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#### Abstract

In this article, we consider the concentration of positive solutions for the following equation with Trudinger-Moser nonlinearity: $$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{N} u-\Delta_{q} u+V(\varepsilon x)\left(|u|^{N-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u\right)=f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u \in W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap W^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\end{cases}
$$ where $V$ is a positive continuous function and has a local minimum, $\varepsilon>0$ is a small parameter, $2 \leq N<q<+\infty, f$ is $C^{1}$ with subcritical growth. When $V$ and $f$ satisfy some appropriate assumptions, we construct the solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ that concentrates around any given isolated local minimum of $V$ by applying the penalization method for the above equation.
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## 1 Introduction and main result

In this article, we consider the concentration of positive solutions for an ( $N, q$ )-Laplacian equation with Trudinger-Moser nonlinearity:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{N} u-\Delta_{q} u+V(\varepsilon x)\left(|u|^{N-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u\right)=f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.1}\\ u \in W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap W^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\end{cases}
$$

where $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a function that satisfies continuity and has a local minimum, $\varepsilon>0$ is a small parameter, $2 \leq N<q<+\infty, f \in C^{1}$ is subcritical.

We first introduce some background about $(p, q)$-Laplacian equation. As described in [14], problem (1.1) originates from the following reaction-diffusion equation:

$$
u_{t}=C(x, u)+\operatorname{div}(D(u) \nabla u), \quad D(u)=|\nabla u|^{q-2}+|\nabla u|^{p-2} .
$$

[^0]It is widely used in physics or chemistry, such as solid state physics, chemical reaction design, biophysics and plasma physics. Note that, in general reaction-diffusion equation, the physical meaning of $u$ is concentration, and the physical meaning of $\operatorname{div}(D(u) \nabla u)$ is the diffusion generated by $D(u) . C(x, u)$ is related to the source and loss process. Generally, $C(x, u)$ is a polynomial with variable coefficients related to $u$ in chemical and biological applications.

When $p<q<N$, Zhang et al. in [36] studied the following double phase problem

$$
\begin{cases}(-\Delta)_{q}^{m} u+(-\Delta)_{p}^{m} u+V(\varepsilon x)\left(|u|^{q-2} u+|u|^{p-2} u\right)=\lambda f(u)+|u|^{r-2} u, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\ u \in W^{m, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap W^{m, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), u>0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\end{cases}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a parameter small enough but $\lambda$ is required to be large enough, $0<m<1$, $r=$ $q_{m}^{*}=N q /(N-m q), 2 \leqslant p<q<N / m,(-\Delta)_{t}^{m}$ is the fractional $t$-Laplace operator and the potential $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. The authors obtained the existence and concentration properties of multiple positive solutions to the above problem. Note that, [36] assumed that the nonlinearity satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, that is, for all $t>0$, there is $\theta \in\left(q, q_{m}^{*}\right)$ that satisfies $0<\theta F(t):=\theta \int_{0}^{t} f(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \leqslant f(t) t$. So the authors can get the existence and concentration properties of multiple positive solutions by using Nehari manifold.

When $1<q<N=p$, the authors in [12] investigated the existence of solutions for the $(N, q)$-Laplacian equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{q} u-\Delta_{N} u=f(u) \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the nonlinear term $f(u)$ satisfies exponential critical growth in the sense of TrudingerMoser. In order to detect the solution, they used a variational method related to the new Trudinger-Moser type inequality. Figueiredo and Nunes in [19] used Nehari manifold method to studied the existence of positive solutions for the following class of quasilinear problems

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(a\left(|\nabla u|^{p}\right)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=f(u) \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is worth pointing out that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in [19] are valid for the problem (1.2) if $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is replaced by $\Omega$ which is a smooth bounded domain. In [15], Costa and Figueiredo studied a class of quasilinear equation with exponential critical growth. They used variational methods and del Pino and Felmer's technique (del Pino and Felmer 1996) in order to overcome the lack of compactness, and got the existence of a family nodal solutions, which concentrate on the minimum points set of the potential function, changes sign exactly once in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

When $p=N / m<q$, Nguyen in [29] studied the following Schrödinger equation involving the fractional $(N, q)$-Laplace operator and Trudinger-Moser nonlinear term

$$
(-\Delta)_{N / m}^{m} u+(-\Delta)_{q}^{m} u+V(\varepsilon x)\left(|u|^{\frac{N}{m}-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u\right)=f(u) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where $\varepsilon>0$ is a parameter small enough, $m \in(0,1), N=p m, 2 \leq p=N / m<q$, the potential $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function that satisfies some suitable conditions. The nonlinear term $f(u)$ satisfies exponential growth. In order to obtain existence and concentration properties of nontrivial nonnegative solutions, the author in [29] used the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory and Nehari manifold.

It is worth mentioning that both the nonlinearities of [12] and [29] satisfy the AmbrosettiRabinowitz condition. Inspired by the above works, it seems quite natural to ask if $f(u)$ does
not satisfy the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition but satisfies Beresticky-Lions type assumptions, do the same results hold for $(N, q)$-Laplacian problem? In this paper, we give a positive answer.

In the present paper, we assume that the potential $V: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the following conditions which are always called del Pino-Felmer type conditions (cf. [16]).
$\left(V_{1}\right) \quad V \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}, \mathbb{R}\right)$ such that $\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} V(x)=V_{0}>0$.
$\left(V_{2}\right)$ There exists a bounded domain $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfies

$$
m:=\inf _{x \in \Lambda} V(x)<\min _{x \in \partial \Lambda} V(x) .
$$

Moreover, we can assume $0 \in \mathcal{M}:=\{x \in \Lambda: V(x)=m\}$.
The nonlinear term $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Moreover, for $t \leq 0$, we assume that $f(t)=0$. Furthermore, $f(t)$ satisfies the following hypotheses:
(f1) $\quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t^{q-1}}=0$;
( $f_{2}$ ) $\forall \alpha>0$, for $t \geq 0$, there is a $C_{\alpha}>0$ satisfies $|f(t)| \leq C_{\alpha} e^{\alpha t t^{N-1}}$;
$\left(f_{3}\right)$ there is $T>0$ satisfies $F(T)>\frac{m}{N} T^{N}+\frac{m}{q} T^{q}$.
Next, we state the main conclusion as follows:
Theorem 1.1. If $\left(V_{1}\right)-\left(V_{2}\right)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{3}\right)$ are true, for small $\varepsilon>0$, equation (1.1) has a positive solution $u_{\varepsilon}$ which has a maximum point $x_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{\varepsilon}, \mathcal{M}\right)=0
$$

Moreover, for any $x_{\varepsilon}$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ (up to a subsequence), $v_{\varepsilon}(x)=u_{\varepsilon}\left(\varepsilon x+x_{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges uniformly to a least energy solution of the following equation:

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{q} u-\Delta_{N} u+m\left(|u|^{q-2} u+|u|^{N-2} u\right)=f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{1.3}\\ u \in W^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, we have

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq C_{1} e^{-C_{2}\left|x-x_{\varepsilon}\right|}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, C_{1}, C_{2}>0 .
$$

Remark 1.2. Without loss of generality, it can be assumed that $V_{0}=1$.
As far as we know, there is no result on the concentration of positive solutions for $(N, q)$ Laplacian problems with Berestycki-Lions nonlinearity.

Finally, we point out that Theorem 1.1 is proved by variational method, and there are four main difficulties we encounter during the preparation of manuscript:
(1) The nonlinear term $f(u)$ does not satisfy the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, and for $u>0$, the function $\frac{f(u)}{u^{q-1}}$ is not increasing. They both prevent us from getting the boundedness of Palais-Smale sequence and using the Nehari manifold. Moreover, we can not apply the method in [16].
(2) Since $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ is unbounded, it will lead to the loss of compactness. In the later proof, we will find that this difficulty will prevent us from directly using the variational method.
(3) When $N>2$, the working space $X_{\varepsilon}$ is no longer a Hilbert space. This makes it more complicated to prove the following formula in Lemma 3.11:

$$
J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)+J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)+o(1)
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
(4) Due to $N=p<q$, we can not use the method of [2] to obtain that $b_{m} \geq c_{m}$ in Lemma 3.6.

In order to overcome the above difficulties, inspired by $[8,18,22,25]$, we recover the compactness by penalization method described in [10].

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we give some definitions of function spaces and lemmas to be used later. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2 Preliminary

In this section, we will give some definitions of symbols, and review some existing results that need to be used in the future.

Let $u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. For $2 \leq N<q<+\infty$, let us define $D^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\overline{C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}{ }^{|\nabla \cdot|_{N}}$. We denote the following fractional Sobolev space

$$
W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u:|\nabla u|_{N}<+\infty,|u|_{N}<+\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the natural norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\left(|\nabla u|_{N}^{N}+|u|_{N}^{N}\right)^{1 / N}
$$

where $|\cdot|_{N}^{N}:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\cdot|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x$.
For all $u, v \in W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we define

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N-2} \nabla u \nabla v+|u|^{N-2} u v\right) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

In this article, we need to introduce a work space

$$
X=W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap W^{1, q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

whose norm is defined as

$$
\|u\|_{X}:=\|u\|_{W^{1,9}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}+\|u\|_{W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} .
$$

