

PUBLICATIONES MATHEMATICAE

12. KÖTET
1-4. FÜZET

DEBRECEN
1965

ALAPÍTOTTÁK:

RÉNYI ALFRÉD, SZELE TIBOR ÉS VARGA OTTÓ

SZERKESZTŐBIZOTTSÁG:

GYIRES BÉLA, KERTÉSZ ANDOR, RAPCSÁK ANDRÁS
RÉNYI ALFRÉD ÉS VARGA OTTÓ

A SZERKESZTŐBIZOTTSÁG TITKÁRA:

BARNA BÉLA

A DEBRECENI TUDOMÁNYEGYETEM MATEMATIKAI INTÉZETE

Inner automorphisms of universal algebras

By BÉLA CSÁKÁNY (Szeged)

In this short note we introduce a notion of inner automorphism for universal algebras, which is a generalization of the corresponding notion referring to groups. This notion preserves some well-known properties of the usual one. Our terminology is essentially that of [1].

The following definition seems to be natural: a mapping is an inner automorphism if and only if it is an automorphism and a translation*) in the same time. Restricting, however, ourselves to groups, this notion is more general than the usual one; this is shown by the mapping $x \rightarrow 2x$ of the additive group of rationals. Further, we can have an automorphism, which is a translation, but its inverse is not a translation. Take, e. g., the set of natural numbers and a single unary operation on it, defined by the permutation $\varphi = (234) \dots (n^2 + 1, n^2 + 2, \dots, (n + 1)^2) \dots$. The mapping φ is an automorphism and a translation, its inverse, however, is no translation. These deficiencies can be eliminated by defining inner automorphisms not for a single algebra, but for classes of algebras as follows:

Let \mathfrak{A} be a primitive class ([1], p.114.) of universal algebras, let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and let α be an automorphism of A . Suppose we have in the class \mathfrak{A} a principal derived operation (shortly operation, [1], p. 115.) μ depending on m variables with the properties:

I. There exist elements $a_2, \dots, a_m \in A$ such that for every $a \in A$ the equality $a\alpha = aa_2 \dots a_m \mu$ holds.

II. In each algebra B of \mathfrak{A} the mapping $b \rightarrow bb_2 \dots b_m \mu$ ($b \in B$) is for every choice of $b_2, \dots, b_m \in B$ an automorphism of B .

Such an automorphism α of A will be called an *inner automorphism*.

Examples. 1. For groups the introduced notion coincides with the usual notion of inner automorphism. Indeed, let G be a group, and suppose $g\alpha = h^{-1}gh$ for every $g \in G$, h being a fixed element of G . Then the operation $xy\mu = y^{-1}xy$ satisfies I, II. On the other hand, let β be an inner automorphism of G in the new sense. We must prove the existence of an element $h \in G$ such that for every $g \in G$ the equality $g\beta = h^{-1}gh$ holds. Set $g\beta = ga_2 \dots a_m \mu$ ($a_2, \dots, a_m \in G$) with μ satisfying II too. We can suppose, without violating generality, that $xy_2 \dots y_m \mu = Y_0 X_1 Y_1 \dots X_n Y_n$,

where $X_i = x^{k_i}$ ($k_i \neq 0$; $i = 1, \dots, n$), $Y_i = \prod_{j=1}^{t_i} y_{i,j}^{e_{i,j}}$ ($y_{i,j} \in \langle y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$; $i = 0, \dots, n$; $j = 1, \dots, t_i$; here $e_{i,j} \neq 0$, if $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$).

*) By translation we mean a derived operation with a single variable [1].

Now let x, y_2, \dots, y_m denote the distinct free generators of a free group F . Then according to II $\varphi: \xi \rightarrow \xi y_2 \dots y_m \mu = Y_0 \Xi_1 Y_1 \dots \Xi_n Y_n (\Xi_i = \xi^{k_i})$ is an automorphism of F . Especially, we have $x^{-1} \varphi = x^{-1} y_2 \dots y_m \mu = Y_0 X_1^{-1} Y_1 \dots X_n^{-1} Y_n = (x\varphi)^{-1} = (xy_2 \dots y_m \mu)^{-1} = Y_n^{-1} X_n^{-1} \dots Y_1^{-1} X_1^{-1} Y_0^{-1}$. Hence $Y_0 X_1^{-1} Y_1 \dots X_n^{-1} Y_n Y_0 X_1 Y_1 \dots X_n Y_n = 1$. Then we must have necessarily $Y_i = Y_{n-i}^{-1}$ ($i=0, \dots, n$) and $X_i = X_{n-i+1}$ ($i=1, \dots, n$). Moreover, $x^2 \varphi = x^2 y_2 \dots y_m \mu = Y_0 X_1^2 Y_1 \dots X_n^2 Y_n = (x\varphi)^2 = (xy_2 \dots y_m \mu)^2 = Y_0 X_1 Y_1 \dots X_n Y_n Y_0 X_1 Y_1 \dots X_n Y_n$. Since $Y_n Y_0 = 1$ and $X_1 X_n = x^{2k_1} \neq 1$, we may observe, that X_i (that is, a power of the element x) occurs on the right hand side $2n-1$ times, and n times on the left hand side. Therefore, $n=2n-1$, whence $n=1$. Hence $xy_2 \dots y_m \mu = Y_1^{-1} X_1 Y_1$. By virtue of II, $\xi \rightarrow \xi 1 \dots 1 \mu = \Xi_1 = \xi^{k_1}$ is also an automorphism of F ; consequently, $k_1=1$, hence $\Xi_1 = \xi$ and thus $X_1 = x$. In this way,

$$(1) \quad xy_2 \dots y_m \mu = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_1} y_{1j}^{e_{1j}} \right)^{-1} \cdot x \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{t_1} y_{1j}^{e_{1j}}.$$

