

An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanța

Vol. 20(2), 2012, 159-170

On non-commutative Minkowski spheres

László L. Stachó and Wend Werner

Abstract

The purpose of the following is to try to make sense of the stereographic projection in a non-commutative setup. To this end, we consider the open unit ball of a ternary ring of operators, which naturally comes equipped with a non-commutative version of a hyperbolic metric and ask for a manifold onto which the open unit ball can be mapped so that one might think of this situation as providing a noncommutative analog to mapping the open disk of complex numbers onto the hyperboloid in three space, equipped with the restriction of the Minkowskian metric. We also obtain a related result on the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint operators.

1 Introduction

By definition, the classical Minkowski sphere is the set

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}(\mathbb{R}^4) := \{ (t, x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : t^2 - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) = 1, t > 0 \}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that the Hilbert ball

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{ (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 1 \}$$

is mapped injectively onto \mathbf{M} by the transformation

$$\Phi(\mathbf{a}) = \Phi(a_1, a_2, a_3) := \frac{1}{1 - (a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2)} \Big(1 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2, 2a_1, 2a_2, 2a_3 \Big).$$

Key Words: Minkowski space, ternary ring of operators (TRO), Möbius transformation, Jordan structure. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46K70, 17C36, 17B66, 46L07.

Received: August, 2011. Accepted: February, 2012.

Namely, we have

$$\Phi : \mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{M}, \qquad \Phi^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}) = (1+t)^{-1} \mathbf{x} \quad \text{at} \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{M}.$$

Notice that, by identifying \mathbb{R}^3 with $\mathbf{E} := \operatorname{Mat}(1, 3, \mathbb{R})$ the set of all row 3-vectors and \mathbb{R}^4 with $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbf{E} \equiv \operatorname{Mat}(1, 1, \mathbb{R}) \times \operatorname{Mat}(1, 3, \mathbb{R})$, respectively, in matrix terms we can write $\Phi(\mathbf{a}) = (\Phi_0(\mathbf{a}), \Phi_1(\mathbf{a}))$ where

$$\Phi_0(\mathbf{a}) = (1 - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1 + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*), \quad \Phi_1(\mathbf{a}) = 2(1 - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}\mathbf{a}.$$
 (1.1)

It is a more interesting fact that Φ lifts the natural hyperbolic geometry of **B** to **M** in a manner such that vector fields corresponding to hyperbolic translation flows of **B** will be mapped to restrictions of \mathbb{R}^4 -vector fields to **M** depending linearly on the coordinates t, \mathbf{x} and the 3×3 -matrix

$$\tilde{t} := (1 + \mathbf{a}^* \mathbf{a})(1 + \mathbf{a}^* \mathbf{a})^{-1}$$
 at $(t, \mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{a})$

of a non-commutative time. That is, for the vector fields

$$v_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{a}) := \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{a} \qquad \left(\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}, \ \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{E}\right)$$
(1.2)

we get

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^{\#} v_{\mathbf{u}} \end{bmatrix}(t, \mathbf{x}) &:= & \frac{d}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \Phi \Big(\Phi^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}) + \tau v_{\mathbf{u}} \big(\Phi^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}) \big) \Big) = \\ &= & \left(2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^*, t\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}\tilde{t} \right) \quad \text{at} \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) = \Phi(\mathbf{a}). \end{split}$$

The appearance of the non-commutative time term suggests that we should regard an embedding of **B** instead of $Mat(1,1) \times Mat(1,3)$ into $\widehat{\mathbf{E}} := Mat(1,1) \times Mat(3,3) \times Mat(1,3) \times Mat(3,1)$ by the mapping

$$\widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{a}) := \left(\Phi_0(\mathbf{a}), \widetilde{\Phi}_0(\mathbf{a}), \Phi_1(\mathbf{a}), \widetilde{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{a}) \right);$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_0(\mathbf{a}) := \widetilde{t}(\mathbf{a}) = (1 + \mathbf{a}^* \mathbf{a})(1 + \mathbf{a}^* \mathbf{a})^{-1},$$

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{a}) := \Phi_1(\mathbf{a})^* = 2\mathbf{a}^*(1 - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} = 2(1 - \mathbf{a}^* \mathbf{a})^{-1}\mathbf{a}^*.$$
(1.3)

