}}+C_{g}^{\frac{2}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{q-2}},
$$

where $C_{f}, C_{g}$ are from Lemma 2.3.
Lemma 3.1 $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{0}=\emptyset$ if $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{1}$.
Proof. Suppose by absurd that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{0} \neq \emptyset$ for any small $\lambda, \mu>0$. Let $z=(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{0}$, by (3.1) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|z\|_{t}^{2}=\frac{2\left(2^{*}-q\right)}{2-q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta},  \tag{3.2}\\
& \|z\|_{t}^{2}=\frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2} K_{\lambda, \mu}(z) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition of $S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}$ and (3.2), we have

$$
\|z\|_{t} \geq\left(\frac{2-q}{2\left(2^{*}-q\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2^{*}-2}}\left(S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}\right)^{\frac{N}{4}}
$$

By the assumptions (H1)-(H2), (3.3) and Hölder inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|z\|_{t} & \leq\left(\frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}\left(\lambda C_{f}+\mu C_{g}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}\left(\frac{\bar{t}-t}{\bar{t}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2(q-2)}} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{2^{*}-q}{2^{*}-2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2-q}}\left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(C_{f}^{\frac{2}{q}}+C_{g}^{\frac{2}{q}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2(2-q)}}\left(\frac{\bar{t}-t}{\bar{t}}\right)^{\frac{q}{2(q-2)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \geq \Lambda_{1}$, which is a contradiction with $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{1}$.
By Lemma 3.1, we have $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}=\min \left\{\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}, \theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}\right\}$.
Lemma 3.2 If $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{2}$, then

$$
\theta_{\lambda, \mu}=\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}<0<\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{-},
$$

where $\Lambda_{2}=\left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-q}} \Lambda_{1}$.
Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 3.1 in [10].

## Lemma 3.3

$$
\lim _{(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow(0,0)} \theta_{\lambda, \mu}=0 .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\theta_{\lambda, \mu} \geq-C_{0}\left(\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}\right)
$$

Combining with Lemma 3.2, it is easy to verify that $\lim _{(\lambda, \mu) \rightarrow(0,0)} \theta_{\lambda, \mu}=0$.
Similar to Lemma 2.6 in [15], we have the following result.
Lemma 3.4 If $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{1}$, then for every $z=(u, v) \in E$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}>$ 0 , there exist unique $s^{+}=s^{+}(z)$ and $s^{-}=s^{-}(z)>0$ such that $s^{+} z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}, s^{-} z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}$. Moveover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{-}>\left[\frac{(2-q)\|z\|_{t}^{2}}{2\left(2^{*}-q\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}}\right]^{\frac{1}{2^{*-2}}}=s_{\max }>s^{+}, \\
& J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(s^{+} z\right)=\min _{0 \leq s \leq s_{\max }} J_{\lambda, \mu}(s z)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(s^{-} z\right)=\max _{s \geq 0} J_{\lambda, \mu}(s z) .
$$

Lemma 3.5 Assume that $z$ is a local minimizer for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ and $z \notin \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{0}$, then $J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z)=0$ in $E^{-1}$.
Proof. The proof is almost the same as that of Theorem 2.3 in [14] and is omitted here.

The following lemma provides a precise description of the $(P S)_{c}$-sequence for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$. Lemma 3.6 If $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{2}$, then each sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset E$ satisfying

$$
J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z_{n}\right)=c+o(1), \quad J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)=o(1) \text { in } E^{-1}
$$

with $c \neq 0$ and

$$
c<\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}},
$$

has a convergent subsequence.
Proof. By Lemma 2.2, we have $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset E$ is bounded and there exists $z=(u, v) \in E$. We can assume, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, that $z_{n} \rightharpoonup z$ in $E$ and $z_{n} \rightarrow z$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Now we will show that $z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$.

