



# Central kernels of subspaces of $JB^*$ -triples <sup>☆</sup>

C. Martin Edwards <sup>a,\*</sup>, Christopher S. Hoskin <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *The Queen's College, Oxford, United Kingdom*

<sup>b</sup> *Mansfield College, Oxford, United Kingdom*

Received 17 August 2006

Available online 29 November 2006

Submitted by R.M. Aron

---

## Abstract

An investigation of the norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$  of an arbitrary norm-closed subspace  $L$  of a  $JB^*$ -triple and the central kernel  $k(L)$  of a weak\*-closed subspace  $L$  of a  $JBW^*$ -triple is carried out. It is shown that these geometrically defined objects have purely algebraic characterizations, the results providing new information about  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* M-ideal; M-summand;  $JB^*$ -triple;  $JBW^*$ -triple

---

## 1. Introduction

This paper represents a further investigation into the central structure of Banach spaces. In the late sixties and early seventies, in ground-breaking work, Alfsen, Cunningham, Effros, and Roy [1,2,9,10] introduced the concepts of M-ideals, M-summands, and L-summands in real Banach spaces. In the following years their results were extended to complex Banach spaces, a full description being given in Behrends' treatise [6].

For a complex Banach space  $A$  and any closed subspace  $L$  of  $A$ , there exists a greatest M-ideal  $k_n(L)$  of  $A$  contained in  $L$ , known as the norm central kernel of  $L$  in  $A$ . In the case in which  $A$  is a dual space and  $L$  is weak\*-closed, there exists a greatest M-summand  $k(L)$  of  $A$

---

<sup>☆</sup> Research supported in part by a grant from the United Kingdom Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council.

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [martin.edwards@queens.ox.ac.uk](mailto:martin.edwards@queens.ox.ac.uk) (C.M. Edwards), [hoskin@maths.ox.ac.uk](mailto:hoskin@maths.ox.ac.uk) (C.S. Hoskin).

contained in  $A$ , known as the central kernel  $k(L)$  of  $L$  in  $A$ . It is the investigation of these two central kernels that is the subject of this paper.

A complex Banach space  $A$  having the property that its open unit ball is a bounded symmetric domain possesses a canonical triple product  $\{\cdot\cdot\cdot\}: A \times A \times A \rightarrow A$  with respect to which  $A$  forms a  $JB^*$ -triple. In the case in which  $A$  is a dual space,  $A$  is said to be a  $JBW^*$ -triple, and its predual  $A_*$  is unique up to isometric isomorphism. The second dual of a  $JB^*$ -triple is a  $JBW^*$ -triple. The predual of a  $JBW^*$ -triple has been proposed as a model for the state space of a physical system [26–29]. Such a space has the highly desirable property that its image under a contractive linear projection is of the same category [34,40]. In this case central properties of the  $JBW^*$ -triple correspond to classical properties of the physical system. Examples of  $JB^*$ -triples are  $C^*$ -algebras,  $JB^*$ -algebras, Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules and spin triples. It is the interplay between the geometric, holomorphic, and algebraic structure of  $JB^*$ -triples that has fascinated many authors over recent years.

Whilst much is known about the central structure of  $JB^*$ -triples [13,23–25], no attention has yet been given to an investigation into the properties of the norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$  of an arbitrary norm-closed subspace  $L$  of a  $JB^*$ -triple or the central kernel  $k(L)$  of an arbitrary weak\*-closed subspace  $L$  of a  $JBW^*$ -triple. The main results of the paper show that these objects, which are defined purely in geometrical terms can be described purely algebraically. The support space of a subset of the predual of a  $JBW^*$ -triple plays an important part in the construction of contractive projections [15,16,20,31]. In the course of the investigations into the central structure of a weak\*-closed subspace  $L$  of a  $JBW^*$ -triple  $A$ , a new algebraic characterization of the algebraic annihilator  $s(L_\circ)^\perp$  of the support space  $s(L_\circ)$  of the topological annihilator  $L_\circ$  of a weak\*-closed subspace  $L$  is discovered.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, definitions are given, notation is established, and certain preliminary results are described. In Section 3, the norm central kernel of a norm-closed subspace of a  $JB^*$ -triple is investigated, and, in Section 4, the results of Section 3 are applied to study the central kernel of a weak\*-closed subspace of a  $JBW^*$ -triple. The final section considers the applications of the main results to  $C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $A$  be a complex Banach space. A linear projection  $S$  on  $A$  is said to be an  $M$ -projection if, for each element  $a$  in  $A$ ,

$$\|a\| = \max\{\|Sa\|, \|a - Sa\|\}.$$

A closed subspace which is the range of an  $M$ -projection is said to be an  $M$ -summand of  $A$ , and  $A$  is said to be the  $M$ -sum

$$A = SA \oplus_\infty (\text{id}_A - S)A$$

of the  $M$ -summands  $SA$  and  $(\text{id}_A - S)A$ . A linear projection  $T$  on a complex Banach space  $E$  is said to be an  $L$ -projection if, for each element  $x$  of  $E$ ,

$$\|x\| = \|Tx\| + \|x - Tx\|.$$

A closed subspace which is the range of an  $L$ -projection is said to be an  $L$ -summand of  $E$ , and  $E$  is said to be the  $L$ -sum

$$E = TE \oplus_1 (\text{id}_E - T)E$$

of the  $L$ -summands  $TE$  and  $(\text{id}_E - T)E$ .

For a subset  $M$  of the complex Banach space  $E$ , having dual space  $E^*$ , let

$$M^\circ = \{x \in E^*: x(a) = 0, \forall a \in M\},$$

and, for a subset  $L$  of  $E^*$ , let

$$L_\circ = \{a \in E: x(a) = 0, \forall x \in L\},$$

be the *topological annihilators* of  $M$  and  $L$ , respectively. The mapping  $M \mapsto M^\circ$  is a bijection from the family of L-summands of  $E$  onto the family of weak\*-closed M-summands of  $E^*$ . When ordered by set inclusion, the family of L-summands of  $E$  forms a complete Boolean lattice, the lattice operations being defined for a family  $\{M_j: j \in \Lambda\}$  of L-summands in  $E$ , by

$$\bigwedge_{j \in \Lambda} M_j = \bigcap_{j \in \Lambda} M_j, \quad \bigvee_{j \in \Lambda} M_j = \overline{\text{lin} \left( \bigcup_{j \in \Lambda} M_j \right)},$$

the closure being in the norm topology. It follows that for any family  $\{L_j: j \in \Lambda\}$  of weak\*-closed M-summands of the dual space  $E^*$  of  $E$ , the weak\*-closure of their linear span is also an M-summand. A norm-closed subspace  $L$  of the complex Banach space  $A$  is said to be an *M-ideal* if its topological annihilator  $L^\circ$  is an L-summand of its dual space. It follows from the remarks above that for any family  $\{L_j: j \in \Lambda\}$  of M-ideals in  $A$ , the norm-closure of their linear span is also an M-ideal in  $A$ . For details, the reader is referred to [1,2,9,10].

It can now be seen that, for each closed subspace  $L$  of a complex Banach space  $A$ , there exists a greatest M-ideal  $k_n(L)$  of  $A$  contained in  $L$ . The M-ideal  $k_n(L)$  is said to be the *norm central kernel* of  $L$  in  $A$ . Similarly, for each complex Banach space  $E^*$  which is a dual space and each weak\*-closed subspace  $L$  of  $E^*$ , there exists a greatest weak\*-closed M-summand  $k(L)$  of  $E^*$  contained in  $L$ . The M-summand  $k(L)$  is said to be the *central kernel* of  $L$  in  $E^*$ .