In this way, the lifted fields $\widehat{\Phi}^{\#}v_{\mathbf{u}}$ automatically become the restriction of a real linear vector on $\widehat{\mathbf{M}} := \operatorname{ran}(\widehat{\Phi})$ to a real-linear vector field of $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$, since

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\Phi}^{\#} v_{\mathbf{u}} \end{bmatrix} (t, \widetilde{t}, \mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \left(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}^{*} + \mathbf{x} \mathbf{u}^{*}, \mathbf{u}^{*} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{u}, t\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \widetilde{t}, \mathbf{u}^{*} t + \widetilde{t} \mathbf{u}^{*} \right)$$

if $(t, \widetilde{t}, \mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{a}), \quad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}.$ (1.4)

Our purpose in this note is to generalize the above considerations to the setting of *ternary rings of operators* (TRO in the sequel). As a by-product of our main theorem, we obtain a result of possible independent interest concerning the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint operators.

2 Results

Henceforth \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K} will stand for two arbitrarily fixed real or complex Hilbert spaces and \mathbf{E} denotes a TRO in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K}) (= \{\text{bounded linear operators } \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{K}\})$. That is $\mathbf{E} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{K})$ is a closed linear subspace such that $[\mathbf{abc}] := \mathbf{ab}^* \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{E}$ whenever $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{E}$. We write

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{E}) := \left\{ t \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{K}) : \ t = t^*, \ t\mathbf{E} \subset \mathbf{E} \right\}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{E}) := \left\{ \widetilde{t} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}) : \ \widetilde{t} = \widetilde{t}^*, \ \mathbf{E}\widetilde{t} \subset \mathbf{E} \right\}$$

and, by setting also $\widetilde{\mathbf{E}} := \mathbf{E}^* = \{\mathbf{z}^* : \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{E}\} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{H})$, we define the operator $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathbf{B} := \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{E} : \|\mathbf{a}\| < 1\} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{E}} := \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{E}) \times \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{E}) \times \mathbf{E} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{E}}$ ranging in the linking algebra [2] by (1.1) and (1.3). Indeed $\mathbf{xx}^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{E})$ and $\mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{E})$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{E}$ whence, with norm-convergence, also

$$\Phi_{0}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{*})^{n} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{E}), \quad \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbf{a}^{*}\mathbf{a})^{n} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{E}),$$

$$\Phi_{1}(\mathbf{a}) = 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{*})^{n} \mathbf{a} = [\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \Phi_{0}(\mathbf{a})] \mathbf{a} =$$
(2.1)

$$= 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{a}^{*}\mathbf{a})^{n} = \mathbf{a}[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \widetilde{\Phi}_{0}(\mathbf{a})] \in \mathbf{E} \text{ for any } \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}.$$

Let us finally define

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\mathbf{M}} &:= & \Big\{ (t, \widetilde{t}, \mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{E}} : \ t \in \mathcal{A}_{+}(\mathbf{E}), \ t^{2} - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^{*} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}}, \ \widetilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}^{*}, \\ & \widetilde{t} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{+}(\mathbf{E}), \ \widetilde{t}^{2} - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{*}\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}}, \ (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \widetilde{t})^{-1} \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Our main result reads as follows.

2.2 Theorem. In the TRO-setting established above, we have $\widehat{\Phi} : \mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow \widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ with

$$\widehat{\Phi}^{-1}(t,\widetilde{t},\mathbf{x},\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x} = \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \widetilde{t})^{-1}, \qquad \Big((t,\widetilde{t},\mathbf{x},\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \widehat{\mathbf{M}}\Big).$$

The vector fields $v_{\mathbf{u}}$ of infinitesimal hyperbolic parallel shifts on **B** defined by (1.2) are lifted to restrictions of linear maps on $\widehat{\mathbf{M}}$ of the form (1.4).