First, we prove that $z \neq 0$. On the contrary, suppose that $z=0$.
Then by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}=o(1), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left|v_{n}\right|^{q}=o(1), \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

$\operatorname{By}<J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}>=0$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{n}\right|^{\beta}+o(1) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, because $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ is a $(P S)_{c}$-sequence, we have

$$
c=o(1)+J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{N}\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t}^{2}+o(1) .
$$

It is obvious that $c>0$. Thus $\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t}^{2} \geq c$ for large $n$. Then by (3.4) and the definition of $S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}$, we obtain that

$$
\left\|z_{n}\right\|_{t}^{2} \geq 2\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}+o(1)
$$

for large $n$. So $c \geq \frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$, which contradicts $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}<0$. Therefore $z \neq 0$.
It is easy to verify that $z=(u, v)$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) and $z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$. Let $\tilde{z_{n}}=z_{n}-z, \tilde{u_{n}}=u_{n}-u$ and $\tilde{v_{n}}=v_{n}-v$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla \tilde{u}|^{2}+|\nabla \tilde{v}|^{2}-t \frac{\tilde{u}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{\tilde{v}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}-t \frac{u_{n}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{v_{n}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}-t \frac{u^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{v^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 in [13]

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\tilde{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v_{n}\right|^{\beta}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}+o(1),
$$

and by Lemma 2.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|\tilde{u_{n}}\right|^{q} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{q}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)|u|^{q}+o(1)=o(1), \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left|\tilde{v_{n}}\right|^{q} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left|v_{n}\right|^{q}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)|v|^{q}+o(1)=o(1),
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
<J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{z_{n}}\right), \tilde{z_{n}}>=<J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right), z_{n}>-<J_{\lambda, \mu}^{\prime}(z), z>+o(1)=o(1) .
$$

So we can get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \tilde{u_{n}}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla \tilde{v_{n}}\right|^{2}-t \frac{\tilde{u_{n}^{2}}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{\tilde{v_{n}^{2}}}{|x|^{2}}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{u_{n}}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\tilde{v_{n}}\right|^{\beta}=a, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a nonnegative constant.

If $a=0$, the proof is completed. Assume that $a>0$, it follows from (3.5), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}} & =S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{u}_{n}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\tilde{v}_{n}\right|^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}} \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \tilde{u_{n}}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla \tilde{v_{n}}\right|^{2}-t \frac{\tilde{u}_{n}{ }^{2}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{\tilde{v}_{n}{ }^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right) \\
& =a,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $a \geq 2\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$.
Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z_{n}\right)+o(1) \\
& =J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(\tilde{z_{n}}\right)+J_{\lambda, \mu}(z)+o(1) \\
& \geq J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(\tilde{z_{n}}\right)+\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+o(1) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \tilde{u_{n}}\right|^{2}+\left|\nabla \tilde{v_{n}}\right|^{2}-t \frac{\tilde{u_{n}}}{|x|^{2}}-t \frac{\tilde{v}_{n}^{2}}{|x|^{2}}\right)-\left.\frac{2}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\tilde{u_{n}}\right|\right|^{\alpha}\left|\tilde{v_{n}}\right|^{\beta}+\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+o(1) \\
& =\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{1}{N} a \\
& \geq \theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction. So the proof is completed.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

First, we shall use the idea of Tarantello [12] to get the following results.
Similar to Proposition 9 in [16], we can prove the following result.
Proposition 4.1 (i) If $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{1}$, then there exists a $(P S)_{\theta_{\lambda, \mu}}$-sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ in $E$ for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$;
(ii) If $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{2}$, then there exists a $(P S)_{\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}}$-sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ in $E$ for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$.

Now, we establish the existence of a positive solution in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}$.
Theorem 4.1 If $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{1}$, then $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ has a minimizer $z^{1}$ in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}$which satisfies
(i) $J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z^{1}\right)=\theta_{\lambda, \mu}=\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}<0$;
(ii) $z^{1}$ is a positive solution of problem (1.1).

Proof. By Proposition 4.1(i), there exists a $(P S)_{\theta_{\lambda, \mu}}$-sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ in $E$ for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$. It follows from $\theta_{\lambda, \mu}<0$ and Lemma 3.5, that there exists $z^{1}=\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow z^{1}$ strongly in $E$. So $z^{1}$ is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1).