A complex vector space  $A$  equipped with a triple product  $(a, b, c) \mapsto \{a b c\}$  from  $A \times A \times A$  to  $A$  which is symmetric and linear in the first and third variables, conjugate linear in the second variable and, for elements  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  in  $A$ , satisfies the identity

$$[D(a, b), D(c, d)] = D(\{a b c\}, d) - D(c, \{d a b\}), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $[ , ]$  denotes the commutator, and  $D$  is the mapping from  $A \times A$  to the algebra of linear operators on  $A$  defined by

$$D(a, b)c = \{a b c\},$$

is said to be a *Jordan\*-triple*. For an element  $a$  in the Jordan\*-triple  $A$  and for  $n$  equal to  $1, 2, \dots$ , define

$$a^1 = a, \quad a^{2n+1} = \{a a^{2n-1} a\}.$$

Observe that for non-negative integers  $l, m$ , and  $n$ ,

$$\{a^{2l+1} a^{2m+1} a^{2n+1}\} = a^{2(l+m+n)+3}. \tag{2.2}$$

A Jordan\*-triple for which the vanishing of  $a^3$  implies that  $a$  itself vanishes is said to be *anisotropic*. For elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $A$ , the conjugate linear mapping  $Q(a, b)$  from  $A$  to itself is defined, for each element  $c$  in  $A$ , by

$$Q(a, b)c = \{a c b\}.$$

For details about the properties of Jordan\*-triples the reader is referred to [35].

A Jordan\*-triple  $A$  which is also a Banach space such that  $D$  is continuous from  $A \times A$  to the Banach algebra  $B(A)$  of bounded linear operators on  $A$ , and, for each element  $a$  in  $A$ ,  $D(a, a)$  is hermitian in the sense of [7, Definition 5.1], with non-negative spectrum, and satisfies

$$\|D(a, a)\| = \|a\|^2,$$

is said to be a *JB\*-triple*. A subspace  $B$  of a JB\*-triple  $A$  is said to be a *subtriple* if  $\{B B B\}$  is contained in  $B$ . A subspace  $B$  is clearly a subtriple if and only if, for each element  $a$  in  $B$ , the element  $a^3$  lies in  $B$ . Observe that every subtriple of a JB\*-triple is an anisotropic Jordan\*-triple. A subspace  $J$  of a JB\*-triple  $A$  is said to be an *inner ideal* if  $\{J A J\}$  is contained in  $J$  and is said to be an *ideal* if  $\{A A J\}$  and  $\{A J A\}$  are contained in  $J$ . Every norm-closed subtriple of a JB\*-triple  $A$  is a JB\*-triple [33], and a norm-closed subspace  $J$  of  $A$  is an ideal if and only if  $\{J J A\}$  is contained in  $J$  [8]. For each element  $a$  in a JB\*-triple  $A$ , the smallest norm-closed subtriple  $A(a)$  of  $A$  containing  $a$  is isometrically triple isomorphic to the commutative C\*-algebra  $C_0(\sigma_A(a))$  of complex-valued continuous functions on the bounded, locally compact subset  $\sigma_A(a)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  which have limit zero at zero. Under the isomorphism the element  $a^{2n+1}$  is mapped into the function  $t^{2n+1}$  defined, for each element  $t$  in  $\sigma_A(a)$ , by

$$t^{2n+1}(t) = t^{2n+1}.$$

The isometric triple isomorphism from  $C_0(\sigma_A(a))$  onto  $A(a)$  is said to be the *functional calculus* corresponding to  $a$ . A JB\*-triple  $A$  which is the dual of a Banach space  $A_*$  is said to be a *JBW\*-triple*. In this case the *predual*  $A_*$  of  $A$  is unique up to isometric isomorphism and, for elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $A$ , the operators  $D(a, b)$  and  $Q(a, b)$  are weak\*-continuous. It follows that a weak\*-closed subtriple  $B$  of a JBW\*-triple  $A$  is a JBW\*-triple. Examples of JB\*-triples are JB\*-algebras and examples of JBW\*-triples are JBW\*-algebras. The second dual  $A^{**}$  of a JB\*-triple  $A$  is a JBW\*-triple. For details of these results the reader is referred to [4,5,11,12,30,32–34,41,42].

When  $A$  is a JB\*-triple the M-ideals of  $A$  coincide with its norm-closed ideals, and, when  $A$  is a JBW\*-triple its M-summands coincide with its weak\*-closed ideals [4,32]. Hence, the norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$  of a norm-closed subspace  $L$  of the JB\*-triple  $A$  is the greatest norm-closed ideal of  $A$  contained in  $L$ , and the central kernel  $k(L)$  of a weak\*-closed subspace  $L$  of a JBW\*-triple  $A$  is the greatest weak\*-closed ideal of  $A$  contained in  $L$  [24,25].

### 3. Subspaces of JB\*-triples

This section is devoted to an investigation of the norm central kernel of a norm-closed subspace of a JB\*-triple. The results are proved using a series of mainly algebraic lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a JB\*-triple, let  $L$  be a norm-closed subspace of  $A$ , and let*

$$J_L = \{a \in A: D(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

*Then,  $J_L$  is a norm-closed inner ideal of  $A$  contained in  $L$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $L$  is a norm-closed subspace, by the linearity and separate norm-continuity of the triple product, it is clear that  $J_L$  is a norm-closed subspace of  $A$ . Furthermore, by polarization, it can be seen that an element  $a$  of  $A$  lies in  $J_L$  if and only if, for all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $D(b, b)a$  lies in  $L$ . Let  $a$  be an element of  $J_L$ , and let  $b$  and  $c$  be elements of  $A$ . Then, by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(b, b)\{a c a\} &= D(b, b)D(a, c)a \\
 &= D(a, c)D(b, b)a + D(D(b, b)a, c)a - D(a, D(b, b)c)a \\
 &= 2D(D(b, b)a, c)a - D(a, D(b, b)c)a
 \end{aligned}$$

which lies in  $L$ . It follows that the element  $\{a c a\}$  lies in  $J_L$ , and, again by polarization,  $J_L$  is an inner ideal in  $A$ .

For an element  $a$  in  $J_L$ , using the functional calculus, there exists a sequence  $(d_j)$  in the norm-closed subtriple  $A(a)$  generated by  $a$  such that the sequence  $(D(d_j, d_j)a)$  converges in norm to  $a$ . However, for  $j$  equal to  $1, 2, \dots$ , the element  $D(d_j, d_j)a$  lies in  $L$ , and, since  $L$  is closed, the element  $a$  therefore lies in  $L$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The following lemmas, which are of a technical algebraic nature, aim to give an alternative algebraic description of the norm-closed inner ideal  $J_L$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a Jordan\*-triple, let  $L$  be a subspace of  $A$ , and let  $a$  be an element of  $A$  such that, for all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $D(a, a)b$  lies in  $L$ . Then, for all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the elements  $Q(a, a^3)b$  and  $D(a, a^5)b$  lie in  $L$ .*

**Proof.** Observe that, by using [35, JP1], twice, for each element  $b$  in  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(a, a^3)b &= \{a b \{a a a\}\} = \{a \{b a a\} a\} \\
 &= \{a \{a a b\} a\} = \{a a \{a b a\}\} \\
 &= D(a, a)\{a b a\},
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

which lies in  $L$  by hypothesis. Using (2.1) observe that

$$D(a, a^5)b = 2D(a, a)\{a^3 a b\} - Q(a, a^3)\{a b a\}, \tag{3.2}$$

which, by hypothesis and (3.1), lies in  $L$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $A$  be a Jordan\*-triple, let  $L$  be a subspace of  $A$ , and let  $a$  be an element of  $A$  such that, for all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $D(a, a)b$  lies in  $L$ . Then, for  $j$  equal to  $1, 2, \dots$  and all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the elements  $Q(a, a^{4j-1})b$  and  $D(a, a^{4j+1})b$  lie in  $L$ .*