As it is well-known [3], the integration of a vector field $v_{\mathbf{u}}$ provides the flow $[M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau}: \tau \in \mathbb{R}]$ of Potapov-Möbius transformations

$$\begin{aligned} M_u^{\tau}(\mathbf{a}) : &= (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{u}_{\tau} \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^*)^{-1/2} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{u}_{\tau}) (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^* \mathbf{a})^{-1} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^* \mathbf{u}_{\tau})^{1/2} = \\ &= (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{u}_{\tau} \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^*)^{-1/2} (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{a} \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^*)^{-1} (\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{u}_{\tau}) (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{u}_{\tau}^* \mathbf{u}_{\tau})^{1/2}, \quad (\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}) \end{aligned}$$

where, in terms of Kaup's odd functional calculus [1],

$$\mathbf{u}_{\tau} := \tanh(\tau \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \tau^{2n+1} (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^*)^n \mathbf{u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \tau^{2n+1} \mathbf{u} (\mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{u})^n$$

with the constants $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots \in \mathbb{R}$ of the expansion $\tanh(\xi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \xi^{2n+1}$.

On the other hand, linear vector fields are integrated simply by taking the exponentials of their multiples with the virtual time parameter τ . Taking into account that (1.4) can be written in the matrix form $\widehat{\Phi}^{\#}v_{\mathbf{u}} : \widehat{\mathbf{M}} \ni (t, \tilde{t}, \mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \mapsto (t, \tilde{t}, \mathbf{x}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{u}}$ with

$$\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{u}} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & R(\mathbf{u}) & L(\mathbf{u}^*) \\ 0 & 0 & L(\mathbf{u}) & R(\mathbf{u}^*) \\ R(\mathbf{u}^*) & L(\mathbf{u}^*) & 0 & 0 \\ L(\mathbf{u}) & R(\mathbf{u}) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S(\mathbf{u}) \\ S(\mathbf{u}^*) & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $L(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$ denote left and right multiplication as usually, we get the following.

2.3 Corollary.
$$M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau}(\mathbf{a}) = \widehat{\Phi}^{-1} \Big(\widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{a}) \exp(\tau \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{u}}) \Big), \quad (\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \ \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B})$$

Let us restrict ourselves to the case $\mathbf{E} = \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}) (= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}))$ and consider the behavior of $\widehat{\Phi}$ on the unit ball $\mathbf{B}^{(s)}$ of the self-adjoint part $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathbf{H}) := {\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}) : \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^*}$. Then $\phi_0(\mathbf{a}) = \widetilde{\phi}_0(\mathbf{a}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{a}^2)(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{a}^2)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\phi_1(\mathbf{a}) = \widetilde{\phi}_1(\mathbf{a}) = 2a(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{a}^2)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathbf{H})$. From (1.4) we see also that

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\Phi}^{\#} v_{\mathbf{u}} \end{bmatrix} (t, \mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}) = 2 \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{u}, t \bullet \mathbf{u}, t \bullet \mathbf{u} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{if} \quad (t, \mathbf{x}, t, \mathbf{x}) = \widehat{\Phi}(\mathbf{a}), \quad \mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}^{(s)}$$
(2.4)

in terms of the Jordan product $\mathbf{x} \bullet \mathbf{y} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}\mathbf{x})$ on $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathbf{H})$. We get the following explicit linear representation for the Jordan manifold structure of the unit ball of $\mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathbf{H})$ discussed in Theorem 2.6 of our paper [4].

2.5 Corollary. For the transformation $\Phi := [\Phi_0, \Phi_1]$ we have $\Phi : \mathbf{B}^{(s)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{M}^{(s)} := \{(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathbf{H})^2 : t \ge 0, t^2 - \mathbf{x}^2 = 1_{\mathbf{H}}\}$. The Möbius transformations $M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau}$ ($\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathbf{H})$ map $\mathbf{B}^{(s)}$ onto itself and, in terms of the Jordan multiplication $J(\mathbf{u}) := \frac{1}{2}[L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})]$,

$$M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau}(\mathbf{a}) = \Phi^{-1} \left(\Phi(\mathbf{a}) \exp\left(2\tau \begin{bmatrix} J(\mathbf{u}) \ 0 \\ 0 \ J(\mathbf{u} \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) = \\ = \Phi^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} \left(e^{\tau \mathbf{u}} [\phi_0(\mathbf{a}) + \phi_1(\mathbf{a})] e^{\tau \mathbf{u}} + e^{\tau \mathbf{u}} [\phi_0(\mathbf{a}) - \phi_1(\mathbf{a})] e^{\tau \mathbf{u}}, \\ e^{\tau \mathbf{u}} [\phi_0(\mathbf{a}) + \phi_1(\mathbf{a})] e^{\tau \mathbf{u}} - e^{\tau \mathbf{u}} [\phi_0(\mathbf{a}) - \phi_1(\mathbf{a})] e^{\tau \mathbf{u}} \right) \right)$$

3 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Theorem 2.2 is an immediate consequence of the following substatements.

