Algebra univers. 45 (2001) 107 – 115
0002–5240/01/030107 – 09 $ 1.50 + 0.20/0

Algebra Universalis

Conference on Lattices and Universal Algebra

Szeged, August 3–7, 1998

The Conference on Lattices and Universal Algebra was organized by the Department of Algebra and Number Theory of József Attila University in Szeged from August 3 to August 7, 1998. It was the nineteenth in the series of algebra conferences held in Szeged.

To the pleasure of organizers a number of acknowledged experts in lattice theory and universal algebra participated. The meeting was attended by seventy-seven participants, and all the five inhabited continents were represented. The scientific work of the conference included eight 50-minute plenary lectures, fourty-two 20-minute contributed talks in two parallel sections, and a problem section. The scientific activity took place in the building of the Bolyai Institute. However, the problem section was combined with an excursion to Hódmezővásárhely, a Hungarian town in the vicinity of Szeged.

This volume contains research articles closely related to the best lectures given during the conference. Also, the list of participants and talks, and the problems presented in the problem section are also included.

There are many persons and institutions to be thanked for the success of the conference. First of all, we wish to thank George Grätzer, the editor-in-chief, for the opportunity of publishing these conference proceedings in Algebra Universalis. The lion's share of the organizational work was done by an organizing committee of four members. Thanks go to committee members Eszter K. Horváth and Géza Takách. Further, we would like to thank the rest of our department, especially Béla Csákány, for their valuable help. We are grateful for all participants, for we think that their presence made this conference a successful one. We thank the chairmans of sections. In particular, special thanks goes to E. Tamás Schmidt, who not only chaired the problem section but also edited its summary. We are grateful to István Szalay, the mayor of Szeged, for making it possible to hold the traditional conference banquet in the Town Hall. Sincere thanks are due to the Local Government of Szeged, the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, the Hungarian Ministry of Culture and Education (under grant no. FKFP 1259/1997), and the National Committee for Technical Development (grant no. OMFB 89580/98) for their financial contributions. We owe much to our university and its Bolyai Institute for providing facilities. Last but not least, we express our thanks to the authors and also to the anonymous referees of these proceedings.

Gábor Czédli László Zádori

List of participants

Agliano, Paolo agliano@unisi.it
Auinger, Karl Karl.Auinger@univie.ac.at
Balog, Krisztina krisz@math.u-szeged.hu
Beran, Ladislav lberan@karlin.mff.cuni.cz
Berman, Joel jberman@uic.edu
Bošnjak, Ivica ivb@unsim.ns.ac.yu
Burmeister, Peter burmeister@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de
Chajda, Ivan chajda@risc.upol.cz
Cīrulis, Jānis jc@lanet.lv
Csákány, Béla csakany@math.u-szeged.hu
Czédli, Gábor czedli@math.u-szeged.hu
Davey, Brian A. b.davey@latrobe.edu.au
David, Elias
Diller, Agnes diller@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de
Ensor, Andrew ensor@unisi.it
Farley, Jonathan farley@math.vanderbilt.edu
Freese, Ralph ralph@math.hawaii.edu
Fried, Ervin efried@cs.elte.hu
Goetz, Abraham abraham.goetz.2@nd.edu
Grätzer, George gratzer@cc.umanitoba.ca
Gumm, H. Peter gumm@mathematik.uni-marburg.de
Halaš, Radomir halas@risc.upol.cz
Haviar, Miroslav haviar@bb.sanet.sk
Herrmann, Christian herrmann@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de
Horiuchi, Kiyomitsu horiuchi@konan-u.ac.jp
Horváth, Eszter K. horeszt@math.u-szeged.hu
Hyndman, Jennifer hyndman@unbc.ca
Idziak, Pawel idziak@kasia.ii.uj.edu.pl idziak@cyf-kr.edu.pl
Jenner, Mary Leigh mary@maths.uct.ac.za
Kaarli, Kalle kaarli@math.ut.ee
Kámán, Tamás kaman@math.u-szeged.hu
Katriňák, Tibor tibor.katrinak@fmph.uniba.sk
Kearnes, Keith A. kearnes@louisville.edu
Kiss, Emil W. ewkiss@cs.elte.hu ewkiss@ludens.elte.hu
Klukovits, Lajos klukovits@math.u-szeged.hu
Koubek, Václav koubek@ksi.ms.mff.cuni.cz
Krapež, Alexandar sasa@mi.sanu.ac.yu
Lampe, William A. bill@math.hawaii.edu