When $V(x)=V_{0}$, we define space

$$
X_{0}:=\left\{u \in X: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{0}\left(|u|^{q}+|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x<+\infty\right\}
$$

equipped with the norm as

$$
\|u\|_{X_{0}}=\|u\|_{V_{0}, q}+\|u\|_{V_{0}, N},
$$

where $\|u\|_{V_{0}, r}^{r}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{r}+V_{0}|u|^{r}\right) \mathrm{d} x, \forall r \in\{N, q\}$. It should be noted that $X_{0}$ is a separable reflexive Banach space. Due to the Theorem 6.9 in [28], for any $v \in[N,+\infty)$, it is easy to see that the embedding from $X_{0}$ into $L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is continuous. Then for all $v \in[N,+\infty)$, there exists $A_{\nu, m}>0$ satisfies

$$
A_{\nu, m}=\inf _{u \neq 0, u \in X_{0}} \frac{\|u\|_{X_{0}}}{\|u\|_{L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{v}\right)}}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq A_{v, m}^{-1}\|u\|_{X_{0}} \text { for all } u \in X_{0} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we also need to introduce the following space

$$
X_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{u \in X: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(\varepsilon x)\left(|u|^{q}+|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x<+\infty\right\}
$$

whose norm is defined as

$$
\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}:=\|u\|_{V_{\varepsilon}, q}+\|u\|_{V_{\varepsilon}, N},
$$

where $\|u\|_{\varepsilon_{\varepsilon}, r}^{1, r}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{r}+V(\varepsilon x)|u|^{r}\right) \mathrm{d} x, \forall r \in\{N, q\}$. According to Lemma 10 in [31], we obtain that $X_{\varepsilon}$ is uniformly convex Banach space. Moreover, for any $v \in[N,+\infty)$, the embedding

$$
X_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

is continuous. Then for all $v \in[N,+\infty)$, there is $S_{v, \varepsilon}>0$ satisfies:

$$
S_{v, \varepsilon}=\inf _{u \neq 0, u \in X_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}}{\|u\|_{L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}
$$

It can be seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq S_{v, \varepsilon}^{-1}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}, \quad \forall u \in X_{\varepsilon} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we consider

$$
X_{\mathrm{rad}}:=\{u \in X: u(x)=u(|x|)\} .
$$

Lemma 2.1 (see [34, Theorem 2.8]). Assume that $X$ is a Banach space, $M_{0}$ is a closed subspace of the metric space $M, \Gamma_{0} \subset \mathcal{C}\left(M_{0}, X\right)$. Consider

$$
\Gamma:=\left\{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(M, X):\left.\gamma\right|_{M_{0}} \in \Gamma_{0}\right\} .
$$

Assume $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$
\infty>c:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup u \in M,
$$

For any $\varepsilon \in(0,(c-a) / 2), \delta>0$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\sup _{M} \varphi \circ \gamma \leq c+\varepsilon$, there is $u \in X$ satisfies
(a) $c-2 \varepsilon \leq \varphi(u) \leq c+2 \varepsilon ;$
(b) $\operatorname{dist}(u, \gamma(M)) \leq 2 \delta$;
(c) $\left\|\varphi^{\prime}(u)\right\| \leq \frac{8 \varepsilon}{\delta}$.

Now, we recall follow Lemma 2.2 from J. M. do Ó [17] (or see [11]). The Lemma 2.3 follows from Adachi and Tanaka [1].

Lemma 2.2 (see [17]). Assume $N \geq 2, u \in W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\alpha>0$, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\exp \left(\alpha|u|^{N /(N-1)}\right)-S_{N-2}(\alpha, u)\right) \mathrm{d} x<\infty
$$

where

$$
S_{N-2}(\alpha, u)=\sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \frac{\alpha^{k}}{k!}|u|^{\frac{k N}{(N-1)}} .
$$

In addition, when $\alpha<\alpha_{N}$, for $\forall M>0$, there is $C=C(\alpha, N, M)$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\exp \left(\alpha|u|^{N /(N-1)}\right)-S_{N-2}(\alpha, u)\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq C, \quad \forall u \in W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

We also have $\|u\|_{N} \leq M$ and $\|\nabla u\|_{N} \leq 1$.
Lemma 2.3 (see [1]). Assume $N \geq 2, \alpha \in\left(0, \alpha_{N}\right)$, there is a constant $C_{\alpha}>0$ that satisfies

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{N}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Psi_{N}\left(\frac{u}{\|\nabla u\|_{N}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \leq C_{\alpha}\|u\|_{N^{\prime}}^{N} \quad \forall u \in W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}
$$

Here $\Psi_{N}(t)=e^{\alpha|t|^{N /(N-1)}}-S_{N-2}(\alpha, t)$.

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For $\forall B \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, \varepsilon>0, B_{\varepsilon}$ can be define as $B_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \varepsilon x \in B\right\}$. Next, we will use the method in $[16,21]$ to modify $f$. According to $\left(f_{1}\right)$, there exists $a>0$ such that

$$
f(t) \leq \frac{t^{N-1}}{2}, \forall t \in(0, a)
$$

For $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, assume that

$$
g(x, t)=\left(1-\chi_{\Lambda}(x)\right) \widetilde{f}(t)+\chi_{\Lambda}(x) f(t)
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{f}(t)= \begin{cases}f(t), & t \leq a, \\ \min \left\{f(t), \frac{1}{2} t^{N-1}\right\}, & t>a\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\chi_{\Lambda}(x)= \begin{cases}1, & x \in \Lambda \\ 0, & x \notin \Lambda\end{cases}
$$

Obviously, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \in[0, a]$, we have $g(x, t)=f(t)$. Moreover, for $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \geq 0$, we also obtain that $g(x, t) \leq f(t)$. Now, considering the modified problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{N} u-\Delta_{q} u+V_{\varepsilon}\left(|u|^{N-2} u+|u|^{q-2} u\right)=g(\varepsilon x, u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}  \tag{3.1}\\ u \in X_{\varepsilon}, u>0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\end{cases}
$$

where $g(\varepsilon x, t)=\left(1-\chi_{\Lambda_{\varepsilon}}(x)\right) \widetilde{f}(t)+\chi_{\Lambda_{\varepsilon}}(x) f(t)$. Clearly, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Lambda_{\varepsilon}$, if $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies $u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq$ $a$ and it is a solution of (3.1), we know that $u_{\varepsilon}$ is the solution of the original problem (1.1).

As to $u \in X_{\varepsilon}$, we assume that

$$
I_{\varepsilon}(u)=\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{q}+V_{\varepsilon}|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N}+V_{\varepsilon}|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G(\varepsilon x, u) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $G(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(x, \varrho) \mathrm{d} \varrho$. For $\forall \mu>0$, define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{\varepsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon^{-\mu}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Lambda_{\varepsilon}, \\
0, & x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon},\end{cases} \\
Q_{\varepsilon}(u)=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \chi_{\varepsilon}|u|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x-1\right)_{+}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This penalization first appeared in [10] (or see [8]). It has the advantage that it can make the concentration phenomena to occur in $\Lambda$. Now, we define $J_{\varepsilon}: X_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$
J_{\varepsilon}(u)=Q_{\varepsilon}(u)+I_{\varepsilon}(u) .
$$

Clearly, $J_{\varepsilon} \in C^{1}\left(X_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Next, to find the solutions of equation (3.1) concentrated around the local minimum of potential function as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we will find the critical points of $J_{\varepsilon}$ which make $Q_{\varepsilon}$ zero.

### 3.1 Limit problem

First, considering the limit problem, i.e.

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta_{q} u-\Delta_{N} u+m\left(|u|^{q-2} u+|u|^{N-2} u\right)=f(u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},  \tag{3.2}\\ u \in X, & x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .\end{cases}
$$

The energy functional corresponding to (3.2) is defined as follows

$$
I_{m}(u)=\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N}+m|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{q}+m|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

In view of [30], assuming that $u \in X_{0}$ is the weak solution of problem (3.2), it is easy to get the Pohozǎev identity:

$$
P_{m}(u)=\frac{N-q}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{N m}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Lemma 3.1. $I_{m}$ has the Mountain-Pass geometry.
Proof. According to $\left(f_{1}\right), \forall|t| \leq \delta, \exists \varepsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$ such that

$$
|f(t)| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{q-1}
$$

In addition, by using the condition $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $f$ is a function that satisfies continuity, $\forall \tau>q$, $\forall|t| \geq \delta$, it is easy to find a constant $C=C(\tau, \delta)>0$ satisfies

$$
|f(t)| \leq C|t|^{\tau-1} \Psi_{N}(t)
$$

Combining the above two formulas, we get

$$
|f(t)| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{q-1}+C|t|^{\tau-1} \Psi_{N}(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Then

$$
|F(t)| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{q}+C|t|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(t) .
$$

So, for $2 \leq N<q<q^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{m}(u)= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{q}+m|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N}+m|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N}+m|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{q}+m|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\varepsilon|u|_{q}^{q} \\
& -C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|t|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(u) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Psi_{N}(u)|u|^{\tau} \mathrm{d} x \leq\|u\|_{L^{t t^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\tau}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\Phi_{N}(u)\right)^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}
$$

where $\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{t^{\prime}}=1\left(t^{\prime}>1, t>1\right)$. Due to Lemma 2.3, we may find a constant $D>0$ satisfies

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\Phi_{N}(u)\right)^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq D
$$

By using (2.1), we obtain that

$$
\|u\|_{L^{\nu}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq A_{v, m}^{-1}\|u\|_{X_{0}} \text { for all } u \in X_{0} .
$$

Hence, when $\|u\|_{X_{0}}$ is small enough, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{m}(u) \geq & \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{q}+m|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N}+m|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|t|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(u) \mathrm{d} x-\varepsilon|u|_{q}^{q} \\
\geq & \frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{q}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{q}-C D A_{\tau t^{\prime}, m}^{-\tau}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{\tau} \\
= & \|u\|_{X_{0}}^{q}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}-C D A_{\tau t^{\prime}, m}^{-\tau}\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{\tau-q}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From which we deduce that $\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}>0$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough. Let

$$
h(t)=\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}-C D A_{\tau t^{\prime}, m}^{-\tau} t^{\tau-q}, \quad t \geq 0 .
$$

Next, we will prove there is $t_{0}>0$ small enough such that $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}\right) \leq h\left(t_{0}\right)$. Obviously, if $t \in[0,+\infty), h$ is a continuous function. Note that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(t)=\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}$, then we can find $t_{0}$ that satisfies $h(t) \geq \frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q^{-1}}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}-\varepsilon_{1}, \forall t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right), t_{0}$ is small enough. Choosing $\varepsilon_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}\right)$, we have

$$
h(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}\right)
$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$. In particularly,

$$
h\left(t_{0}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}\right) .
$$

So, for $\|u\|_{X_{0}}=t_{0}$, we get

$$
I_{m}(u) \geq \frac{t_{0}^{q}}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon A_{q, m}^{-q}\right)=\rho_{0}>0 .
$$