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [10], we can prove that $\left|z^{1}\right|=\left(\left|u^{1}\right|,|v|^{1}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}$is a positive solution of problem (1.1).

Next, we establish the existence of a positive solution of the system (1.1) on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}$. First, we consider

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x)=\varepsilon^{-\frac{N-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right), \varepsilon>0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

which is an extremal function for $S_{t}$, where $U$ is defined in (2.1).
Since $f^{+}, g^{+}$are continuous functions in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\Sigma=\Sigma_{f} \cap \Sigma_{g} \neq \phi$. Following the method of [17], without loss of generality, we may assume the $\Sigma$ is a domain of positive measure.

We consider the test function

$$
\omega_{\varepsilon, y}(x)=\eta_{y}(x) u_{\varepsilon, y}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $y \in \Sigma, u_{\varepsilon, y}(x)=u_{\varepsilon}(x-y)$ and $\eta_{y} \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Sigma)$ with $\eta_{y} \geq 0$ and $\eta_{y}=1$ near $y$.
Let $\Lambda_{2}$ as in Theorem 4.1, then for $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{2}$, we have the following result.
Lemma 4.1 Let $z^{1}=\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right)$ be the local minimizer in Theorem 4.1. Then for every $l>0$ and a.e. $y \in \Sigma$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}(l, y)>0$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)<\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$.

Proof. One has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{q} \\
& -\frac{\mu}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{q}-\frac{2}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\beta} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right)\right\|_{t}^{2}+\frac{l^{2}}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right\|_{t}^{2}+l\left[\left\langle u^{1}, \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}>_{t}+<v^{1}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}>_{t}\right]\right. \\
& -\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda f(x)\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{q}+\mu g(x)\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{q} \\
& -\frac{2}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\beta} \\
= & J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right)+\frac{l^{2}}{2}(\alpha+\beta)| | \omega_{\varepsilon, y} \|_{t}^{2}+\frac{2}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta}+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda f(x)\left|u^{1}\right|^{q}+\mu g(x)\left|v^{1}\right|^{q} \\
& -\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda f(x)\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{q}+\mu g(x)\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{q} \\
& +l \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda f(x)\left|u^{1}\right|^{q-1} \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}+\mu g(x)\left|v^{1}\right|^{q-1} \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y} \\
& -\left.\frac{2}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|\right|^{\beta} \\
& +\frac{2 l}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha-1}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta} \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}+\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta-1} \beta^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega_{\varepsilon, y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y \mid}\right|^{q}-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|u^{1}\right|^{q}-l \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left|u^{1}\right|^{q-1} \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y} \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(x)\left\{\int_{0}^{l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}}\left[\left(u^{1}+s\right)^{q-1}-\left(u^{1}\right)^{q-1}\right] d s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{q}-\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left|v^{1}\right|^{q}-l \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left|v^{1}\right|^{q-1} \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y} \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g(x)\left\{\int_{0}^{l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}}\left[\left(v^{1}+s\right)^{q-1}-\left(v^{1}\right)^{q-1}\right] d s\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows from $f>0, g>0$ in $\Sigma$ and $\omega_{\varepsilon, y} \equiv 0$ in $\Sigma^{c}$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right) \\
\leq & J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(u^{1}, v^{1}\right)+\frac{l^{2}}{2}(\alpha+\beta)\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\frac{2}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta} \\
& +\frac{2 l}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha-1}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta} \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}+\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta-1} \beta^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega_{\varepsilon, y} \\
& -\frac{2}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\beta} . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to the estimate in [16] and [17], we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\beta} \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta}+l \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha-1}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta} \alpha^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}+\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta-1} \beta^{\frac{3}{2}} \omega_{\varepsilon, y} \\
& +l^{2^{*}} \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{2^{*}}+l^{2^{*}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\alpha^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u^{1}+\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \beta^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}} v^{1}\right)\left|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{2^{*}-1}+o\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{2^{*}}=A+O\left(\varepsilon^{N}\right),\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right\|_{t}^{2}=B+O\left(\varepsilon^{N-1}\right)
$$