Lipparini, Paolo lipparin@axp.mat.uniroma2.it lipparin@unica.it
Machida, Hajime machida@math.hit-u.ac.jp
Márki, László marki@math-inst.hu
Maróti, Miklós mmaroti@math.vanderbilt.edu
McKenzie, Ralph N. mckenzie@math.vanderbilt.edu
Megyesi, László megyesi@math.u-szeged.hu
Niederkorn, Philippe p.niederkorn@ulg.ac.be
Ouwehand, Peter peter0@maths.uct.ac.za
Pálfy, Péter Pál ppp@math-inst.hu
Pinus, Alexandr G. algebra@nstu.nsk.su
Pitkethly, Jane pitkethl@maths.ox.ac.uk
Pixley, Alden apixley@hmc.edu
Pollák, György pollak@math.u-szeged.hu
Pöschel, Reinhard poeschel@math.tu-dresden.de
Priestley, Hilary Ann hap@maths.ox.ac.uk
Quackenbush, Robert W. qbush@cc.umanitoba.ca
Radeleczki, Sándor matradi@gold.uni-miskolc.hu
Redi, Ellen eredi@tpu.ee
Rößiger, Martin roessig@math.tu-dresden.de
Sayed Ahmed, Tarek runayra@rusys.EG.net hosseiny@fruc.eun.eg
Schmidt, E. Tamás schmidt@math.bme.hu
Serfati, Michel serfati@cicrp.jussieu.fr
Šešelja, Branimir seselja@unsim.ns.ac.yu
Sichler, Jiri sichler@cc.umanitoba.ca
Szabó, Csaba csaba@cs.elte.hu
Szabó, László szabol@math.u-szeged.hu
Szendrei, Ágnes a.szendrei@math.u-szeged.hu
Szendrei, Mária B. m.szendrei@math.u-szeged.hu
Szittyai, István szittyai@math.u-szeged.hu
Takách, Géza takach@math.u.szeged.hu
Tasić, Boža tasic@unsim.im.ns.ac.yu
Tepavčevič, Andreja etepavce@eunet.yu
Tůma, Jiří tuma@karlin.mff.cuni.cz
Valeriote, Matt matt@math.mcmaster.ca
Vernikov, Boris M. boris.vernikov@usu.ru
Wehrung, Friedrich gremlin@math.unicaen.fr
Willard, Ross rdwillar@gillian.math.uwaterloo.ca
Wood, Japheth wood@mat.puc.cl
Zádori, László zadori@math.u-szeged.hu

Plenary lectures

B. A. Davey: Dualisability of unary algebras: the highs and lows
G. Grätzer: Tensor products and transferability of semilattices
C. Herrmann: Equational theory of modular ortholattices
E. W. Kiss: Rectangular algebras and their applications
R. N. McKenzie: Two proofs $+ n$ problems
M. Valeriote: Residually small equational classes
F. Wehrung: Box products
R. Willard: Extending Baker's Theorem

Contributed talks

P. Agliano: Idempotent discriminators
L. Beran: On lattice meanders
J. Berman: Counting finite algebras in a variety. Part I
I. Bošnjak: Some new results about power algebra
P. Burmeister: Some recent results on orthomodular partial algebras
I. Chajda: Local regularity of congruences
J. Cīrulis: Overriding operation in nearlattices
G. Czédli: Two minimal clones whose join is gigantic
E. David: Ideals of quasi-ordered sets
A. Ensor: Algebras with a compatible binary function
J. Farley: Functions on distributive lattices with the congruence substitution property: some problems of Grätzer
R. Freese (on a joint work with J. Ježek and J. B. Nation): Classification of big lattices
E. Fried: Are tournaments non-finitely based?
H. -P. Gumm: Characterizing covarieties
M. Haviar: A criterion for a finite endoprimal algebra to be endodualisable
K. Horiuchi: Introduction of trice
P. Idziak: Counting finite algebras in a variety. Part II
M. L. Jenner: Absolute retracts in finitely generated congruence distributive varieties
K. Kaarli: A new characterization of arithmeticity
V. Koubek (with J. Sichler): On almost universal varieties of modular lattices
A. Krapež: Rectangular loops
W. A. Lampe: More on dualizability and graph algebras
P. Lipparini: Meets of joins in modular varieties
H. Machida: Hyperclones over the base set $\{0, 1\}$
Ph. Niederkorn: Free algebras in finitely generated varieties of MV-algebras
P. Ouwehand: The amalgamation classes of small lattice varieties