Now, $\forall R>0$, define $w_{R}(x, y)$ as follows:

$$
w_{R}(x, y):= \begin{cases}T, & x \in B_{R}^{+}(0), \\ 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{N} \backslash B_{R+1}^{+}(0), \\ T(R+1-\sqrt{|x|}), & x \in B_{R+1}^{+}(0) \backslash B_{R}^{+}(0)\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to get that $w_{R} \in X_{\text {rad }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. It is worth noting that, for $R>0$ large enough, according to $\left(f_{3}\right)$, we have that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[F\left(w_{R}(x)\right)-\frac{m}{N} w_{R}^{N}(x)-\frac{m}{q} w_{R}^{q}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x \geq 0 .
$$

Next, consider $w_{R, \theta}(x):=w_{R}\left(\frac{x}{e^{\theta}}\right)$. Fix $R>0$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{m}\left(w_{R, \theta}\right) & =\frac{1}{q} e^{(N-q) \theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-e^{N \theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left[F\left(w_{R}(x)\right)-\frac{m}{N} w_{R}^{N}(x)-\frac{m}{q} w_{R}^{q}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x \\
& \rightarrow-\infty \text { as } \theta \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

This ends the proof.
Therefore, according to Lemma 3.1, we may define $c_{m}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{m}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{m}} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} I_{m}(\gamma(t)) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Gamma_{m}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{m}:=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], X_{0}\right): \gamma(0)=0 \text { and } I_{m}(\gamma(1))<0\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $c_{m}>0$. Moreover, similar to [2], we note that

$$
c_{m}=c_{m, \mathrm{rad}}
$$

where

$$
c_{m, \text { rad }}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{m, \text { rad }}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} I_{m}(\gamma(t))
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{m, \mathrm{rad}}:=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], X_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right): I_{m}(\gamma(1))<0, \gamma(0)=0\right\} .
$$

Next, we will construct a (PS) sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for $I_{m}$ at the level $c_{m}$ that satisfies $I_{m}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is

Proposition 3.2. There exists a sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $X_{0}$ that satisfies, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{m}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{m}, \quad I_{m}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad P_{m}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $(\theta, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times X_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, define $\widetilde{I}_{m}(\theta, u):=\left(I_{m} \circ \Phi\right)(\theta, u)$, where $\Phi(\theta, u):=u\left(\frac{x}{e^{\theta}}\right)$. The standard norm of $\mathbb{R} \times X_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\|(\theta, u)\|_{\mathbb{R} \times X_{0}}=\left(\|u\|_{X_{0}}^{2}+|\theta|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

According to Lemma 3.1, $\widetilde{I}_{m}$ has a mountain pass geometry, so we can define $\tilde{c}_{m}$ as follows:

$$
\tilde{c}_{m}=\inf _{\widetilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{m}} \max _{t \in[0,1]} \widetilde{I}_{m}(\widetilde{\gamma}(t)),
$$

where

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}_{m}=\left\{\widetilde{\gamma} \in C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R} \times X_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\right): \widetilde{I}_{m}(\widetilde{\gamma}(1))<0, \widetilde{\gamma}(0)=(0)\right\} .
$$

It is easy to prove that $\widetilde{c}_{m}=c_{m}$ (see $\left.[3,23]\right)$. Then according to Lemma 2.1, we obtain that there exists a sequence $\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R} \times X_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
(i) $\left(I_{m} \circ \Phi\right)\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{m}$,
(ii) $\left(I_{m} \circ \Phi\right)^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$,
(iii) $\theta_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

In fact, let $\delta=\delta_{n}=\frac{1}{n}, \varepsilon=\varepsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}$ in Lemma 2.1, by using (a) and (c) in Lemma 2.1, we can obtain (i) and (ii). Due to (3.3) and (3.4), for $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{n^{2}}$, it is easy to find that $\gamma_{n} \in \Gamma_{m}$ such that $\sup _{t \in[0,1]} I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}(t)\right) \leq c_{m}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}$. Now define $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n}(t)=\left(0, \gamma_{n}(t)\right)$, we obtain

$$
\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left(I_{m} \circ \Phi\right)\left(\widetilde{\gamma}_{n}(t)\right)=\sup _{t \in[0,1]} I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}(t)\right) \leq c_{m}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}
$$

According to (b) in Lemma 2.1, then there is $\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times X_{0}$ such that

$$
\underset{\mathbb{R} \times X_{0}}{\operatorname{dist}}\left(\left(0, \gamma_{n}(t)\right),\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right) \leq \frac{2}{n^{\prime}}
$$

so (iii) holds. Now, for $A \subset \mathbb{R} \times X_{0}$, define

$$
\operatorname{dist}_{\mathbb{R} \times X_{0}}((\theta, u), A)=\inf _{(\tau, v) \in \mathbb{R} \times X_{0}}\left(\|u-v\|_{X_{0}}^{2}+|\theta-\tau|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

So, for $(h, w) \in \mathbb{R} \times X_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(I_{m} \circ \Phi\right)^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right),(h, w)\right\rangle=P_{m}\left(\Phi\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right) h+\left\langle I_{m}^{\prime}\left(\Phi\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right), \Phi^{\prime}\left(\theta_{n}, w\right)\right\rangle . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, put $w=0$ and $h=1$, it is easy to get

$$
P_{m}\left(\Phi\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Moreover, for all $v \in X_{0}$, we only take $h=0$ and $w(x)=v\left(e^{\theta_{n}} x\right)$ in (3.6), by using (ii), (iii), we get

$$
o(1)\|v\|_{X_{0}}=o(1)\left\|v\left(e^{\theta_{n}} x\right)\right\|_{X_{0}}=\left\langle I_{m}^{\prime}\left(\Phi\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right), v\right\rangle .
$$

Hence, $w_{n}=\Phi\left(\theta_{n}, u_{n}\right)$ is just the sequence we need.

Lemma 3.3. The sequence ( $w_{n}$ ) that satisfies (3.5) is bounded in $X_{0}$.
Proof. According to (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{m}+o_{n}(1)= & I_{m}\left(w_{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} P_{m}\left(w_{n}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(w_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{N-q}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{\mid} \mathrm{d} x\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{N}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(w_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x$ are bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $P_{m}\left(w_{n}\right)=$ $o_{n}(1)$ and $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$ show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N-q}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{N}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \quad=o_{n}(1)+N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(w_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \leq o_{n}(1)+\varepsilon N\left|w_{n}\right|_{q}^{q}+N C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}\left(w_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the boundedness of $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|w_{n}\right|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}\left(w_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x$ and choosing $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we can deduce that $\left(\left|w_{n}\right|_{N}\right)$ and $\left(\left|w_{n}\right|_{q}\right)$ are bounded in $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, $\left(w_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X_{0}$.

According to the method in [33], we have:
Lemma 3.4 (see [33]). Assume that $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $X_{0}$, if there exist for some $R>$ $0, t \geq N$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B_{\mathbb{R}}(y)}\left|u_{n}(x)\right|^{t} \mathrm{~d} x=0
$$

then for all $\xi \in(t,+\infty)$, $u_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\xi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Lemma 3.5. Assume ( $w_{n}$ ) satisfies Proposition 3.2, then there exist a sequence $\left(x_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and constants $R>0, \beta>0$ satisfy

$$
\int_{B_{\mathrm{R}}\left(x_{n}\right)} w_{n}^{q}(x) \mathrm{d} x \geq \beta .
$$

Proof. In fact, we assume that the conclusion is not true. According to Lemma 3.4, it is easy to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}(\cdot) \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L^{\xi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \forall \xi \in(t,+\infty) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, due to $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(w_{n}(x)\right) w_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x=o_{n}(1) .
$$

According to $\left\langle I_{m}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right), w_{n}\right\rangle=o_{n}(1)$, we can obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(w_{n}\right) w_{n} \mathrm{~d} x=o_{n}(1),
$$

and so we deduce that $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{X_{0}} \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, $I_{m}\left(w_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and then we get contradiction since $c_{m}>0$.

Next, define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{T}_{m}:=\left\{u \in X\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}: \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} u(x)=u(0), I_{m}^{\prime}(u)=0\right\}, \\
b_{m}:=\inf _{u \in \mathcal{T}_{m}} I_{m}(u)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{S}_{m}:=\left\{u \in \mathcal{T}_{V_{0}}: I_{m}(u)=b_{m}\right\} .
$$

Lemma 3.6. There exists $u \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$.
Proof. Assume ( $w_{n}$ ) satisfies Proposition 3.2. Let $\widetilde{w}_{n}(x):=w_{n}\left(x_{n}+x\right)$, here $x_{n}$ comes from Lemma 3.5. According to Lemma 3.4, we can see that $\left(w_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $X_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, that is, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\left\|w_{n}\right\|_{X_{\text {rad }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C$. Going if necessary to a subsequence, for some $\widetilde{w} \in X_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \backslash\{0\}$, we assume that $\widetilde{w}_{n} \rightharpoonup \widetilde{w}$ in $X_{\mathrm{rad}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, then

$$
\widetilde{w}_{n}(x) \rightarrow \widetilde{w}(x) \quad \text { in } L^{\tilde{\xi}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \forall \tilde{\xi} \in(N,+\infty) .
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(\widetilde{w}_{n}\right) \widetilde{w_{n}} \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(\widetilde{w}) \widetilde{w} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\widetilde{w}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)_{N} \widetilde{w}+(-\Delta)_{q} \widetilde{w}+m\left(|\widetilde{w}|^{N-2} \widetilde{w}+|\widetilde{w}|^{q-2} \widetilde{w}\right)=f(\widetilde{w}) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.8) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \widetilde{w}|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \widetilde{w}|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|\widetilde{w}|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|\widetilde{w}|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \widetilde{w}_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \widetilde{w}_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|\widetilde{w}_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|\widetilde{w}_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \widetilde{w}_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|\widetilde{w}_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla \widetilde{w}_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|\widetilde{w}_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
&=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right] \\
&=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(w_{n}\right) w_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
&=\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(\widetilde{w}_{n}\right) \widetilde{w}_{n} \mathrm{~d} x \\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(\widetilde{w}) \widetilde{w} \mathrm{~d} x \\
&=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \widetilde{w}|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla \widetilde{w}|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|\widetilde{w}|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|\widetilde{w}|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $\left\|\widetilde{w}_{n}\right\|_{X_{0}} \rightarrow\|\widetilde{w}\|_{X_{0}}$ and thus $\widetilde{w}_{n} \rightarrow \widetilde{w}$ in $X_{0}$. Therefore, by $I_{m}\left(w_{n}\right)=$ $I_{m}\left(\widetilde{w}_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{m}$ and $I_{m}^{\prime}\left(w_{n}\right)=I_{m}^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{w}_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that $I_{m}(\widetilde{w})=c_{m}$ and $I_{m}^{\prime}(\widetilde{w})=0$. Due to $\widetilde{w} \neq 0$, we get that $c_{m} \geq b_{m}$.