**Proof.** That the result holds when  $j$  is equal to 1 follows from Lemma 3.2. Suppose, inductively, that the result holds when  $j$  is equal to  $n$ . Then, using [35, JP1], twice, for each element  $b$  in  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(a, a^{4(n+1)-1})b &= \{a b a^{4n+3}\} = \{a b \{a a^{4n+1} a\}\} \\
 &= \{a \{b a a^{4n+1}\} a\} = \{a \{a^{4n+1} a b\} a\} \\
 &= D(a, a^{4n+1})\{a b a\}
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

which, by hypothesis, lies in  $L$ . Using [35, JP9],

$$D(a, a^{4(n+1)+1})b = 2D(a, a)\{a^{4n+3} a b\} - Q(a, a^{4n+3})\{a b a\}, \tag{3.4}$$

which, by hypothesis and (3.3), lies in  $L$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The next result requires the use of the functional calculus and is therefore not necessarily valid for a Jordan\*-triple.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $A$  be a JB\*-triple, let  $L$  be a norm-closed subspace of  $A$ , and let  $a$  be an element of  $A$  such that, for all elements  $b$  of  $A$ , the element  $D(a, a)b$  lies in  $L$ . Then, the following results hold.*

- (i) *For  $j$  equal to  $0, 1, 2, \dots$  and all elements  $b$  of  $A$ , the elements  $Q(a, a^{2j+3})b$  and  $D(a, a^{2j+1})b$  lie in  $L$ .*
- (ii) *For all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $Q(a, a)b$  lies in  $L$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $C_0(\sigma_A(a))$  be the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra of continuous functions on the bounded locally compact subset  $\sigma_A(a)$  of  $\mathbb{R}^+$  that have limit zero at zero. Then, the norm-closed  $*$ -subalgebra of  $C_0(\sigma_A(a))$  generated by the set of functions  $\{t^{4j} : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$  satisfies the conditions of the Stone–Weierstrass theorem for locally compact Hausdorff spaces, and, hence, coincides with  $C_0(\sigma_A(a))$ . It follows that, given a positive real number  $\epsilon$ , there exist a positive integer  $n$  and complex numbers  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ , such that

$$\left\| t^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j t^{4j} \right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{\|a\|}.$$

Using the functional calculus, it follows that,

$$\left\| a^3 - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j a^{4j+1} \right\| \leq \|t\| \left\| t^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j t^{4j} \right\| < \epsilon.$$

By Lemma 3.3, for  $j$  equal to  $1, 2, \dots, n$  and any element  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $D(a, a^{4j+1})b$  lies in  $L$ , and, since

$$\left\| D(a, a^3)b - \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{\alpha}_j D(a, a^{4j+1})b \right\| < \|a\| \|b\| \epsilon,$$

it can be seen that the element  $D(a, a^3)b$  lies in  $L$ .

Observe that, as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, for each element  $b$  in  $A$ ,

$$Q(a, a^5)b = \{a b \{a a^3 a\}\} = D(a, a^3)\{a b a\}, \tag{3.5}$$

which, from above, lies in  $L$ . An induction argument similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 3.3 now shows that, for  $j$  equal to  $1, 2, \dots$ , and all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the elements  $Q(a, a^{4j+1})b$  and  $D(a, a^{4j-1})b$  lie in  $L$ . Combining these facts with the results of Lemma 3.3 completes the proof of (i).

Observe that, using (2.2), for  $j$  equal to  $0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(a^3)^{2j+1} = a^{6j+3} = a^{2(3j)+3}.$$

Therefore, using (i), for  $j$  equal to  $0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $Q(a, (a^3)^{2j+1})b$  lies in  $L$ . Since the family of finite linear combinations of elements of the form  $(a^3)^{2j+1}$  is dense in the JB\*-triple  $A(a^3)$  generated by the element  $a^3$ , it follows from the linearity and separate norm-continuity of the triple product that, for all elements  $c$  in  $A(a^3)$  and  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $Q(a, c)b$  lies in  $L$ . However, the function  $t^{1/3}$  is continuous on the bounded locally compact set  $\sigma_A(a^3)$ , and has limit zero at zero. Therefore, the functional calculus shows that the element  $a$  lies in  $A(a^3)$ . Consequently, the element  $Q(a, a)b$  lies in  $L$ , as required.  $\square$

The final lemma paves the way for the main result of this section.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $A$  be a  $JB^*$ -triple, let  $L$  be a norm-closed subspace of  $A$ , and let*

$$J_L = \{a \in A: D(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

*Then,*

$$J_L = \{a \in A: D(a, a)b \in L, \forall b \in A\}.$$

**Proof.** Observe that if  $a$  is an element of  $J_L$  then, for all elements  $b$  in  $A$ ,

$$D(a, a)b = D(b, a)a,$$

which is contained in  $L$ . Conversely, suppose that  $a$  is an element of  $A$  such that, for all elements  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $D(a, a)b$  lies in  $L$ . Then, by (2.1),

$$D(b, b)a^3 = D(b, b)D(a, a)a = 2D(a, a)\{b b a\} - Q(a)\{b b a\}$$

which, by hypothesis and Lemma 3.4(ii), lies in  $L$ . By polarization it follows that, for all elements  $b$  and  $c$  in  $A$ , the element  $D(b, c)a^3$  lies in  $L$ , and, hence, that the element  $a^3$  lies in  $J_L$ . However, by Lemma 3.1,  $J_L$  is a norm-closed inner ideal in  $A$ , and, therefore, the  $JB^*$ -triple  $A(a^3)$  is contained in  $J_L$ . Using the functional calculus as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, the cube root  $a$  of  $a^3$  lies in  $A(a^3)$  and, hence, in  $J_L$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

It is now possible to present the main result concerning  $JB^*$ -triples which gives the required algebraic characterization of the norm central kernel of an arbitrary norm-closed subspace of a  $JB^*$ -triple.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $A$  be a  $JB^*$ -triple, let  $L$  be a norm-closed subspace of  $A$ , having norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$ , and let*

$$J_L = \{a \in A: D(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

*and*

$$I_L = \{a \in A: Q(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

*Then,  $J_L$  is a norm-closed inner ideal of  $A$ , such that*

$$I_L = k_n(L) \subseteq J_L \subseteq L.$$

**Proof.** That  $J_L$  is a norm-closed inner ideal of  $A$  contained in  $L$  was proved in Lemma 3.1.

By the linearity and separate norm-continuity of the triple product it is clear that  $I_L$  is a norm-closed subspace of  $A$ . Let  $a$  be an element of  $I_L$ . Then, for each element  $b$  in  $A$ , it can be seen that the element

$$D(a, a)b = Q(b, a)a$$

lies in  $L$ . It follows from Lemma 3.5 that the element  $a$  lies in  $J_L$ , and, hence,  $I_L$  is contained in  $J_L$ .

Now let  $a$  be an element of  $I_L$  and let  $b$  and  $c$  be elements of  $A$ . Then, the element  $a$  lies in  $J_L$ , and, using (2.1), the element

$$Q(b, b)\{c a a\} = 2Q(b, \{a c b\})a - D(\{b a b\}, c)a,$$

lies in  $L$ . By polarization it can be seen that the element  $\{c a a\}$  lies in  $I_L$ . It follows from [8, Proposition 1.3], that  $I_L$  is a norm-closed ideal in  $A$ . Therefore,  $I_L$  is contained in the norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$  of  $L$ .