A. G. Pinus: Application of conditional terms
A. F. Pixley: Principal arithmetical varieties
R. Pöschel: An old problem concerning locally invertible transformation monoids is solved
R. W. Quackenbush: Varieties of binary codes
S. Radeleczki: Maeda type decomposition for algebraic CJ lattices
E. Redi: Sublattices in a lattice of two-based clones
M. Rößiger: Modal logic for coalgebras
Sayed Ahmed: Amalgamation in algebras of logic
E. T. Schmidt: Regular lattices
M. Serfati: Post algebras and lattices of partitions
B. Šešelja: Lattice of subalgebras associated to a congruence
Cs. Szabó: Independence algebras
A. Tepavčevič: On Σ-Hamiltonian algebras
J. Tůma: On simultaneous representations of lattices
B. M. Vernikov: A classification of identities implying the modular law in lattices of nilsemigroup varieties
J. Wood: On the decidable properties of type sets

Problem session

The Problem Session was held on August 5, 1998, in Hódmezővásárhely, chaired by E. T. Schmidt. The following problems were presented.

R. FREESE

PROBLEM 1. Let L be a finitely presented lattice such that every nonzero join irreducible element is completely join irreducible (that is, it has a lower cover) and dually. Is L finite?

We have empirical evidence that this is true and that, when a finitely presented lattice is infinite, there is an element of low rank witnessing this. If these statements could be verified, we would have an alternate way of determining whether a finitely presented lattice is finite.

G. GRÄTZER AND F. WEHRUNG

Let L be a lattice. A lattice K is a *congruence-preserving extension* of L, if K is an extension and every congruence of L has *exactly one* extension to K. Of course, then the congruence lattice of L is isomorphic to the congruence lattice of K; we could say that the congruence lattices are *naturally isomorphic*.

A number of papers have been written on the existence of congruence-preserving extensions with special properties, especially for finite lattices; see, for instance, the references in Appendices A and B in [1].

Here we would like to raise an interesting problem that does not arise for finite lattices:

PROBLEM 2. Let L be a lattice. When does L have a congruence-preserving extension to a lattice with zero?

There are two relevant results, one positive and one negative.

THEROEM A. A distributive lattice L has a congruence-preserving extension to a lattice with zero iff L itself has a zero.

THEOREM B. *Let L be a lattice with a finite congruence lattice. Then L has a congruence-preserving extension to a sectionally complemented lattice.*

The first theorem appears to be new (the proof is easy), while the second was published in G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt [2].

Here is an obvious necessary condition for a general lattice L to have a congruence-preserving extension into a lattice with zero. Denote by L^0 the lattice L with a new zero adjoined. If L has a congruence-preserving extension into a lattice with zero, then the natural embedding j: Con $L \hookrightarrow$ Con L^0 admits a *retraction*, that is, a $\bigvee$-complete, $\{\vee, 0\}$-homomorphism p: Con $L^0 \twoheadrightarrow$ Con L such that $p \circ j$ is the identity. However, note that this condition also follows from the weaker condition that L admits a congruence-preserving extension into a lattice K such that there exists an element of K contained in every element of L.

Different types of problems arise, if we are looking for congruence-preserving extensions into relatively complemented lattices. In the finite case, this is not an interesting question since the congruence lattice of a finite relatively complemented lattice is Boolean. However, as a special case of Theorem B, we obtain that a *finite* lattice always has a congruence-preserving extensions into a (finite) sectionally complemented lattice. On the other hand, M. Ploščica, J. Tůma, and F. Wehrung [4] exhibit a lattice of size $\aleph_2$ that has no congruence-preserving extension into a sectionally complemented lattice.

The following problem is open:

PROBLEM 3. Let L be an infinite lattice with $|L| \leq \aleph_1$. Does L have a congruence-preserving extension to a (sectionally complemented) relatively complemented lattice?

The countable case is known to have a positive answer provided that L is *locally finite*, see J. Tůma [5] and G. Grätzer, H. Lakser, and F. Wehrung [3]. Nothing seems to be known about the case $|L| = \aleph_1$.

PROBLEM 4. Let L be a finite lattice. Does L have a congruence-preserving extension to a (finite) sectionally complemented lattice with the same bounds?

The construction of [2] gives an extension with the same zero, but the unit is not preserved as a rule.

REFERENCES

[1] GRÄTZER, G., *General Lattice Theory. Second Edition*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel. 1998. xix+663 pp.

[2] GRÄTZER, G. and SCHMIDT, E. T., *Congruence-preserving extensions of finite lattices into sectionally complemented lattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., *127* (1999), 1903–1915.