3.1 Lemma. The component Φ_1 of Φ is injective. Moreover $\Phi_1 : \mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{E}$ with

$$\Phi_1^{-1}(\mathbf{c}) = \left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*}\right]^{-1} \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c} \left[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{c}^*\mathbf{c}}\right]^{-1}, \qquad (\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{E}).$$

3.2 Lemma. For any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}$, $\phi_0(\mathbf{a})^2 - \phi_1(\mathbf{a})\phi_1(\mathbf{a})^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\widetilde{\phi}_0(\mathbf{a})^2 - \phi_1(\mathbf{a})^*\phi_1(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}}$.

3.3 Lemma. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{E}$, $t \in \mathcal{L}_+(\mathbf{K})$ and $\tilde{t} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_+(\mathbf{H})$ be so given that $t^2 - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}}$ and $\tilde{t}^2 - \mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}}$. Then $t \in \mathcal{A}_+(\mathbf{E})$, $\tilde{t} \in \mathcal{A}_+(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}) = (\mathcal{A}_+(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}) := \mathbf{E}^*)$ and $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \tilde{t})^{-1} \in \mathbf{B}$. By writing $\mathbf{a} := (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ for the common value, we have $t = \Phi_0(\mathbf{a})$, $\tilde{t} = \widetilde{\Phi}_0(\mathbf{a})$, $\mathbf{x} = \phi_1(\mathbf{a})$, $\mathbf{x}^* = \widetilde{\phi}_1(\mathbf{a})$.

3.4 Proposition. Let $\mathbf{M} := \{(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathcal{A}_+(\mathbf{E}) \times \mathbf{E} : t^2 - \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}}\}$ and let $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{E}$ be fixed arbitrarily. Then the submap $\Phi := [\Phi_0, \Phi_1]$ of $\widehat{\Phi} (= [\Phi_0, \widetilde{\Phi}_0, \Phi_1, \widetilde{\Phi}_1])$ lifts the vector field $v_{\mathbf{u}}$ to $(t, \mathbf{x}) \mapsto (\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^*, t\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}\widetilde{t})$ with $\widetilde{t} := \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{x}}$ on \mathbf{M} . That is, given $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{M}$ and, by setting $\mathbf{a} := \Phi^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}$, we have

$$\left[\Phi^{\#}v_{\mathbf{u}}\right](t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} \Phi\left(\mathbf{a} + \tau(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{a})\right) = \left(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^*, t\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}\tilde{t}\right).$$

3.5 Corollary. If $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}} := \left\{ (\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_+(\mathbf{E}^*) \times \mathbf{E}^* : \ \widetilde{t}^2 - \widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^* = 1_{\mathbf{H}} \right\} and \mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{E}$

is arbitrarily fixed then the submap $\widetilde{\Phi} := [\widetilde{\Phi}_0, \widetilde{\Phi}_1]$ of $\widehat{\Phi}$ lifts the vector field $v_{\mathbf{u}}$ to $(\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \mapsto \left(\mathbf{u}^* \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^* + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}, \widetilde{t} \mathbf{u}^* + \mathbf{u}^* t\right)$ with $t := \sqrt{1_K + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^* \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}}$ to $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$. That is, given $(\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}$ and, by setting $\mathbf{a} := \widetilde{\Phi}^{-1}(\widetilde{t}, \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^* (\mathbf{1_H} + \widetilde{t})^{-1}$, we have

$$\left[\widetilde{\Phi}^{\#}v_{\mathbf{u}}\right](\widetilde{t},\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0}\widetilde{\Phi}\left(\mathbf{a} + \tau(\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{u}^{*}\mathbf{a})\right) = \left(\mathbf{u}^{*}\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}^{*} + \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u}, \widetilde{t}\mathbf{u}^{*} + \mathbf{u}^{*}t\right).$$