Finally, let  $J$  be a norm-closed ideal of  $A$  contained in  $L$ . Then, for each element  $a$  in  $J$  and  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $\{b a b\}$  lies in  $J$  and, hence, in  $L$ . It follows by polarization that the element  $a$  lies in  $I_L$ . Therefore,  $J$  is contained in  $I_L$ , from which it follows that  $k_n(L)$  is contained in  $I_L$  as required.  $\square$

When the norm-closed subspace  $L$  of the JB\*-triple  $A$  discussed above is a subtriple of  $A$ , rather more can be said about its norm central kernel.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $A$  be a JB\*-triple, let  $L$  be a norm-closed subtriple of  $A$ , having norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$ , and let*

$$J_L = \{a \in A : D(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and

$$I_L = \{a \in A : Q(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

Then,

$$I_L = k_n(L) = J_L \subseteq L.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.6, the set  $J_L$  is a norm-closed inner ideal of  $A$  contained in  $L$ . It will first be shown that  $J_L$  is an ideal in the JB\*-triple  $L$ . Let  $a$  be an element of  $J_L$  and let  $c$  be an element of  $L$ . Then, using (2.1), for all elements  $b$  in  $A$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} D(b, b)\{a a c\} &= D(b, b)D(a, a)c \\ &= D(a, a)D(b, b)c + D(\{b b a\}, a)c - D(a, \{a b b\})c \\ &= D(a, a)D(b, b)c + \{D(b, b)a a c\} - \{a D(b, b)a c\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a$  lies in  $J_L$  it follows from Lemma 3.5 that the element  $D(a, a)D(b, b)c$  lies in  $L$ , and, from the definition of  $J_L$ , the element  $D(b, b)a$  lies in  $L$ . Since  $a, c$  and  $D(b, b)a$  are elements of the subtriple  $L$ , it can be concluded that the element  $D(b, b)\{a a c\}$  lies in  $L$ . By polarization, it can be seen that the element  $\{a a c\}$  lies in  $J_L$ . Again, by polarization, it follows from [8, Proposition 1.3], that  $J_L$  is an ideal in  $L$ .

In order to show that  $J_L$  is an ideal in  $A$ , let  $a$  be an element of  $J_L$  and let  $b$  be an element of  $A$ . Since  $J_L$  is an inner ideal the element  $a^3$  lies in  $J_L$ . By Lemma 3.5, the element  $D(a, a)b$ , and, by Lemma 3.4(ii), the element  $Q(a, a)b$  lie in  $L$ . Since  $J_L$  is an ideal in  $L$ , the elements  $\{a^3 a D(a, a)b\}$  and  $\{a^3 Q(a, a)b a\}$  lie in  $J_L$ . Therefore, using [35, JP9],

$$\begin{aligned} \{a^3 a^3 b\} &= D(a^3, a^3)b = 2D(a^3, a)D(a, a)b - Q(a^3, a)Q(a, a)b \\ &= 2\{a^3 a D(a, a)b\} - \{a^3 Q(a, a)b a\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the element  $\{a^3 a^3 b\}$  lies in  $J_L$ . Using the functional calculus, an arbitrary element  $c$  in  $J_L$  possesses a cube root  $a$  in  $J_L$ . Applying the argument above, it follows that for each element  $c$  in  $J_L$  and each element  $b$  in  $A$ , the element  $\{c c b\}$  lies in  $J_L$ . Therefore, by polarization and [8, Proposition 1.3], it can be seen that  $J_L$  is a norm-closed ideal in  $A$ .

However, by Theorem 3.6, the greatest norm-closed ideal  $k_n(L)$  of  $A$  that is contained in  $L$  is contained in  $J_L$ . Therefore,  $k_n(L)$  and  $J_L$  coincide and the proof is complete.  $\square$



#### 4. Subspaces of JBW\*-triples

Recall that a JBW\*-triple  $A$  is a JB\*-triple that is the dual of a complex Banach space  $A_*$  and that the predual  $A_*$  is unique up to isometric isomorphism. The techniques used to study the norm central kernel of a norm-closed subspace of a JB\*-triple can easily be adapted to the study of the central kernel of a weak\*-closed subspace of a JBW\*-triple, and yield rather more detailed information.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a JBW\*-triple, with predual  $A_*$ , let  $L$  be a weak\*-closed subspace of  $A$ , having central kernel  $k(L)$ , let*

$$J_L = \{a \in A: D(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and

$$I_L = \{a \in A: Q(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

Then,  $J_L$  is a weak\*-closed inner ideal of  $A$ , such that

$$J_L = \{a \in A: D(a, a)b \in L, \forall b \in A\},$$

and

$$I_L = k(L) \subseteq J_L \subseteq L.$$

**Proof.** Since the triple product is separately weak\*-continuous and since  $L$  is weak\*-closed, it is clear that  $J_L$  and  $I_L$  are weak\*-closed subspaces of  $L$ . Moreover, since the central kernel  $k(L)$  of  $L$  is a norm-closed ideal in  $A$ ,  $k(L)$  is contained in  $k_n(L)$ . On the other hand, the weak\*-closure of  $k_n(L)$  is a weak\*-closed ideal of  $A$  contained in  $L$ , and, hence,  $k_n(L)$  is contained in  $k(L)$ . Therefore, the central kernel  $k(L)$  and the norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$  of  $L$  coincide, and the result follows from Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

Recall that an element  $u$  in a JBW\*-triple  $A$  is said to be a *tripotent* if  $u^3$  is equal to  $u$ . The set of tripotents in  $A$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{U}(A)$ . For each tripotent  $u$  in  $A$ , the linear operators  $P_0(u)$ ,  $P_1(u)$ , and  $P_2(u)$ , defined by

$$P_0(u) = \text{id}_A - 2D(u, u) + Q(u)^2,$$

$$P_1(u) = 2(D(u, u) - Q(u)^2),$$

$$P_2(u) = Q(u)^2,$$

are mutually orthogonal weak\*-continuous projection operators on  $A$  with sum  $\text{id}_A$ . For  $j$  equal to 0, 1, or 2, the range of  $P_j(u)$  is the eigenspace  $A_j(u)$  of  $D(u, u)$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\frac{1}{2}j$  and

$$A = A_0(u) \oplus A_1(u) \oplus A_2(u)$$

is the *Peirce decomposition* of  $A$  relative to  $u$ . In particular,  $A_2(u)$  and  $A_0(u)$  are a weak\*-closed inner ideals in  $A$ . Observe that there exist mutually orthogonal contractive linear projections  $P_0(u)_*$ ,  $P_1(u)_*$ , and  $P_2(u)_*$  on the predual  $A_*$  of  $A$  with sum  $\text{id}_{A_*}$ , the ranges of which are the preduals  $A_0(u)_*$ ,  $A_1(u)_*$ , and  $A_2(u)_*$  of  $A_0(u)$ ,  $A_1(u)$ , and  $A_2(u)$ , respectively [30].