Now, let $w \in X_{0} \backslash\{0\}$ be an arbitrary solution of (3.2). We define

$$
w_{t}(x):= \begin{cases}w\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) & \text { for } t>0 \\ 0 & \text { for } t=0\end{cases}
$$

Next, choosing the real number $\theta_{1}>t_{1}>1>t_{0}>0$, we denote the curve $\gamma$ consisting of three parts as follows:

$$
\gamma(\theta)= \begin{cases}\theta w_{t_{0}}, & \theta \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] \\ \theta w_{\theta}, & \theta \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \\ \theta w_{t_{1}}, & \theta \in\left[t_{1}, \theta_{1}\right]\end{cases}
$$

Due to $w$ is a weak solution, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(w) w \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x>0 .
$$

Hence, we can find $\theta_{1}>1$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(\theta w) w \mathrm{~d} x>0, \quad \forall \theta \in\left[1, \theta_{1}\right]
$$

Let $\varphi(s)=\frac{f(s)}{s^{q-1}}$. Due to $\left(f_{1}\right)$, we know that $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(\theta w) w^{q} \mathrm{~d} x>0, \quad \forall \theta \in\left[1, \theta_{1}\right] . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta} I_{m}\left(\theta w_{t}\right)= & \left\langle I_{m}^{\prime}\left(\theta w_{t}\right), w_{t}\right\rangle \\
= & \theta^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{t}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\theta^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{t}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\theta^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{t}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\theta^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{t}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\theta^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi\left(\theta w_{t}\right) w_{t}^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \theta^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{t}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\theta^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{t}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\theta^{N-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{t}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\theta^{q-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m\left|w_{t}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\theta^{q-1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi\left(\theta w_{t}\right) w_{t}^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\theta^{q-1}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi\left(\theta w_{t}\right) w_{t}^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
= & \theta^{N-1}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+t^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\theta^{q-N} t^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(\theta w) w^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \\
& +\theta^{N-1} \cdot t^{N-q}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+t^{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{t^{q}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(\theta w) w^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Selecting $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ small enough, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{0}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\theta^{q-N} t_{0}^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(\theta w) w^{q} \mathrm{~d} x>0 \quad \text { for all } \theta \in\left[1, \theta_{1}\right] \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{0}^{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{t_{0}^{q}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(\theta w) w^{q} \mathrm{~d} x>0 \quad \text { for all } \theta \in\left[1, \theta_{1}\right] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.10), for all $\theta \in\left[1, \theta_{1}\right]$, we select $t_{1}>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{1}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{\theta^{q-N} t_{1}^{N}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(\theta w) w^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq-\frac{N}{\theta_{1}^{N}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+t_{1}^{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{t_{1}^{q}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi(\theta w) w^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \leq-\frac{N t_{1}^{q-N}}{\left(\theta_{1}^{N}-1\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, according to (3.11) and (3.12), we know $I(\gamma(\theta))$ increases at the interval $\left[0, t_{0}\right]$, then takes its maximum value at $\theta=1$. According to the Pohozǎev identity:

$$
P_{m}(u)=\frac{N-q}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{N m}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{m}\left(w_{t_{1}}(x)\right) \leq & I_{m}(w(x)) \\
= & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{m}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{m}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{N-q}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{N}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by using (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{m}\left(\theta_{1} w_{t_{1}}\right)= & I_{m}\left(w_{t_{1}}\right)+\int_{1}^{\theta_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta} I\left(\theta w_{t_{1}}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta \\
\leq & \frac{1}{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right)-\frac{N}{\theta_{1}^{N}-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{1}^{\theta_{1}} \theta^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
& -\frac{N t_{1}^{q-N}}{\left(\theta_{1}^{N}-1\right)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla w|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \cdot t_{1}^{N-q} \int_{1}^{\theta_{1}} \theta^{N-1} \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{N}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(\frac{1}{N}-1\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla w_{n}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x<0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we know $\gamma(\theta) \in \Gamma_{m}$. According to the definition of $c_{m}$, we have $I_{m}(\gamma(\theta)) \geq c_{m}$. Due to $w$ is arbitrary, we obtain that $b_{m} \geq c_{m}$ and this means $b_{m}=c_{m}$.

Selecting $w^{-}=\min \{w, 0\}$ as a test function of (3.2), we infer that $w \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Using $\left(f_{1}\right)-$ $\left(f_{2}\right)$ and according to the Moser iteration (see $\left.[3,13]\right)$, it is easy to obtain that $w \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By means of Corollary 2.1 in [4], we can see that $w \in C^{\sigma}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $\sigma \in(0,1)$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1-(ii) in [24], we obtain that $w>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Remark 3.7. As to $m>0$, we define

$$
I_{m^{\prime}}(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{m^{\prime}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{m^{\prime}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x,
$$

the mountain pass level is $c_{m^{\prime}}$. By using standard method, we can prove that $c_{m_{1}^{\prime}}>c_{m_{2}^{\prime}}$ when $m_{1}^{\prime}>m_{2}^{\prime}$.

In the following, we will prove that $\mathcal{S}_{V_{0}}$ is compact in $X_{0}$.
Lemma 3.8. $\mathcal{S}_{V_{0}}$ is compact in $X_{0}$.

Proof. For any $U \in \mathcal{S}_{V_{0}}$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{m}+o_{n}(1)= & I_{m}(U)-\frac{1}{N} P_{m}(U) \\
= & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{m}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{m}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(U) \mathrm{d} x-\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{N-q}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|U|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{N m}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(U) \mathrm{d} x\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $\mathcal{S}_{m}$ is bounded in $X_{0}$.
For any sequence $\left\{U_{k}\right\} \subset \mathcal{S}_{V_{0}}$, up to a subsequence, we can find a $U_{0} \in X_{0}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k} \rightharpoonup U_{0} \quad \text { in } X_{0} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $U_{0}$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta_{N} U_{0}-\Delta_{q} U_{0}+m\left(\left|U_{0}\right|^{N-2} U_{0}+\left|U_{0}\right|^{q-2} U_{0}\right)=f\left(U_{0}\right), \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, U_{0} \geq 0
$$

Next, we will prove that $U_{0}$ is nontrivial. Note that, up to a subsequence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k} \rightarrow U_{0} \text { in } L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{t}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad t \in(N,+\infty) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (3.16), any bounded region in $\mathbb{R}^{N},\left(U_{k}^{t}\right)$ is uniformly integrable. According to Lemma 2.2 (i) in [22], $\left\|U_{k}\right\|_{L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C$. In view of [26], there exists $\alpha \in(0,1)$ such that $\left\|U_{k}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}_{\text {loc }}^{1,\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}} \leq C$. Due to $\left(U_{k}\right) \subset \mathcal{S}_{V_{0}}$, by Lemma 3.6, we have that $U_{k}>0$. We can prove that $\lim \inf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|U_{k}\right\|_{\infty}>0$ because of $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t^{9-1}}=0$. In fact, since $U_{k}$ satisfies (3.1), we have that

$$
-\Delta_{N} U_{k}-\Delta_{q} U_{k}+m\left(\left|U_{k}\right|^{N-2} U_{k}+\left|U_{k}\right|^{q-2} U_{k}\right)=f\left(U_{k}\right)
$$

that is

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla U_{k}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla U_{k}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|U_{k}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|U_{k}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(U_{k}\right) U_{k} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

According to $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t)}{t^{t-1}}=0, \forall \varepsilon>0$, we can find $\delta>0$ satisfies

$$
f(t)<\varepsilon \epsilon^{q-1}, \quad|t|<\delta,
$$

then $f\left(U_{k}\right) U_{k}<\varepsilon\left|U_{k}\right|^{q}$. Assume by contradiction, we have $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|U_{k}\right\|_{\infty}=0$, then for $\delta$ given above, we have $\left|U_{k}\right|<\delta$. Therefore,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla U_{k}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla U_{k}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(U_{k}\right) U_{k} \mathrm{~d} x-m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|U_{k}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x-m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|U_{k}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x<0,
$$

which leads to a contradiction. Noting that $U_{k}(0)=\left\|U_{k}\right\|_{\infty}$, we get that $U_{0} \not \equiv 0$. Therefore, there exists $\exists C_{0}>0$ such that $U_{k}(0) \geq C_{0}>0$, then $U_{0}(0) \geq C_{0}>0$, this means that $U_{0}$ is nontrivial. Using the same method as Lemma 3.6, we get $I_{m}\left(U_{0}\right)=c_{m}$ and $U_{k} \rightarrow U_{0}$ in $X_{0}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_{m}$ is compact in $X_{0}$.