Since F is a free group and x, y_2, \dots, y_m are its free generators, (1) is an identical equality for any group, thus for G too. Hence, for each $g \in G$ $g\beta = ga_2 \dots a_m \mu = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{t_1} a_{1j}^{e_{1j}} \right)^{-1} \cdot g \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{t_1} a_{1j}^{e_{1j}}$, where $a_{1j} = a_i$ ($j=1, \dots, t_1; i=2, \dots, m$) if and only if

$$y_{1j} = y_i. \text{ We see, that the required } h \text{ exists, namely } h = \prod_{j=1}^{t_1} a_{1j}^{e_{1j}}.$$

2. In self-distributive quasigroups the right and left multiplications as well as the right and left cancellations are inner automorphisms [2].

3. In any vector space over a fixed skewfield S the multiplications with the elements of the centre of S are inner automorphisms.

Our observations concerning inner automorphisms are included in the following

Theorem. *The set $I(A)$ of all inner automorphisms of any algebra A in an arbitrary primitive class \mathfrak{A} is a group. $I(A)$ is normal in the group of all automorphisms of A . If among the classes of a congruence of A there is only one subalgebra, then this latter is invariant under inner automorphisms.*

PROOF. Let α, β be inner automorphisms of A and denote by μ, ν the operations connected with these automorphisms. Let $a\alpha = aa_2 \dots a_m \mu, a\beta = ab_2 \dots b_n \nu$ ($a_2, \dots, a_m, b_2, \dots, b_n \in A$) for each $a \in A$. Then one can see easily, that the mapping $\alpha\beta: a \rightarrow (aa_2 \dots a_m \mu)b_2 \dots b_n \nu$ is also an inner automorphism of A . We shall show, that α^{-1} is also an inner automorphism. Let M be a free algebra in the class \mathfrak{A} with the free generators x_1, \dots, x_m . According to II, the mapping $\bar{\alpha}: \xi \rightarrow \xi x_2 \dots x_m \mu$ is an automorphism, hence there exists one and only one element $m \in M$ such that $m\bar{\alpha} = x_1$. Moreover, there exists in \mathfrak{A} a principal derived operation $\bar{\mu}$, for which $m = x_1 \dots x_m \bar{\mu}$. Thus we obtain

$$(2) \quad (x_1 \dots x_m \bar{\mu}) x_2 \dots x_m \mu = x_1.$$

Now let us consider the mapping $\alpha^*: a \rightarrow aa_2 \dots a_m \bar{\mu}$ of A . Since (2) is an identical relation in \mathfrak{A} , we have $a(\alpha^* \alpha) = a$ for each $a \in A$, whence $\alpha^* = \alpha^{-1}$. Furthermore, we get similarly, that in any algebra B of \mathfrak{A} for arbitrary $b_2, \dots, b_m \in B$ the mapping $\beta^*: b \rightarrow bb_2 \dots b_m \bar{\mu}$ is the inverse of the automorphism $b \rightarrow bb_2 \dots b_m \mu$. Hence β^* is an automorphism. We have shown, that α^{-1} is also an inner automorphism. Thus $I(A)$ is a group.

Take now an arbitrary not necessarily inner automorphism φ of A and let $a \in A$. Then $a(\varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi) = ((a\varphi^{-1})\alpha) = ((a\varphi^{-1})a_2 \dots a_m \mu)\varphi = a(a_2 \varphi) \dots (a_m \varphi)\mu$, consequently $\varphi^{-1} \alpha \varphi$ is an inner automorphism of A . Therefore $I(A)$ is normal in the group of all automorphism of A .

To prove the third assertion of the Theorem it is sufficient to show, that any class of an arbitrary congruence θ of A maps onto an other class of θ under α . If $a \equiv a'(\theta)$, then $aa_2 \dots a_m \mu \equiv a'a_2 \dots a_m \mu(\theta)$, that is, $a\alpha \equiv a'\alpha(\theta)$. If, however, $a\alpha \equiv a'\alpha(\theta)$, then by a similar argumentation it follows, that $(a\alpha)\alpha^{-1} \equiv (a'\alpha)\alpha^{-1}(\theta)$, that is, $a \equiv a'(\theta)$. This completes the proof of the Theorem.

It would be of interest to investigate the question: for which primitive classes is the converse of the third assertion of the Theorem true? To put it otherwise, supposing that a subalgebra N is invariant under inner automorphisms, under which conditions does it follow that N is a class of a congruence θ and θ has no other class which would be a subalgebra? We have this latter case in the three examples above, but this does not hold, e. g., for the primitive class of semigroups, because they have only trivial inner automorphisms.

References

- [1] A. G. KUROŠ, Vorlesungen über allgemeine Algebra, Leipzig, 1964.
 [2] SH. K. STEIN, On the foundations of quasigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1957), 228–256.

(Received February 24, 1965.)