For two tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  in the JBW\*-triple  $A$ , write  $u \leq v$  if  $\{u v u\}$  is equal to  $u$ . This relation is a partial ordering on the set  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  of tripotents in  $A$ , and the set  $\mathcal{U}(A)^\sim$ , consisting of

$\mathcal{U}(A)$  with a largest element adjoined, forms a complete lattice. Two tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be *compatible* if their Peirce projections commute, or, equivalently, if

$$A = \bigoplus_{j,k=0}^2 (A_j(u) \cap A_k(v)).$$

If  $u$  lies in a Peirce space of  $v$ , then  $u$  and  $v$  are compatible [37]. Two tripotents  $u$  and  $v$  are said to be *orthogonal* if  $u$  lies in the Peirce-zero space  $A_0(v)$  of  $v$ , and two such tripotents are, therefore, compatible. For each element  $x$  of the predual  $A_*$  of the JBW\*-triple  $A$  there exists a smallest element  $e(x)$  of  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  for which

$$x(e(x)) = \|x\|,$$

and  $e(x)$  is said to be *support tripotent* of  $x$  [30]. More generally, for each subset  $M$  of the predual  $A_*$  of  $A$ , the weak\*-closed linear span  $s(M)$  of the set  $\{e(x) : x \in M\}$  is said to be the *support space* of  $M$  [16]. Observe that the annihilator  $s(M)^\perp$  of the support space  $s(M)$  of  $M$ , is given by

$$s(M)^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in M} A_0(e(x)), \tag{4.1}$$

which, being the intersection of weak\*-closed inner ideals in  $A$ , is itself a weak\*-closed inner ideal in  $A$  [20,31].

Recall that for any subset  $L$  of the JBW\*-triple  $A$ , the *kernel*  $\text{Ker}(L)$  is the weak\*-closed subspace of  $A$  consisting of elements  $a$  in  $A$  for which  $\{L a L\}$  is equal to zero, and the (algebraic) *annihilator*  $L^\perp$  is the weak\*-closed inner ideal of  $A$  contained in  $\text{Ker}(L)$  consisting of elements of  $A$  for which  $\{L a A\}$  is equal to zero. A weak\*-closed subtriple  $L$  of  $A$  is said to be *complemented* if

$$A = L \oplus \text{Ker}(L).$$

Such a subtriple is an inner ideal in  $A$  and every weak\*-closed inner ideal arises in this way. A linear projection  $R$  on the JBW\*-triple  $A$  is said to be a *structural projection* [36] if, for each element  $a$  in  $A$ ,

$$RQ(a, a)R = Q(Ra, Ra).$$

The range of a structural projection is a weak\*-closed inner ideal, and every weak\*-closed inner ideal arises in this manner. For each weak\*-closed inner ideal  $L$  of  $A$ , the annihilator  $L^\perp$  is a weak\*-closed inner ideal and  $A$  enjoys the *generalized Peirce decomposition*

$$A = L_2 \oplus L_1 \oplus L_0,$$

relative to  $L$ , where

$$L_2 = L, \quad L_0 = L^\perp, \quad L_1 = \text{Ker}(L) \cap \text{Ker}(L^\perp).$$

The structural projections the ranges of which are  $L_2$  and  $L_0$  are denoted by  $P_2(L)$  and  $P_0(L)$ , respectively, and the projection

$$P_1(L) = \text{id}_A - P_2(L) - P_0(L)$$

denotes the projection onto  $L_1$ . Then  $P_0(L)$ ,  $P_1(L)$ , and  $P_2(L)$  are mutually orthogonal weak\*-continuous linear projections on  $A$  with sum  $\text{id}_A$ . Observe that the pre-adjoints  $P_0(L)_*$ ,  $P_1(L)_*$ ,

and  $P_2(L)_*$  are mutually orthogonal projections onto the preduals  $L_{0,*}$ ,  $L_{1,*}$ , and  $L_{2,*}$  of  $L_0$ ,  $L_1$ , and  $L_2$ , respectively. For more details the reader is referred to [17,19–21].

Before proving the main result connecting the theory of support spaces to the earlier results, one more lemma is required.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $JBW^*$ -triple, with predual  $A_*$ , let  $M$  be a subset of  $A_*$  having support space  $s(M)$  and topological annihilator  $M^\circ$ , and let  $k(s(M)^\perp)$ ,  $k(\text{Ker}(s(M)))$ , and  $k(M^\circ)$  be the central kernels of the annihilator  $s(M)^\perp$  of  $s(M)$ , the kernel  $\text{Ker}(s(M))$  of  $s(M)$ , and  $M^\circ$ , respectively. Then,*

$$s(M)^\perp \subseteq \text{Ker}(s(M)) \subseteq M^\circ,$$

and

$$k(s(M)^\perp) = k(\text{Ker}(s(M))) = k(M^\circ).$$

**Proof.** It is clear that  $s(M)^\perp$  is contained in  $\text{Ker}(s(M))$ . If  $a$  is an element of  $\text{Ker}(s(M))$  then, since  $e(x)$  lies in  $s(M)$ , for all elements  $x$  in  $M$ ,

$$Q(e(x), e(x))a = \{e(x) a e(x)\} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$P_2(e(x))a = Q(e(x), e(x))^2 a = 0,$$

and

$$x(a) = P_2(e(x))_* x(a) = x(P_2(e(x))a) = 0,$$

and  $a$  is contained in  $M^\circ$ . This completes the first part of the proof. A proof of the second part can be found in [14].  $\square$

It is now possible to present the most interesting result of this section of the paper.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $JBW^*$ -triple, with predual  $A_*$ , let  $M$  be a subset of  $A_*$  having support space  $s(M)$  and topological annihilator  $M^\circ$ , let  $k(s(M)^\perp)$ ,  $k(\text{Ker}(s(M)))$ , and  $k(M^\circ)$  be the central kernels of the annihilator  $s(M)^\perp$  of  $s(M)$ , the kernel  $\text{Ker}(s(M))$  of  $s(M)$ , and  $M^\circ$ , respectively, and let*

$$J_{M^\circ} = \{a \in A : D(b, c)a \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and

$$I_{M^\circ} = \{a \in A : Q(b, c)a \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

Then, the following results hold.

- (i)  $J_{M^\circ} = s(M)^\perp \subseteq \text{Ker}(s(M)) \subseteq M^\circ$ .
- (ii)  $I_{M^\circ} = k(s(M)^\perp) = k(\text{Ker}(s(M))) = k(M^\circ)$ .

**Proof.** (i) To show that  $J_{M^\circ}$  is contained in  $s(M)^\perp$ , let  $a$  be an element of  $J_{M^\circ}$  and let  $x$  be an element of  $M$ . Since  $M^\circ$  is a weak\*-closed subspace of  $A$ , it follows from Theorem 4.1 that the element  $D(a, a)e(x)$  lies in  $M^\circ$ , and, hence,

$$x(\{a a e(x)\}) = 0.$$

By [3, Proposition 1.2], it follows that, for all elements  $x$  of  $M$ , the element  $a$  lies in  $A_0(e(x))$ , and, hence,  $a$  lies in the weak\*-closed inner ideal  $s(M)^\perp$ .

Now suppose that  $u$  is a tripotent in  $s(M)^\perp$  and let  $x$  be an element of  $M$ . Then the tripotent  $u$  lies in  $A_0(e(x))$ . The tripotents  $u$  and  $e(x)$  are orthogonal, and, hence, compatible, and, therefore the pre-adjoint  $D(u, u)_*$  of the weak\*-continuous linear operator  $D(u, u)$  satisfies

$$D(u, u)_*x = P_2(u)_*P_2(e(x))_*x + \frac{1}{2}P_1(u)_*P_2(e(x))_*x = 0,$$

since, by compatibility,  $P_2(u)_*P_2(e(x))_*$  and  $P_1(u)_*P_2(e(x))_*$  are projections onto the zero subspace. Hence, for each element  $b$  in  $A$  and each element  $x$  in  $M$ ,

$$x(\{u u b\}) = x(D(u, u)b) = D(u, u)_*x(b) = 0,$$

and the element  $D(u, u)b$  is contained in  $M_\circ$ . Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, the element  $u$  lies in  $J_{M^\circ}$ . Since  $s(M)^\perp$  and  $J_{M^\circ}$  are both inner ideals in  $A$ , it follows from [19, Lemma 2.3], that

$$s(M)^\perp = \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{U}(s(M)^\perp)} A_2(u) \subseteq \bigcup_{u \in \mathcal{U}(J_{M^\circ})} A_2(u) = J_{M^\circ},$$

and the proof of (i) is complete.