Proof of 3.1. Given any $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{E}$ let $t_0(\mathbf{c}) := \psi_0(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*)$ with the continuous real function $\psi_0(\tau) := 1 + \sqrt{1 + \tau}$. By the Spectral Mapping Theorem, $\operatorname{Sp}(t_0(\mathbf{c})) = \psi_0(\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*)) > 0$. Hence $t(\mathbf{c}) : 2[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \sqrt{1 + \mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*}] = 2t_0(\mathbf{c})^{-1}$ is well-defined

and, by Sinclair's Theorem^{*}, $||t(\mathbf{c})\mathbf{c}||^2 = ||t(\mathbf{c})\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*t(\mathbf{c})|| = \max \{\psi(\tau)^2\tau : \tau \in \operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*)\} \leq 4||\mathbf{c}||^2/[1 + \sqrt{1 + ||\mathbf{c}||^2}]^2 < 1$. To see that $t(\mathbf{c})\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{E}$ and hence also $\in \mathbf{B}$, notice that, by Weierstrass' Approximation Theorem, there is a sequence π_1, π_2, \ldots of real polynomials converging uniformly to ψ on $\operatorname{Sp}(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*)$. By Sinclair's Theorem again, $\pi_n(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*) \to t(\mathbf{c})$ in norm. However $\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^* \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{E})$, whence also $\pi_n(\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^*) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{E})$ entailing $t(\mathbf{c}) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{E})$ and $t(\mathbf{c})\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{B}$.

To complete the proof, we show that $t(\phi_0(\mathbf{a}))\phi_0(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a}$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}$. Given $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}$, we have $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \phi_0(\mathbf{a})\phi_0(\mathbf{a})^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + 4(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-2}[(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^2 + 4\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*] = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-2}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^2$. It follows $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \phi_0(\mathbf{a})\phi_0(\mathbf{a})^*} = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}[(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*) + \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*] = 2(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}$ entailing $t(\phi_0(\mathbf{a}))\phi_0(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)[2(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}\mathbf{a}] = \mathbf{a}$.

Proof of 3.2. Given any operator $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}$, we have

- $\phi_0(\mathbf{a})^2 \phi_1(\mathbf{a})\phi_1(\mathbf{a})^* =$
- $= (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^2(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(4\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} = (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} = (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} = (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} = (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}($
- = $(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{aa}^*)^{-1} [1_{\mathbf{K}} + 2\mathbf{aa}^* + (\mathbf{aa}^*)^2 4\mathbf{aa}^*] (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{aa}^*)^{-1} =$
- $= (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} [1_{\mathbf{K}} 2\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^* + (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^2] (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} =$
- $= (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} [1_{\mathbf{K}} 2\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^* + (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^2] (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} =$
- $= (1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^2(1_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1} = 1_{\mathbf{K}} \ .$

The proof of the relationship $\tilde{\phi}_0(\mathbf{a})^2 - \phi_1(\mathbf{a})^* \phi_1(\mathbf{a}) = 1_{\mathbf{H}}$ is analogous with terms $\mathbf{a}^* \mathbf{a}$ replacing \mathbf{aa}^* and $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}}$ instead of $\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}}$.

Proof of 3.3. Since $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathcal{A}_+(\mathbf{E}, \text{ by Sinclair's and Weierstrass' Theo$ $rems (as in the proof of 3.1), <math>t = \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^*} \in \mathcal{A}_+(\mathbf{E})$. Similarly $\tilde{t} = \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{x}} \in \mathcal{A}_+(\mathbf{E})$. By Lemma 3.1, $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^*}]^{-1}\mathbf{x} = \Phi_1^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^*}]^{-1} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \tilde{t})^{-1}$. Hence the definition of \mathbf{a} ensures that $\mathbf{x} = \Phi_1(\mathbf{a}) = \tilde{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{a})^*$. Thus, by Lemma 3.2, $t = \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^*} = \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \Phi_1(\mathbf{a})\Phi_1(\mathbf{a})^*} = \Phi_0(\mathbf{a})$ and $\tilde{t} = \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{x}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \Phi_1(\mathbf{a})^*\Phi_1(\mathbf{a})} = \tilde{\Phi}_0(\mathbf{a})$.

Proof of 3.4. Notice that, by 3.3 we have $\mathbf{M} = \operatorname{range}(\Phi)$. Let any point $(t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{E}$ be fixed arbitrarily and write

$$\mathbf{a} := \Phi^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} \mathbf{x}, \qquad \mathbf{v} := v_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a} \mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{a}.$$
(3.6)

^{*}The norm of a self-adjoint operator coincides with its spectral radius.