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

This section will prove Theorem 1.1. For $U \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$, set $c_{m}=I_{m}(U)$ and $10 \delta=\operatorname{dist}\left\{\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Lambda\right\}$. Now, fix a $\beta \in(0, \delta)$ and a cut-off function $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\varphi:= \begin{cases}1, & |x| \leq \beta \\ 0, & |x| \geq 2 \beta\end{cases}
$$

and $|\nabla \varphi| \leq C / \beta$. Moreover, let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \varphi_{\varepsilon}(y)=\varphi(\varepsilon y)$. For $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we will look for solutions of (1.1) near the set

$$
Y_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{\varphi(\varepsilon y-x) U\left(y-\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right): x \in \mathcal{M}^{\beta}, U \in \mathcal{S}_{m}\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{\beta}:=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \inf _{z \in \mathcal{M}}|z-y| \leq \beta\right\}$. Moreover, as to $A \subset X_{\varepsilon}$, define

$$
A^{a}:=\left\{u \in X_{\varepsilon}: \inf _{v \in A}\|u-v\|_{X_{\varepsilon}} \leq a\right\} .
$$

For any $U \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$, define $W_{\varepsilon, t}(x):=\varphi(\varepsilon x) U\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$.
Next, we show that $J_{\varepsilon}$ has the Mountain-Pass geometry. Let $U_{t}(x):=U\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$, by using the same proof as in Lemma 3.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{m}\left(U_{t}\right)= & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{t^{N}}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{t^{N-q}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{t^{N}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-t^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(U) \mathrm{d} x \\
\rightarrow & -\infty \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

So there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that $I_{m}\left(U_{t_{0}}\right)<-3$.
Clearly, $Q_{\varepsilon}\left(W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}\right)=0$. As to $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, by using the Dominated Convergence Theorem, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\varepsilon}\left(W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}\right)= & I_{\varepsilon}\left(W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(\varepsilon x)\left|W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\left.\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V(\varepsilon x)\left|W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}\right|\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
\widetilde{x}=\frac{x}{t_{0}} & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\varepsilon t_{0}^{2} \nabla \varphi\left(\varepsilon t_{0} \widetilde{x}\right) U(\widetilde{x})+\varphi(\varepsilon \widetilde{x}) \nabla U(\widetilde{x})\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} \widetilde{x} \\
& +\frac{t_{0}^{N-q}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\varepsilon t_{0}^{2} \nabla \varphi\left(\varepsilon t_{0} \widetilde{x}\right) U(\widetilde{x})+\varphi\left(\varepsilon t_{0} \widetilde{x}\right) \nabla U(\widetilde{x})\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \widetilde{x} \\
& +\frac{t_{0}^{N}}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V\left(\varepsilon t_{0} \widetilde{x}\right)\left|\varphi\left(\varepsilon t_{0} \widetilde{x}\right) U(\widetilde{x})\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} \widetilde{x} \\
& +\frac{t_{0}^{N}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V\left(\varepsilon t_{0} \widetilde{x}\right)\left|\varphi\left(\varepsilon t_{0} \widetilde{x}\right) U(\widetilde{x})\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} \widetilde{x} \\
& -t^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(\varphi\left(\varepsilon t_{0} \widetilde{x}\right) U(\widetilde{x}) \mathrm{d} \widetilde{x}\right. \\
= & I_{m}\left(U_{t_{0}}\right)+o(1)<-2 . \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

According to $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right)$, it is easy to see that

$$
|F(t)| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{q}+C|t|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(t) .
$$

So, for $2 \leq N<q<q^{*}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\varepsilon}(u) & \geq I_{\varepsilon}(u) \\
& =\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{q}+V_{\varepsilon}|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N}+V_{\varepsilon}|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(u) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N}+V_{\varepsilon}|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{q}+V_{\varepsilon}|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x-\varepsilon|u|_{q}^{q}-C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|t|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(u) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, it is easy to get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(u) \mathrm{d} x \leq\|u\|_{L^{t t^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\tau}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\Phi_{N}(u)\right)^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}
$$

where $\frac{1}{t}+\frac{1}{t^{\prime}}=1\left(t^{\prime}>1, t>1\right)$. Due to Lemma 2.3, we can find a constant $D>0$ satisfies

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\Phi_{N}(u)\right)^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq D
$$

From (2.2), we have

$$
\|u\|_{L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq S_{v, \varepsilon}^{-1}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}, \quad \forall u \in X_{\varepsilon} .
$$

Hence, when $\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}$ is small, we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
J_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq & \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{q}+V_{\varepsilon}|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla u|^{N}+V_{\varepsilon}|u|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\varepsilon|u|_{q}^{q}-C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|t|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(u) \mathrm{d} x \\
\geq & \frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{q}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{q}-C D S_{\tau t^{\prime}, \varepsilon}^{-\tau}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\tau} \\
= & \|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{q}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}-C D S_{\tau t^{\prime}, \varepsilon}^{-\tau}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\tau-q}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We see $\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}>0$ for $\varepsilon$ small enough. Let

$$
h(t)=\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}-C D S_{\tau t^{\prime}, \varepsilon}^{-\tau} \varepsilon^{\tau-q}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Next, we will find $t_{0}>0$ small that satisfies $h\left(t_{0}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}\right)$. Clearly, $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} h(t)=$ $\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}$ and $h$ is continuous function on $[0,+\infty)$, so there exists $t_{0}$ satisfies $h(t) \geq \frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-$ $\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}-\varepsilon_{1}, \forall t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right), t_{0}$ is small enough. Choosing $\varepsilon_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}\right)$, we get that

$$
h(t) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}\right)
$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq t_{0}$. In particularly,

$$
h\left(t_{0}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}\right)
$$

So, for $\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}=t_{0}$, we have

$$
J_{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \frac{t_{0}^{q}}{2} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-\varepsilon S_{q, \varepsilon}^{-q}\right)=\rho_{0}>0 .
$$

Therefore, we can define $\mathcal{c}_{\varepsilon}$ as follows:

$$
c_{\varepsilon}:=\inf _{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}} \max _{s \in[0,1]} J_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(s))
$$

Here $\Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ is defined by

$$
\Gamma_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{\gamma \in C\left([0,1], X_{\varepsilon}\right) \mid \gamma(1)=W_{\varepsilon, t_{0}}, \gamma(0)=0\right\} .
$$

Lemma 3.9. There holds

$$
\varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_{\varepsilon} \leq c_{m} .
$$

Proof. Denote $W_{\varepsilon, 0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} W_{\varepsilon, t}$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$ sense, then it is easy to get $W_{\varepsilon, 0}=0$. Consequently, let $\gamma(s):=W_{\varepsilon, s t_{0}}(0 \leq s \leq 1)$, then $\gamma(s) \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$, so

$$
c_{\varepsilon} \leq \max _{s \in[0,1]} J_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(s))=\max _{t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]} J_{\varepsilon}\left(W_{\varepsilon, t}\right) .
$$

Now, we only need to prove

$$
\varlimsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \max _{t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]} J_{\varepsilon}\left(W_{\varepsilon, t}\right) \leq c_{m} .
$$

In fact, similar to (3.17), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]} J_{\varepsilon}\left(W_{\varepsilon, t}\right) & =\max _{t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]} I_{m}\left(U_{t}\right)+o(1) \\
& \leq o(1)+\max _{t \in[0, \infty)} I_{m}\left(U_{t}\right) \\
& =I_{m}(U)+o(1)=o(1)+c_{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof.
Lemma 3.10. There holds

$$
\varliminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_{\varepsilon} \geq c_{m} .
$$

Proof. Assuming $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{c}_{\varepsilon}<c_{m}$, we can find $\delta_{0}>0, \gamma_{n} \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon_{n}}$ and $\varepsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ satisfy, for $s \in[0,1]$, $J_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right)<c_{m}-\delta_{0}$. Now, fixed an $\varepsilon_{n}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} m \varepsilon_{n}\left(1+\left(1+c_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)<\min \left\{\delta_{0}, 1\right\} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to $I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(0)\right)=0$ and $I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(1)\right) \leq J_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(1)\right)=J_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(W_{\varepsilon_{n}, t_{0}}\right)<-2$, we can look for an $s_{n} \in(0,1)$ such that $I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right) \geq-1$ for $s \in\left[0, s_{n}\right]$ and $I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)=-1$. Moreover, for any $s \in\left[0, s_{n}\right]$, we have that

$$
Q_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right)=J_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right)-I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right) \leq 1+c_{m}-\delta_{0},
$$

which implies that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\left(\Lambda / \varepsilon_{n}\right)} \gamma_{n}^{N}(s) \mathrm{d} x \leq \varepsilon_{n}\left(1+\left(1+c_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \quad \text { for } s \in\left[0, s_{n}\right] .
$$

So for $s \in\left[0, s_{n}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right) \\
& \quad=I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right)+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(V\left(\varepsilon_{n} x\right)-m\right) \gamma_{n}^{N}(s) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(V\left(\varepsilon_{n} x\right)-m\right) \gamma_{n}^{q}(s) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \geq I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right)+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\left(\Lambda / \varepsilon_{n}\right)}\left(V\left(\varepsilon_{n} x\right)-m\right) \gamma_{n}^{N}(s) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\left(\Lambda / \varepsilon_{n}\right)}\left(V\left(\varepsilon_{n} x\right)-m\right) \gamma_{n}^{q}(s) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \geq I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right)+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\left(\Lambda / \varepsilon_{n}\right)}\left(V\left(\varepsilon_{n} x\right)-m\right) \gamma_{n}^{N}(s) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad \geq I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right)-\frac{1}{N} m \varepsilon_{n}\left(1+\left(1+c_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}\left(s_{n}\right)\right) & \leq I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}\left(s_{n}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{N} m \varepsilon_{n}\left(1+\left(1+c_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& =-1+\frac{1}{N} m \varepsilon_{n}\left(1+\left(1+c_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)<0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and recalling (3.3), we obtain that

$$
\max _{s \in\left[0, s_{n}\right]} I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right) \geq c_{m} .
$$

Therefore, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{m}-\delta_{0} & \geq \max _{s \in[0,1]} J_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right) \geq \max _{s \in[0,1]} I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right) \geq \max _{s \in\left[0, s_{n}\right]} I_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right) \\
& \geq-\frac{1}{N} m \varepsilon_{n}\left(1+\left(1+c_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)+\max _{s \in\left[0, s_{n}\right]} I_{m}\left(\gamma_{n}(s)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is $0<\delta_{0} \leq \frac{1}{N} m \varepsilon_{n}\left(1+\left(1+c_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\right)$, which contradicts (3.18). As desired.
By using Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10, it follows

$$
0=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(\max _{s \in[0,1]} J_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right)-c_{\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Here $\forall s \in[0,1], \gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)=W_{\varepsilon, s t_{0}}$. Denote

$$
\tilde{c}_{\varepsilon}:=\max _{s \in[0,1]} J_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) .
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{c}_{\varepsilon} \leq \tilde{c}_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
c_{m}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \tilde{c}_{\varepsilon}=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_{\varepsilon} .
$$

Now define

$$
J_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}=\left\{u \in X_{\varepsilon} \mid J_{\varepsilon}(u) \leq \alpha\right\} .
$$