where $A=\|U\|_{2^{*}}^{2^{*}}, B=\|U\|_{t}^{2}$ and $S_{t}=\frac{B}{A^{\frac{2}{2^{*}}}}$.
Substituting in (4.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right) \\
\leq & \theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{l^{2}}{2}(\alpha+\beta) B-\frac{2 l^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{2}} A \\
& -l^{2^{*}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\alpha^{\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{\beta}{2}} u^{1}+\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \beta^{\frac{\beta+1}{2}} v^{1}\right)\left|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{2^{*}-1}+o\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{N-1}{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to the argument of Lemma 3.1 in [12], we can conclude that for every $l>0$ and a.e. $y \in \Sigma$, there exists $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{0}(l, y)>0$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(u^{1}+l \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, v^{1}+l \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)<\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}
$$

for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$.
Theorem 4.2 There exists $\Lambda>0$ with $\Lambda \leq \Lambda_{2}$, for all $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda$, then $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ has a minimizer $z^{2}$ in $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}$which satisfies
(i) $J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(z^{2}\right)=\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}<\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$;
(ii) $z^{2}$ is a positive solution of problem (1.1).

Proof. First, we will show that

$$
\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}<\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{1}= & \left\{z=(u, v) \in E: \frac{1}{\|z\|_{t}} s^{-}\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|_{t}}\right)>1, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}>0\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{z=(u, v) \in E: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
U_{2}=\left\{z=(u, v) \in E: \frac{1}{\|z\|_{t}} s^{-}\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|_{t}}\right)<1, \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\alpha}|v|^{\beta}>0\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}$disconnects $E$ in two connected components $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$. For each $z \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}$, one has $1<s_{\max }<s^{-}(z)$. Since $s^{-}(z)=\frac{1}{\|z\|_{t}} s^{-}\left(\frac{z}{\|z\|_{t}}\right)$, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+} \subset U_{1}$. So $z^{1} \in U_{1}$.

In the following, we will prove that there exits $l_{0}>0$ such that $z^{1}+l_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right) \in$ $U_{2}$. First, we show that there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
0<s^{-}\left(\frac{z^{1}+l\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)}{\left\|z^{1}+l\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}}\right)<c
$$

for any $l>0$.
On the contrary, assume that there is a sequence $\left\{l_{n}\right\}$ with $l_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
s^{-}\left(\frac{z^{1}+l_{n}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)}{\left\|z^{1}+l_{n}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}}\right) \rightarrow \infty
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Let

$$
w_{n}=\left(w_{n}^{1}, w_{n}^{2}\right)=\frac{z^{1}+l_{n}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)}{\left\|z^{1}+l_{n}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}} .
$$

In connection with $s^{-}\left(w_{n}\right) w_{n} \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}$and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{\beta} & =\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u^{1}+l_{n} \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}+l_{n} \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\beta}}{\left\|z^{1}+l_{n}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}^{2^{*}}} \\
& =\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\frac{u^{1}}{l_{n}}+\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\frac{v^{1}}{l_{n}}+\sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\beta}}{\left\|\frac{z^{1}}{l_{n}}+\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}^{2 *}} \\
& =\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\alpha}\left|\sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right|^{\beta}}{\left\|\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}^{2^{*}}}+o(1), \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda, \mu}\left(s^{-}\left(w_{n}\right) w_{n}\right)= & \frac{\left|s^{-}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{2}}{2}-\frac{\left|s^{-}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{2^{*}}}{2^{*}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|w_{n}^{2}\right|^{\beta} \\
& -\frac{\left|s^{-}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{q}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda f(x)\left(w_{n}^{1}\right)^{q}+\mu g(x)\left(w_{n}^{2}\right)^{q} \\
\longrightarrow & -\infty, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts that $J_{\lambda, \mu}$ is coercive on $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$.
Set