Then, in terms of the Möbius transformations $\ M^\tau_{\mathbf{u}} := \exp(\tau v_{\mathbf{u}}) \$ we have

$$\left[\Phi^{\#}v_{\mathbf{u}}\right](t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} \Phi \circ M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau} \circ \Phi^{-1}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} \Phi \circ M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau}(\mathbf{a}) = \Phi'(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v}.$$

We calculate both $\Phi'(\mathbf{a})$ and \mathbf{v} in terms of t, x. For the first component of Φ ,

$$\begin{split} \Phi_0(\mathbf{a}) &= (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}[(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*) + 2\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*] = \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + 2(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*)^{-1}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^* = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \Phi_1(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{a}^* \; . \end{split}$$

Since, by definition $\Phi_1(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{x}$, hence we get

$$\begin{split} \Phi_0'(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v} &= \left. \frac{d}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=0} \Phi_1(\mathbf{a} + \tau \mathbf{v})(\mathbf{a} + \tau \mathbf{v})^* = \phi_1(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v}^* + \left[\phi_1'(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v}\right]\mathbf{a}^* = \\ &= \mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}^* + \left[\phi_1'(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v}\right]\mathbf{a}^* \;. \end{split}$$

We can express $\Phi'_1(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v}$ in algebraic terms of \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{v} as follows:

$$\Phi'_{1}(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v} = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} \Phi_{1}(\mathbf{a}+\tau\mathbf{v}) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0} 2[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + (\mathbf{a}+\tau\mathbf{v})(\mathbf{a}+\tau\mathbf{v})^{*}]^{-1}(\mathbf{a}+\tau\mathbf{v}) = 2[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{*}]^{-1}\mathbf{v} + 2[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{*}]^{-1}(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{v}^{*} + \mathbf{v}\mathbf{a}^{*})[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{*}]^{-1}\mathbf{a} .$$

Since $\mathbf{a} = \Phi^{-1}(t, \mathbf{x}) = (1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ and since $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* = t^2 - 1_{\mathbf{K}} = (t - 1_{\mathbf{K}})(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)$, here we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^* &= \ \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}(t - \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}}) = \\ &= (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}[(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t) - (t - \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}})] = 2(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} , \\ &[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*]^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t) . \end{split}$$

Hence and with (3.6) we conclude

$$\begin{split} \Phi_1'(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v} &= (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)\mathbf{v} + 2[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*]^{-1}\mathbf{a}\mathbf{v}^*[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*]^{-1}\mathbf{a} + \\ &+ 2[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*]^{-1}\mathbf{v}\mathbf{a}^*[\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^*]^{-1}\mathbf{a} = \\ &= (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)\mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)\mathbf{v}\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x} \; . \end{split}$$

We can express \mathbf{v} in terms of t, \mathbf{x} as

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x} .$$

Thus

$$\Phi'_{1}(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v} = \underbrace{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)\mathbf{u}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)\mathbf{u}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^{*}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^{*}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}} + \underbrace{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^{*}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}\mathbf{x}}_{(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf$$

The sum (2)+(3)+(6) vanishes because $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)(t - \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}})$ and hence

$$(2) + (3) + (6) = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^* \left[-(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} - \frac{1}{2}(t_{\mathbf{K}} - 1)(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} \right] \mathbf{x} = = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^* \left[-\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + \frac{1}{2}t - \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} \right] (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} \mathbf{x} = 0.$$

The sum (4)+(5) can also be simplified as

$$(4) + (5) = \frac{1}{2} \left[-(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} \mathbf{\hat{x}x^{*}} + (1_{\mathbf{K}} + t) \right] \mathbf{ux}^{*} (1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left[-(t - 1_{\mathbf{K}}) + (1_{\mathbf{K}} + t) \right] \mathbf{ux}^{*} (1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{ux}^{*} (1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} \mathbf{x} .$$