For $\alpha>0$ and $\forall A \subset X_{\varepsilon}$, set $A^{\alpha}=\left\{u \in X_{\varepsilon} \mid \inf _{v \in A}\|u-v\|_{X_{\varepsilon}} \leq \alpha\right\}$.
Lemma 3.11. Assume $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{i}=0,\left\{u_{\varepsilon_{i}}(\cdot)\right\} \subset Y_{\varepsilon_{i}}^{d}$ and

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} J_{\varepsilon_{i}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{i}}(\cdot)\right)=0, \quad \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} J_{\varepsilon_{i}}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{i}}(\cdot)\right) \leq c_{m}
$$

Then, $\forall d>0$ small enough, up to a subsequence, there exist $x \in \mathcal{M},\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, U \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$ satisfy

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon_{i}}\left(\cdot-y_{i}\right) U\left(\cdot-y_{i}\right)-u_{\varepsilon_{i}}(\cdot)\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon_{i}}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|x-\varepsilon_{i} y_{i}\right|=0 .
$$

Proof. Now, write $\varepsilon_{i}$ as $\varepsilon$. According to

$$
Y_{\varepsilon}:=\left\{\varphi(\varepsilon y-x) U\left(y-\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right): x \in \mathcal{M}^{\beta}, U \in \mathcal{S}_{m}\right\},
$$

we can find $\left\{U_{\varepsilon}\right\} \subset \mathcal{S}_{m}$ and $\left\{x_{\varepsilon}\right\} \subset \mathcal{M}^{\beta}$ satisfy

$$
\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot-\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right) U_{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot-\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)-u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}} \leq d .
$$

Due to $\mathcal{S}_{m}, \mathcal{M}^{\beta}$ are compact, there exist $Z \in \mathcal{S}_{m}, x \in \mathcal{M}^{\beta}$ satisfy $U_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow Z$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$ and $x_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow x$. Hence, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot-\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right) Z\left(\cdot-\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)-u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}} \leq 2 d . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, according to $\left(f_{2}\right)$, we can suppose that sup $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}} \leq 1$.
Step 1. First we will prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\underset{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}{\liminf } \sup _{y \in A_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B(y, 1)}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x, \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

here $A_{\varepsilon}=B\left(\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{3 \beta}{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash B\left(\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\beta}{2 \varepsilon}\right)$.
Assume the formula (3.20) is true, according to Lions' lemma, for any $\xi>N$, we have that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\xi}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)$, where $B_{\varepsilon}=B\left(\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{2 \beta}{\varepsilon}\right) \backslash B\left(\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}, \frac{\beta}{\varepsilon}\right)$.

Now, we assume the formula (3.20) is not true, then we can find $r>0$ that satisfies

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{y \in A_{\varepsilon}} \int_{B(y, 1)}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x=2 r>0 .
$$

So, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we also can find that $y_{\varepsilon} \in A_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies $\int_{B\left(y_{\varepsilon}, 1\right)}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x \geq r$. It is necessary to mention that, there is $x_{0} \in \mathcal{M}^{4 \beta} \subset \Lambda$ satisfying $\varepsilon y_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow x_{0}$. Assume $v_{\varepsilon}(y)=$ $u_{\varepsilon}\left(y+y_{\varepsilon}\right)$, it is easy to obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\Delta_{N} v_{\varepsilon}-\Delta_{q} v_{\varepsilon}+V_{\varepsilon}\left(y+y_{\varepsilon}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N-2} v_{\varepsilon}-g\left(\varepsilon y+\varepsilon y_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)+V_{\varepsilon}\left(y+y_{\varepsilon}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q-2} v_{\varepsilon} \\
& \quad=h_{\varepsilon}-2 N Q_{\varepsilon}^{1 / 2}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \chi_{\varepsilon}\left(y+y_{\varepsilon}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N-2} v_{\varepsilon} . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking $\varepsilon$ adequately small, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(0,1)}\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y \geq r . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Going if necessary to a subsequence, then we get $v_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup v$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$, and almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Note that the embedding $X_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{N}(B(0,1))$ is compact, by using (3.22), we get $v \not \equiv 0$. Next, we will prove $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{q} v-\Delta_{N} v+V\left(x_{0}\right)|v|^{q-2} v+V\left(x_{0}\right)|v|^{N-2} v=f(v) \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for any $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, in (3.21), we use $\left(v_{\varepsilon}-v\right) \varphi$ as a test function. For $\varepsilon$ small enough, according to $\chi$ and $g$, we have that

$$
\chi_{\varepsilon}\left(y+y_{\varepsilon}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N-2} v_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-v\right) \varphi=0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
g\left(\varepsilon y+\varepsilon y_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(v_{\varepsilon}-v\right) \varphi=f\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(v_{\varepsilon}-v\right) \varphi, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
\chi_{\varepsilon}\left(y+y_{\varepsilon}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\mid-2} v_{\varepsilon}\left(v_{\varepsilon}-v\right) \varphi=0, \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\forall \xi \geq N$, we know that the embedding $X_{\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow L^{\xi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is local compact. Hence,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}\left(y+y_{\varepsilon}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N-2} v_{\varepsilon} \varphi \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V\left(x_{0}\right)|v|^{N-2} v \varphi \mathrm{~d} y
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}\left(y+y_{\varepsilon}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q-2} v_{\varepsilon} \varphi \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V\left(x_{0}\right)|v|^{q-2} v \varphi \mathrm{~d} y .
$$

By Lemma 2.2, $\left(f_{1}\right)$, and $\left\|f\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{N}<\infty$, we obtain that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(v_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(v_{\varepsilon}-v\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(\varepsilon y+\varepsilon y_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(v_{\varepsilon}-v\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Therefore, similar to the proof of Lemma 3 in [6], we have that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N-2} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{N-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} y
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q-2} \nabla v_{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{q-2} \nabla v \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} y .
$$

According to $\left(f_{1}\right),\left(f_{2}\right)$, the compactness lemma of Strauss [32] and Lemma 2.2, we get that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} g\left(\varepsilon y+\varepsilon y_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} y \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f(v) \varphi \mathrm{d} y .
$$

Therefore, (3.23) has a nontrivial solution $v$. According to definition, $I_{V\left(x_{0}\right)}(v) \geq c_{V\left(x_{0}\right)}$. For $R>0$ large enough, because of Fatou's lemma, it is easy to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B\left(x_{\varepsilon}, R\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B\left(x_{\varepsilon}, R\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla v|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, recalling from Remark 3.7 that $c_{a}>c_{b}$ when $a>b$, it is easy to see that $c_{V\left(x_{0}\right)} \geq c_{m}$ because of $V\left(x_{0}\right) \geq m$. According to Pohozăev identity, for any $U \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right)=I_{m}(U) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,it follows from (3.24), (3.25) and (3.26) that

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B\left(y_{\varepsilon}, R\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y+\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B\left(y_{\varepsilon}, R\right)}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y \geq \frac{N}{2} I_{V\left(x_{0}\right)}(v) \geq \frac{N}{2} c_{m}>0
$$

When $d$ is small enough, this is a contradiction with (3.19) .
Step 2. Define $u_{\varepsilon}^{2}=u_{\varepsilon}-u_{\varepsilon}^{1}$, where $u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)=\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(y-x_{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon\right) u_{\varepsilon}(y)$. For $d>0$ small enough, we will prove, $J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq o(1)+J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)+J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \quad \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, for small enough $\varepsilon>0$, we have $Q_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)=0$ and $Q_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=Q_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$. Moreover, $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y) u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y) \geq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{q} & =\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y)\right|^{2}+2 u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y) u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y)\right)^{q / 2} \\
& \geq\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y)\right|^{2}\right)^{q / 2} \\
& \geq\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{q}+\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y)\right|^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{N} & =\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y)\right|^{2}+2 u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y) u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y)\right)^{N / 2} \\
& \geq\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{2}+\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y)\right|^{2}\right)^{N / 2} \\
& \geq\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{N}+\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}(y)\right|^{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y .
$$

Moreover, it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{N}\left(\cdot-\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y+o(1), \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(-\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{N}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y+o(1), \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(-\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)^{q}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y+o(1), \\
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{N}\left(\cdot-\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y+o(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we have

$$
\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left(y-x_{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon\right)\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{2}+\left(1-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(y-x_{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon\right)\right)^{2}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{2} \leq\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{2} .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} y+o(1)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right|^{q} \mathrm{~d} y+o(1) .
$$

Hence, we have that

$$
J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq o(1)-\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(G\left(\varepsilon y, u_{\varepsilon}\right)-G\left(\varepsilon y, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)-G\left(\varepsilon y, u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} y+J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)+J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) .
$$

According to $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right)$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon|t|^{q}+C|t|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(t) \geq|F(t)| \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the same proof as that in Lemma 3.1, we get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}(u) \mathrm{d} x \leq\|u\|_{L^{\tau t^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{\tau}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\Phi_{N}(u)\right)^{t} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}
$$

By using Step 1, we know that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{q}\left(B_{\varepsilon}\right)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(G\left(\varepsilon y, u_{\varepsilon}\right)-G\left(\varepsilon y, u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)-G\left(\varepsilon y, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \quad=\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(F\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)-F\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} y\right| \\
& \quad \leq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(C\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \quad \leq c \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to $\varepsilon$ being arbitrary, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get $\int_{B_{\varepsilon}}\left(F\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)-F\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)-F\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} y=o(1)$. So there is $C>0$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \geq I\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) & \geq \frac{1}{N}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{N}+\frac{1}{q}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{q}-C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\tau} \Psi_{N}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y-\varepsilon\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{q} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{q}-C\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{\tau}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by using $\tau>q$, we get that $J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \geq 0$ for $d>0$ small.
Step 3. Now, assume $w_{\varepsilon}(y):=u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left(y+\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)=\varphi_{\varepsilon}(y) u_{\varepsilon}\left(y+\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)$. Up to a subsequence, we have $w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup w$ in $X_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow w$ almost everywhere in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Next, we will prove that

$$
w_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow w \quad \text { in } L^{\tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

where $\tau$ is given in (3.28). By contradiction, if there is $r>0$ that satisfies

$$
0<2 r=\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}} \int_{B(z, 1)}\left|w_{\varepsilon}-w\right|^{\tau} \mathrm{d} y
$$

So there is $z_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ that satisfies