$$
l_{0}=\frac{\left\lvert\, c^{2}-\left\|z^{1}\right\|_{t}^{2} t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.}{\left\|\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}}+1
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|z^{1}+l_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}^{2} \\
= & \left\|z^{1}\right\|_{t}^{2}+l_{0}^{2}(\alpha+\beta)\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right\|_{t}^{2}+2 l_{0}<u^{1}, \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}>_{t}+2 l_{0}<v^{1}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}>_{t} \\
= & \left\|z^{1}\right\|_{t}^{2}+l_{0}^{2}(\alpha+\beta)\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right\|_{t}^{2}+2 l_{0}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \lambda f(x)\left|u^{1}\right|^{q-1} \sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}+\mu g(x)\left|v^{1}\right|^{q-1} \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right) \\
& +\frac{4 l_{0} \alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \sqrt{\alpha}\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha-1}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}+\frac{4 l_{0} \beta}{\alpha+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \sqrt{\beta}\left|u^{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left|v^{1}\right|^{\beta-1} \omega_{\varepsilon, y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f>0, g>0$ in $\Sigma, \omega_{\varepsilon, y} \equiv 0$ in $\Sigma^{c}$ and the choice of $l_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|z^{1}+l_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}^{2} \\
\geq & \left\|z^{1}\right\|_{t}^{2}+l_{0}^{2}(\alpha+\beta)\left\|\omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right\|_{t}^{2} \\
> & \left\|z^{1}\right\|_{t}^{2}+\left|c^{2}-\left\|z^{1}\right\|_{t}^{2}\right| \geq c^{2} \\
> & {\left[s^{-}\left(\frac{z^{1}+l\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)}{\left\|z^{1}+l\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\|_{t}}\right)\right]^{2} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

So $z^{1}+l_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right) \in U_{2}$.
Denote

$$
\theta=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max _{s \in[0,1]} J_{\lambda, \mu}(\gamma(s))
$$

where $\Gamma=\left\{\gamma \in C([0,1], E): \gamma(0)=z^{1}, \gamma(1)=z^{1}+l_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)\right\}$.
Obviously, the path $\gamma_{0}(s)=z^{1}+s l_{0}\left(\sqrt{\alpha} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}, \sqrt{\beta} \omega_{\varepsilon, y}\right)$ belongs to $\Gamma$. Thus, it follows from $\gamma(0) \in U_{1}$ and $\gamma(1) \in U_{2}$, that there exists $s_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $\gamma\left(s_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}$.

By Lemma 4.1, we get

$$
\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{-} \leq \theta<\theta_{\lambda, \mu}+\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{S_{\alpha, \beta}^{t}}{2}\right)^{\frac{N}{2}} .
$$

By Proposition 4.1(ii), there exists a $(P S)_{\theta_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}}$-sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ in $E$ for $J_{\lambda, \mu}$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists $z^{2}=\left(u^{2}, v^{2}\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}$ such that $z_{n} \rightarrow z^{2}$ strongly in $E$. So $z^{2}$ is a nontrivial solution of problem (1.1).

Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [10], we can prove that $\left|z^{2}\right|=\left(\left|u^{2}\right|,|v|^{2}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}$is a positive solution of problem (1.1).

Finally, we will give the proof of Theorem 1.
Proof. Let $\Lambda$ be defined as in Theorem 4.2. For all $0<\lambda^{\frac{2}{2-q}}+\mu^{\frac{2}{2-q}}<\Lambda_{1}$, by Theorem 4.1, the system (1.1) has a positive solution $\left|z^{1}\right| \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}$. By $\Lambda \leq \Lambda_{2}<\Lambda_{1}$ and Theorem 4.2, the system (1.1) has a positive solution $\left|z^{2}\right| \in \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-}$. It follows from $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{-} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\lambda, \mu}^{+}=\emptyset$, that the system (1.1) has two positive solutions $\left|z^{1}\right|$ and $\left|z^{2}\right|$.
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