(ii) This follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

Similar to the situation that pertains in the case of JB\*-triples, when the weak\*-closed subspace  $M^\circ$  of the JBW\*-triple  $A$  is a subtriple of  $A$ , rather more can be said.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $A$  be a JBW\*-triple, with predual  $A_*$ , let  $M$  be a subset of  $A_*$ , having support space  $s(M)$  and topological annihilator  $M^\circ$ , let  $k(s(M)^\perp)$ ,  $k(\text{Ker}(s(M)))$ , and  $k(M^\circ)$  be the central kernels of the annihilator  $s(M)^\perp$  of  $s(M)$ , the kernel  $\text{Ker}(s(M))$  of  $s(M)$ , and  $M^\circ$ , respectively, and let*

$$J_{M^\circ} = \{a \in A: D(b, c)a \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and

$$I_{M^\circ} = \{a \in A: Q(b, c)a \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

If  $M^\circ$  is a subtriple of  $A$  then the weak\*-closed inner ideal  $J_{M^\circ}$  is an ideal in  $A$ , and

$$s(M)^\perp = J_{M^\circ} = I_{M^\circ} = k(s(M)^\perp) = k(\text{Ker}(s(M))) = k(M^\circ).$$

**Proof.** Since  $M^\circ$  is a weak\*-closed subtriple of  $A$  such that  $k_n(M^\circ)$  and  $k(M^\circ)$  coincide, it follows from Theorem 3.7 that  $J_{M^\circ}$  is a weak\*-closed ideal of  $A$  contained in  $M^\circ$  and containing the central kernel  $k(M^\circ)$  of  $M^\circ$ . Hence, the weak\*-closed ideal  $J_{M^\circ}$  coincides with  $k(M^\circ)$ , and the result follows from Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

Since, for any weak\*-closed subspace  $L$  of the JBW\*-triple  $A$ , the double topological annihilator  $(L_\circ)^\circ$  coincides with  $L$ , Theorems 4.3 and 4.4 apply when  $M^\circ$  is replaced by any weak\*-closed subspace  $L$ , in which case  $M$  is replaced by the topological annihilator  $L_\circ$ .

The central kernel  $k(L)$  of a weak\*-closed inner ideal in the JBW\*-triple  $A$  has been extensively studied [24,25]. Applying Theorem 4.4 yields a new algebraic characterization of  $k(L)$ .

**Corollary 4.5.** *Let  $A$  be a JBW\*-triple, with predual  $A_*$ , let  $L$  be a weak\*-closed inner ideal in  $A$ , let  $L_0, L_1$ , and  $L_2$ , and  $L_{0,*}, L_{1,*}$ , and  $L_{2,*}$  be the Peirce spaces corresponding to  $L$  in  $A$  and  $A_*$ , respectively, let  $k(L)$  be the central kernel of  $L$ , and let*

$$J_L = \{a \in A: D(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and

$$I_L = \{a \in A: Q(b, c)a \in L, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

Then,

$$s(L_{1,*} \oplus L_{0,*})^\perp = J_L = I_L = k(s(L_{1,*} \oplus L_{0,*})^\perp) = k(\text{Ker}(s(L_{1,*} \oplus L_{0,*}))) = k(L).$$

**Proof.** Observing that the topological annihilator  $L_\circ$  of  $L$  coincides with  $L_{1,*} \oplus L_{0,*}$ , the result is immediate from Theorem 4.4.  $\square$

It is worth remarking that the case in which the weak\*-closed subspace  $L$  is a subtriple far from exhausts the interesting situations. For example, if the subset  $M$  of the predual  $A_*$  of the JBW\*-triple  $A$ , consists of the single point  $\{x\}$ , then

$$s(M) = \mathbb{C}e(x), \quad s(M)^\perp = A_0(e(x)),$$

$$\text{Ker}(s(M)) = A_0(e(x)) \oplus A_1(e(x)), \quad M^\circ = \text{ker}(x),$$

and the following corollary holds.

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $A$  be a JBW\*-triple with predual  $A_*$ , let  $x$  be an element of  $A_*$  having support tripotent  $e(x)$  and kernel  $\text{ker}(x)$ , for  $j$  equal to 0, 1, and 2, let  $A_j(e(x))$  be the Peirce  $j$ -space corresponding to  $e(x)$ , and let*

$$I = \{a \in A: x(Q(b, c)a) = 0, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

Then, the central kernels satisfy

$$I = k(A_0(e(x))) = k(A_0(e(x)) \oplus A_1(e(x))) = k(\text{ker}(x)).$$

Since it is very rarely the case that the weak\*-closed inner ideal  $A_0(e(x))$  is an ideal in the JBW\*-triple  $A$ , this result confirms the strength of the condition in Theorem 4.4 that  $M^\circ$  is a subtriple.

### 5. C\*-algebras and W\*-algebras

The results above are now able to throw some new light upon the theory of C\*-algebras and W\*-algebras for the properties of which the reader is referred to [38,39]. Recall that a norm-closed subspace of a C\*-algebra  $A$  is an M-ideal if and only if it is an algebraic ideal, and a weak\*-closed subspace of a W\*-algebra is an M-summand if and only if it is an algebraic ideal. Furthermore, with respect to the multiplication defined, for elements  $a, b$ , and  $c$  of  $A$  by

$$\{a \ b \ c\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab^*c + cb^*a),$$

the C\*-algebra  $A$  is a JB\*-triple. Similarly, a W\*-algebra is a JBW\*-triple [41].

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $L$  be a norm-closed subspace of  $A$ , having norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$ , and let*

$$J_L = \{a \in A: bc^*a + ac^*b \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

$$I_L = \{a \in A: ba^*c + ca^*b \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and

$$\tilde{I}_L = \{a \in A: ba^*c \in L, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

Then,  $J_L$  is a norm-closed inner ideal of  $A$ , such that

$$J_L = \{a \in A: aa^*b + ba^*a \in L, \forall b \in A\},$$

and

$$I_L = \tilde{I}_L = k_n(L) \subseteq J_L \subseteq L.$$

**Proof.** Most of the proposition is immediate from Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.6. Observe that it is clear that  $\tilde{I}_L$  is contained in  $I_L$ . On the other hand, it can be seen that  $\tilde{I}_L$  is a norm-closed algebraic ideal in  $A$ . Suppose that  $J$  is any norm-closed algebraic ideal in  $A$  contained in  $L$ . Since norm-closed algebraic ideals are  $*$ -subalgebras, for elements  $b$  and  $c$  in  $A$  and  $a$  in  $J$ , the element  $ba^*c$  lies in  $J$  and, hence, in  $L$ . It follows that the element  $a$  lies in  $\tilde{I}_L$ . Hence,  $J$  is contained in  $\tilde{I}_L$ , which is, therefore, the greatest norm-closed ideal in  $A$  contained in  $L$ . Since the sets of norm-closed ideals and M-ideals coincide, it follows that  $\tilde{I}_L$  is equal to the norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$  of  $L$  as required.  $\square$

Observe that Theorem 3.7 leads to the following result, which applies, for example, when  $L$  is a norm-closed  $*$ -subalgebra of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ .

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, let  $L$  be a norm-closed subtriple of  $A$ , having norm central kernel  $k_n(L)$ , and let*

$$J_L = \{a \in A: bc^*a + ac^*b \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

$$I_L = \{a \in A: ba^*c + ca^*b \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and

$$\tilde{I}_L = \{a \in A: ba^*c \in L, \forall b, c \in A\}.$$

Then,

$$I_L = \tilde{I}_L = k_n(L) = J_L \subseteq L.$$

Let  $A$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra with unit  $1_A$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  be the complete orthomodular lattice of self-adjoint idempotents in  $A$ , the ordering being given by  $e \leq f$  if and only if  $ef$  is equal to  $e$ , and the orthocomplementation being given by

$$e \mapsto e' = 1_A - e.$$

Let  $Z(A)$  be the commutative  $W^*$ -algebra that is the algebraic centre of  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}(Z(A))$  coincides with the complete Boolean lattice that is the orthomodular lattice centre  $\mathcal{ZP}(A)$  of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ . For each element  $e$  in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$ , the central support  $c(e)$  of  $e$  is defined by

$$c(e) = \bigwedge \{z \in \mathcal{ZP}(A): e \leq z\}.$$

A pair  $(e, f)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  is said to be *centrally equivalent* if  $c(e)$  and  $c(f)$  coincide. The common central support is denoted by  $c(e, f)$ . When endowed with the product ordering, the set  $\mathcal{CP}(A)$  of centrally equivalent pairs of elements of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  forms a complete lattice in which the lattice supremum coincides with the supremum in the product lattice, but, in general, the lattice infimum does not. The results of [18] show that the mapping  $(e, f) \mapsto eAf$  is an order isomorphism from  $\mathcal{CP}(A)$  onto the complete lattice of weak\*-closed inner ideals in  $A$ . The restriction of the mapping to the complete Boolean sublattice  $\mathcal{ZCP}(A)$  of pairs  $(z, z)$ , where  $z$  lies in  $\mathcal{ZP}(A)$ , is an order isomorphism onto the complete Boolean lattice of weak\*-closed ideals in  $A$ .

Observe that an element  $u$  in the  $W^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a tripotent if and only if

$$uu^*u = u,$$

or, equivalently, if and only if  $u$  is a partial isometry with initial projection  $h(u)$  equal to  $u^*u$  and final projection  $g(u)$  equal to  $uu^*$ . Observe that the Peirce spaces corresponding to  $u$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(u) &= g(u)'Ah(u)', \\ A_1(u) &= g(u)Ah(u)' + g(u)'Ah(u), \\ A_2(u) &= g(u)Ah(u). \end{aligned}$$

For a subset  $M$  of  $A_*$ , the annihilator  $s(M)^\perp$  of the support space  $s(M)$  is a weak\*-closed inner ideal in  $A$ , which, using [22, Lemma 2.4 and Corollary 2.5] is given by

$$s(M)^\perp = \bigcap_{x \in M} A_0(e(x)) = \bigcap_{x \in M} g(e(x))'Af(e(x))' = g'Ah', \tag{5.1}$$

where  $e(x)$  is the support partial isometry of  $x$  and

$$g' = \bigwedge_{x \in M} g(e(x))' = \left( \bigvee_{x \in M} g(e(x)) \right)', \tag{5.2}$$

$$h' = \bigwedge_{x \in M} h(e(x))' = \left( \bigvee_{x \in M} h(e(x)) \right)'. \tag{5.3}$$

Observe that the central supports  $c(g)$  and  $c(h)$  are equal and are given by

$$c(g, h) = c\left(\left(\bigvee_{x \in M} g(e(x))\right)\right) = \bigvee_{x \in M} c(e(x)e(x)^*) = \bigvee_{x \in M} c(e(x)^*e(x)). \tag{5.4}$$

It is now possible to apply the results of Section 4 to  $W^*$ -algebras.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra, with predual  $A_*$ , let  $M$  be a subset of  $A_*$ , having support space  $s(M)$  and topological annihilator  $M^\circ$ , let  $k(s(M)^\perp)$ ,  $k(\text{Ker}(s(M)))$ , and  $k(M^\circ)$  be the central kernels of the annihilator  $s(M)^\perp$  of  $s(M)$ , the kernel  $\text{Ker}(s(M))$  of  $s(M)$ , and  $M^\circ$ , respectively, let*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{M^\circ} &= \{a \in A : bc^*a + ac^*b \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\}, \\ I_{M^\circ} &= \{a \in A : ba^*c + ca^*b \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{I}_{M^\circ} = \{a \in A : ba^*c \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and let  $g$  and  $h$  be the projections in  $A$  defined in (5.1)–(5.3), having common central support  $c(g, h)$  given by (5.4). Then, the following results hold.

- (i)  $g'Ah' = J_{M^\circ} = s(M)^\perp \subseteq \text{Ker}(s(M)) \subseteq M^\circ$ .
- (ii)  $c(g, h)'A = I_{M^\circ} = \tilde{I}_{M^\circ} = k(s(M)^\perp) = k(\text{Ker}(s(M))) = k(M^\circ)$ .

**Proof.** Much of the proof follows immediately from Theorem 4.3. Observe that it is clear that  $\tilde{I}_{M^\circ}$  is contained in  $I_{M^\circ}$ . On the other hand, it can be seen that  $\tilde{I}_{M^\circ}$  is a weak\*-closed ideal of  $A$ . Suppose that  $J$  is any weak\*-closed ideal in  $A$  contained in  $M^\circ$ . Since weak\*-closed ideals of  $A$  are \*-subalgebras of  $A$ , for elements  $b$  and  $c$  in  $A$  and  $a$  in  $J$ , the element  $ba^*c$  lies in  $J$  and hence  $M^\circ$ . It follows that the element  $a$  lies in  $\tilde{I}_{M^\circ}$ . Hence,  $J$  is contained in  $\tilde{I}_{M^\circ}$ , which is, therefore, the greatest weak\*-closed ideal in  $A$  contained in  $M^\circ$ . Since the sets of weak\*-closed ideals and M-summands coincide, it follows that  $\tilde{I}_{M^\circ}$  is equal to the central kernel  $k(M^\circ)$  of  $M^\circ$  as required.