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B\left(z_{\varepsilon}, 1\right)}\left|w_{\varepsilon}-w\right|^{\tau}>r
$$

It is easy to see that $\left(z_{\varepsilon}\right)$ is unbounded. We may assume that $\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right|=\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then,

$$
r \leq \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B\left(z_{\varepsilon}, 1\right)}\left|w_{\varepsilon}\right|^{\tau} \mathrm{d} y
$$

i.e.

$$
\liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{B\left(z_{\varepsilon}, 1\right)}\left|\varphi_{\varepsilon}(y) u_{\varepsilon}\left(y+\frac{x_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{\tau} \mathrm{d} y \geq r
$$

Using the same proof method as [9], for $\varepsilon$ small enough, we have that $\left|z_{\varepsilon}\right| \leq \frac{\beta}{2 \varepsilon}$. Assume that

$$
\varepsilon z_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow z_{0} \in \overline{B(0, \beta / 2)}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{w}_{\varepsilon}=w_{\varepsilon}\left(y+z_{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \widetilde{w} \text { in } X_{\varepsilon}, \\
\widetilde{w}_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \widetilde{w} \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
\end{gathered}
$$

So $\widetilde{w} \not \equiv 0$ and according to Step $1, \widetilde{w}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\Delta_{q} \widetilde{w}(y)-\Delta_{N} \widetilde{w}(y)+V\left(x+z_{0}\right)|\widetilde{w}(y)|^{q-2} \widetilde{w}(y)+V\left(x+z_{0}\right)|\widetilde{w}(y)|^{N-2} \widetilde{w}(y) \\
&=f(\widetilde{w}(y)), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the same approach as Step 1, we obtain a contradiction for $d>0$ small enough. Therefore, $w_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{\tau}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Step 4. According to Step 3, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G\left(\varepsilon x, u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \mathrm{d} x & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G\left(\varepsilon x+x_{\varepsilon}, w_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Lambda_{\varepsilon}-x_{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon} F\left(w_{\varepsilon}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(w) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

By using $w_{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup w$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} & J_{\varepsilon} \\
\geq & \left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \\
\geq & \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \\
= & \liminf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{N}+V_{\varepsilon}\left|w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} y+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{q}+V_{\varepsilon}\left|w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
\geq & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla w|^{N}+m|w|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} y-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(w) \mathrm{d} y+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla w|^{q}+m|w|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} y  \tag{3.30}\\
\geq & c_{m} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq c_{m}, J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right) \geq 0$ and (3.27), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right) \leq c_{m} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.30) and (3.31), we obtain that $J_{\varepsilon}(w)=c_{m}$. Similar to [25], we can obtain that $x \in \mathcal{M}$. So it is easy to see that $w(y)=U(y-z), U \in \mathcal{S}_{m}, z \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Lastly, due to (3.29) and (3.31) and $V(y) \geq m$ on $\Lambda$, by using (3.30), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla w|^{N}+m|w|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} y & \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{N}+V(\varepsilon y)\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{N}+m\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{N}+m\left|w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} y
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(|\nabla w|^{q}+m|w|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} y & \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{q}+V(\varepsilon y)\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{q}+m\left|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}(y)\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \geq \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{q}+m\left|w_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by using weak lower semi-continuity, we prove $u_{\varepsilon}^{1} \rightarrow w$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$. Especially, let $y_{\varepsilon}=z+\frac{x}{\varepsilon}$, then $u_{\varepsilon}^{1} \rightarrow U\left(\cdot-y_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot-y_{\varepsilon}\right)$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$. So we get $u_{\varepsilon}^{1} \rightarrow U\left(\cdot-y_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot-y_{\varepsilon}\right)$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$.

In order to prove the desired conclusion, we only prove that $u_{\varepsilon}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$. Since $\left\{u_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded, for small $\varepsilon>0$, it is easy to see from (3.19) that $\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{\varepsilon} \leq 4 d$. Now, using (3.27), $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\right)=c_{m}$ and the estimation of $J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right)$, we have that for some $C>0$,

$$
c_{m} \geq \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} J_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right) \geq c_{m}+\left\|u_{\varepsilon}^{2}\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{q}\left(\frac{1}{q \cdot 2^{q-1}}-C(4 d)^{\tau-q}\right)+o(\varepsilon) .
$$

This proves that $u_{\varepsilon}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$, which completes the proof.
Lemma 3.12. For $0<d_{2}<d_{1}$ small enough, there exist $\omega>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ that satisfy $\left|J_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u)\right| \geq \omega$, where $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right), u \in J_{\varepsilon}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\varepsilon}} \cap\left(Y_{\varepsilon}^{d_{1}} \backslash Y_{\varepsilon}^{d_{2}}\right)$.

Proof. By contradiction, we can suppose $0<d_{2}<d_{1}$ small enough, there are $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{i}=0$ and $u_{\varepsilon_{i}} \in Y_{\varepsilon_{i}}^{d_{1}} \backslash Y_{\varepsilon_{i}}^{d_{2}}$ satisfying $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} J_{\varepsilon_{i}}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right) \leq c_{m}$ and $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left|J_{\varepsilon_{i}}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon_{i}}\right)\right|=0$. For the convenience of description, we write $\varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon_{i}$. Due to Lemma 3.11, for some $U \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}$, there is $\left\{y_{\varepsilon}\right\}_{\varepsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|\varphi_{\varepsilon}\left(\cdot-y_{\varepsilon}\right) U\left(\cdot-y_{\varepsilon}\right)-u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left|x-\varepsilon y_{\varepsilon}\right|=0
$$

It follows from $Y_{\varepsilon}$ that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}$ dist $\left(Y_{\varepsilon}, u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$. Obviously contradictory because of $u_{\varepsilon} \notin Y_{\varepsilon}^{d_{2}}$.

According to Lemma 3.12, fix a $d>0$ small enough, there exist $\omega>0$ and $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ that satisfy $\left|J_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u)\right| \geq \omega$, where $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right), u \in \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tilde{\tau}_{\varepsilon}} \cap\left(Y_{\varepsilon}^{d_{1}} \backslash Y_{\varepsilon}^{d_{2}}\right)$. So we have

Lemma 3.13. For $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we can find $\alpha>0$ satisfies $J_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) \geq c_{\varepsilon}-\alpha$, then $\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s) \in$ $Y_{\varepsilon}^{d / 2}$ where $\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)=W_{\varepsilon, s t_{0}}(s)$.

Proof. For each $s \in[0,1]$, due to $\mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{2 \beta} \supset \operatorname{supp}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right)$, we have $I_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right)=J_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right)$. In addition, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right)= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{q}+V_{\varepsilon}\left|\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{N}+V_{\varepsilon}\left|\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{q}+m\left|\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\left|\nabla \gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{N}+m\left|\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(V_{\varepsilon}(x)-m\right)\left|\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(V_{\varepsilon}(x)-m\right)\left|\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
= & \frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\left(s t_{0}\right)^{N-q}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\left(s t_{0}\right)^{N}}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\frac{\left(s t_{0}\right)^{N}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} m|U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\left(s t_{0}\right)^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} F(U) \mathrm{d} x+O(\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the Pohozǎev identity, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) & =I_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\left(s t_{0}\right)^{N-q}}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x-\frac{N-q}{N q}\left(s t_{0}\right)^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+O(\varepsilon) \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(\frac{\left(s t_{0}\right)^{N-q}}{q}-\frac{N-q}{N q}\left(s t_{0}\right)^{N}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+O(\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
c_{m}=\left(\frac{t^{N-q}}{q}-\frac{N-q}{N q} t^{N}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\nabla U|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} c_{\varepsilon}=c_{m}$. Denote $g_{1}(t)=-\frac{N-q}{N q} t^{N}+\frac{t^{N-q}}{q}$, then

$$
g_{1}^{\prime}(t) \begin{cases}<0, & t>1 \\ =0, & t=1 \\ >0, & t \in(0,1)\end{cases}
$$

So we have $g_{1}^{\prime \prime}(1)=q-N<0$, the conclusion follows.
Lemma 3.14. For $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we can find $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset Y_{\varepsilon}^{d} \cap \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tau_{\varepsilon}}$ satisfies as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $J_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. According to Lemma 3.13, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, due to $\exists \alpha>0$ satisfies $J_{\varepsilon}\left(\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s)\right) \geq$ $c_{\varepsilon}-\alpha$. So $\gamma_{\varepsilon}(s) \in Y_{\varepsilon}^{d / 2}$. Now, we assume that Lemma 3.14 is not true, then for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we can find $a(\varepsilon)>0$ satisfies $\left|J_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u)\right| \geq a(\varepsilon)$ on $Y_{\varepsilon}^{d} \cap J_{\varepsilon}^{\tilde{\tau}_{\varepsilon}}$. Moreover, by using Lemma 3.12, we also can find $\omega>0$, independent of $\varepsilon>0$, satisfies for $u \in J_{\varepsilon}^{\tilde{\tau}_{\varepsilon}} \cap\left(Y_{\varepsilon}^{d} \backslash Y_{\varepsilon}^{d / 2}\right),\left|J_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(u)\right| \geq$ $\omega$. Therefore, recalling that $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left(c_{\varepsilon}-\tilde{c}_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$, according to a deformation lemma, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we can construct a path $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\varepsilon}$ satisfying $J_{\varepsilon}(\gamma(s))<c_{\varepsilon}, s \in[0,1]$. Obviously contradictory.