[3] GRÄTZER, G., LAKSER, H. and WEHRUNG, F., *Congruence amalgamation of lattices*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) *66* (2000), 3–22.

[4] PLOŠČICA, M., TŮMA, J. and WEHRUNG, F., *Congruence lattices of free lattices in non-distributive varieties*, Colloq. Math. *76* (1998), 269–278.

[5] TŮMA, J., *On the existence of simultaneous representations*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), *64* (1998), 357–371.

C. HERRMANN

PROBLEM 5. Can every ortholattice be embedded into the principal left ideal lattice of a*-regular ring?

T. KATRIŇAK

Let Fddp(n) denote the free algebra on n generators over the equational class of all distributive double p-algebras.

PROBLEM 6. Determine Fddp(1), or more generally, Fddp(n).

In the paper, *Congruence Lattices of Pseudocomplemented Semilattices*, Semi group Forum **55** (1997), 1–23, I gave a characterization of the congruence lattice Con(S) of an arbitrary pseudocomplemented semilattice S. This description uses a second-order language.

PROBLEM 7. For a finite S, is it possible to find a description of Con(S) in a first-order language?

K. A. KEARNES AND E. W. KISS

PROBLEM 8. Let $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$ be nonempty sets. A rectangular subset of $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ is a nonempty subset of the form $B_1 \times \cdots \times B_n$ with $B_i \subseteq A_i$, for each i. Suppose that $A_1 \times \cdots \times A_n$ is partitioned into fewer than 2^n rectangular subsets. Does it follow that for one of these rectangular subsets $C_1 \times \cdots \times C_n$ there exists an i such that $C_i = A_i$?

PROBLEM 9. Characterize the homomorphic images of strongly Abelian algebras. Is it true that in the case of finite similarity type, they are exactly the strongly nilpotent algebras?

PROBLEM 10. Give a Klukovits type characterization of locally finite weakly Abelian varieties.

PROBLEM 11. Characterize all finite algebras A of finite similarity type such that the number of inequivalent n-ary terms is at most 2^{cn}, for some $c > 0$ and all $n > 0$.

PROBLEM 12. (The restricted Quackenbush Conjecture) Is it true that if V is a finitely generated variety of finite similarity type and all subdirectly irreducibles in V are finite, then there are only finitely many subdirectly irreducibles in V?

PROBLEM 13. (Pixley's Problem) If V is a variety whose subdirectly irreducibles are finite, and whose finitely generated subvarieties are congruence distributive, then must V be congruence distributive?

For background on Problems 8–10, see the paper K. A. Kearnes, E. W. Kiss, *Finite algebras of finite complexity*, Discrete Math. *207* (1999), 89–135.

A. PINUS

An algebra A is called *quasi-simple* if, for any $\Theta \in \operatorname{Con} A$ and $\Theta \neq \nabla_A$, there exists $\Theta' \in \operatorname{Con} A$ such that $\Theta' > \Theta$ and $A/\Theta' \cong A$.

A lattice L is called *up-indecomposable*, if for any $a \in L$ with $a \neq 1_L$ there exists $a' \in L$ such that $a' > a$ and $F_{a'} \cong L$, where $F_{a'} = \{b \in L, b \geq a'\}$.

PROBLEM 14. For any algebraic up-indecomposable lattice L, does there exist a quasi-simple algebra A such that $\operatorname{Con} A \cong L$?

E. T. SCHMIDT

PROBLEM 15. Let L be a lattice. Does L have a congruence-preserving extension to a lattice with type 3 congruences?

PROBLEM 16. Does every lattice has a congruence-preserving extension to a semi-modular lattice?

B. ŠEŠELJA AND A. TEPAVČEVIČ

Let Conw$A$ denote the lattice of congruences of subalgebras of an algebra A with respect to inclusion. If Δ_A stands for the diagonal relation $\{(a, a) \mid a \in A\}$, then the ideal $(\Delta_A]$ of Conw$A$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra lattice of A, while the filter $[\Delta_A)$ is the congruence lattice of A.

PROBLEM 17. Let L be an algebraic lattice and let $d \in L$ with $d > 0$. Is there an algebra A and an isomorphism $\psi : L \cong \operatorname{Conw}(L)$ such that ψ sends d to Δ_A?

R. WILLARD

A decision problem:

PROBLEM 18. Input:

a finite algebra A (in a finite language),
an integer $n > 1$.

Does $V(A)$ contain a SI of cardinality $> n$? Is there an algorithm to decide this?