Observe that, from (5.2) and (5.3), it can be seen that the weak\*-closed inner ideals  $g'Ah'$  and  $s(M)^\perp$  coincide, thereby completing the proof of (i). However, it is not necessarily true that the projections  $g'$  and  $h'$  have a common central support. Therefore, the element of  $\mathcal{CP}(A)$  corresponding to the weak\*-closed inner ideal  $s(M)^\perp$  is  $(c(h')g', c(g')h')$ . By [24, Theorem 4.1], it follows that the central kernel  $k(s(M)^\perp)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} k(s(M)^\perp) &= c((c(h')g')')'c((c(g')h')')'A = c((c(h')' \vee g))'c((c(g')' \vee h))'A \\ &= (c(h')' \vee c(g))'(c(g')' \vee c(h))'A = (c(h') \wedge c(g, h)')(c(g') \wedge c(g, h)')A \\ &= c(g, h)'A, \end{aligned}$$

since  $c(g, h)'$  is majorized by both  $c(g')$  and  $c(h')$ . This completes the proof of (ii).  $\square$

The restrictive situation in which the topological annihilator  $M^\circ$  of the subset  $M$  of the predual  $A_*$  of the  $W^*$ -algebra  $A$  is a subtriple can be considered. This, of course, occurs if, for example,  $M^\circ$  is a \*-subalgebra of  $A$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $W^*$ -algebra with predual  $A_*$ , let  $M$  be a subset of  $A_*$  having support space  $s(M)$  and topological annihilator  $M^\circ$ , let  $k(s(M)^\perp)$ ,  $k(\text{Ker}(s(M)))$ , and  $k(M^\circ)$  be the central kernels of the annihilator  $s(M)^\perp$  of  $s(M)$ , the kernel  $\text{Ker}(s(M))$  of  $s(M)$ , and  $M^\circ$ , respectively, let*

$$\begin{aligned} J_{M^\circ} &= \{a \in A: bc^*a + ac^*b \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\}, \\ I_{M^\circ} &= \{a \in A: ba^*c + ca^*b \in M^\circ, \forall b, c \in A\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{I}_{M^\circ} = \{a \in A: ba^*c \in L, \forall b, c \in A\},$$

and let  $g$  and  $h$  be the projections in  $A$  defined in (5.1)–(5.3). If  $M^\circ$  is a subtriple of  $A$  then, the projections  $c(h')g$  and  $c(g')h$  are central and satisfy

$$c(h')g + c(h')' = c(g')h + c(g')' = c(g, h), \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$c(g, h)'A = s(M)^\perp = J_{M^\circ} = I_{M^\circ} = \tilde{I}_{M^\circ} = k(s(M)^\perp) = k(\text{Ker}(s(M))) = k(M^\circ).$$



**Proof.** Since, by Theorem 4.4, the weak\*-closed inner ideal  $g'Ah'$  is an ideal in  $A$ , the elements  $(c(h')g', c(g'h'))$  and  $(c(g, h)', c(g, h)')$  of  $\mathcal{CP}(A)$  coincide, and a calculation shows that (5.5) holds. The rest of the result follows from Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

## References

- [1] E.M. Alfsen, E.G. Effros, Structure in real Banach spaces I, *Ann. of Math.* 96 (1972) 98–128.
- [2] E.M. Alfsen, E.G. Effros, Structure in real Banach spaces II, *Ann. of Math.* 96 (1972) 129–174.
- [3] T.J. Barton, Y. Friedman, Grothendieck's inequality for JB\*-triples and applications, *J. London Math. Soc.* 36 (1987) 513–523.
- [4] T.J. Barton, R.M. Timoney, Weak\*-continuity of Jordan triple products and its applications, *Math. Scand.* 59 (1986) 177–191.
- [5] T.J. Barton, T. Dang, G. Horn, Normal representations of Banach Jordan triple systems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 102 (1987) 551–555.
- [6] E. Behrends, M-structure and the Banach–Stone Theorem, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 736, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [7] F.F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1971.
- [8] L.J. Bunce, C.-H. Chu, Compact operations, multipliers and the Radon Nikodym property in JB\*-triples, *Pacific J. Math.* 153 (1992) 249–265.
- [9] F. Cunningham Jr., M-structure in Banach spaces, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 63 (1967) 613–629.
- [10] F. Cunningham Jr., E.G. Effros, N.M. Roy, M-structure in dual Banach spaces, *Israel J. Math.* 14 (1973) 304–309.
- [11] S. Dineen, Complete holomorphic vector fields in the second dual of a Banach space, *Math. Scand.* 59 (1986) 131–142.
- [12] S. Dineen, The second dual of a JB\*-triple system, in: J. Mujica (Ed.), *Complex Analysis, Functional Analysis and Approximation Theory*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.
- [13] S. Dineen, R.M. Timoney, The centroid of a JB\*-triple system, *Math. Scand.* 62 (1988) 327–342.
- [14] C.M. Edwards, C.S. Hoskin, The central kernel of the Peirce-one space, *Arch. Math.* 81 (2003) 416–421.
- [15] C.M. Edwards, R.V. Hügli, Order structure of the set of GL-projections on a complex Banach space, *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, in press.
- [16] C.M. Edwards, R.V. Hügli, G.T. Rüttimann, A geometric characterization of structural projections on a JBW\*-triple, *J. Funct. Anal.* 202 (2003) 174–194.
- [17] C.M. Edwards, K. McCrimmon, G.T. Rüttimann, The range of a structural projection, *J. Funct. Anal.* 139 (1996) 196–224.
- [18] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, Inner ideals in W\*-algebras, *Michigan Math. J.* 36 (1989) 147–159.
- [19] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, A characterization of inner ideals in JB\*-triples, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 116 (1992) 1049–1057.
- [20] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, Structural projections on JBW\*-triples, *J. London Math. Soc.* 53 (1996) 354–368.
- [21] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, Peirce inner ideals in Jordan\*-triples, *J. Algebra* 180 (1996) 41–66.
- [22] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, The lattice of weak\*-closed inner ideals in a W\*-algebra, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 197 (1998) 131–166.
- [23] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, The centroid of a weak\*-closed inner ideal in a JBW\*-triple, *Arch. Math.* 76 (2001) 299–307.
- [24] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, The central hull and central kernel in JBW\*-triples, *J. Algebra* 250 (2002) 90–114.
- [25] C.M. Edwards, G.T. Rüttimann, Faithful inner ideals in JBW\*-triples, *Results Math.* 43 (2003) 245–269.
- [26] Y. Friedman, Bounded symmetric domains and the JB\*-structure in physics in Jordan algebras, in: *Oberwolfach, 1992*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [27] Y. Friedman, *Physical Applications of Homogeneous Balls*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2005.
- [28] Y. Friedman, Y. Gofman, Why does the geometric product simplify the equations of physics?, *Internat. J. Theoret. Phys.* 41 (2002) 1841–1855.
- [29] Y. Friedman, Y. Gofman, Relativistic spacetime transformations based on symmetry, *Found. Phys.* 32 (2002) 1717–1736.
- [30] Y. Friedman, B. Russo, Structure of the predual of a JBW\*-triple, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 356 (1985) 67–89.
- [31] Y. Friedman, B. Russo, Conditional expectation and bicontractive projections on Jordan C\*-algebras and their generalizations, *Math. Z.* 194 (1987) 227–236.
- [32] G. Horn, Characterization of the predual and the ideal structure of a JBW\*-triple, *Math. Scand.* 61 (1987) 117–133.

- [33] W. Kaup, Riemann mapping theorem for bounded symmetric domains in complex Banach spaces, *Math. Z.* 183 (1983) 503–529.
- [34] W. Kaup, Contractive projections on Jordan  $C^*$ -algebras and generalizations, *Math. Scand.* 54 (1984) 95–100.
- [35] O. Loos, *Jordan Pairs*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 460, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [36] O. Loos, On the socle of a Jordan pair, *Collect. Math.* 40 (1989) 109–125.
- [37] K. McCrimmon, Compatible Peirce decompositions of Jordan triple systems, *Pacific J. Math.* 83 (1979) 415–439.
- [38] G.K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, London Math. Soc. Monogr., vol. 14, Academic Press, London, 1979.
- [39] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [40] L.L. Stachó, A projection principle concerning biholomorphic automorphisms, *Acta Sci. Math.* 44 (1982) 99–124.
- [41] H. Upmeyer, *Symmetric Banach Manifolds and Jordan  $C^*$ -algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.
- [42] H. Upmeyer, *Jordan algebras in analysis, operator theory, and quantum mechanics*, Reg. Conf. Ser. Math., vol. 67, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.