Lemma 3.15. For $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, $u_{\varepsilon} \in Y_{\varepsilon}^{d} \cap \int_{\varepsilon}^{\tilde{\tau}_{\varepsilon}}$ is a critical point of $J_{\varepsilon}$.
Proof. For $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small. According to Lemma 3.14, there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n, \varepsilon}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset Y_{\varepsilon}^{d} \cap J_{\varepsilon}^{\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\varepsilon}}$ that satisfies, as $n \rightarrow \infty,\left|J_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{n, \varepsilon}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0$. Due to $Y_{\varepsilon}^{d}$ is bounded, so as $n \rightarrow \infty, u_{n, \varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u_{\varepsilon}$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$. Using the same proof as [10, Proposition 3], we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{n \geq 1} \int_{|x| \geq R}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{n, \varepsilon}\right|^{N}+\left|\nabla u_{n, \varepsilon}\right|^{N}\right) \mathrm{d} x \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{n \geq 1} \int_{|x| \geq R}\left(V_{\varepsilon}\left|u_{n, \varepsilon}\right|^{q}+\left|\nabla u_{n, \varepsilon}\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

so as $n \rightarrow \infty, u_{n, \varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(N \leq r<+\infty)$. In addition, using $\left(f_{1}\right)-\left(f_{2}\right)$, we have $\sup \left\|f\left(u_{n, \varepsilon}\right)\right\|<\infty$. Now, $\forall \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(u_{n, \varepsilon}\right)\left(u_{n, \varepsilon}-u_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Using the same argument as in [21, Proposition 5.3], we have $u_{n, \varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{\varepsilon}$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $u_{\varepsilon} \in Y_{\varepsilon}^{d} \cap J_{\varepsilon}^{\tau_{\varepsilon}}$ and $J_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ in $X_{\varepsilon}$. This completes the proof.

Next, we will use Moser iteration in [27] to obtain $L^{\infty}$-estimate.
Lemma 3.16. Let $\left(u_{n}\right)$ is the sequence in Lemma 3.11. Then, $J_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c_{m}$ in $\mathbb{R}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and there is some sequence $\left(\hat{y}_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ that satisfies $v_{n}(\cdot):=u_{n}\left(\cdot+\hat{y}_{n}\right) \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $\left|v_{n}\right|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leqslant C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we know that $J_{\varepsilon_{n}}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow$ $c_{m}$ in $\mathbb{R}$. According to Lemma 3.11, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we can find $\left(\hat{y}_{n}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ satisfies $v_{n}(\cdot):=$ $u_{n}\left(\cdot+\hat{y}_{n}\right) \rightarrow v(\cdot) \in X_{\varepsilon}$ and $y_{n}:=\varepsilon_{n} \hat{y}_{n} \rightarrow y_{0} \in \mathcal{M}$.

For all $L>0$ and $\beta>1$, consider

$$
\phi\left(v_{n}\right)=\phi_{L, \beta}\left(v_{n}\right)=v_{n} v_{L, n}^{N(\beta-1)} \in X_{\varepsilon}, v_{L, n}=\min \left\{v_{n}, L\right\} .
$$

Set

$$
\Phi(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\phi^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \mathrm{~d} \tau, \quad \mathrm{Y}(t)=\frac{|t|^{N}}{N}
$$

According to [5], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi(a)-\Phi(b)|^{N} \leq \mathrm{Y}^{\prime}(a-b)(\phi(a)-\phi(b)), \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (3.34), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Phi\left(v_{n}(x)\right)-\Phi\left(v_{n}(y)\right)\right|^{N} \\
& \quad \leq\left(v_{n}(x)-v_{n}(y)\right)\left(\left(v_{n} v_{L, n}^{N(\beta-1)}\right)(x)-\left(v_{n} v_{L, n}^{N(\beta-1)}\right)(y)\right)\left|v_{n}(x)-v_{n}(y)\right|^{N-2} . \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, taking $\phi\left(v_{n}\right)=v_{n} v_{L, n}^{N(\beta-1)}$ as a test function, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{N-1} \phi\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{q-1} \phi\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V\left(y_{n}+\varepsilon_{n} x\right)\left|v_{n}\right|^{N-2} v_{n} \phi\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V\left(\varepsilon_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|v_{n}\right|^{q-2} v_{n} \phi\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(\varepsilon_{n} x+y_{n}, v_{n}\right) \phi\left(v_{n}\right) \mathrm{d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to $\left(f_{1}\right)$ and $\left(f_{2}\right), \forall \varepsilon>0$, we can find $C(\varepsilon)>0$ satisfies

$$
|f(t)| \leq \varepsilon|t|^{q-1}+C(\varepsilon)|t|^{N-1} \Psi_{N}(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

According to method of [5], it is easy to get

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{N} v_{L, n}^{p(\beta-1)} \mathrm{d} x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} V\left(\varepsilon_{n} x+y_{n}\right)\left|v_{n}\right|^{N} v_{L, n}^{p(\beta-1)} \mathrm{d} x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} f\left(v_{n}\right) v_{n} v_{L, n}^{N(\beta-1)} \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Since $\Phi\left(v_{n}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\beta} v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}, v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1} \geq \Phi\left(v_{n}\right)$ and the embedding from $X_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow L^{N^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)\left(N^{*}>N\right)$ is continuous, so we can find $S_{*}>0$ that satifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\beta^{N}} S_{*}\left\|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right\|_{L^{N^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{N} \leq S_{*}\left\|\Phi\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{N^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{N} \leq\left\|\Phi\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}^{N} . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)(v \geq N)$ is continuous, there exists $\mathcal{S}_{v}$ satisfying

$$
\mathcal{S}_{v}=\inf _{u \neq 0, u \in X_{\varepsilon}} \frac{\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}}{\|u\|_{L^{v}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}, \quad v \geq N .
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq \mathcal{S}_{N}^{-1}\|u\|_{X_{\varepsilon}}, \quad \forall u \in X_{\varepsilon} . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\Phi\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|_{m, X\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{N} & \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Psi_{N}\left(v_{n}\right)\left|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& \leq \varepsilon \beta^{N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|\Phi\left(v_{n}\right)\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x+C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Psi_{N}\left(v_{n}\right)\left|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{3.38}\\
& \leq \varepsilon \beta^{N} \mathcal{S}_{N}^{-N}\left\|\Phi\left(v_{n}\right)\right\|_{m, X\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{N}+C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Psi_{N}\left(v_{n}\right)\left|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right|^{N} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $0<\varepsilon<\beta^{-N} \mathcal{S}_{N}^{N}$, then (3.38) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\beta^{N}} S_{*}\left(1-\varepsilon \beta^{N} \mathcal{S}_{N}^{-N}\right)\left\|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right\|_{L^{N^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{N} \\
& \quad \leq C(\varepsilon)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\Psi_{N}\left(v_{n}\right)\right)^{q^{\prime}} \mathrm{d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right|^{q N} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, by the Trudinger-Moser inequality with $N \ll q$ such that $N^{*}>q N=N^{* *}$. Note that, $q^{\prime}$ near 1 but $q^{\prime}>1$. So we can find $D>0$ satisfies

$$
\left\|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right\|_{L^{N^{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}}^{N} \leq D \beta^{N}\left\|v_{n} v_{L, n}^{\beta-1}\right\|_{L^{q N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{N} .
$$

Let $L \rightarrow+\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N^{*} \beta}} \leq D^{\frac{1}{N^{\beta} \beta}} \beta^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N^{* *} \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta=\frac{N^{*}}{N^{* *}}>1$. Then $\beta^{2} N^{* *}=\beta N^{*}$. Replace $\beta$ with $\beta^{2}$, (3.39) holds. Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N^{*} \beta^{2}}} & \leq D^{\frac{1}{N \beta^{2}}} \beta^{\frac{2}{\beta^{2}}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N^{* *}} \beta^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \\
& =D^{\frac{1}{N^{2}}} \beta^{\frac{2}{\beta^{2}}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N^{*} \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}  \tag{3.40}\\
& \leq D^{\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\right)} \beta^{\frac{1}{\beta}+\frac{2}{\beta^{2}}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N * *}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
\end{align*} .
$$

Now iterating the process, as shown in (3.40), for any positive integer $m$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N^{*} \beta^{\sigma}}} \leq D^{\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} \frac{1}{N \beta}} \sum_{j=1}^{\sum_{j=1}^{\sigma} j \beta^{-j}}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N^{* *} \beta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit in (3.41) as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \leq C
$$

for all $n$, where $C=D^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N \beta j}} \beta^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j \beta^{-j}} \sup _{n}\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{L^{N^{* * \beta}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}<+\infty$.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$, according to Lemma 3.15, there are $d, \varepsilon_{0}>0$ that satisfy $J_{\varepsilon}$ has a critical point $u_{\varepsilon} \in Y_{\varepsilon}^{d} \cap \Gamma_{\varepsilon}^{\tilde{c}_{\varepsilon}}$. Since $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta_{N} u_{\varepsilon}-\Delta_{q} u_{\varepsilon}+V(\varepsilon x)\left(\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N-2} u_{\varepsilon}+\left|u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q-2} u_{\varepsilon}\right)=f\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)+4\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \chi_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon}^{p} \mathrm{~d} x-1\right)_{+} \chi_{\varepsilon} u_{\varepsilon} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

When $t \leq 0$, we know $f(t)=0$. So $u_{\varepsilon}>0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. In addition, by using Lemma 3.16, it is easy to get $\left\{\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\right\}_{\varepsilon}$ is bounded. Now by using Lemma 3.11, we have

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left[\frac{1}{N}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{2 \delta}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{N}+V_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{N} \mathrm{~d} x\right)+\frac{1}{q}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{2 \delta}}\left|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\right|^{q}+V_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right)\right]=0 .
$$

According to elliptic estimates in [20], we know

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\left\|u_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{2 \delta}\right)}=0
$$

Similar to [35], there are $C>0, c>0$ that satisfy

$$
u(x) \leq C e^{-c|x|}
$$

In fact, by using the Radial Lemma in [7], one has

$$
u(x) \leq C \frac{\|u\|_{L^{N}}}{|x|}, \quad \forall x \neq 0
$$

here $C$ is related to $N, p$. Therefore, for $u \in \mathcal{S}_{m}$, we have $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x)=0$ uniformly. According to the comparison principle, we have that $C>0, c>0$ satisfy

$$
u(x) \leq C e^{-c|x|}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

According to a comparison principle, for some $C, c>0$, we obtain that

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq C \exp \left(-c \operatorname{dist}\left(x, \mathcal{M}_{\varepsilon}^{2 \delta}\right)\right)
$$

So $Q_{\varepsilon}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$, then $u_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies (1.1). Lastly, assume $u_{\varepsilon}$ has a maximum point $x_{\varepsilon}$. According to Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.11, for some $x \in \mathcal{M}$, we get that $\varepsilon x_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow x$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, as to $C>0, c>0$,

$$
u_{\varepsilon}(x) \leq C e^{-c\left|x-x_{\varepsilon}\right|} .
$$

This completes the final proof.
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