Summing up $(1) + \cdots + (6)$, we get

Using again the identity $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)(t - \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}})$, here we can write

$$(2) + (3) = \left[-(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)(t_{\mathbf{K}} - 1) + (1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)\right] \mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}^{*}(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} = = 2\mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}^{*}(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} ,$$

$$(4) = \mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}^{*}(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1}(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)(t_{\mathbf{K}} - 1)(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} = \mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}^{*}(t_{\mathbf{K}} - 1)(1 + t_{\mathbf{K}})^{-1} = = -\mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}^{*}(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} \left[(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t) - 2t \right] = -\mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}^{*} + 2\mathbf{u} \mathbf{x}^{*} t(1_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \Phi_0'(\mathbf{a})\mathbf{v} &= [(1) + (4)] + [(2) + (3)] = \\ &= \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^* - \mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^* + 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^*t(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} + 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} = \\ &= \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^* + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^* \left[-(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t) + 2t + 2\cdot\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} \right] (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{K}} + t)^{-1} = \\ &= \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^* + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^* . \quad \text{Qu.e.d.} \end{split}$$

Proof of 3.5. By Lemmas 3.1-3 it suffices to see that we have $[\tilde{\Phi}'(\mathbf{a})]v_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{a}) = (\mathbf{u}^* \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^* + \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{u}, \tilde{t} \mathbf{u}^* + \mathbf{u}^* t)$ whenever $t = \Phi_0(\mathbf{a}), \tilde{t} = \tilde{\Phi}_0(\mathbf{a})$ and $\mathbf{x} := \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^* = \Phi_1(\mathbf{a})$. Let $t := \Phi_0(\mathbf{a}), \tilde{t} := \tilde{\Phi}_0(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{x} := \tilde{\mathbf{x}}^* := \Phi_1(\mathbf{a})$. By 3.3 and since $\tilde{\Phi}_1 = [\Phi_1]^*$, we have indeed

$$\left[\widetilde{\Phi}_{1}'(\mathbf{a})\right]v_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{a}) = \left[\frac{d}{d\tau}\Big|_{\tau=0}\Phi_{1}\left(\mathbf{a}+\tau(\mathbf{u}-\mathbf{a}\mathbf{u}^{*}\mathbf{a})\right)\right]^{*} = \left[t\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{u}\widetilde{t}\right]^{*} = \mathbf{u}^{*}t+\widetilde{t}\mathbf{u}^{*}.$$

We can deduce the expression of $[\tilde{\Phi}'_0(\mathbf{a})]v_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{a})$ by reversing the order of operator multiplications during the proof of the relation $[\Phi'_0(\mathbf{a})]v_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{x}\mathbf{u}^*$. Hence we get

$$[\Phi'_0(\mathbf{a})]v_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{x}^*\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{x} = \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{x}^*.$$

4 Proof of Corollary 2.5

Henceforth assume $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{K}$ and consider any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{B}^{(s)}$, $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{E}^{(s)} := \mathcal{L}^{(s)}(\mathbf{H})$. By definition $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}^*$ and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^*$ whence both the operators

$$\begin{aligned} t &:= \Phi_0(\mathbf{a}) = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{a}^2)(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{a}^2)^{-1} = (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{a}^2)^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} + \mathbf{a}^2) \big(= \widetilde{\Phi}_0(\mathbf{a}) \big), \\ \mathbf{x} &:= \Phi_1(\mathbf{a} = 2(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{a}^2)^{-1}\mathbf{a} = 2\mathbf{a}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{H}} - \mathbf{a}^2)^{-1} \big(= \widetilde{\Phi}_1(\mathbf{a}) \big) \end{aligned}$$

are self-adjoint. Thus, since $\Phi : \mathbf{B} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{M}$, also $\Phi : \mathbf{B}^{(s)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{M}^{(s)}$. On the other hand, the vector field $v_{\mathbf{u}} : \mathbf{b} \mapsto \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b}\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{b}$ is complete in **B** and ranges in $\mathbf{E}^{(s)}$ when restricted to $\mathbf{B}^{(s)} = \mathbf{B} \cap \mathbf{E}^{(s)}$. That is for the

Möbius transformations $M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau} = \exp(\tau v_{\mathbf{u}})$ we have $M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau} : \mathbf{B}^{(s)}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{B}^{(s)}$ $(\tau \in \mathbb{R})$ and there lifting $\Phi^{\#}M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau} = \Phi \circ M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau}\Phi^{-1} : \mathbf{M}^{(s)} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{M}^{(s)}$ can be calculated by taking the exponentials of the vector fields $\tau \Phi^{\#}v_{\mathbf{u}}$ which are complete in $\mathbf{M}^{(s)} = \mathbf{E}^{(s)} \cap \mathbf{M}$. By 3.4 we have

$$\begin{split} \left[\Phi^{\#} v_{\mathbf{u}} \right] (t, \mathbf{x}) &= \left(\mathbf{u} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{u} t + t \mathbf{u} \right) = (t, \mathbf{x}) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) \\ L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right] , \\ \left[\Phi^{\#} M_{\mathbf{u}}^{\tau} \right] (t, \mathbf{x}) &= (t, \mathbf{x}) \exp \left(\tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) \\ L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) . \end{split}$$

Straightforward calculations with the power series

$$\exp\left(\tau\Phi^{\#}v_{\mathbf{u}}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{n}}{n!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) \\ L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{n}$$

yield the following:

$$\exp\left(\tau \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) \\ L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^n}{n!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) \\ L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}^n = \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{2k}}{(2k)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) \\ L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) \\ L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u}) & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{2k+1} = \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{2k}}{(2k)!} \begin{bmatrix} [L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})]^{2k} & 0 \\ 0 & [L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})]^{2k} \end{bmatrix} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & [L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})]^{2k+1} \\ L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})^{2k+1} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \\ = \begin{bmatrix} \cosh(\tau [L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})]) & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh(\tau [L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})]) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sinh(\tau [L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})]) \\ \sinh(\tau [L(\mathbf{u}) + R(\mathbf{u})]) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since left and right multiplications commute (that is $L(\mathbf{g})R(\mathbf{h})\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}\mathbf{h}) = (\mathbf{g}\mathbf{z})\mathbf{h} = R(\mathbf{h})L(\mathbf{g})\mathbf{z}$ for $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{E}$), it follows

$$\cosh\left(\tau[L(\mathbf{u})+R(\mathbf{u})]\right) = \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\tau[L(\mathbf{u})+R(\mathbf{u})]\right) + \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-\tau[L(\mathbf{u})+R(\mathbf{u})]\right) =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(\tau L(\mathbf{u})\right)\exp\left(\tau R(\mathbf{u})\right) + \frac{1}{2}\exp\left(-\tau L(\mathbf{u})\right)\exp\left(-\tau R(\mathbf{u})\right) =$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}L\left(\exp(\tau \mathbf{u})\right)R\left(\exp(\tau \mathbf{u})\right) + \frac{1}{2}L\left(\exp(-\tau \mathbf{u})\right)R\left(\exp(-\tau \mathbf{u})\right)$$

with the effect $\cosh(\tau[L(\mathbf{u})+R(\mathbf{u})]) : \mathbf{z} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\exp(\tau\mathbf{u})\mathbf{z}\exp(\tau\mathbf{u}) + \frac{1}{2}\exp(-\tau\mathbf{u})\mathbf{z}\exp(-\tau\mathbf{u}).$ Similarly $\sinh(\tau[L(\mathbf{u})+R(\mathbf{u})]) : \mathbf{z} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\exp(\tau\mathbf{u})\mathbf{z}\exp(\tau\mathbf{u}) - \frac{1}{2}\exp(-\tau\mathbf{u})\mathbf{z}\exp(-\tau\mathbf{u}).$ Q.e.d.

References

- Arazy, J. Kaup, W.; On continuous Peirce decompositions, Schur multipliers and the perturbation of triple functional calculus. Math. Ann. 320 (2001), no. 3, 431461.
- [2] Blecher, David P Le Merdy, Ch.; Operator algebras and their modules an operator space approach; London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 30; Oxford Science Publications. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [3] Isidro, J.-M Stachó, L.L.; Holomorphic automorphism groups in Banach spaces; North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 105. Notas de Matemtica [Mathematical Notes], 97. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1985.
- [4] Stachó, L.L. Werner, W.; Jordan manifolds; in: Proceedings of the Workshop on Differential Geometry and its applications, Iasi, 2009.

László L. STACHÓ, Bolyai Institute, University of Szeged, H-6720 Szeged, Hungary. Email: stacho@math.u-szeged.hu

Wend WERNER, Mathematisches Institut, Universität Münster, Einsteinstrasse 62, 48149 Münster, Germany. Email: wwerner@math.uni-muenster.de